Dear Marc von Hobe,

Thank you for your constructive comments. We changed the manuscript accordingly, although we do not think it is necessary to change the computation of tropopause height – further clarification on this point is provided below and in a modification to the paper, which should hopefully resolve your concern.

Editor Decision: Reconsider after minor revisions (Editor review) (22 Feb 2016) by Dr Marc von Hobe

Comments to the Author:

Dear authors,
	hank you for taking the two reviewers suggestions into account and revising the manuscript accordingly. There are a few points described below where I would like you to make some further amendments. Should be quite straight-forward and hopefully not take too long.

Best regards,
Marc von Hobe

Further suggested revisions:
------------------------------------

In the last paragraph of Section 5, the sentence
"The overestimation of low amounts can be explained because the a priori SO2 amount
used by IASI retrieval is 0.5 DU and in case of no information content from the measurements (as happen when there is no detectable SO2) the output of an optimal estimation retrieval is the retrieval is the a priori value." is grammatically incorrect and I’m not exactly sure what you want to say. Please revise!

Changed into:
"The overestimation of low amounts can be explained by the a priori \chem{SO_2} amount, which is 0.5 DU. If there is little information content from the measurements (as is the case when there is less than 0.5 DU), the output of an optimal estimation retrieval tends to the a priori value."

With respect to the estimation of tropopause height, if I understand your response to reviewer 1 and the paragraph in Section 6 correctly, you determine the WMO TP height in terms of pressure altitude and convert that to geometric height using the hydrostatic equation? I suggest to use the local geopotential height to convert pressure altitude to geometric altitude. Simple use of the hydrostatic equation can lead to errors of up to 2 km, especially in the tropics.

This in detail is what we are doing:

(1) we load ECMWF data, interpolate it in time and space in order to have, for every IASI pixel: temperature \( t(\text{lev}) \), water vapor \( w(\text{lev}) \) and pressure \( p(\text{lev}) \) of the ECMWF model levels

(2) we integrate the hydrostatic equation, to estimate \( z(\text{lev}) \) using \( p(\text{lev}) \), \( t(\text{lev}) \), and pixel latitude (to take into account variation of \( g \) with latitude)

(3) \( t(\text{lev}) \) and \( z(\text{lev}) \) are used with the WMO definition to estimate the tropopause for each pixel.
from the SO2 altitude side:
- we feed p(lev), t(lev) and w(lev) into the SO2 retrieval algorithm (that works in pressure), we retrieve the pressure of the SO2 plume 'pso2'
- we convert this pressure 'pso2' into altitude 'zso2', in km, interpolating with p(lev) and z(lev)

It may be possible that we are affect by some bias in the convective region but the important part is that the same approach is used to convert the retrieved SO2 pressure into height and to compute the tropopause height, so in case of a bias it will be the same in both so2 and tropopause height.

Old text:
The tropopause heights have been computed from ECMWF temperature and pressure profiles, using the lowest layer with a lapse rate below 2 K km−1 and lapse rate of 2 K km−1 in all layers within 2 km above this, for every pixel of the volcanic plume.

Now changed with:
The tropopause heights have been computed for every pixel of the volcanic plume using ECMWF temperature and pressure profiles. The hydostatic equation is used to obtain height from these. The tropopause is defined as the lowest layer with a lapse rate below 2 K km−1 and lapse rate of 2 K km−1 in all layers within 2 km above this. (The hydrostatic equation is also used to convert the retrieved SO2 pressure into height, so any error in the pressure / height conversion will be common to both plume and tropopause heights.)

I find figure 2 in your author response relating plume height to VEI extremely useful and interesting, and recommend including it in the paper. Please add a reference for the source of the VEI values, preferably a commone one (e.g. Smithsonian global volcanism programme). Ideally, you would even add a note that the VEI data are not always unambiguous, and that different values are present in the literature. This is particularly important for your only VEI 5 volcano, Puhehue, for which values of 4 and 3 are also found (e.g. VEI 3 in Dzierma and Wehrmann, And. Geol., 39, 380–393, 2012).

We added this figure and a paragraph at the end of section 6.
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Abstract

Sulphur dioxide (SO$_2$) is an important atmospheric constituent that plays a crucial role in many atmospheric processes. Volcanic eruptions are a significant source of atmospheric SO$_2$ and its effects and lifetime depend on the SO$_2$ injection altitude. The Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) on the METOP satellite can be used to study volcanic emission of SO$_2$ using high-spectral resolution measurements from 1000 to 1200 cm$^{-1}$ and from 1300 to 1410 cm$^{-1}$ (the 7.3 and 8.7 µm SO$_2$ bands) returning both SO$_2$ amount and altitude data. The scheme described in Carboni et al. (2012) has been applied to measure volcanic SO$_2$ amount and altitude for fourteen explosive eruptions from 2008 to 2012. The work includes a comparison with independent measurements: (i) the SO$_2$ column amounts from the 2010 Eyjafjallajökull plumes have been compared with Brewer ground measurements over Europe; (ii) the SO$_2$ plumes heights, for the 2010 Eyjafjallajökull and 2011 Grimsvötn eruptions, have been compared with CALIPSO backscatter profiles. The results of the comparisons show that IASI SO$_2$ measurements are not affected by underlying cloud and are consistent (within the retrieved errors) with the other measurements. The series of analysed eruptions (2008 to 2012) show that the biggest emitter of volcanic SO$_2$ was Nabro, followed by Kasatochi and Grímsvötn. Our observations also show a tendency for volcanic SO$_2$ to reach the level of the tropopause during many of the moderately explosive eruptions observed. For the eruptions observed, this tendency was independent of the maximum amount of SO$_2$ (e.g. 0.2 Tg for Dalafilla compared with 1.6 Tg for Nabro) and of the volcanic explosive index (between 3 and 5).

1 Introduction

Sulphur dioxide (SO$_2$) is an important atmospheric constituent, important in many atmospheric processes (Stevenson et al., 2003; Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998; Schmidt et al., 2012). Volcanic eruptions are a significant source of atmospheric SO$_2$, with its effects and lifetime depending on the SO$_2$ injection altitude. In the troposphere these include acidifi-
cation of rainfall, modification of cloud formation and impacts on air quality and vegetation (Ebmeier et al., 2014; Delmelle et al., 2002; Delmelle, 2003; Calabrese et al., 2011). In the stratosphere SO₂ oxidises to form a stratospheric H₂SO₄ aerosol that can affect climate for several years (Robock, 2000). Volcanoes contribute about one third of the tropospheric sulphur burden (14 ± 6 Tg S yr⁻¹; Graf et al., 1997; Textor et al., 2003). The annual amount of volcanic SO₂ emitted is both poorly constrained and highly variable. The uncertainty in released SO₂ arises from the stochastic nature of volcanic processes, very little or no surface monitoring of many volcanoes, and from uncertainties in the contribution of volcanic sulphur emitted by quiescent (non-explosive) degassing. The effects of SO₂ in the atmosphere depend not only on the amount released but also the altitude of the plume. Altitude information is important for atmospheric chemistry, as SO₂ reactions and depletion times change with height and atmospheric composition, particularly as a function of water vapour concentration (Kroll et al., 2015; von Glasow, 2010; McGonigle et al., 2004; Mather et al., 2003).

Most volcanic eruptions are accompanied by the release of SO₂ to the atmosphere, and data both on the quantity emitted, and the height at which it is injected into the atmosphere are valuable indicators of the nature of the eruption.

It is important to monitor volcanic SO₂ plumes for air safety (Brenot et al., 2014; Schmidt et al., 2014) as sulphidation of nickel alloys cause rapid degeneration of an aircraft engine (Encinas-Oropesa et al., 2008). SO₂ is also used as a proxy for the presence of volcanic ash, although the co-location of SO₂ and ash depends upon the eruption so this approach is not always reliable for hazard avoidance (Sears et al., 2013). Mitigation strategies to avoid volcanic SO₂ and ash are currently based on ground monitoring and satellite measurements to assess the location and altitude of the proximal volcanic plume, followed by the use of dispersion models to forecast the future position and concentration of the plume (Tupper and Wunderman, 2009; Bonadonna et al., 2012; Flemming and Inness, 2013). The outputs of the models are strongly dependent on the assumed initial plume altitude mainly because of the variability of the wind fields with altitude. The dispersion models may use an ensemble of possible initial altitudes to identify all places where the plume can potentially...
arrive. Hence improving the accuracy of the initial plume altitude reduces the uncertainty in
the location of the transported volcanic SO$_2$.

Limb viewing satellite instruments, such as the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmosphere Sounding (MIPAS) and the Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS), can estimate the
vertical profile of SO$_2$ ([Höpfner et al., 2013; Pumphrey et al., 2014]) in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS), but generally cannot observe in the lower troposphere. Nadir measurements, by both spectrometers and radiometers, have been used to retrieve SO$_2$ column amounts, assuming the altitude of the plume, e.g., the Total Ozone Monitoring Spectrometer (TOMS, [Carn et al., 2003]), the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS, [Watson et al., 2004; Corradini et al., 2009]), the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI, [Krotkov et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2009; Carn et al., 2009]), the Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS, [Prata and Bernardo, 2007]), the Advanced Spaceborne Thermal Emission and Reflection Radiometer (ASTER, [Pugnaghi et al., 2006; Campion et al., 2010]), the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment 2 (GOME-2, [Rix et al., 2012]), and the Spinning Enhanced Visible and Infrared Imager (SEVIRI, [Prata and Kerkmann, 2007]).

Nadir spectrometer measurements in the ultraviolet (OMI, GOME-2) and infrared (AIRS, the Tropospheric Emission Sounder, TES, IASI) can be used to infer information on the SO$_2$ plume altitude. This is based on the fact that the top-of-atmosphere radiance of a plume, with the same amount of SO$_2$ but at another altitude, will have a different spectral shape within the SO$_2$ absorption bands.

UV spectra have been used to retrieve information on SO$_2$ altitude by [Nowlan et al., 2011] using an optimal estimation retrieval applied to GOME-2 measurements. [Yang et al., 2010] used a direct fitting algorithm to retrieve the SO$_2$ amount and altitude from OMI data. Both of these techniques were applied to the 2008 Kasatochi eruption which injected a relatively large amount of SO$_2$ high (circa 12 km) in to the atmosphere. A new scheme to retrieve altitude from GOME-2 has been developed by [Van Gent et al., 2015] and applied to Icelandic eruption case studies ([Koukouli et al., 2014]).

Volcanic SO$_2$ retrievals from satellite data in the thermal infra-red (TIR) part of the spec- trum are based on two regions of SO$_2$ absorption around 7.3 and 8.7 μm. The strongest
SO$_2$ band is at 7.3 µm. This is in a strong water vapour (H$_2$O) absorption band and is not very sensitive to emission from the surface and lower atmosphere. Above the lower atmosphere this band contains information on the vertical profile of SO$_2$. Fortunately differences between the H$_2$O and SO$_2$ emission spectra allow the signals from the two gases to be decoupled in high resolution measurements. The 8.7 µm absorption feature is in an atmospheric window so it contains information on SO$_2$ from throughout the column. In Clerbaux et al. (2008) the SO$_2$ absorbing feature around 8.7 µm was used to retrieve the total SO$_2$ amount and profile from TES data by exploiting this instrument’s ability to resolve the change in SO$_2$ line-width with pressure. The 7.3 µm spectral range has been used by Clarisse et al. (2014) in a fast retrieval of SO$_2$ altitude and amount. Their approach, based on Walker et al. (2012), assumes that the SO$_2$ is located at different altitudes, choosing the altitude that gives the best spectral fit.

In this paper, the Carboni et al. (2012) retrieval scheme (hereafter C12) has been applied to study the vertical distribution of SO$_2$ for the major eruptions during the period 2008–2012 and for some minor low tropospheric eruptions such as an Etna lava fountain.

The aims of this paper are to test the retrieved SO$_2$ amount and altitude against other datasets (we used CALIPSO backscattering profile to test the altitudes and Brewer ground measurements for the column amounts) and to study the volcanic plumes from different eruption types and in different locations.

2 IASI data

There are currently IASI sensors on-board the European weather satellites METOP-A and METOP-B. METOP-A has been operating since October 2006 and was the first of three satellites scheduled to operate for a total of 14 years. METOP-B has been operating since September 2012. The satellites cross the Equator on the descending node at a local time of around 9:30 a.m.

IASI is a Fourier transform spectrometer covering the spectral range 645–2760 cm$^{-1}$ (3.62 to 15.5 µm) with spectral sampling of 0.25 cm$^{-1}$ and an apodized spectral resolution
of $0.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ \cite{Blumstein2004}. It has a nominal radiometric noise of 0.1-0.3K in the SO$_2$ spectral range considered, according to Hilton et al. \cite{Hilton2012}.

The field-of-view (FOV) consists of four circular footprints of 12 km diameter (at nadir) inside a square of $50 \times 50$ km, step-scanned across track (with 30 steps). The swath is $2100$ km wide and the instrument can nominally achieve global coverage in twelve hours.

Observations are co-located with the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR), providing complementary visible/near infrared measurements. IASI carries out nadir observation of the Earth simultaneously with the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment (GOME-2) also on-board METOP. GOME-2 is a UV spectrometer measuring SO$_2$ in the UV absorption band and is used for both Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) \cite{Rix2012} and optimal estimation retrievals \cite{Nowlan2011} of SO$_2$. More information about IASI can be found in Clerbaux et al. \cite{Clerbaux2009}. The IASI level 1C data (geolocated with apodized spectra) used here were obtained from both the British Atmospheric Data Centre (BADC) archive and EUMETSAT Unified Meteorological Archive Facility (UMARF) archive.

3 SO$_2$ retrieval scheme

The retrieval scheme follows C12 \cite{Carboni2012} where the SO$_2$ concentration is parametrised as a Gaussian profile in pressure (with 100 mb spread). Using IASI measurements from 1000 to 1200 cm$^{-1}$ and from 1300 to 1410 cm$^{-1}$, an optimal estimation retrieval \cite{Rodgers2000} is employed to estimate the SO$_2$ column amount, the height and spread of the SO$_2$ profile, and the surface skin temperature.

The forward model, based on Radiative Transfer model for TOVS (RTTOV) \cite{Saunders1999} but extended to include SO$_2$ explicitly, uses ECMWF temperatures interpolated to the measurement time and location. The retrieval technique uses an error covariance matrix, based on a climatology of differences between the IASI measurements and SO$_2$-free forward modelled spectra. Any differences not related to SO$_2$ between IASI spectra and those simulated by a forward model are included in the covariance matrix. Note that
the SO$_2$ retrieval is not affected by underlying cloud. If the SO$_2$ is within or below an ash or cloud layer its signal will be masked and the retrieval will underestimate the SO$_2$ amount, in the case of ash this is indicated by a cost function value greater than two.

The retrieval is performed for every pixel where the SO$_2$ detection result is positive (Walker et al., 2011, 2012). The scheme iteratively fits the forward model (simulations) with the measurements, to seek a minimum of a cost function. The solution, when the measurements do not contain enough information to retrieve all the parameters in the state vector, is strongly affected by the assumed a priori values. When the SO$_2$ amount decreases, the spectral information decreases, and it is not possible to retrieve both SO$_2$ amount and altitude. This often happens at the edge of the plume. In this work we use $400 \pm 500$ mb as the a priori value for plume altitude and $0.5 \pm 100$ DU for column amount. In addition, only quality controlled pixels are considered; these are values where the minimisation routine converges within 10 iterations, the SO$_2$ amount is positive, the plume pressure is below 1000 mb and the cost function is less then 10.

Rigorous error propagation, including the incorporation of forward model and forward model parameter error, is built into the system, providing quality control and error estimates on the retrieved state. Retrieved uncertainties increase with decreasing altitude, nevertheless it is possible to retrieve information in the lower troposphere and monitor volcanic degassing. In the case of two or multiple SO$_2$ layers the forward model assumption of a single Gaussian layer is a source of error (and this error is not included in the pixel by pixel error estimate). In this case the retrieved altitude is an effective altitude between the two (or more) plume layers; in particular the altitude will be the one that is radiatively closer to the measured spectra and the altitude will be the radiatively equivalent altitude.

The altitude of the SO$_2$ plume strongly modulates the retrieval error as the contrast between plume temperature and surface temperature is a critical factor. The error in SO$_2$ amount decreases with an increase in plume altitude. Typical uncertainties are 2 DU for a plume centred at 1.5 km and less then 1 DU for plumes above 3 km.

When using the result from this setting of the retrieval scheme the following caveats should be considered:
1. The retrieval is valid for SO$_2$ column amounts less than 100 DU (limit used in the computation of RTTOV coefficients) and altitudes less than 20 km (due to the used Gaussian profile with 100 mb spread). For higher eruptions one should use a thinner Gaussian profile, for column amounts bigger then 100 DU new RTTOV coefficients will be needed. At present both of these conditions produce results that do not pass the quality control.

2. Quality control and orbit gaps can produce artefacts (see Sect. 6).

3. Care should be taken when using the altitude to infer if a plume is above or below the tropopause. It is therefore desirable to combine or confirm these measurements using other measurements. This is because there are altitudes with the same temperature above and below the tropopause, and the SO$_2$ signal could be similar. Nevertheless, the IASI spectrum contains altitude information from the overlapping of SO$_2$ with other gas absorption.

4. The global annual mean variability between real atmospheric profiles and ECMWF profiles is included in the error covariance matrix, and then propagated into the retrieval errors. However we cannot exclude some extreme events where the difference between ECMWF profiles and the real profile is at the tail of the annual global statistical distribution. In this case, local and seasonal covariance matrices could be used. For the retrievals presented here a global covariance matrix has been used, computed using days from all four seasons.

5. Volcanoes also emit water vapour. If this emission is larger than the water vapour variability considered in the error covariance matrix, the results could be affected by errors that are not included in the output errors.

Comparisons with the Universite libre de Bruxelles (ULB) IASI SO$_2$ dataset, as well as UV-Vis instruments such as GOME2/MetOp-A and OMI/Aura, have been performed and are cited in the relevant eruptions sections. We simply note here that for the Grímsvötn
eruption our data were compared to the ULB IASI/MetOp-A SO$_2$ and the Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy (BIRA-IASB) GOME2/MetOp-A SO$_2$ retrievals (Koukouli et al., 2014). The Etna continuous outflow measurement were compared with Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV) MODIS/Terra, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory (RAL) MODIS/Terra, ULB IASI/METOP-A, German Aerospace Center (DLR) GOME2/MetOpA and ground-based Flame network measurements (Spinetti et al., 2015) and finally the data from the Eyjafjallajökull eruptions, with the DLR GOME2/MetOpA, the BIRA OMI/Aura and the AIRS data (Carboni et al., 2012; Koukouli et al., 2014). More recently data for the Bárðarbunga eruption, were compared with the BIRA-IASB OMI/Aura data (Schmidt et al., 2015).

4 Altitude comparison with CALIOP

Vertical profiles of aerosol and clouds are provided by NASA’s Cloud Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization instrument (CALIOP, Winker et al., 2009) carried on the CALIPSO satellite. CALIOP’s vertical/horizontal resolution is 0.06/1.0 km at altitudes between 8.2 and 20.2 km. CALIPSO is part of the A-train with an equatorial overpass time of ∼13:30. CALIOP and IASI have coincident measurements around ±70° latitude. Moving from this latitude towards the equator causes the time difference between the two measurements to increase.

CALIOP backscatter profiles have been averaged (to 3 km along-track, 250 m vertical) and CALIOP observations of volcanic plumes have been identified using SEVIRI false colour images based on the infrared channel at 8.7, 11 and 12 µm (Thomas and Siddans, 2015). CALIOP is sensitive to aerosol and water droplets that scatter sunlight; in the case of volcanic eruptions these aerosols are H$_2$SO$_4$ and ash. SEVIRI is sensitive to both ash and SO$_2$ since its channel around 8.7 µm is within the SO$_2$ absorption band.

The criteria used to define a coincidence between CALIOP and IASI pixels were: a distance of < 100 km and a time difference of < 2 h. With these relatively strict criteria, the selected coincident data are only from the Icelandic Eyjafallajökull and Grimsvötn eruptions.
For the other eruptions considered (Puyehue-Cordón Caulle, June 2011; Nabro, June 2011; Soufrière Hills, February 2010) the differences in acquisition time between the CALIOP and IASI measurements are more than 2 h. It may be possible to analyse these eruptions in the future by using the wind field to account for the movement of the plume with time.

Figure 1 shows the comparison between CALIOP and IASI for the Eyjafjallajökull plume on the 7 May 2010. This is the CALIOP track presented by Thomas and Prata (2011) in which they identify both ash and SO$_2$ plumes in the southern part of the track (less then 55$^\circ$ N) and SO$_2$ only plumes in the northern part of the track (the scattering feature around 5 km between 55–60$^\circ$ N where the scattering signal is possibly from H$_2$SO$_4$ aerosol resulting from the oxidation of volcanic SO$_2$).

Ash and SO$_2$ are not necessarily advected together (Thomas and Prata, 2011; Sears et al., 2013), but in these case studies (Figs. 1–4), there is good agreement between IASI and the backscattering features within the IASI error bars. This may be due to the presence of H$_2$SO$_4$ associated with the SO$_2$ plume. An important aspect to note is that in nearly all of the coincidences for the Eyjafallajökull case (with the exception of a few pixels on 14 May) the volcanic plume is above a lower meteorological cloud. This is identifiable as the scattering layer at around 1 km height in Fig. 1 and between 1 and 4 km in Figs. 2 and 3. This comparison against CALIOP in the presence of water cloud confirms that the retrieval is not affected by underlying cloud. This is because the variability that such cloud introduces is represented by the error covariance matrix which includes radiance differences between the clear forward model and cloudy IASI spectra. An accurate retrieval of altitude is also an important factor for the estimate of SO$_2$ amount, this is because the thermal SO$_2$ signal can be up to one order of magnitude different between different altitudes. A good comparison of altitude with CALIPSO then gives confidence in both altitude and column amount.

Figure 4 shows the Grimsvötn plume at the beginning of the eruption. There is separation between the higher part of the plume (dominated by SO$_2$) moving higher and spreading north, and the lower part of the plume (dominated by ash, but still with some SO$_2$ associated with it) moving south-west. It was this part of the plume that moved over Europe in the following days and caused airspace closure.
5 Comparison with Brewer ground data

During the Eyjafjallajökull eruption in April and May 2010, the volcanic plume overpassed several European ground measurement stations equipped with Brewer instruments. Brewer instruments are UV spectrophotometers, principally dedicated to measuring the total ozone column but also capable of determining total column $SO_2$ (Kerr et al., 1981). Extraction of the $SO_2$ signal from the UV measurements is performed as a second step after the ozone quantity retrieval due to the much lower $SO_2$ absorption feature strength (De Muer and De Backer, 1992). Depending on the amount of atmospheric $SO_2$ affecting the instruments, the Brewer spectrophotometer has been shown to be sensitive both to anthropogenic $SO_2$ loading in the lower troposphere (Zerefos et al., 2000) as well as to an overpass of a volcanic plume, which produces a strong $SO_2$ signal within the ozone absorption bands. These ground-based measurements have lately been used in Rix et al. (2012) in a comparison with the GOME-2/METOP-A $SO_2$ retrievals and in the validation work of the European Space Agency, ESA, projects (SMASH and SACS2\(^1\)) on the 2010 and 2011 Icelandic eruptions (Koukouli et al., 2014). The World Ozone and Ultraviolet Radiation Data Centre (WOUDC) is an active archive facility that includes quality-assured Brewer ground-based $O_3$ measurements and also provides $SO_2$ daily averages. The dataset for April and May 2010 was downloaded from the WOUDC archive (http://www.woudc.org/) for all European sites with Brewer instruments.

The reported $SO_2$ amount in non-volcanic conditions (e.g. over Europe before 15 April 2010) from Brewer stations varies considerably which can mostly be attributed to the small signal-to-noise ratio of the $SO_2$ signal compared to the ozone signal. This variability may also be due to insufficient reported data quality control with respect to $SO_2$ retrieval, since most stations focus on the quality of the ozone measurements and not their by-products. Negative values can also be present in the daily average datasets, a result of the nominal Brewer algorithm’s inability to resolve small atmospheric $SO_2$ amounts. Therefore, only

\(^{1}\)“Study on an End-to-End system for volcanic ash plume monitoring and prediction” (SMASH) and “Support to aviation control service 2” (SMASH2).
a subset of the available Brewer sites in the WOUDC database were selected for this study. Stations were not selected if they reported a majority of negative values (such as Reading, UK; La Coruna, Spain, and so on) for the two months considered (April and May 2010), or had negative values less than $-1$ DU (such as Madrid, Spain; Poprad-Ganovce, Slovakia). These negative values point to the small amount of the volcanic gas reaching the specific locations. Despite recording several negative values, the Valencia, Spain, site was considered since it was presented in [Rix et al. (2012)], after checking that the measurements coincident with the presence of the IASI plume were statistically significantly larger than the average background measurements.

All the positive values of the selected ground stations (listed in Fig. 5) have been compared with the IASI measurements of the SO$_2$ plume. The SO$_2$ estimates available from WOUDC are daily averages. In order to compare these datasets with the IASI observations, all the satellite pixels of the morning and evening orbits within a 200 km radius from the Brewer site have been averaged. The choice of radius was made since at an average wind speed of $6$ m s$^{-1}$ an air parcel will travel 250 km in 12 h, so with this spatial criteria we are including in the satellite averaging all pixels that might be overpassing the Brewer location within a temporal frame of 12 h (daytime). At the same time, the averaged IASI error and standard deviation have been computed. The results are presented in the scatter plot in Fig. 5. The linear fit is computed considering the IASI average error and a fixed error of 0.5 DU for the Brewer measurements. Note that the variability of the IASI SO$_2$ amount within 200 km is often much bigger than the IASI error bar. A correlation coefficient of 0.76 with root mean square differences of 1.16 DU has been found. This result is encouraging for the IASI retrieval, even if this initial comparison alone cannot be considered a comprehensive validation exercise because (1) it is difficult to assess the quality of Brewer SO$_2$ daily average values; (2) the comparison is restricted to the Eyjafjallajökull eruption, an eruption where the SO$_2$ plume covered a small range of altitudes (between 2 and 5 km) and a relative small loading amount.

From Fig. 5 it can be noted that for loadings up to and around 2 DU both types of observation appear to depict the same atmospheric SO$_2$ loading, which, depending on the
location of the site, might be both of anthropogenic and volcanic provenance. For values
between 2 and 3 DU there appears to be a slight underestimation by IASI of around 0.5 DU,
well within the statistical uncertainty. For higher loadings still, the Brewer instruments report
higher SO₂ values than the satellite.

The overestimation of low amounts can be explained because the a priori SO₂ amount used by the IASI retrieval, which is 0.5 and in case of no information content from the measurements (as happens DU. Operationally, if there is insufficient information from the measurements (as is the case when there is no detectable) less than 0.5 DU), the output of an optimal estimation retrieval tends to the a priori value. One factor that can explain the underestimation (by IASI of SO₂ > 3 DU) is that this IASI retrieval is sensitive to SO₂ values higher than the climatological SO₂ amount considered in the IASI data ensemble used to compute the error covariance matrix. In the case of the Eyjafallajökull eruption the north Atlantic and European region of April and May 2009 were used to compute the error covariance matrix, so the retrieval could be insensitive (biased) to the average values of SO₂ amount in that region, which includes the European background value of SO₂.

6 Total mass and vertical distribution

The SO₂ retrieval algorithm C12 has been applied to several volcanic eruptions in the period 2008–2012. For each eruption the IASI orbits are grouped into twelve hour intervals in order to have two maps, each day, of IASI retrieved SO₂ amount and altitude. IASI pixels of overlapping orbits are averaged together. These maps are gridded into 0.125 ° lat/lon boxes.

An example of maps for the Nabro eruption is shown in Fig. 6. Note that with only METOP-A the IASI data have a gap between the orbits at tropical latitudes, this gap is filled with the METOP-B launch in September 2012. It is also possible to have gaps in SO₂ coverage due to pixels that did not pass quality control. The regridding routine fills gaps by a triangular interpolation of neighbouring pixels.

Figure 6 shows an example of how the regridding routine fills in missing data. However, because of the possible creation of artefacts, the regridding should be used carefully. For
example, in the case of a plume covering the edge of one orbit but with no plume present in the adjacent orbit, regridding can fill up the gaps between the orbits with a bigger plume than would be reasonable to expect. For the case studies presented here, all the regridded IASI maps have been inspected “by eye” to check that no particularly significant artefacts have been introduced.

The total SO$_2$ mass present in the atmosphere is obtained by summing all the values of the regularly gridded map of SO$_2$ amounts. In particular every grid-box column amount is multiplied by the grid-box area to obtain the SO$_2$ mass, and all the grid-box masses are summed together to obtain the total mass of SO$_2$ for each IASI image. The total mass errors are obtained in the same way from the grid box errors, i.e. all the box errors are summed to produce the total mass error. This is an overestimation of the error, but considering the mean squared error as total error will be an underestimation. This is due to the presence of systematic errors within the retrieval. The systematic errors are included within the error estimate but cannot be considered independent of each other. It is more likely that, if present, the systematic error will become a bias in the region and time considered. The time series of these total masses, together with the errors, are presented in Fig. 7 for the studied volcanic eruptions.

Using the IASI dataset it is possible to follow the plume evolution of several volcanic eruptions. Within the eruptions considered Nabro produced a maximum load of 1.6 Tg of SO$_2$, followed by Kasatochi (0.9 Tg), Grímsvötn (0.75 Tg), Copahue (0.72 Tg) and Sarychev (0.60 Tg). Using the time series created by this dataset it might be possible to estimate the SO$_2$ lifetime but this is beyond the scope of this current work.

From the eruptions presented in Fig. 7 there is a wide spread of error-bars, depending on the SO$_2$ amount, altitude and atmospheric conditions. In general, plumes tend to spread and dissipate with time, covering a larger area (i.e. more IASI pixels) with smaller quantities. This produces smaller SO$_2$ signals and consequently bigger retrieval errors. This is the main cause of the general tendency of increasing error bars with time.

It is also possible to estimate the SO$_2$ mass present between two altitude levels. Doing this every 0.5 km between 0 and 20 km gives a vertical distribution of SO$_2$ every $\sim 12$ h.
These results are shown, in chronological order, in Figs. 8–11. Note that the colour-bars for different volcanic eruptions have different values, going from smaller values for Etna and Llaima eruptions to a maximum for Nabro. From these figures, it is possible to observe the temporal evolution of the SO₂ plume as a function of altitude. These plots have to be interpreted carefully and studied together with the maps of the amount and altitude (values and errors) because here the retrieved errors in altitude are not accounted for, and for low amounts of SO₂, error in altitude can be significant. Within the IASI spectra there is enough information to retrieve altitude from small/medium eruptions such as Etna and Nyamuragira/Nyiragongo.

The tropopause heights have been computed from every pixel of the volcanic plume using ECMWF temperature and pressure profiles. The hydostatic equation is used to obtain height from these. The tropopause is defined as the lowest layer with a lapse rate below 2 K km⁻¹ and lapse rate of 2 K km⁻¹ in all layers within 2 km above this, for every pixel of the volcanic plume. (The hydrostatic equation is also used to convert the retrieved SO₂ pressure into height, so any error in the pressure/height conversion will be common to both plume and tropopause heights.)

The three tropopause lines, shown in Figs. 8–11, are the mean, and the mean plus or minus the standard deviations, within the IASI plume pixels in the 12 h maps. The reported values of the tropopause are computed using the location of the volcanic pixels only. Eyjafjallajökull and Puyehue eruptions cover the latitude range between 30-80° N and -20 -60° S respectively, so spanning a large range in tropopause heights. Day to day variation are sometimes large due to small amounts of SO₂ being detected or not from one day to the next (coupled to the wide range of latitudes spanned by the plumes). Within the plume, the tropopause heights can differ by many kilometres especially for plumes that cover a wide latitude range. As an example, the Kasatochi plume has been analysed between 30 and 90° N. Over this range of latitudes the tropopause height varies between 8 and 18 km. Given this caveat the lines of tropopause mean and standard deviation heights are indicative, e.g. a plume that is below the three lines is likely, but not necessary, confined to the
troposphere, a plume that is above the three lines is likely confined in the stratosphere, and SO$_2$ that is between the lines could be in either the troposphere or stratosphere.

In the following Sects. 5.1–5.5 we describe the eruptions shown in Figs. 8–11 grouped by geographic area. A summary of these comments together with other relevant literature and volcanic explosivity index (VEI) estimates from the Smithsonian is given in Table 1.

Three principal factors affect plume height: (i) the energetics of the eruption, (ii) the dynamic effect, (iii) retrieval artefacts in the case of multilayer plumes. Here we attempt to discriminate between these factors as follows:

a. The energetics of the eruption. A crude parameter for eruption intensity and plume height is the “volcanic explosivity index” or VEI. The VEI is a semi-quantitative index of eruption size, which for contemporary eruptions can be used as a ‘threshold’ to determine the likelihood of stratospheric injection [Newhall and Self, 1982]. Eruptions of VEI 4 and larger are expected to have strong plumes and be associated with significant stratospheric injections. Eruptions of VEI 3 are intermediate in size, with eruptive ash plumes that rise 5 – 15 km above the vent. Based on analysis of eruption statistics, 25 – 30% of VEI 3 eruptions may reach the stratosphere [Pyle et al., 1996]. Eruptions of VEI 2 and smaller are not expected to reach the stratosphere. We report these, when available, in Table 1.

b. The atmospheric effect. In the following sections we group the eruptions by geographic area in order to consider together eruptions that may have similar conditions of water vapour. Moreover we consider the tropopause altitude together with the plume altitude.

c. Retrieval artefact in the case of multilayer plumes. We do not have a way to identify this in a fresh plume but we indicate (with a black triangle) when the old plume overpasses near the volcano again (with the possibility of presence of both the old overpassing plume and the new emitted plume, at two different altitudes). The vertical distribution plots in Figs. 8–11 present the studied eruptions in chronological order and indicate the presence of a new plume connected with the volcano with red triangular symbols at the bottom of the column.
6.1 Southern Chile: Llaima, Puyuhue-Cordon-Caulle and Copahue

In the Southern Hemisphere we analysed three eruptions that originated from volcanic activity in Chile. The wind direction was similar for each eruption so the SO$_2$ was transported to the east (towards South Africa).

Llaima (period analysed: 2–6 January 2008), is presented in Fig. 8. This is the smallest eruption in terms of SO$_2$ amount (with a maximum atmospheric load of 0.04 Tg) and after the first day, the plume was disconnected from the volcano.

Copahue (22–27 December 2012), in Fig. 11, released a higher amount of SO$_2$ (up to 0.72 Tg of atmospheric load) and there was a continuous plume from the volcano, indicating that the volcano emitted SO$_2$ for at least seven days. Both of these eruptions remained confined to the troposphere.

The Puyehue-Cordon-Caulle eruption of 5–30 June 2011 was the most significant eruption in southern Chile since 1991. The eruption commenced with the formation of a very significant eruption plume, that was tracked around the globe, and sustained, lower-level activity that continued intermittently during the observation period. This eruption was between Llaima and Copahue in terms of SO$_2$ amount (maximum load of 0.13 Tg), but higher than both in terms of VEI (with a value of 5), and with a much higher altitude (Fig. 11). The first part of the eruption produced a higher plume in terms of amount and altitude, and a lower plume connected with the volcano was present intermittently for all of the period observed. The maximum amount of SO$_2$ loading found was $0.13 \pm 0.06$ Tg on 8 and 9 June. Theys et al. (2013) present the SO$_2$ amount from the Clarisse et al. (2012) IASI scheme, assuming an altitude of 13 km, and reported values around 0.14 Tg (and higher) for the periods 6–9 June, with a maximum on 7 June. The fresh part of the plume (over south America and the western Atlantic ocean) is found to be significantly lower using C12 (between 2 and 5 km of altitude) than the altitude of 13 km assumed by Theys et al. (2013), and the SO$_2$ amount for a plume at 5 km will be underestimated using the assumption of higher altitude. Due to the opposite effect, the presence of SO$_2$ higher than 13 km in the first two days may explain the discrepancy in the SO$_2$ load (Fig. 2 from Theys et al., 2013 reports higher SO$_2$
than C12 but assumes lower altitude than C12). This shows how important it is to have good altitude information for the SO$_2$ retrieval as assumptions regarding plume altitude may lead to significant differences in SO$_2$ total mass estimates.

6.2 Northern Pacific Ocean: Okmok, Kasatochi and Sarychev

Okmok, Kasatochi and Sarychev eruptions (Figs. 8 and 9) all affected the boreal atmosphere and injected SO$_2$ to altitudes around the tropopause (and higher).

Okmok (12–20 July 2008) injected SO$_2$ around 12 km and the plume spread south and east from the volcano, over the Pacific Ocean. The main plume reached the US and Canada, and there was an intermittent presence of a small and low plume connected with the volcano.

Kasatochi (7–22 August 2008) injected the majority amount of SO$_2$ within the first three days and the plume spread around the Northern Hemisphere, going east, north and west from the volcano. The SO$_2$ amount reached a maximum seven days after the start of the eruption, indicating continuous injection from the volcano. Within the first ten days of the eruption it affected the latitude bands 30 to 90° N. The SO$_2$ amounts for the first days of the eruption are in the ranges reported from Corradini et al. (2010) for AIRS and MODIS estimates. (Corradini et al., 2010 report AIRS and MODIS 7.3 µm SO$_2$ mass loadings between 0.3 and 1.2 Tg, while the MODIS ash corrected 8.7 µm SO$_2$ masses vary between 0.4 and 2.7 Tg). The altitude retrieved using C12 for Kasatochi is consistent with 12.5 ± 4 km reported by Karagulian et al. (2010), but the total SO$_2$ load is around 30% lower. Karagulian et al. (2010) use an IASI retrieval from $\nu_3$ band (1362 cm$^{-1}$) and $\nu_1 + \nu_3$ band (2500 cm$^{-1}$). The altitudes from C12 are also comparable with the range reported in CALIPSO and OMI data from Wang et al. (2013) (Wang et al., 2013 used the OMI retrieval of altitude and amount and GEOS-Chem models to estimate that the forcing by Kasatochi volcanic sulphate aerosol became negligible six months after the eruption).

Sarychev is located at a similar latitude as Kasatochi, but in the Kurile islands south of Kamchatka. The IASI SO$_2$ scheme, C12, (similar to that previously reported by the Université Libre de Bruxelles, IASI-ULB, near real-time SO$_2$ alert system, http://cpm-ws4.ulb.ac.
be/Alerts/index.php, Rix et al., 2009; Haywood et al., 2010), retrieves a small tropospheric plume in the two images from 11 June 2009, followed by a higher plume on 12 June. The SO\(_2\) loading increased in the following days (with a big injection the 15 June 2009) reaching a maximum on 16 June (0.6 Tg). Then the SO\(_2\) load remained approximately constant for 16–18 June, before decreasing after that. The plume went in two directions, one branch spreading across the Pacific Ocean to North America and crossing Canada, reaching the Atlantic Ocean on 22 June and the Spanish coast of Europe on 24 June; the second branch went north, crossing Siberia up to the Siberian Sea and turning east to Greenland.

### 6.3 Africa: Dalafilla, Nabro

Two volcanic eruptions from the the Ethiopian Rift, Dalafilla in November 2008 and Nabro in June 2011, had a lower plume around 4–6 km and a higher part of the plume around the tropopause, but they were nearly one order of magnitude different in terms of SO\(_2\) amount. Dalafilla produced a maximum SO\(_2\) atmospheric loading of 0.2 Tg while Nabro produced 1.6 Tg. This could be the result of volcanic effects (for example Dalafilla was a very short-lived and vigorous fire-fountaining eruption from an extended fissure that produced, in some parts low mass eruption rates and in other parts much higher eruption rates. Another possible explanation of how a medium/small eruption such as Dalafilla (VEI 3) reached the tropopause altitude is illustrated in Tupper et al. (2009) and implicated the effect of a moist atmosphere. Tupper et al. (2009) showed that volcanic emissions with different eruption rates in moist atmosphere both reached the tropopause; in dry atmosphere they reach different altitudes.

The first IASI observation showing the Dalafilla eruption was at 05:00 UTC on 4 November 2008. The plume is divided into a lower and a higher part. These spread north and east from Ethiopia, covering the Arabic peninsula, and arrived over north India and the Himalayas on the 5 November. They spread then over China and a diluted plume arrived over south Japan on 6 November. IASI detected SO\(_2\) near the volcano in every image in this period. According to Meteosat-9 false color RGB images, the eruption started between
12:45 UTC and 13:00 UTC on 3 November 2008, with high-level SO$_2$ plumes and an ash plume (mixed with ice). The low-level SO$_2$ plume, started three hours later.

The IASI images of SO$_2$ amount and altitude are consistent with the measurements over northern India reported by Mallik et al. (2013). In particular Mallik et al. (2013) report: (i) high concentration of column SO$_2$ from ground measurements where the time of exceptionally high SO$_2$ amount is consistent with the IASI plume arriving over the Indian location; (ii) CALIPSO observations of a scattering feature around 4–5 km altitude on 6 November that is consistent with the lower part of the plume reported here by IASI; (iii) OMI maps, obtained assuming a fixed altitude, with a position of the SO$_2$ plume similar to IASI. With the use of C12 IASI scheme it is possible to discern that there is both a lower plume in the troposphere (as reported by Mallik et al., 2013) and a significant part of the plume at altitudes around the tropopause.

Nabro produced the largest amount of SO$_2$ in any volcanic plume observed by IASI with a maximum of up to $1.6 \pm 0.3$ Tg of SO$_2$.

Numerous previous studies have documented this eruption, with many of these focusing on whether Nabro injected SO$_2$ directly into the stratosphere or whether the injection was associated with monsoon circulation (Bourassa et al., 2012; 2013; Sawamura et al., 2012; Vernier et al., 2013; Fromm et al., 2013; 2014; Clarisse et al., 2014; Biondi et al., 2015). A more detailed study of the Nabro eruption, also using the C12 IASI retrieval scheme, is reported in Fromm et al. (2014), and concluded that “Nabro injected sulphur directly to or above the tropopause upon the initial eruption on 12/13 June, and again on 16 June 2011”. Here we include the Nabro summary of the C12 IASI dataset. The Nabro plume is retrieved on 13 June, with a plume over north-east Africa between 14 and 18 km height. On the 14 the plume arrived over the Middle East and over central Asia on 15 June. The eruption formed two plumes at different altitudes, the higher one that reached the stratosphere and a lower one that remained confined to the troposphere with less than 10 km altitude. The higher plume is further separated into two segments, a “north” one (15 km and above) and a “south” one, a bit lower. Over all these days the plume was still attached to the volcano.

http://www.eumetsat.int/website/home/Images/ImageLibrary/DAT_IL_08_11_03_A.html
indicating continuous injection. On 17 June there is a lower altitude plume and a new high altitude part going over north-east Africa.

In Fromm et al. (2014) two comparisons have demonstrated the consistency of IASI altitude with other measurements: (i) morning and afternoon IASI data of the 14 June are compared with the lidar ground data at Sede Boker (Israel) and an SO$_2$ profile from MLS (Fromm et al., 2014; Fig. 8); (ii) the night time IASI measurements of 17 June are compared with the CALIOP and MLS measurements (Fromm et al., 2014; Fig. 9).

6.4 Iceland: Eyjafjallajökull and Grímsvötn

Eruptions of Eyjafjallajökull in April and May 2010 and Grímsvötn in May 2011 have been examined. A deeper analysis of the IASI SO$_2$ plume from the Eyjafjallajökull eruption is presented in Carboni et al. (2012) and here we only report the time series of vertical distribution.

The first IASI observation of the Eyjafjallajökull plume is in the evening of 14 April 2010. The SO$_2$ plume altitudes retrieved in successive observations up to 20 April are below 10 km, mainly confined below 5 km. Small amounts of SO$_2$ between 16 and 20 April are between 5 and 10 km in altitude. From 20 to 30 April SO$_2$ is always below 5 km. There is a little increase of SO$_2$ amount and altitude on the 1 and 2 May, and a more pronounced increase of both SO$_2$ amount and altitude from the 5 May. The retrieved altitude has a maximum during the 14–17 May period, where values reach around 10 km.

Grímsvötn’s plume was first observed by IASI on the morning of 22 May 2011. From the afternoon of 22 May it is possible to see an SO$_2$ rich plume going north, with a segment going north-east, and a lower plume going south/south-east. The denser part of the higher SO$_2$ plume moved west and arrived over Greenland, and out of the analysed area on 24 May. This segment re-entered the analysed area on 26 May in the afternoon (this is why the last column of the plot has an apparent increase in SO$_2$). The lower altitude plume, moving in south/south-east direction, is reported to be more ash rich (Moxnes et al., 2014) and travelled towards Europe, arriving over the northern UK on 24 May in the morning, and over Scandinavia in the afternoon. These observations of the Grímsvötn eruption do not
completely represent the total atmospheric $SO_2$ due to the fact that a part of the plume is missing.

### 6.5 Minor frequent events: Etna and Congo

The activity of Etna and Nyamuragira/Nyiragongo during the period was limited to smaller eruptions and lava-fountains. Here we do not report an exhaustive list of these episodes, but a few examples to show how these emissions can be observed by IASI. The Smithsonian Institution reported that Nyamuragira erupted on 2 January 2010 and 6 November 2011. From IASI data it is not possible to distinguish between Nyamuragira and Nyriagongo emissions, but $SO_2$ plumes are observed from 3 to 12 January 2010 and from 7 to 15 November 2011. The $SO_2$ plumes spread over the equatorial area of Africa from Congo up to Sudan and Chad, with decreasing $SO_2$ loading away from the volcano. The main plumes were confined to the troposphere and disappeared after a few days.

The Etna events considered are the 23–25 November 2007 eruption and the 2011 lava-fountains: 11–13 January, 17–19 February, 9–12 April, 11–13 May, 8–10 July, 18–20 July, 24–26 July, 29 July, 1 August, 4–7 August, 11–13 August, 19–21 August, 28–30 August, 7–10 September, 18–20 September, 27–30 September, 12–17 November. Analysis was performed for the lava fountain period plus one day either side. The Etna eruption in 2007 produced the highest $SO_2$ atmospheric loading for the period analysed (more then 0.1 Tg). The lava-fountains are associated with smaller amounts of $SO_2$ and with large error. Etna emissions are approximately ten times smaller then those from the Congo. In particular, the $SO_2$ for 2011 Etna fountains have been validated within the SAMSH-SACS2 project and the $SO_2$ amount measured by IASI are consistent with ground observation (Spinetti et al., 2014).

We now examine, for these eruptions, whether the VEI and $SO_2$-plume height are correlated or not. The definition of eruption altitude is not straightforward due to the change in plume altitude (in both space and time). The values of VEI in this study were taken from the [Global Volcanism Program (2013)](http://www.globalvolcanism.net) updated through 2015. VEI estimates may be subject
to revision, but for contemporary eruptions typically refer to the ‘peak’ phase of the eruption; they are not good descriptors for long-lived eruptions (e.g. Montserrat), or eruptions of variable intensity. Fig. 12 shows different plume altitudes, and altitude ranges, for the eruptions with VEI 3, 4 and 5. The altitudes where the 50% \( \text{SO}_2 \) mass is contained and where the maximum mass is retrieved are obtained by averaging our vertical distributions (in Fig. 8-11) over time, except for Puyehue where only the first 48 hours are considered, as the rest of the emissions during this eruption were mainly injected lower than 10 km. Fig. 12 shows that some vigorous phases of eruptions like Montserrat and Dalafilla, which are both VEI 3, nonetheless injected \( \text{SO}_2 \) higher in the atmosphere than the VEI 5 Puyehue eruption, and that VEI and \( \text{SO}_2 \)-plume height are not straightforwardly correlated.

7 Conclusions

In this paper, the IASI \( \text{SO}_2 \) volcanic plume altitudes and amount from a recently developed \( \text{SO}_2 \) retrieval algorithm (Carboni et al., 2012) are presented. IASI has significant advantages for the monitoring of extended volcanic eruptions, because it can be used to track the intensity of the eruption, both in terms of gas amount and gas plume height twice a day.

Comparisons of IASI altitudes against CALIPSO profiles and IASI \( \text{SO}_2 \) column amounts against Brewer ground measurements have been performed. These show that IASI retrieved values are consistent with these satellite and ground measurements. Despite the ability of IASI to retrieve the plume altitude, it could be difficult to distinguish, with only IASI data, if the eruption injects into the stratosphere or into the high troposphere. Further work integrating and comparing IASI with other measurements, such as lidar or limb measurements (e.g. Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding - MIPAS), are needed to better assess the ability of IASI to determine injection into the stratosphere.

The IASI scheme has been used to follow the vertical distribution of \( \text{SO}_2 \) as a function of time (twice daily), for different eruption types (e.g. VEI ranging between 1 and 5) and different latitudes. This work suggest that while VEI is a convenient and rapidly estimated...
proxy for eruption “scale”, it is a poor index of the potential height to which volcanic SO$_2$ is injected.

There is a tendency for volcanic SO$_2$ plumes to reach a point of buoyancy near the tropopause. All of the eruptions in the tropics (except Nyamuragira), reached the tropopause. In the mid latitudes, the eruptions of Eyjafjallajökull, Llaima, Copahue and Etna remained confined in the troposphere.

The dataset can be made available by contacting the author Elisa Carboni <elisa.carboni@physics.ox.ac.uk>.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volcano name</th>
<th>Analysed dates</th>
<th>Lat/Lon/ Elevation</th>
<th>Comments on IASI SO$_2$ plume</th>
<th>References to other work</th>
<th>Volcanic event description (Smithsonian weekly reports)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Llaima (Chile)</td>
<td>2–6 Jan 2008</td>
<td>38.69° S 71.72° W 3125 m</td>
<td>Small eruption (maximum of 0.04 Tg of SO$_2$). Plume connected to the volcano only on the first day. Confined in troposphere.</td>
<td>McCormick et al. 2013: OMI SO$_2$ study.</td>
<td>VEI 3. Strombolian eruption began 1 Jan; ash plumes to 12.5 km on 2 Jan, and to 3.7 km on 3 Jan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Okmok (Aleutian islands)</td>
<td>12–20 Jul 2008</td>
<td>53.43° N 168.13° W 1073 m</td>
<td>SO$_2$ injected around tropopause and the plume spreads south (Pacific ocean) and east (North America) from the volcano. Presence of intermittent smaller and lower plume connected with the volcano. Main plume altitude increases with time following the tropopause altitude.</td>
<td>Spinei et al. 2010: OMI SO$_2$ validation against ground measurements over North America; Prata et al. 2010: SO$_2$ and ash from AIRS.</td>
<td>VEI 4. Strong explosive eruption began 12 Jul, with ash to at least 15 km. Eruption intensity declined, with ash plumes to 6 km on 17 Jul. Eruptions on 19 Jul sent ash to 9 km.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasatochi (Aleutian islands)</td>
<td>7–22 Aug 2008</td>
<td>52.17° N 175.50° W 314 m</td>
<td>SO$_2$ amount reached the maximum after seven days indicating continuous injection from volcano. Within ten days SO$_2$ plume affected all latitudes between 30–90° N. Reached to tropopause and stratosphere.</td>
<td>Krotkov et al. 2010: Dispersion and lifetime of the SO$_2$ using OMI; Yang et al. 2010: OMI retrieval of SO$_2$ amount and altitude; Karagulian et al. 2010: SO$_2$ and ash from IASI; Corradini et al. 2010: Comparison of different IR instruments (AIRS, MODIS); Kristiansen et al. 2010: inverse transport modelling; Bitar et al. 2010: lidar measurements, injected material into the troposphere and lower stratosphere; Prata et al. 2010: SO$_2$ and ash from AIRS; Nowlan et al. 2011: GOME-2 optimal estimation retrieval of amount and altitude; Wang et al. 2013: Volcanic sulfate forcing using OMI SO$_2$.</td>
<td>VEI 4. Explosive eruption began on 7 Aug, with ash plumes to 14 km; continuous eruptions from 8–9 Aug, with ash plumes to 9–14 km. Subsequent observations obscured by cloud.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alu-Dalaffilla (Ethiopia)</td>
<td>4–7 Nov 2008</td>
<td>13.79° N 40.55° E 613 m</td>
<td>Plume is divided in two parts from the beginning (one lower in troposphere and one higher into tropopause /stratosphere). SO$_2$ near the volcano in every image (continuous emission). Nearly one order of magnitude smaller than Nabro (in amount of SO$_2$) but reached comparable height.</td>
<td>Pagli et al. 2012: Ethiopian Rift deformation paper, studying the source of Alu-Dalaffilla Eruption; Mallik et al. 2013: Enhanced SO$_2$ concentrations observed over northern India in Nov 2008.</td>
<td>VEI 3 – Mainly effusive eruption. Strong fissure eruption began on 3 Nov, for a few hours, waned rapidly and ended on 6 Nov. (Pagli et al., 2012). No direct observations of the eruption.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volcano name</td>
<td>Analysed dates</td>
<td>Lat/Lon/ Elevation</td>
<td>Comments on IASI SO$_2$ plume</td>
<td>References to other work</td>
<td>Volcanic event description (Smithsonian weekly reports)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarychev Peak</td>
<td>11–26 Jun 2009</td>
<td>48.092° N 153.2° E 1496 m</td>
<td>Started with a small tropospheric plume building up with increasing SO$_2$ load, maximum on 16 Jun (0.6 Tg). Reached tropopause and stratosphere.</td>
<td>Theys et al. [2009]: Volcanic BrO from GOME-2. Haywood et al. [2010]: Climate model (HadGEM2). IASI SO$_2$. OSIRIS limb sounder and CALIPSO lidar measurements to investigate the distributions of SO$_2$ and radiative impact of sulphate aerosol; Carn and Lopez [2011]: validation of OMI SO$_2$; Doeringer et al. [2012]: sulphate aerosols and SO$_2$ from the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE) measurements; Fromm et al. [2014]: on correction for OSIRIS dataset and using SO$_2$ from C12.</td>
<td>VEI 4. Eruption began 11 Jun, with ash plumes to 7.5 km on 12 Jun, and a strong ash plume to 12 km on 14 Jun. Further explosions with ash to 10–14 km continued through 18 Jun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soufrière Hills</td>
<td>10–15 Feb 2010</td>
<td>16.72° N 62.18° W 915 m</td>
<td>When it was first observed the SO$_2$ plume was divided into two parts: a higher one around 16–19 km (tropopause/stratosphere) and a lower one in the troposphere. The lower plume disappeared (non detectable) after one day, while the higher one spread east, south east.</td>
<td>Prata et al. [2007]: on 2006 eruption. Carn and Prata [2010]: satellite measurements for 1995–2009. Christopher et al. [2010]: include SO$_2$ measurements for 1995–2009. Wadge 2010: Lava production for the period 1995–2009. Christopher et al. [2014]: SO$_2$ degassing, for 1995–2013, and relation with magma supply. Nicholson et al. [2013]: include daily mean SO$_2$ flux.</td>
<td>VEI 3. Large dome collapse on 11 Feb, with pyroclastic flows and an ash plume to 15 km.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyjafjallajökull</td>
<td>14 Apr–24 May 2010</td>
<td>63.63° N 19.62° W 1666 m</td>
<td>SO$_2$ plume confined in troposphere. Up to 20 Apr the plume was below 10 km (mainly confined within 5 km); From 20 to 30 Apr plume &lt; 5 km. Increase in both (amount and altitude) from 5 May, with maximum altitude (around 10 km) from 14 to 17 May.</td>
<td>Stohl et al. [2011]: ash emission height from inversion with dispersion model FLEXPART. Marzano et al. [2011]: radar measurements of plume altitude. Stevensonson et al. [2012]: tephra deposit. Petersen et al. [2012]: impact in atmosphere and plume altitude. Carboni et al. [2012]: IASI SO$_2$. Boichu et al. [2013]: chemistry-transport model + IASI SO$_2$ to invert SO$_2$ flux.</td>
<td>VEI 4. Explosive eruptions from the summit, with ash plumes from 3–10 km (Gudmundsson et al., 2012).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merapi (Java, Indonesia)</td>
<td>4–11 Nov 2010</td>
<td>7.542° S 110.442° E 2968 m</td>
<td>High plume up to tropopause and stratosphere. (Problems: old plume overlapping the volcano).</td>
<td>Suroto et al. [2012]: estimate a total of 0.44 Tg of SO$_2$, using IASI-AIRS assuming a plume altitude of 16 km. Saepuloh et al. [2013]: Picquout et al. [2013]: Pallister et al. [2013]: Luehr et al. [2013]: Jenkins et al. [2013]: Innocenti et al. [2013]: Cronin et al. [2013]: Costa et al. [2013]: Sudi-Santoso et al. [2013]:</td>
<td>VEI 4. Major explosive eruption, with a climactic phase that began on 3 Nov with ash plumes to 18 km. Strong explosions continued through 5–6 Nov (17 km ash plumes), declining to &lt; 8 km by 12 Nov.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Continued.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volcano name</th>
<th>Analysed dates</th>
<th>Lat/Lon/ Elevation</th>
<th>Comments on IASI SO$_2$ plume</th>
<th>References to other work</th>
<th>Volcanic event description (Smithsonian weekly reports)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Etna (Sicily, Italy)</td>
<td>23–25 Nov 2007 + 2011 fountains</td>
<td>37.734° N 15.004° E 3330 m</td>
<td>All episodes considered are confined to the troposphere.</td>
<td>Aiuppa et al. (2010), combine seismic (volcanic tremor and long-period seismicity), deformation (GPS), and geo-chemical (volcanic gas plume CO$_2$/SO$_2$ ratios) measurements to interpret trends in the recent (2007–2008) activity of Etna volcano.</td>
<td>Volcanic event description (Smithsonian weekly reports)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Guerrieri et al. (2015), ash and SO$_2$, retrieval and flux estimate, from SEVIRI.</td>
<td>Guerrieri et al. (2015), ash and SO$_2$, retrieval and flux estimate, from SEVIRI.</td>
<td>Guerrieri et al. (2015), ash and SO$_2$, retrieval and flux estimate, from SEVIRI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spinetti et al. (2014), satellite SO$_2$ validation with ground measurements (including this IASI SO$_2$ scheme).</td>
<td>Spinetti et al. (2014), satellite SO$_2$ validation with ground measurements (including this IASI SO$_2$ scheme).</td>
<td>Spinetti et al. (2014), satellite SO$_2$ validation with ground measurements (including this IASI SO$_2$ scheme).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Campion (2014), studied Nyamuragira emissions of SO$_2$ using OMI and ASTER.</td>
<td>Campion (2014), studied Nyamuragira emissions of SO$_2$ using OMI and ASTER.</td>
<td>Campion (2014), studied Nyamuragira emissions of SO$_2$ using OMI and ASTER.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Smets et al. (2014), multidisciplinary monitoring reveals pre-eruptive signals at Nyamuragira volcano associated with the Jan 2010 volcanic eruption (seismic and OMI data).</td>
<td>Smets et al. (2014), multidisciplinary monitoring reveals pre-eruptive signals at Nyamuragira volcano associated with the Jan 2010 volcanic eruption (seismic and OMI data).</td>
<td>Smets et al. (2014), multidisciplinary monitoring reveals pre-eruptive signals at Nyamuragira volcano associated with the Jan 2010 volcanic eruption (seismic and OMI data).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Jan 2010, VEI 1. (Nyamuragira). A flank fissure eruption began on 2 Jan 2010, and continued intermittently for 3–4 weeks. Nov 2011, VEI 2. (Nyamuragira): major flank fissure eruption began with fire-fountaining and the emplacement of a long lava flow on 6 Nov 2011. The eruption is thought to have ceased by 18 Nov. During both Jan 2010 and Nov 2011, the lava lake at Nyiragongo remained active (based on thermal alerts).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volcano name</th>
<th>Analysed dates</th>
<th>Lat/Lon/ Elevation</th>
<th>Comments on IASI SO$_2$ plume</th>
<th>References to other work</th>
<th>Volcanic event description (Smithsonian weekly reports)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grímsvötn</td>
<td>21–26 May 2011</td>
<td>64.42° N 17.33° W 1725 m</td>
<td>Higher part of the plume moved west and spread north reaching the tropopause/stratosphere. Lower plume travelled towards Europe (together with ash), confined to the troposphere.</td>
<td>Flemming and Inness [2013]: Assimilation of UV satellite measurements for forecast. Moxnes et al. [2014]: FLEXPART + IASI SO$_2$ and ash. Koukouli et al. [2014]: Intercomparison of SO$_2$ from IASI (including C12), GOME-2 and ground data.</td>
<td>VEI 4. Strong explosive eruption, with an ash plume to 20 km on 21 May, declining to 10–15 km on the morning of 22 May. Minor activity on 24 May, with ash to 5–7 km.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puyehue-Cordon-Caulle</td>
<td>5–30 Jun 2011</td>
<td>40.59° S 72.117° W 2236 m</td>
<td>Intermediate magnitude (between Llaima and Copahue) Reached the tropopause/stratosphere and we can follow the plume going 3 times around the Southern Hemisphere in 30 days. First part of the eruption is higher in SO$_2$ amount and altitude. Intermittent low plume connected with the volcano for all the period considered.</td>
<td>Theys et al. [2014]: detection of volcanic OCIO. Bignami et al. [2014]: SAR deformation, MODIS ash and SO$_2$.</td>
<td>VEI 5. Major sequence of explosive and effusive eruptions, that began on 4 Jun with ash plumes to 11–14 km. For the rest of Jun, lower-level eruptive activity persisted, with intermittent ash plumes to 5–8 km. The eruption continued until Apr 2012.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nabro</td>
<td>12–23 Jun 2011</td>
<td>13.37° N 41.7° E 2218 m</td>
<td>The highest emission of SO$_2$ for the period considered (2008–2012). Two plumes at different altitudes, the highest one reached the stratosphere, the lower remained confined to the troposphere.</td>
<td>Fromm et al. [2014]: Nabro injected sulphur directly to or above the tropopause upon the initial eruption on 12/13 Jun, and again on 16 Jun 2011. Bourassa et al. [2012]: Sawamura et al. [2012]: Vernier et al. [2013]: Fromm et al. [2013]: Bourassa et al. [2013]: Clarisse et al. [2014]: Blondi et al. [2015].</td>
<td>VEI 4. The eruption began with a major ash plume, to 9–14 km on 12 Jun. The activity continue dominated by lava flow, and with ash plumes to 6–8 km from 15–19 Jun.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copahue</td>
<td>22–27 Dec 2012</td>
<td>37.856° S 71.183° W 2953 m</td>
<td>Maximum of 0.72 Tg of SO$_2$ present in atmosphere. Plume connected with volcano every day. Confined to the troposphere.</td>
<td>Velez et al. [2011]: ground deformation using InSAR. Bonali [2013]: earthquake and stress study.</td>
<td>VEI 2. Moderate explosive eruptions, with a mixture of Strombolian fire-fountaining and steam-driven phreatic explosions, producing frequent low-level ash plumes rising 1–2 km above the crater rim.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. CALIOPI/IASI coincidences for the Eyjafjallajökull plume on 7 May 2010 for overpasses within 2 h off each other. Top plot: CALIOPI backscatter profile with IASI over-plotted retrieval altitude (black stars) and error-bar (black line); middle plot: the IASI SO\textsubscript{2} amount and error-bars corresponding to the altitude plotted above; bottom plots: map of IASI SO\textsubscript{2} amount (left) and altitude (right) with CALIPSO track (black line) and identifying the IASI pixel plotted above with black stars.
Figure 2. CALIOP-IASI coincidences for the 9 and 10 May 2010, Eyjafjallajökull plume. As Fig. 1.
Figure 3. CALIOP-IASI coincidences for the 14 and 16 May 2010, Eyjafjallajökull plume. As Fig. [1]
Figure 4. CALIOP/IASI coincidences for the Grímsvötn eruption on 22 and 23 May 2011. Blue arrow indicate the higher part of the plume, red one the lower part. As Fig. 1.
Figure 5. Scatter plot of IASI SO$_2$ measurements, averaged within a distance of 200 km from the ground station, vs. the daily SO$_2$ column amount, measured from Brewer spectrometers. Different colours correspond to different Brewer ground stations. Black error-bars are the IASI average errors; dotted error-bars are the standard deviation of the IASI data within the selected distance. Black lines represent the ideal line $y = x$; dotted lines are the best fits with error in the best fit. The legend box shows the correlation coefficient (CC), root mean square difference (RMSD) and the best fit line.
Figure 6. Maps of IASI SO\textsubscript{2} amount (top left) and height (bottom left) and the equivalent maps of SO\textsubscript{2} amount (top right) and height (bottom right) obtained after regridding. Grey colour indicate values higher than the colour bar. The IASI data are a combination of four orbits on the 15 June 2011 from 13:00 to 18:00 UTC during Nabro eruption.
Figure 7. SO$_2$ mass present in the atmosphere as retrieved from IASI data. The values are the measured amount every half day and vary with volcanic emission, gas removal and satellite sampling. Points are separated by $\sim 12$ h. Data are presented in temporal order along the ordinate ($x$ axis) but eruptions are plotted consecutively one after the other without a gap between them. The total SO$_2$ amount reported here is computed using the geographic area associated with the eruption. For eruptions which overlap in time (e.g Grimsvötn, Puyehue, and Nabro in May and June 2011) the SO$_2$ loads within each respective area are considered and plotted separately.
Figure 8. The left column shows maps of the maximum SO$_2$ amount retrieved within the considered area (black rectangle). The right column shows the SO$_2$ vertical distribution for the considered volcanic eruption. In each plot the $y$ axes are the vertical levels in km. The colour represents the total mass of SO$_2$ in Tg, dark-red represents values higher than the colour-bar. Every column of the plots come from an IASI map (one every 12 h). The black lines are the mean, and the mean plus or minus the standard deviations, of tropopause computed from ECMWF profiles at the location of plume pixels. Red triangles in the bottom line indicate the presence of a fresh plume connected with the volcano, black triangles indicate the presence of an old plume overpassing the volcano (this may eventually mask a newer plume). Note that the plots for different eruptions have different colour-scales and cover different time ranges.
Figure 9. As for Fig. 8
Figure 10. As for Fig. [8] The Etna plots show different eruptive episodes corresponding to $x$ axes labels: (a) 23–25 November 2007 eruption; and the 2011 lava-fountains: (b) 11–13 January, (c) 17–19 February, (d) 10–12 April, (e) 11–13 May, (f) 8–10 July, (g) 18–20 July, (h) 24–26 July, (i) 29 July–1 August, (j) 4–7 August, (k) 11–13 August, (l) 19–21 August, (m) 28–30 August, (n) 7–10 September, (o) 18–20 September, (p) 28–30 September, (q) 11–14 October, (r) 12–17 November.
Figure 11. As for Fig. 8.
Figure 12. Eruption SO$_2$-plume altitudes from this study. The black lines show the range of altitudes retrieved, the boxes depict the altitude range into which the 50% of the SO$_2$ mass falls, the horizontal lines within each box are the altitudes of the maximum of SO$_2$ mass. Different colors represent eruptions of different VEI (Source: Global Volcanism Program, 2013).