Dear Dr. Ammann

It is nice to receive the further comments from two referees. Thanks a lot for the constructive comments from two referees. We have considered all comments and carefully revised the MS according to these comments. The comments and questions from the referees are answered below item by item.

Report #1
Anonymous Referee #1

I am satisfied that the authors have addressed my comments on the previous version of this manuscript and I congratulate them on producing a very interesting study. I spotted a small number of technical corrections that should be made:

p 3 l 28: subscript 3 of O3
p 8 l 9: insert space in "atoms m-3"
p 9 l 2: 31 March, not 41 March
p 9 l 11: "pass over", not "passover"
p11 l 12-14: Eqs 1 - 4 are referred to, but don't seem to be present in the manuscript

Answer:
We appreciate the comments on the technical corrections, and these technical errors are carefully revised in the manuscript.

Report #2
Anonymous Referee #3

The authors thoroughly prepared a revised version, which considered appropriately the individual comments, and thus improved the quality of the manuscript. However, I don’t agree with the following actions.

1. Ref #3, comment #3 (condensed): The analysis of the sample from December 2014 cannot be used for discussion of general trends… Therefore, the measurement result of the samples AE14-1 may be shown in Tables 1 and 2, but not in Figs. 3-5 and should also not be included in any kind of further interpretation.

Action by authors (condensed): We appreciate this comment and agree with the referee #3 that data and interpretation of episode December 2014 should be treated cautiously… We still keep the result of the sample AE14-1 in Figs. 3-5 in order to compare the data between spring 2011 and winter 2014 in the context after revision.

My reply: Figs. 3-5 should not be shown in this way, as they are really misleading. The new dotted lines seem to combine episode of late April/early May 2011 with the winter 2014 sample. The data point from December 2014 should indeed be removed.
Answer:
About the data of December 2014 in Figures 3-5, we accept the referee’s comments, and the data are removed from the figures, and the corresponding context is also revised in discussion part.

2. Ref #3, comment #4 (condensed): The formation of iodine-containing aerosols is described from volatile precursors that have been emitted by sea-spray and biological activity of macroalgae and microalgae and defined as secondary source… Schnabel et al., 2001 and Jabbar et al., 2012… conclude that the data clearly depict that the 129I inventory at these sites in air had more influence from direct gaseous emissions than from secondary formation… The trajectory analysis enables the separation of western vs. eastern air masses for the measurement site and the importance of both directions for the 129I levels, but it does not give evidence for secondary vs. primary formation of radioactive aerosols.

Action by authors (condensed): Some more evidences and interpretations are added in the revised version (lines 3-29 on page 25147 and lines 1-23 on page 25148) to support our conclusion that secondary emission from seawater is a major source of 129I in the Danish aerosol, including 1) Combination of 129I results in this work with air mass pathways as denoted by back trajectory analysis suggests that high 129I concentration in the Danish aerosol is related to the North Sea originated air mass, rather than the NRPs originated air mass; 2) more previous 129I studies on atmospheric samples...

My reply: I studied the new paragraphs in detail and come to the conclusion that especially the back trajectory analysis rather confirms that direct emissions from Sellafield and La Hague are more relevant for the observed 129I levels than the secondary production, as the authors suggest. From all back trajectories shown in the Supplement, only the period 7-11 April is actually not affected by direct emissions from Sellafield and La Hague so that releases from the North Sea surface and secondary formation can be evaluated. The other samples with westerly wind conditions (31 March - 4 April, 4-7 April, 11-14 April and 14-18 April) at least reveal a direct connection to Sellafield and some also to La Hague so that they represent mixed primary and secondary formation. Among all these samples collected under westerly wind conditions, the period 7-11 April of all shows the lowest 129I/127I ratio and 129I concentration in Fig. 3. So, this situation is not convincing to support secondary 129I formation. Consequently, the statement on the dominance of secondary iodine for 129I aerosol observations in this study should still be removed within the discussions and both, the abstract and the conclusions should be adapted.

Answer:
We sincerely appreciate the referee for his/her critical review and comments. The section 4.1 (source of 127I and 129I in aerosol) has been re-written for better interpretation and well confirmation the suggestion of the reemission of marine discharged 129I as the major source of 129I in the aerosol in Denmark. This main points
presented in the revised version include:

1) Comparison of $^{129}$I level in the aerosol influenced by westerly air masses passed over the high $^{129}$I contaminated North Sea but not over any reprocessing plants (aerosol collected in 14-18 April) with those aerosols influenced by air masses passed over both $^{129}$I contaminated North Sea and 1 or 2 reprocessing plants (aerosols collected in March 31-4 April, and 11-14 April) shows that $^{129}$I/$^{127}$I ratios and $^{129}$I concentration in the aerosol of 14-18 April is comparable or slight higher than that in the aerosols of 31 March-4 April and 11-14 April. This suggests an insignificant contribution of the directly atmospheric releases of $^{129}$I from reprocessing plants at Sellafield and La Hague to the $^{129}$I in the aerosols in Denmark.

2) The proposal of the atmospheric released $^{129}$I from reprocessing plants as the major source of $^{129}$I in the precipitation in Zurich and Vennia was drawn based on the analysis of sample collected in 1994-1997 in Zurich (Schnanbel et al. 2001 and in 2001 in Vienna (Jabbar et al. 2011), at that time the atmospheric releases of $^{129}$I from both reprocessing plants at Sellafield and La Hague is much higher compared to that after 2004. In addition, a large $^{129}$I releases from another reprocessing plant at Marcoule (France) might also contributed to the sample in Zurich in 1994-1997. However, the atmospheric releases of $^{129}$I has significantly reduces since 2002, while marine discharged $^{129}$I significantly increased since 1990 and keep at high level until now. In addition, the $^{129}$I measurement in the atmospheric samples collected in high Alps also suggested the marine discharged $^{129}$I as the major source (Jabbar et al. 2012).

3) $^{129}$I level in the atmosphere (aerosol and precipitation) in central and north Europe keeps constant and high since later 1980’s until now. While the atmospheric released $^{129}$I from reprocessing plants has significantly reduced from more than 10 kg/y to less than 2 kg/y after 2004. This observation suggests the directly atmospheric released $^{129}$I is not the dominant source of $^{129}$I in the European atmosphere, at least after 2004.

4) The temporary variation of $^{129}$I level in the atmosphere in center and north Europe follows the trend of marine discharged of $^{129}$I from reprocessing plant, especially after 1990 (Michel et al. 2012).

5) The measured $^{129}$I/$^{127}$I ratio in the atmosphere in the central and north Europe is similar to that in the North Sea surface water after 2000.

6) The $^{129}$I/$^{127}$I in aerosol collected in an island in the North Sea show a similar value to that in the seawater surround this Island and those measured in central and north Europe (Michel et al. 2012).

7) A similar but slight decreased $^{129}$I level in atmospheric samples collected inland Germany with the increased distance to the North Sea.

Based on these evidences and interpretations, we suggest that re-emission of marine discharged $^{129}$I in the contaminated sea water is the dominant source of $^{129}$I in the aerosol in Denmark, and also the major source in the atmosphere in north and central Europe, at least after 2004.
Yours sincerely,

Luyuan Zhang
Xiaolin Hou
Sheng Xu
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Abstract

Speciation analysis of iodine in aerosols is a very useful approach for understanding geochemical cycling of iodine in the atmosphere. In this study, overall iodine species, including water-soluble iodine species (iodide, iodate and water-soluble organic iodine), NaOH-soluble iodine and insoluble iodine have been determined for $^{129}\text{I}$ and $^{127}\text{I}$ in the aerosols collected at Risø, Denmark, during March and May, 2011 (shortly after the Fukushima nuclear accident) and in December, 2014. The measured concentrations of total iodine are in the range of 1.04-2.48 ng m$^{-3}$ for $^{127}\text{I}$ and (11.3-97.0)×10$^5$ atoms m$^{-3}$ for $^{129}\text{I}$, corresponding to $^{129}\text{I}/^{127}\text{I}$ atomic ratios of (17.8-86.8)×10$^{-8}$. The contribution of Fukushima-derived $^{129}\text{I}$ (peak value of 6.3×10$^4$ atoms m$^{-3}$) is estimated to be negligible (less than 6%) compared to the total $^{129}\text{I}$ concentration in the northern Europe. The concentrations and species of $^{129}\text{I}$ and $^{127}\text{I}$ in the aerosols are found to be strongly related to their sources and atmospheric pathways. Aerosols that were transported over the contaminated seas containing higher amounts concentrations of $^{129}\text{I}$ than aerosols transported over the European continent. The high $^{129}\text{I}$ concentrations of the marine aerosols are attributed to secondary emission
from—\textsuperscript{129}I-contaminated seawater in the North Sea, North Atlantic Ocean, English Channel, Kattegat, etc—besides, rather than the primary direct gaseous release from the two European nuclear reprocessing plants (NRPs). Water-soluble iodine was found to be a minor fraction to the total iodine for both \textsuperscript{127}I (7.8-13.7\%) and \textsuperscript{129}I (6.5-14.1\%) in ocean-derived aerosols, but accounted for 20.2-30.3\% for \textsuperscript{127}I and 25.6-29.5\% for \textsuperscript{129}I in land-derived aerosols. Iodide was the predominant form of water-soluble iodine, accounting for more than 97\% of the water-soluble iodine. NaOH-soluble iodine seems to be independent of the sources of aerosols. The significant proportion of \textsuperscript{129}I and \textsuperscript{127}I found in NaOH-soluble fractions is likely bound with organic substances. In contrast to water-soluble iodine however, the sources of air masses exerted distinct influences on insoluble iodine for both \textsuperscript{129}I and \textsuperscript{127}I, with higher values for marine air masses and lower values for terrestrial air masses.

\section{Introduction}

The atmospheric chemistry of iodine has attracted increasing attention in recent years, in part because of its central role in governing the geochemical cycling of iodine, and also for its influences on ozone depletion, primary particle formation, and global climate (Carpenter 2003; O'Dowd et al., 2002; Saiz-Lopez et al., 2012). Most atmospheric iodine studies to date have concentrated on laboratory smog chamber experiments and modeling studies, with a paucity of field measurements of atmospheric samples. Apart from gaseous iodine (e.g. molecular iodine, iodocarbons) in the atmosphere, aerosols, which are colloids of fine solid particles or liquid droplets in air and include dust, fume, mist, smoke and fog, contain a significant fraction of iodine, and play an important role for iodine transportation from ocean to land. In particular, speciation analysis of iodine in aerosols is one of critical issue to understand the reactions and atmospheric processes of iodine, and develop a comprehensive understanding of biogeochemical cycles of iodine in nature.

Most of the work on aerosol iodine speciation has focused on water-soluble iodine (WSI) (Baker 2004; Baker 2005; Gilfedder et al., 2008). Early modeling studies suggested that aerosol iodate should be the only stable iodine specie as a by-product of iodine oxides.
production, with negligible iodide due to transformation into gaseous iodine (McFiggans et al., 2000; Vogt et al., 1999). However, this prediction went against several previous observations that showed significant iodide and soluble organic iodine, accounting for 10%-100% of WSI in aerosols (Baker 2004; Baker 2005; Gabler and Heumann 1993). An improved model was then developed, primarily by increasing the proportion of iodide in the aerosols (Pechtl et al., 2007). Few studies have addressed water-insoluble iodine in aerosols (Gilfedder et al., 2010; Tsukada et al., 1987), which might be not easily converted to gaseous iodine before being deposited on the Earth’s surface. Previous observational data suggest that water-insoluble iodine is abundant in aerosol particles, representing 17-53% of the total iodine (Gilfedder et al., 2010). However, there is no comprehensive investigation of aerosol iodine species that encompasses aqueous-soluble and insoluble iodine species. This constitutes a significant gap in our understanding of the interaction among atmospheric iodine species.

Due to the difficulty of source identification of natural $^{127}$I, $^{129}$I ($T_{1/2}=15.7$ Ma) has proven being an especially useful geochemical tracer both in the marine environment and in the atmosphere (He et al., 2013b; Hou et al., 2007; Jabbar et al., 2012; Michel et al., 2012). A large amount of $^{129}$I has been released to the environment by nuclear reprocessing plants (NRPs). These dominate all other anthropogenic sources, with 6000 kg released as of 2009, from Sellafield (United Kingdom) and La Hague (France) (Hou et al., 2007; Raisbeck et al., 1995). Anthropogenic $^{129}$I is currently distributed unevenly around the world in water, atmosphere and terrestrial systems (Hou et al., 2009b). Above-ground nuclear explosions also produced $^{129}$I, injecting it into the troposphere and stratosphere. The proportion that entered to the stratosphere became mixed globally before re-entering the troposphere. From the troposphere, about one half of the radioactive particles is deposited onto the earth’s surface within 20 days (Tölgyessy 1993). Gaseous releases from reprocessing plants and accidents are primary sources of $^{129}$I to the local environment (Hou et al., 2009a; Xu et al., 2013). Re-emission of iodine as the forms of inorganic and organic iodine (e.g. I$_2$, CH$_3$I, C$_2$H$_5$I) from sea surface plays a significant role in the atmospheric budget of $^{129}$I (Englund et al., 2010b). Gaseous iodine compounds photodissociate rapidly in the atmosphere to generate iodine atoms. Iodine atoms are oxidized by atmospheric oxidants (e.g. O$_3$, NO$_x$) to iodine.
oxides, which then incorporate with particles either by nucleation or by reaction/trap mechanisms (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2012). As with stable iodine (\(^{127}\text{I}\)), \(^{129}\text{I}\) also disperses in the atmosphere in both gaseous and particulate forms. Particulate \(^{129}\text{I}\) has been used successfully in monitoring studies, and as an atmospheric tracer (Englund et al., 2010b; Jabbar et al., 2012; Santos et al., 2005; Tsukada et al., 1987). While, speciation analysis of \(^{129}\text{I}\) in aerosols is extremely scarce with the only one being our previous study (Xu et al., 2015).

Here, we present the results of speciation analysis of stable \(^{127}\text{I}\) and radioactive \(^{129}\text{I}\) in aerosols collected in Denmark, in order to understand how the iodine is carried in the atmosphere and to investigate transformation mechanisms between iodine species in aerosols, as well as gas-aerosol exchange processes involving iodine. To our knowledge, this is the first paper to address the conversion among overall iodine species in aerosols.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Aerosol sampling

Aerosol samples were collected on 0.45 \(\mu\)m polypropylene filters (Type G-3, PTI, Germany), attached to an in-house aerosol collector at Risø campus, Technical University of Denmark, Denmark (55°41.77'N, 12°05.39'E) (Fig. 1). The sampling filters are normally replaced every week, but during the Fukushima nuclear accident, the changing frequency was increased to every 3-4 days. Seven aerosol samples were collected from 31 March - 2 May 2011 (local time, the same below), shortly after the Fukushima accident on 11 March 2011. One sample was collected from 8 December - 15 December 2014. The samples were put into plastic bags and stored in the dark before analysis. The sample information is listed in Table 1.

2.2 Speciation analysis of \(^{127}\text{I}\) and \(^{129}\text{I}\) in aerosols

Separation of iodine species from aerosol. The aerosol samples were analyzed for species of \(^{127}\text{I}\) and \(^{129}\text{I}\) using a newly developed method (Zhang et al., 2015). In brief, iodine was extracted sequentially using deionized water and sodium hydroxide solutions for water-soluble and NaOH-soluble iodine (WSI and NSI). Total iodine (TI) and residual
insoluble iodine (RII) were separated by alkaline ashing from the original air filters and the residual filters after NaOH solution leaching, respectively. Iodide and iodate in the water leachate were separated using anion exchange chromatography. Fig. 2 shows a diagram of the separation procedure for speciation analysis of iodine isotopes.

**Determination of $^{127}$I by ICP-MS and $^{129}$I by AMS.** $^{127}$I in all fractions were diluted by factors from 1 to 20 using ammonium depending on the iodine concentration in each fraction and the matrix of the leachates. Cesium was added as internal standard to a final concentration of 2 ng g$^{-1}$ to normalize measurement efficiency of iodine in the ICP-MS measurement. Standard addition method was employed for the measurement of $^{127}$I in ash leachates of total iodine and the insoluble fraction. An iodine standard solution (NaI, CPI International, California, USA) was spiked into the reserved aliquots and diluted with 1% NH$_3$·H$_2$O to a final concentration of 2 ng g$^{-1}$. $^{127}$I in the diluted solution was measured by ICP-MS (Thermo Fisher, X Series II) using an Xf cone under normal mode, as described elsewhere (Hou et al., 2007).

$^{129}$I was measured using a 5 MV accelerator mass spectrometry (NEC, Wisconsin, USA) at Scottish University Environmental Research Center, UK (Xu et al., 2013). The prepared AgI precipitates were mixed with silver powder (Sigma-Aldrich Co., USA) with an AgI:Ag mass ratio of 1:2 and pressed into copper holders. A terminal voltage of 3.5 MV and $^{15+}$ ions were chosen for $^{129}$I detection. The measured $^{129}$I/$^{127}$I ratios were corrected against a standard with a $^{129}$I/$^{127}$I ratio of $1.138\times10^{-10}$, prepared from a $^{129}$I standard solution (NIST 4949C) and an $^{127}$I carrier. The analytical precision of standards and samples are within 5%. $^{129}$I/$^{127}$I ratios in the procedural blanks were measured to be about $5\times10^{-13}$, 1-3 orders of magnitude lower than those measured for the samples. All results were corrected for procedural blanks.

### 3 Results

The concentrations of total iodine in aerosols from Risø, Denmark ranged at 1.04-2.48 ng m$^{-3}$ (average of 1.8±0.5 ng m$^{-3}$) for $^{127}$I and 11.3-72.9×10$^5$ atoms m$^{-3}$ (average of (44±19)×10$^5$ atoms m$^{-3}$) for $^{129}$I, during March-May 2011 (Table 2). Total $^{127}$I concentration of 2.36 ng m$^{-3}$
during 8-15 December 2014 fell within the range in 2011, while \(^{129}\)I concentration of 97.0×10^5 atoms m\(^{-3}\) was about two times higher than the average value in 2011. Much lower values of \(^{129}\)I and \(^{127}\)I concentrations were observed during 18 April-2 May 2011 compared to those before 18 April (Fig. 3), but \(^{129}\)I levels didn’t show a synchronous variation with \(^{127}\)I concentrations. The results of \(^{127}\)I concentrations in the studied aerosols are compatible with those from an inland city, Regensburg, Germany and from some Pacific islands (Gabler and Heumann, 1993) and references therein. \(^{129}\)I/\(^{127}\)I atomic ratios in the investigated aerosols were (17.8-86.8)×10^-8, which are consistent with those found at Foehr island, north of Germany in April 2002 (Michel et al., 2012) and in southern Sweden during 1983-2008 (Englund et al., 2010b; Michel et al., 2012). However, the measured \(^{129}\)I concentrations and \(^{129}\)I/\(^{127}\)I ratios are 1-2 orders of magnitude higher than those observed in Vienna, Austria during 2001-2002, and in the high altitude eastern Alps (2700 m) during 2001 (Jabbar et al., 2011; Jabbar et al., 2012).

For \(^{127}\)I, the WSI fraction of aerosols collected in 2011 occurred predominantly as iodide, ranging from 0.12-0.33 ng m\(^{-3}\). Minor iodate of 0.02-0.03 ng m\(^{-3}\) was detected only in two samples (AE11-12 during 4-7 April and AE11-17 during 26 April-2 May) (Table 1 and Fig. 4). The highest measured \(^{127}\)I concentration (0.74 ng m\(^{-3}\)) was observed in aerosol collected in 2014, and there was no measurable water-soluble organic iodine. Water-soluble \(^{129}\)I shows a similar species pattern as water-soluble \(^{127}\)I except that iodate-129 was below the detection limit in all the analyzed samples. The concentrations of \(^{129}\)I varied in (3.26-5.91)×10^5 atoms m\(^{-3}\) with an average value of 4.41×10^5 atoms m\(^{-3}\) in the aerosols from 2011. This value is about 8 times lower than that in the sample collected in 2014(30.1×10^5 atoms m\(^{-3}\)). High NSI concentrations were observed in all of the aerosol samples, with an average of 0.64±0.21 ng m\(^{-3}\) for \(^{127}\)I and (13.64±10.1)×10^5 atoms m\(^{-3}\) for \(^{129}\)I. RII is the dominant species in aerosol samples for both iodine isotopes, with concentration range of 0.34-1.66 ng m\(^{-3}\) for \(^{127}\)I and (4.27-39.9)×10^5 atoms m\(^{-3}\) for \(^{129}\)I.

There is no available standard reference material for iodine isotopes in aerosols which can be used for validation of the analytical method. While, the sums of all of iodine species in the
analyzed samples are comparable with the measured total iodine in the corresponding samples for both $^{127}$I and $^{129}$I in a range of 85-110%, indicating the reliability of our analytical results (Fig. 5).

For the samples collected before 18 April 2011, the proportion of $^{129}$I and $^{127}$I species follows an order of RII > NSI > iodide. For the samples collected later in April 2011 and in the winter 2014, the three iodine fractions for both $^{129}$I and $^{127}$I account for almost equivalent proportion of total iodine (Fig. 5). Compared to the former sampling period (31 March-18 April 2011), the most apparent feature of the latter sampling period is a dramatic diminution of iodine in the RII fraction, by 38.7% for $^{127}$I and 26.8% for $^{129}$I. This was compensated by a corresponding increase in the iodide fraction of the aerosol particles. Iodate, as the least abundant iodine specie ($< 3\%$), was only observed in two aerosol samples for $^{127}$I, and no $^{129}$IO$_3^-$ was detectable in all aerosol samples investigated in this work.

$^{129}$I/$^{127}$I atomic ratios of (15.6-102.0)$\times 10^{-8}$ were determined for the different iodine species in the aerosols. The variations of $^{129}$I/$^{127}$I ratio in iodide, NSI and RII are similar to those in total $^{129}$I/$^{127}$I, with high values observed prior to 18 April 2011 and December 2014 (Table 2 and Fig. 3).

4 Discussion

4.1 Sources of $^{127}$I and $^{129}$I in aerosols

Variation of $^{127}$I and $^{129}$I concentrations in aerosols against meteorological parameters (i.e. wind direction, wind speed and temperature) during the sampling period shows that wind direction has a principle influence (Fig. 3). Back trajectory model analysis shows that $^{127}$I and $^{129}$I concentrations in the aerosols were directly controlled by the sources and pathways of air masses (Fig. 6, Figs. S-1 and S-2 in supplementary material). The relatively high $^{127}$I and $^{129}$I concentrations were observed in the aerosols collected in early April 2011 and December 2014, when the air masses were mainly transported from the Atlantic Ocean across the North Sea by prevailing westerly winds. While, relatively low
concentrations of iodine isotopes were observed in the aerosols collected in later April, when the air masses were dominated by prevailing easterly winds and passed over the European continent and the Baltic Sea (Fig. 6 and Figs S-1).

Marine emission of volatile iodine species (e.g. inorganic I$_2$ and HOI, organic CH$_3$I, CH$_2$I$_2$) is a major source of iodine in the atmosphere (Prados-Román et al., 2015). It results in relatively elevated $^{127}$I concentrations in the marine atmosphere, as compared to the terrestrial atmosphere (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2012). During the sampling period of 11-14 April, the sampled air masses were transported by westerly winds from a vast area over the northern Atlantic Ocean. This caused the highest elevated $^{127}$I concentration in the sampling period. Except for the Norwegian Sea and the North Sea, most areas of the northern Atlantic have low seawater $^{129}$I concentrations (He et al., 2013a), which is what we observed for the 11-14 April aerosol sample.

Distinct from source of $^{127}$I, $^{129}$I concentrations in the aerosols were significantly influenced by aqueous and gaseous discharge of the two European NRPs at Sellafield (UK) and La Hague (France) that contribute.

The highest measured $^{129}$I concentrations in spring 2011, $72.98 \times 10^5$ atoms m$^{-3}$, was observed in the aerosol sample collected in 4-7 April 2011. These samples reflect that air masses were transported from two directions, west/northwest and south/southwest (Fig. 6). The dominant south/southwesterly wind passed over regions of elevated $^{129}$I, including the North Sea and reprocessing sites at Sellafield and La Hague. In contrast to ocean source for $^{127}$I, more than 95% of environmental $^{129}$I inventory in the environment originates from releases of, in particular Sellafield and La Hague reprocessing plants, located at west and southwest direction of Denmark, respectively (Fig. 1). Of the total release of $^{129}$I from the two European NRPs, about 99% large fraction of $^{129}$I (200–300 kg year$^{-1}$ since 1995) has been discharged as aqueous form to the seamarine system and as liquid form from these two reprocessing plants. After being introduced into the English Channel and Irish Sea, respectively (Hou et al., 2007; Raisbeck et al., 1995), only a small fraction of $^{129}$I (about 0.5–52 kg year$^{-1}$ in the past 20 years after 2004 and 3-10 kg/y in 1981-2004) has been
released as gaseous form to the atmosphere from Sellafield and La Hague reprocessing plants, and it has dispersed over a large area, in particular in Europe (Schnabel et al. 2001; Ernst et al., 2003; Hou et al., 2007; Persson et al., 2007; Jabbar et al., 2012). Aqueous—\textsuperscript{129}I was carried by follows surface ocean currents and transported—to the North Sea, Kattegat, and Baltic Sea, and continues to the Arctic along the Norwegian coast (Alfimov et al., 2004a; Buraglio et al., 1999; Hou et al., 2007; Raisbeck et al., 1995; Yi et al., 2012). Remarkably, elevated \textsuperscript{129}I concentrations of up to 10\textsuperscript{10} - 10\textsuperscript{11} atoms L\textsuperscript{-1} have been found in the North Sea (with \textsuperscript{129}I/\textsuperscript{127}I atomic ratios of 10\textsuperscript{-7} - 10\textsuperscript{-6}), 10\textsuperscript{9} - 10\textsuperscript{10} atoms L\textsuperscript{-1} in Norwegian coastal waters and the Kattegat (with \textsuperscript{129}I/\textsuperscript{127}I atomic ratios of 10\textsuperscript{8} - 10\textsuperscript{9}), and 10\textsuperscript{8} - 10\textsuperscript{9} atoms L\textsuperscript{-1} in the Baltic Sea (Aldahan et al., 2007; Alfimov et al., 2004b; He et al., 2014; Hou et al., 2007; Michel et al., 2012; Yi et al., 2011). Besides the directly atmospheric releases of \textsuperscript{129}I from European NRPs at La Hague and Sellafield, the relatively high \textsuperscript{129}I concentrations in the aerosols influenced by westerly wind might also attributed to the secondary emission of marine discharged \textsuperscript{129}I in the European seas (the North Sea, Irish Sea, Norwegian coastal water). The back trajectories analysis (Fig. 6 and Fig. S-1) shows that the aerosol samples collected in 31 March-4 April, 4-7 April and 11-14 April were directly influenced by westerly and southwesterly air masses that passed over not only the high \textsuperscript{129}I contaminated North Sea, Irish Sea and English Channel, but also above Sellafield and La Hague NRPs. However, \textsuperscript{129}I concentration and \textsuperscript{129}I/\textsuperscript{127}I ratio in aerosol collected in 4-7 April were 2.6-fold and 1.7-fold higher than that aerosols collected in 31 March-4 April and 1.6-fold and 2.1 fold that in 7-11 April, respectively. This might be attributed to that most of air masses during 4-7 April mainly passed over both Sellafield and La Hague as well as the high \textsuperscript{129}I contaminated North Sea, Irish sea and English Channel, while air masses during 31 March-4 April passed over only Sellafield, south part of the highly \textsuperscript{129}I contaminated of the North Sea and low \textsuperscript{129}I level northern Atlantic Ocean, and air masses during 7-11 April passed over Sellafield, north part of the highly contaminated North Sea and low \textsuperscript{129}I level Atlantic Ocean. However, the \textsuperscript{129}I directly atmospheric released and secondary emitted from the \textsuperscript{129}I contaminated sea cannot be isolated and identified based on the trajectories analysis of these 3 samples, because the air masses of these 3 aerosols passed over both reprocessing plant(s) and high contaminated seas. For the aerosol collected in 14-18
April, the air masses passed over only the north part of the highly contaminated North Sea and low $^{129}\text{I}$ level North Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 6c), the $^{129}\text{I}/^{127}\text{I}$ ratio in this aerosol is comparable with the samples collected in 4-7 April and 31 March-4 April ($(4-5)\times10^{-7}$), and the $^{129}\text{I}$ concentration is higher than that in 31 March-4 April sample by a factor of 1.5. This result suggests that secondary emission of marine discharged $^{129}\text{I}$ in the contaminated seawater (the English Channel, North Sea, Kattegat and the Norwegian coastal water) is the major source of $^{129}\text{I}$ in the westerly wind-influenced aerosols in Denmark in 2011 rather than the directly gaseous release of the two European NRPs. A relative lower $^{129}\text{I}$ concentration $(2.6\times10^6\text{ atoms m}^{-3})$ and $^{129}\text{I}/^{127}\text{I}$ ratio $(2.8\times10^{-7})$ were observed in the aerosol collected in 7-11 April compared to other 4 aerosol samples influenced by westerly wind. This might be attributed that the air masses during this period is mainly from northwest and mainly passed over to the lower $^{129}\text{I}$ North Atlantic Ocean and small area of the northern North Sea (Alfimov et al. 2004a).

The $^{129}\text{I}/^{127}\text{I}$ atomic ratios in the studied aerosols ($(1.8-8.6)\times10^{-7}$) fell well in the range of $^{129}\text{I}/^{127}\text{I}$ ratios in the North Sea surface water in 2005 and 2009 ($(1-10)\times10^{-7}$) (Hou et al. 2007; Christl et al. 2015). This also supports the above conclusion that the main source of $^{129}\text{I}$ in the aerosols in Denmark in 2011 is re-emission of marine discharged $^{129}\text{I}$ in the surface water of the North Sea, Irish Sea and Kattegat.

There was a debate for a long time on either atmospheric releases or re-emission of marine discharged $^{129}\text{I}$ from the two European NRPs as the dominant source of $^{129}\text{I}$ in the European atmosphere (Schnabel et al. 2001, Jabbar et al., 2011, 2012; Lopez-Gutierrez et al. 2004; Ernst et al., 2003; Persson et al., 2007; Hou et al. 2009; Reithmeier et al. 2010; Michel et al. 2012). High $^{129}\text{I}$ concentrations of $(3-445)\times10^{8}$ at/L in rain water collected at Zürich, Switzerland in 1994-1997, corresponding to estimated average $^{129}\text{I}/^{127}\text{I}$ ratios of $(3.2-4.0)\times10^{-2}$, have been reported. Based on the estimated annual re-emission of $^{129}\text{I}$ from the contaminated European water (1.37 kg) in the 1990’s and combined atmospheric releases of $^{129}\text{I}$ of about 6.5 kg in 1991-1996 from the two European NRPs (Schnabel et al. 2001), as well as the relative positive correlation of $^{129}\text{I}$ concentration in the aerosols from Vienna, Austria with the
integrated monthly atmospheric releases of $^{129}$I from Sellafield NRP (Jabbar et al. 2011), the direct atmospheric release of $^{129}$I was proposed as the dominant source of $^{129}$I in the atmosphere in the central Europe (Schnabel 2011; Jabbar et al. 2011). An investigation on $^{129}$I in rain water (1994-2005) over Germany has shown a high but slightly decreasing gradient of $^{129}$I concentrations and $^{129}$I/$^{127}$I ratios from coastal to inland areas (Krupp and Aumann, 1999, Szidat et al., 2000; Michel et al. 2012). A high $^{129}$I level (129I/127I ratio of (2-8)$\times$10$^{-7}$) was also measured in air samples (gas and particle associated iodine) collected at an island in the North Sea (Foehr) in April 2002, which is similar to the $^{129}$I/$^{127}$I ratio measured in the seawater surrounding the Island (Ernst, 2003; Michel et al. 2012). This $^{129}$I level in the aerosol is also comparable with those in precipitation in the inland Germany. A significant correlations of $^{129}$I with marine-derived chlorine ($R^2$=0.62) and bromine ($R^2$=0.62) were measured in precipitation in Denmark in 2001-2006 (Hou et al. 2009). All these investigations suggested that $^{129}$I in the European atmosphere, at least in the central and north Europe, mainly originates from the re-emission of marine discharged $^{129}$I in the European seawater (Krupp and Aumann, 1999, Szidat et al., 2000; Michel et al. 2012, Ernst, 2003; Hou et al. 2009; Persson et al., 2007).

The reported $^{129}$I/$^{127}$I ratios in the atmosphere (aerosol and precipitation) in the central and north Europe keeps constant high from about (3-4)$\times$10$^{-2}$ at Zurich in 1994-1997 (Schnabel et al. 2001), (3-14) $\times$10$^{-2}$ in 1988-1995 (Krupp & Aumann 1999; Bachhuber et al. 1992) and (2-11)$\times$10$^{-2}$ in 1997-2005 (Michel et al. 2012; Szidat et al. 2000) in Germany, (0.8-5.2)$\times$10$^{-2}$ in 2001-2002 in south Sweden, to (0.5-8$)\times$10$^{-2}$ in 2001-2006 in Denmark. The $^{129}$I/$^{127}$I ratios measured in the investigated aerosol samples in 2001 ((1.8-8.7)$\times$10$^{-2}$) are also comparable to the value in the precipitation in 2001-2006 in Denmark and other locations in central and north Europe in 1988-2005. However, the atmospheric releases of $^{129}$I from the two NRPs at La Hague and Sellafield have significantly reduced since 2002, from peak value of 5-10 kg/y in 1981-2000 to less than 2 kg after 2004 (Michel et al. 2012), if the contribution from another European NRP at Marcoule (France), which was operated in 1960-1997, is included, the atmospheric releases of $^{129}$I were 9-17 kg/y in 1980-1997 (Reithmeier et al. 2006). The insignificant influence of the remarkably reduced atmospheric releases of $^{129}$I from the
European NRPs since 2002 on the $^{129I}/^{127I}$ ratios in the atmosphere in central and north Europe confirms that the atmospheric releases $^{129I}$ from the two European NRPs at La Hague and Sellafield is not the dominant source of $^{129I}$ in the European atmosphere, at least after 2002. However, this does not conflict with the suggestion that $^{129I}$ in the atmosphere in Zürich in 1994-1997 and Vienna in 2001 mainly originated from the atmospheric releases of the European NRPs due to the relative high atmospheric releases of $^{129I}$ from the European NRPs in 1980-2002, and relative lower marine discharges of $^{129I}$ from the two European NRPs before 1990. The marine discharges of $^{129I}$ from the two European NRPs has significantly increased from less than 60 kg/y before 1990 to more than 100 kg/y in 1995 and keeping relative constant at about 250 kg/y from 1998 until now (Reithmeier et al. 2006). The $^{129I}$ discharged to the English Channel from the La Hague NRP and to the Irish Sea from the Sellafield NRP has dispersed to a large area in the North Sea, Kattegat, Norwegian Sea and further to the Arctic by sea currents, and mainly remains in the surface water (less than 100 m) in this area. It is not like the atmospheric released $^{129I}$ which is quickly dispersed in the atmosphere and deposited on the land in a short time (a few weeks/months), the marine discharged $^{129I}$ is integrated in the marine system with much less and slow removal from the water body to the sediment as particle associated form and to the atmosphere in gaseous forms. Therefore, the huge amount and constantly increased inventory of $^{129I}$ in the marine system has made the marine discharged $^{129I}$ the major source of $^{129I}$ in the present environment, the continuous re-emission of $^{129I}$ from the contaminated seawater to the atmosphere makes the marine discharged $^{129I}$ the dominated source in the atmosphere in the Europe, and probably all over the world after 2002. The very well matched trend of $^{129I}/^{127I}$ ratio in the atmosphere in central and north Europe with the marine discharges of $^{129I}$ from the two European NRPs (Michel et al. 2012) and constantly high $^{129I}$ level in the precipitation in the north hemisphere outside Europe (e.g. in Japan before 2011, China) also confirmed this assumption (Toyama et al. 2013, Zhang et al. 2011). In addition, the measurement of $^{129I}$ in the aerosol collected in the high altitude Alps sites in 2001 and back trajectories analysis of air masses reached to these locations have also confirmed that re-emission of marine
discharged $^{129}$I from the contaminated European seawater was the major source of $^{129}$I in these aerosols (Jabbar et al. 2012).

The lowest $^{129}$I concentrations, $(11 - 13) \times 10^5$ atoms m$^{-3}$, were observed in the aerosol samples collected in 18 - 26 April and 26 April - 2 May 2011. Back trajectory analysis (Fig. S1) shows that in this period the air masses at the sampling site were mainly transported by easterly or northwesterly winds, i.e. from the European continent (Fig. S-1). Terrestrial emissions of iodine occur through vegetation and terrestrial microorganisms (Bewers and Haysom, 1974).

Low $^{129}$I/$^{127}$I ratios of in the terrestrial samples in the Europe ($10^{-8} - 10^{-7}$) (Osterc et al. 2013; Ezerinsk et al. 2016) compared to that contaminated European seawater ($10^{-2} - 10^{-5}$) system can behave been observed in those areas distant from nuclear reprocessing plant and highly $^{129}$I-contaminated marine source (Jabbar, 2011 and 2012). This is reflected in these two aerosol samples by their relatively low $^{129}$I concentrations. An elevated $^{210}$Pb level $(249 - 253 \mu$ Bq m$^{-3}$) (Table 1) for this period is also consistent with a continental origin ($^{210}$Pb in the air is a decay product of $^{222}$Rn which is mainly released from the soil in the continental area). However, it should be mentioned that the $^{129}$I level in the European soil is still much higher than that in the uncontaminated area such as in the China and Chile ($^{129}$I/$^{127}$I ratio of $10^{-10} - 10^{-9}$) (Zhang et al. 2011; Daraoui et al. 2012), this is attributed to the high $^{129}$I level in the atmosphere in the Europe. Consequently, the $^{129}$I level in the aerosols with air masses from terrestrial area is lower than that from the contaminated European seas, but it is still much higher than that in other places with less contamination.

The highest value of $^{129}$I concentration - ($97.00 \times 10^5$ atoms m$^{-3}$) found, in 8-15 December 2014 might be also related with direct gaseous emission of NRPs. $^{129}$I re-emission mainly from the North Sea, where the air masses passed over (Fig. S-2), as well as - Because of the possibilities, including probably increased liquid releases from Sellafield and La Hague of NRPS, which still needs further investigation, and seasonal difference of iodine re-emission from seawater, the $^{129}$I concentration in winter 2014 is higher than that in spring 2011.

4.2 Species of $^{129}$I and $^{127}$I in aerosols
WSI is virtually pure iodide in the aerosols investigated, with iodate and water-soluble organic iodine accounting for less than 3% of total iodine, and these are only measurable in two samples. Iodate was once considered to be the only WSI species in aerosol (Vogt et al., 1999). This was supported by earlier field observations demonstrating that iodate was dominant in size-segregated aerosols from the tropical Atlantic (Wimschneider and Heumann 1995). However, this iodate-dominant feature was not found in other aerosol samples, e.g. in the northwest Atlantic Ocean and in tropical atmospheric aerosols (Baker 2004; Baker 2005). In these cases, iodide was the dominant water soluble iodine species in the aerosols, as observed in this study. Significant amounts of soluble organic iodine, accounting for 83-97% of WSI, has been reported in aerosols collected at the Mace Head atmospheric research station on the west coast of Ireland (Gilfedder et al., 2008). Water-soluble organic iodine accounting for 4%-75% of WSI were also measured in aerosols collected from a cruise from the UK to the Falkland Islands in 2003 (Baker 2005). This suggests that the proportion of soluble organic iodine in aerosols varies regionally and depends on particular aerosol sources and formation processes. Some of this variability might also be related to the analytical methods employed for speciation analysis (Zhang et al., 2015).

It is not clear how iodide is formed in the atmosphere, in an oxidizing environment containing oxygen and ozone. Early models predicted a negligible iodide concentration in particle phases based on the assumption that the iodide in aerosols only originates from the low levels of gaseous HI (McFiggans et al., 2000; Vogt et al., 1999). This work in combination with the previous reports (Baker 2004; Xu et al., 2015) suggests that there must be other primary pathways that contribute to iodide formation at the observed levels. It’s generally accepted that iodine atoms are photochemically produced by photolysis of gaseous iodinated compounds, and oxidized by ozone to form reactive iodine oxides (Carpenter, 2003; Saiz-Lopez et al., 2012; Vogt et al., 1999). The formation of iodide from iodine atoms and other reactive iodine compounds must rely on electron-donors that are capable of reducing high valence iodine species to iodide. One possibility is the involvement of sulfur compounds (Chatfield and Crutzen, 1990). Possible reaction pathways are given in Table 3. Gaseous SO$_2$ can be formed in nature by reactions of dimethyl sulfate (DMS) with hydroxide and nitrate.
Human activity is a major source of atmospheric SO$_2$, globally about three times as much SO$_2$ as natural processes (Galloway, 1995). By associating with H$_2$O, these reactions produce HSO$_3^-$ and SO$_3^{2-}$ (Eqs. 1 and 2 in Table 3). Native iodine and other reactive species (not shown) can be reduced to I$^-$ on gas-aerosol interfaces (Eq. 3 in Table 3). Other iodine species in aerosols can be also reduced by reductive sulfur compounds to form iodide (Eq. 4 in Table 3). The electron-donors are not limited to sulfur compounds either, for example, nitrogen in the form of gaseous ammonia (NH$_3$→NO$_2$/NO$_3^-$) (McFiggans et al., 2000; Saiz-Lopez and Plane 2004) and elemental mercury (Hg$_0^0$→HgO/HgX, where X is a halogen, I$^-$, Br$^-$ or Cl$^-$) (Lindberg et al., 2002; Simpson et al., 2007) are also candidates responsible for iodide formation.

We note that the percentage of WSI $^{129}$I and $^{127}$I in marine-sourced aerosol from the North Sea is relative lower than that in the continental aerosol, as compared to the European continent-sourced aerosols (Figs. 4 and 5). This is consistent with the findings drawn from an iodine speciation study of coastal aerosol samples from England (Baker et al., 2001), where the concentrations of total water-soluble iodine from continental aerosols were significantly higher than those from marine aerosols.

A large proportion of $^{129}$I and $^{127}$I in our aerosol samples were NaOH-soluble, which is consistent with the results of aerosol from Tsukuba, Japan, collected shortly after the Fukushima nuclear accident in March 2011 (Xu et al., 2015). Abundant NaOH-soluble $^{129}$I (32%-44% of total $^{129}$I) in Fukushima-derived aerosols was attributed to coarse vegetation-related organic particles concentrated during spring. The measured NaOH-soluble iodine (NSI) fractions of $^{129}$I and $^{127}$I during the entire sampling period in the spring of 2011 and winter of 2014 are similar. This indicates that NSI is relatively stable and less affected by the source and pathways of air masses than WSI. NaOH leaching is often used to extract organic substance in fractionation analysis of soil and sediment (Englund et al., 2010a; Hou et al., 2003) based on the high solubility of organic matter, such as humic substances, as well as on nucleophilic substitution and decomposition of organic matter. Organic compounds are important contributors to aerosols, such as lipidic, saccharides and proteinaceous materials (O’Dowd et al., 2004; Quinn et al., 2014). A significant portion of atmospheric aerosols was
found to be humic-like substances (HULIS), named for their strong structural similarity to humic and fulvic acids (Havers et al., 1998). Most of these organic compounds are water-soluble, but a significant water-insoluble fraction of the HULIS material is hydrophobic and acidic in character, and can be dissolved in an alkaline solvent, like NaOH (Feczko et al., 2007; Havers et al., 1998). On the other hand, the hydroxide anion can also initiate a nucleophilic substitution or elimination of iodine-containing organic compounds, which releases iodine from the organic substances. Therefore, NaOH-soluble iodine is suggested to be likely associated with organic substances in aerosols.

RII in aerosols has received less attention than WSI (Gilfedder et al., 2010; Tsukada et al., 1987). The early report on water-insoluble iodine fraction in aerosol particles showed that water-insoluble iodine accounted for 27-58% of total iodine bound in aerosols from Tokyo, Japan, collected in 1983-84 (Tsukada et al., 1987). Another similar result of 17-53% of total iodine as insoluble species was reported for aerosols from the west coast of Ireland in 2007, and from a ship transect from China to Antarctica in 2005-2006 (Gilfedder et al., 2010). Taking the alkaline-leachable iodine in aerosols into account, these results are very consistent with our observations from Risø (Fig. 5). The residual insoluble $^{129}$I fractions were reported to be 4-23% of total $^{129}$I in Fukushima-derived aerosol particles (Xu et al., 2015), less than the proportion in the aerosols collected in Denmark. This discrepancy might reveal the different formation processes of RII species for the NRPs-derived $^{129}$I in this study as compared to those from Fukushima. A significant difference is the timing of the $^{129}$I releases. NRPs have releasing $^{129}$I into the European environment for about 50 years, allowing $^{129}$I to follow geochemical pathways on timescales ranging from days to decades. In contrast, RII in Fukushima-derived aerosols had only days to react with their environment prior to sampling, 15-22 March, 2011.

The origin of the RII fraction is not well understood at present. It's possible that part of the RII fraction is derived from suspended soil particles (Xu et al., 2013). It has been demonstrated that iodine can be associated with metal oxide (notably iron and manganese). A relatively large fraction of iodine (about 38%) in soil and sediment has been observed in Fe/Mn oxides associated form (Hou et al., 2003). Our data show that RII fraction is as high as
67% of total aerosol iodine. In addition to metal oxides associated iodine, speciation analysis of $^{129}$I in soil shows that residual iodine after leaching with NaOH and weak acid accounts for less than 10% of the total, and this component is assumed to be associated with minerals (Hou et al., 2003; Qiao et al., 2012). As stated above, the aerosols collected in early April 2011 and winter of 2014 were mainly marine-derived aerosols with relatively higher RII percentage than those continental-derived aerosols (Fig. 5). This might be attributed that some marine components facilitate the association of gaseous iodine with oxides and minerals.

### 4.3 Fukushima-derived $^{129}$I signal in the European atmosphere

The Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear power plant accident on March 11, 2011 released radioiodine to the atmosphere, primarily as $^{131}$I and $^{129}$I, which was mainly transported eastwards by prevailing westerly winds. Based on $^{129}$I levels in the Fukushima offshore seawater, the released $^{129}$I from this accident was estimated to be 1.2 kg (Hou et al., 2013). Radioactive iodine in the air dispersed across the Pacific Ocean, American continent and Atlantic Ocean, and some fraction arrived in the European continent after 1-2 weeks (Clemenza et al., 2012; Leon et al., 2011; Manolopoulou et al., 2011; Pittauerová et al., 2011). Anthropogenic $^{129}$I has been reported from a variety of environmental samples in Japan, including soil, seawater, precipitation and aerosols (Buesseler et al., 2012; Hou et al., 2013; Muramatsu et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2015). The level of $^{129}$I in aerosols collected in Tsukuba, about 170 km from the Fukushima Dai-ichi NPP, reached $5 \times 10^8$ atoms m$^{-3}$ (Xu et al. 2015). While the Fukushima-derived $^{129}$I in environmental samples outside of Japan was less well-characterized presented outside of Japan, $^{131}$I in the aerosol samples collected at Risø, Denmark, 10 days after the Fukushima accident have been observed (Fig. 7) (Nielsen et al., 2011). The radioactivity of $^{131}$I reached the peak on 24-30 March 2011 (763 μBq m$^{-3}$ in aerosol), then fell to below detection limits for $^{131}$I in the middle of May. Based on the measured $^{131}$I radioactivity in the aerosol samples and an $^{129}$I/$^{131}$I atomic ratio of 16.0 ± 2.2 deduced from the aerosol samples collected at Tsukuba, Japan shortly after the Fukushima accident (Xu et al., 2015), the Fukushima-derived $^{129}$I signal in Denmark can be reconstructed (Fig. 7). The highest $^{129}$I concentration in the aerosols in Denmark from the Fukushima


accident is estimated to be $6.3 \times 10^4$ atoms m$^{-3}$ on 30-31 March 2011, which accounts for less than ~6% of total $^{129}$I ($1.1-9.7 \times 10^6$ atoms m$^{-3}$) in Denmark when the Fukushima $^{131}$I peak was measured. Considering the rapid decline of $^{129}$I levels in aerosols and precipitation in Japan to nearly pre-accident levels within two years (Xu et al., 2013), the contribution of Fukushima-derived $^{129}$I to the $^{129}$I level and inventory in the Europe is now negligible in comparison to NRPs-derived $^{129}$I in the European atmosphere.

5 Conclusions

Based on the analytical results on speciation analysis of $^{129}$I and $^{127}$I in aerosols collected in Denmark immediately after the Fukushima accident and the discussion above, the following conclusions can be drawn:

1) Iodide is the dominant species (>97%) of the water-soluble iodine in the aerosols of this study, its formation might be related to atmospheric reductants, such as reductive SO$_2$ and disulfites. The most dominant species of iodine in aerosols are NSI and RII, accounting for up to 80% of total iodine, NSI is predominantly bound to organic matter, such as HULIS, while RII might be associated with inorganic components, such as metal oxides.

2) Westerly-dominated air masses from the North Sea Atlantic Ocean contribute to high $^{129}$I concentrations compared to easterly air masses from the northeastern European continent. $^{129}$I in aerosols in Denmark is primarily derived from the European nuclear reprocessing plants, which includes secondary emission of $^{129}$I from surface seawater in the North Sea, Norwegian coastal water and Kattegat, which has been contaminated by marine discharges of $^{129}$I from NRPs.

3) Fukushima-derived $^{129}$I contributed less than ~6% to the total $^{129}$I in the European atmosphere immediately after the Fukushima accident, a negligible quantity when compared to $^{129}$I levels released from Sellafield and La Hague nuclear reprocessing plants.

4) Water-insoluble iodine (NSI and RII) in aerosols that is primarily associated with organic compounds is crucial for investigation of geochemical cycling of iodine in the atmosphere.
due to its large fraction. This requires more intensive studies to extend our observations on both temporal and spatial scales.
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Table 1. Sampling information of aerosols collected at Risø, Denmark in 2011 and 2014. Data of $^{131}$I and $^{210}$Pb in the aerosol samples are adopted from the DTU Nutech report (Nielsen et al., 2011). The reference time was the mid-point of the sampling period, and analytical uncertainties were 5% for $^{131}$I, and within 1% for $^{210}$Pb.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No</th>
<th>Sampling date</th>
<th>Air flux, m$^3$</th>
<th>Air flux, m$^3$ h$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Weight, g</th>
<th>$^{131}$I, μBq m$^{-3}$</th>
<th>$^{210}$Pb, μBq m$^{-3}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AE11-1</td>
<td>31$^{st}$ Mar-4$^{th}$ Apr, 2011</td>
<td>88833</td>
<td>2757</td>
<td>72.5</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-2</td>
<td>4-7$^{th}$ Apr, 2011</td>
<td>64339</td>
<td>2751</td>
<td>79.2</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-3</td>
<td>7-11$^{th}$ Apr, 2011</td>
<td>55911</td>
<td>1744</td>
<td>79.5</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-4</td>
<td>11-14$^{th}$ Apr, 2011</td>
<td>27083</td>
<td>1096</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-5</td>
<td>14-18$^{th}$ Apr, 2011</td>
<td>48317</td>
<td>1505</td>
<td>77.9</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-6</td>
<td>18-26$^{th}$ Apr, 2011</td>
<td>101400</td>
<td>1593</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-7</td>
<td>26$^{th}$ Apr-2$^{nd}$ May, 2011</td>
<td>54600</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>77.7</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE14-1</td>
<td>8-15$^{th}$ Dec, 2014</td>
<td>37917</td>
<td>2727</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>&lt; D.L.</td>
<td>54.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Analytical results of chemical species of $^{127}$I and $^{129}$I in aerosols collected from Risø, Denmark during spring 2011 and winter 2014.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TI</th>
<th>WSI</th>
<th>Iodate</th>
<th>Iodide</th>
<th>NSI</th>
<th>RII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{127}$I concentration, ng m$^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-1</td>
<td>1.187 ± 0.062</td>
<td>0.152 ± 0.002</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.158 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.340 ± 0.019</td>
<td>0.606 ± 0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-2</td>
<td>1.797 ± 0.116</td>
<td>0.141 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.022 ± 0.012</td>
<td>0.119 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.556 ± 0.037</td>
<td>0.977 ± 0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-3</td>
<td>1.927 ± 0.115</td>
<td>0.264 ± 0.004</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.259 ± 0.013</td>
<td>0.813 ± 0.027</td>
<td>0.983 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-4</td>
<td>2.480 ± 0.129</td>
<td>0.258 ± 0.013</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.276 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.825 ± 0.049</td>
<td>1.664 ± 0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-5</td>
<td>2.027 ± 0.104</td>
<td>0.221 ± 0.011</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.237 ± 0.012</td>
<td>0.638 ± 0.036</td>
<td>1.308 ± 0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-6</td>
<td>1.506 ± 0.112</td>
<td>0.305 ± 0.007</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.327 ± 0.017</td>
<td>0.624 ± 0.033</td>
<td>0.585 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-7</td>
<td>1.041 ± 0.055</td>
<td>0.316 ± 0.019</td>
<td>0.033 ± 0.024</td>
<td>0.283 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.377 ± 0.027</td>
<td>0.343 ± 0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE14-1</td>
<td>2.356 ± 0.127</td>
<td>0.618 ± 0.019</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.739 ± 0.039</td>
<td>0.929 ± 0.057</td>
<td>0.802 ± 0.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{129}$I concentration, $\times 10^5$ atoms m$^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-1</td>
<td>28.57 ± 1.11</td>
<td>3.07 ± 0.08</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.60 ± 0.43</td>
<td>8.33 ± 0.84</td>
<td>14.14 ± 0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-2</td>
<td>72.98 ± 5.64</td>
<td>4.72 ± 0.41</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.63 ± 0.27</td>
<td>20.55 ± 1.43</td>
<td>39.94 ± 1.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-3</td>
<td>25.60 ± 0.98</td>
<td>3.60 ± 0.15</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.11 ± 0.72</td>
<td>10.80 ± 0.40</td>
<td>13.49 ± 2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-4</td>
<td>47.27 ± 1.55</td>
<td>4.78 ± 0.23</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.27 ± 0.57</td>
<td>13.43 ± 1.24</td>
<td>30.26 ± 4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-5</td>
<td>43.81 ± 1.28</td>
<td>5.55 ± 0.27</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>5.91 ± 0.74</td>
<td>12.58 ± 0.46</td>
<td>27.51 ± 1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-6</td>
<td>12.73 ± 0.42</td>
<td>3.26 ± 0.10</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>3.26 ± 0.30</td>
<td>4.60 ± 0.49</td>
<td>5.91 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-7</td>
<td>11.31 ± 0.43</td>
<td>3.34 ± 0.11</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>4.08 ± 0.24</td>
<td>3.36 ± 0.25</td>
<td>4.27 ± 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE14-1</td>
<td>97.00 ± 3.01</td>
<td>26.85 ± 0.65</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>30.12 ± 1.68</td>
<td>34.74 ± 0.80</td>
<td>39.01 ± 1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{129}$I/$^{127}$I atomic ratio, $\times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-1</td>
<td>50.78 ± 3.31</td>
<td>42.73 ± 1.28</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>48.04 ± 6.23</td>
<td>51.70 ± 5.98</td>
<td>49.19 ± 4.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-2</td>
<td>85.70 ± 8.63</td>
<td>70.73 ± 8.06</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>81.93 ± 6.36</td>
<td>78.03 ± 7.50</td>
<td>86.21 ± 5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-3</td>
<td>28.03 ± 1.99</td>
<td>28.82 ± 1.26</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>33.49 ± 6.13</td>
<td>28.04 ± 1.40</td>
<td>28.94 ± 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-4</td>
<td>40.21 ± 2.48</td>
<td>39.11 ± 2.72</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>40.29 ± 4.84</td>
<td>34.34 ± 3.78</td>
<td>38.37 ± 5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-5</td>
<td>45.60 ± 2.70</td>
<td>52.85 ± 3.66</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>52.50 ± 7.16</td>
<td>41.58 ± 2.79</td>
<td>44.36 ± 3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-6</td>
<td>17.84 ± 1.46</td>
<td>22.59 ± 0.89</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>21.08 ± 2.24</td>
<td>15.56 ± 1.85</td>
<td>21.32 ± 1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE11-7</td>
<td>22.92 ± 1.48</td>
<td>22.30 ± 1.55</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>30.43 ± 2.38</td>
<td>18.81 ± 1.93</td>
<td>26.28 ± 4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AE14-1</td>
<td>86.84 ± 5.40</td>
<td>91.70 ± 3.55</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>86.03 ± 6.63</td>
<td>78.84 ± 5.20</td>
<td>102.63 ± 6.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Analytical uncertainties (1σ). b. The values are for reference. c. Data from Zhang et al., 2015.
### Table 3. Possible pathways of formation of iodide by reduction of sulfur compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Reactions</th>
<th>Equations</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>DMS + OH → SO₂</td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(Chatfield and Crutzen 1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DMS + NO₃ → SO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas/Aerosol</td>
<td>SO₂ + H₂O → HSO₃⁻</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SO₂ + H₂O → SO₃²⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas-Aerosol</td>
<td>I + HSO₃⁻ → I⁻ + SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interface</td>
<td>I + SO₃²⁻ → I⁻ + SO₄²⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerosol</td>
<td>HOI + HSO₃⁻ / SO₃²⁻ → I⁻ + SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>(4)</td>
<td>(Saiz-Lopez et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOI + SO₃²⁻ → I⁻ + SO₄²⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOI₂ + HSO₃⁻ / SO₃²⁻ → I⁻ + SO₄²⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HOI₂ + SO₃²⁻ → I⁻ + SO₄²⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Map showing the sampling site (red dot in the inset) at Risø, Denmark for aerosol collection. The two nuclear reprocessing plants (radioactivity labels), Sellafield (United Kingdom) and La Hague (France) have released a large quantity of $^{129}$I to the marine and atmospheric environment. This anthropogenic $^{129}$I discharged to marine systems has been transported to the North Sea, Baltic Sea, Norwegian Sea, and beyond, by ocean currents (red lines). Yellow and blue arrows show the westerly wind and easterly wind, respectively.
Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the analytical procedure for the determination of $^{127}$I and $^{129}$I species in aerosols (adapted from Zhang et al., 2015). TI for total iodine, WSI for water-soluble iodine, NSI for NaOH-soluble iodine and RII for residual-insoluble iodine.
Figure 3. Variation of $^{127}$I concentrations (a), $^{129}$I concentrations (b), $^{129}$I/$^{127}$I ratios in aerosols (c), wind direction (d), wind speed (e) and temperature (f) during the sampling period. The historical meteorological data, including temperature, wind direction and wind speed were obtained from the observation station of Hangarvej in Roskilde, Denmark (55.594°N 12.128°E) based on 41 m ASL (Above sea level) (Weather Wunderground webpage, 2015). Dot red lines are used to separate the episode of westerly wind and easterly wind in spring 2011.
Figure 4. Concentrations of iodine species in the aerosol samples for $^{127}\text{I}$ (a) and $^{129}\text{I}$ (b), indicating that NSI and RII are major iodine species and that iodide is the dominant fraction of water-soluble iodine. Dot lines are same as those in Figure 3.
Figure 5. Distribution of iodine species in the aerosol samples for $^{127}$I (a) and $^{129}$I (b), compared with the measured concentrations of total $^{127}$I and $^{129}$I in bulk aerosols. Dot lines are same as those in Figure 3.
Figure 6. The 72 h (3 days) air mass back trajectories for starting altitudes of 0 m above ground level (AGL) calculated from the FNL database of the National Ocean and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) and simulated by using the Hybrid Single-Particle Langrangian Integrated Trajectory (HY-SPLIT) model. **31 March-4 April (a)**, **4-7 April 2011 (left b)**, **14-18 April (c)** and **21-25 April 2011 (right d)**.
Figure 7. $^{131}$I radioactivity (red), $^{129}$I concentrations (blue) in aerosols from Risø, Denmark after the Fukushima accident (Nielsen et al., 2011). The Fukushima-derived $^{129}$I concentrations are calculated based on $^{129}$I/$^{131}$I atomic ratio of 16.0±2.2 deduced from Fukushima-affected aerosol samples (Xu et al., 2015).