Authors reply to reviewer’s comments:

Dear Anonymous Referees,

Thanks for your careful review of the manuscript. We read the reviewer’s comments carefully, and have responded and taken all of reviewer’s comments into consideration and revised the manuscript accordingly. All the changes have been highlighted and tracked changes in the revised manuscript. My detailed responses, including a point-by-point response to the review and a list of all relevant changes, is as follows:

“Interactive comment on “Changes in chemical components of aerosol particles in different haze regions in China from 2006 to 2013 and contribution of meteorological factors” by X. Y. Zhang et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 23 September 2015

General Comment: Based on chemical composition of aerosol in different haze regions in China from 2006 and 2013 with 24h filter samples collected at 13 CAWNET stations, the authors discuss the sources of PM10 and contribution of meteorological factors especially during haze episodes in key regions of China. As this dataset is obtained from a well-organized and continuously running network and filter samples have gone through the same analytical protocol and quality control, results from different sites and years are comparable, which provides valuable spatial and temporal trend information of PM10 composition and sources in China. To understand sources of China is very much needed in China now in order to improve air quality and visibility. It provides a more complete picture of aerosol in China and valuable dataset for future study. The authors carry out detailed analysis of data and provide important and interesting results. Therefore, I would recommend to publish this manuscript. Some comments and suggestions are provided below to improve the quality of the manuscript.”

Responds: Thanks for the positive comments.

“Specific Comments:
“1) One of the key conclusion of this work is that dust is important source in PM10 in China. Dust is probably calculated by the oxides of Al, Si, Fe etc. As these “crustal” elements are also found in coal fly ash, I would recommend the authors to show the equation for calculating dust and try to differentiate the contribution from dust and coal fly ash. If not possible, at least coal combustion during winter cold season in the northern China should be emphasized.”
Responds: As mentioned by reviewer, mineral aerosol observed in China indeed contains coal-ash from incomplete combustion in most area of China. This is also the major point of us in our previous work (Zhang et al., AE, 2002) and in this manuscript (P10, L2-10). The original text in last version of the manuscript is “In general, a high mineral concentration was observed in northwest China, thereby indicating the influence of dust from natural Asian dust sources. However, in the southern margin of the Loess Plateau in XiAn, the mineral level exceeded those in stations closer to natural dust sources (Dunhuang, Galanshan etc). Thus, urban fly coal ash and fugitive dust sources were also observed to affect the mineral levels in these areas. Based on the measurement results of non-crustal Ca in coarse particle fractions, especially during non-spring seasons (with fewer occurrences of Asian sand and dust storm (SDS)), part of the mineral dust is hypothesized to originate from construction activities and coal ash (Zhang et al., 2002).”

Actually mineral dust is estimated by using the surrogate concentration of element (Fe) in this manuscript, which is around 4% in the Chinese mineral dust mass (see in P10, L10-13). This approach has been used in our previous works in various ambient conditions and areas in China, and has been proved to be able to estimate mineral dust content properly (Zhang et al., AE, 1993; Zhang et al., AE, 2002; Zhang et al., JGR, 2003; Zhang et al., ACP, 2012), although the uncertainties are still there. We didn’t use the oxides of Al, Si, Fe, Ca, Mg, Ti to estimate mineral dust concentration, mainly because we found, in our previous work, that we can get similar concentration of mineral aerosol by using the simple method (just on the basis of Fe, like this manuscript) and by using oxides of various element, which will probably bring the extra uncertainties due to limitation of elemental analysis; another reason is that we can not obtain Si, Al in relative higher accuracy by using Whatman quartz microfibre filters as the filtration media that we used to measure water-soluble constituents, carbonaceous species and mineral aerosol simultaneously.

Of course, we found we don’t want to distinguish the relative contributions of coal-ash and other sources to mineral mass by only using Fe (no one can do this). But we added some more descriptions about the approach how to estimate the mineral mass, and some text to emphasis the existence of coal ash in mineral aerosol concentration (P10, L12-25), which also reinforce the major conclusion of this manuscript that “coal-combustion was still the largest anthropogenic source of aerosol pollution in various areas in China”

“2) Page 19219: the last paragraph in section 3, the authors suggest the contribution from biomass burning and motor vehicle simply based on EC/OC ratio. This ratio is not very specific to sources. Even for the same source type, e.g., biomass burning, this ratio can have a range under different fuel types and combustion conditions. Therefore, it should be careful in such discussion if it is only based on EC/OC ratio."
Responds: As reviewer’s comment, it is not very precise to pinpoint the contributions from biomass burning and motor vehicle by simply using EC/OC ratio, but it still can provide some insights into it, because the large differences do existed in OC/EC ratios for biomass burning (5.9-8.9) and motor vehicle (1.4-3.0) emission.

We added some sentences to mention the uncertainties and weaken this speculation according to reviewer’s suggestion in P17, L6-7.

“This discussion and description in this manuscript contain many numbers and details. It would be easier to read if it is better organized and more clear after revision.”

Responds: Some revisions have been made. We provide less number as possible as we can to increase the readability of this manuscript. We also added a “Table 3” in summary section to put all numbers on to make a comparison between the normal regional background and winter concentration of major chemical components in major haze regions of China. That makes text more clear (P34, Table 3).

4) The summary is too detailed and long. It should contain key points and conclusions from this work.”

Responds: Revised. We simplified many text, and place lots of number on the table 3.

“Interactive comment on “Changes in chemical components of aerosol particles in different haze regions in China from 2006 to 2013 and contribution of meteorological factors” by X. Y. Zhang et al.
Anonymous Referee #2
Received and published: 15 August 2015
This study reveals the reasons for severe haze-fog event formation in Jan. 2013 by investigating the changes in major chemical components over recent years in different haze regions. The authors also evaluate the relative contribution of meteorological conditions during the haze process by introducing a parameterized index. The paper presents solid findings that are of interest to the readers to understand the aerosol characteristics and emission sources over a large area in China. The data reported are valuable to validate regional/global models. The paper is of good scientific and well structured, worth of being published in ACP after some revisions.”

Responds: Thanks for the positive comments from reviewer.
Major comments:

“1. There is no clear statement to describe how to retrieve mineral aerosol or dust mass concentration. A description of the approach to calculate mineral aerosol is necessary.”

Responds: We revised the text to have more detailed description about the estimation approach of mineral dust concentration (P10, L12-25).

“2. In figure 2, it gives the information of “dust”, but in the text and other tables and figures, the authors use “mineral”. They should keep consistent if they refer to the same component.”

Responds: In this figure we used “dust” for saving space season to simply denote “mineral dust” that we commonly used in the text and other tables.

“3. P17, L4-5, it is not clear that in which winter, the EC concentration is 21 _gm-3. P18, L27, Dose the 23 _gm-3 refer to the mass concentration of ammonium in winter 2013?”

Responds: Revised and pointed out that it is winter of 2012.

“4. P21L5-9, do these processes the author mentioned, coal ash, fugitive dust, etc. have significant seasonal variation, which can be the reason for higher mass concentration of mineral dust in winter?”

Responds: Thank you for the suggestion. We made a further statement that coal-ash contribute the increased mineral dust mass in winter season (P25, L2-7).

5. P11, Paragraph 1, it should be clarified that if “PM level” in this section represents the PM10 mass concentration. The English shall be polished by a native speaker.

Responds: Changed and polished.

Some specific points are shown below:
1. In the abstract, the name of “Yangtzi River Delta” is used, but in other sections, it is “Yangtze River Delta”. It should be consistent and “Yangtze River Delta” should be used.

Responds: Accepted and unified.

2. P4, L13: “questions was” should be changed as “questions were”. P8, L15: please keep these parameters, c’andc;’(c) and _c(c)beingconsistent: P8, L22, “_e” the letter “e” should be the subscript, “_e”.
Responds: Revised.

3. P11, L11, it should be “went up to 160.”

Responds: Revised

4. P11, L14, it should be “PRD areas”.

Responds: Revised

5. P12, L10, “OC accounted 8 P12, L20, “ accounted 33

Responds: The “~” is correct, it means about.

6. P13, L23, the unit of 2.5, “ m” should be supplemented. It is the same in P28L15.

Responds: Added

7. P18, L9, “plenary boundary” should be changed as “planetary boundary layer”.

Responds: Corrected

8. In Figure 2a, the unit of mass concentration should be given in the legend.

Responds: Changed

9. There are some other grammar mistakes that the authors should pay more attention to.

Responds: Modified.
Changes in Chemical Components of Aerosol Particles in Different Haze Regions in China from 2006 to 2013 and Contribution of Meteorological Factors

X. Y. Zhang*, J. Z. Wang, Y. Q. Wang, H. L. Liu, J. Y. Sun and Y. M. Zhang

Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Institute of Atmospheric Compositions, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, CMA, 46 Zhong Guan Cun S. Ave., Beijing 100081, CHINA

*Corresponding author
e-mail:xiaoye@cams.cma.gov.cn
Abstract:

Since individuals experienced persistent haze-fog events in January 2013 in central-eastern China, questions on factors causing differences in drastic changes in 2013 from those in adjacent years have been raised. Changes in major chemical components of aerosol particles over the years also remain unclear. The extent of meteorological factors contributed to such changes is yet to be determined. The study intends to present the changes in daily-based major water-soluble constituents, carbonaceous species and mineral aerosol in PM$_{10}$ at 13 stations within different haze regions in China from 2006 to 2013, associated with specific meteorological conditions that are highly related with aerosol pollution (parameterized as an index called “PLAM”). No obvious changes were found in annual mean concentrations of these various chemical components and PM$_{10}$ in 2013, relative to 2012. By contrast, wintertime mass of these components were quite different, in Hua Bei Plain (HBP), sulfate, OC, nitrate, ammonium, EC, and mineral dust concentrations in winter were approximately $43 \mu g m^{-3}$, $55 \mu g m^{-3}$, $28 \mu g m^{-3}$, $23 \mu g m^{-3}$, $21 \mu g m^{-3}$ and $130 \mu g m^{-3}$, respectively; these masses were approximately two to four times higher than those in background mass, also exhibiting a decline during 2006 to 2010, and then a rise till 2013. The mass of these concentrations and PM$_{10}$, except mineral, respectively increased by approximately 28% to 117% and 25% in January 2013 compared with that in January 2012. Thus, persistent haze-fog events occurred in January 2013, and approximately 60% of this increase in component concentrations from 2012 to 2013 can be attributed to severe meteorological conditions in the winter of 2013. In Yangtze River Delta (YRD) area, winter masses of these components, unlike HBP, did not significantly increase since 2010; PLAM was also maintained at a similar level without significant changes. In the Pearl River Delta (PRD) area, the regional background concentrations of the major chemical components were similar to those in YRD; accounted approximately 60-80% of these in HBP. Since 2010, a decline was found for winter concentrations, which can be partially attributable to a persistent bettering meteorological conditions and the emission cutting with an emphasis on coal-combustion in this area.
In addition to the scattered and centralized coal-combustion for heating, burning biomass fuel contributed to the large increase in the concentrations of carbonaceous aerosol in major haze regions, except in PRD, in winter. No obvious changes were found for the proportions of each chemical components of PM$_{10}$ from 2006 to 2013. Among all of the emissions recorded in chemical compositions in 2013, coal-combustion was still the largest anthropogenic source of aerosol pollution in various areas in China, with higher sulphate proportion of PM$_{10}$ in most areas of China. OC normally ranked the third. PM$_{10}$ concentration increased by approximately 25% in January of 2013 relative to 2012 that caused persistent haze-fog events in HBP; emission also reduced by approximately 35% in Beijing and its vicinity (BIV) in late fall of 2014, thereby producing “APEC” blue; thus one can expect that the persistent haze-fog events would be reduced significantly in the BIV, if ~ one-third of the 2013 winter emission could be reduced, which can also be viewed as the upper limit of atmospheric aerosol pollution capacity in this area.

Author Keywords: aerosol chemical component, change, metrological contribution, emission-cutting, different haze regions, China
1. Introduction

From satellite-based aerosol optical depth, ambient fine particulate matter concentrations were found to have a high loading area with China as major body in the world (Donkelaar and Villeneuve, 2010). Globally, mass concentrations of six major types of chemical components in aerosol particles in China were also found to be just lower than those in urban S. Asian area but far higher than those in Europe and N. America (Zhang et al., 2012a), with large variation in time and space (Zhang et al., 2008; He et al., 2001; Hu et al., 2002; Yao et al., 2002; Ye et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 1993; Zhang et al., 2002; Li et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2014; Yin et al., 2012). Moreover, approximately 37.5 billion tons of standard coal are consumed as energy source in China; approximately 66% of the produced energy was attributed to coal consumption in 2013 (NBS-China, 2014). Because the aerosol particles and cloud (fog) droplet can influences atmospheric visibility (Watson, 2002); and in the ambient atmosphere, no cloud (fog) can be formed if no hygroscopic aerosols can be activated to cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) or ice nuclei (IN) (Twomey, 1977; Seinfeld and Pandis, 1997), high loadings of aerosols not only affect climate change (Forster et al., 2007; Boucher et al., 2013), but also adversely influence weather (Wang et al., 2010; Pérez et al., 2006) and contribute to the occurrence of haze and fog events; both of them can be considered as types of aerosol pollution in present day China (Zhang et al., 2013). Since individuals experienced persistent haze-fog events in January 2013 in central-eastern China, the change of aerosol particles and their chemical component are attracting special attentions in this rapid economic growth and high population density area (Wang et al., 2014c; Huang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2013; Guo et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014a; Wang et al., 2014b; Wang et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2014). However, questions were raised about what changes happened to the major chemical components of aerosol in different haze areas in China during recently year? Why a big difference existed in 2013 and an adjacent year in the case of no significant changes in emission? What extent of meteorological factors contributed to the change?

China can be classified into nine typical regions with similar visibility changes in each
(Zhang et al., 2012a); four of these regions have experienced the largest loss of visibility in recent decades, particularly (1) Hua Bei Plain in North China and Guanzhong Plain. This region also includes “Jing-Jin-Ji” or called “Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei (BTH) region with the rapid economic development; this area is also considered as Haze Region II in China; (2) East China with the main body in Yangtze River Delta area (Haze Region III); (3) South China comprising Guangdong and Pearl River Delta area (Haze Region V); (4) Si Chuan Basin in Southwest China (Haze Region VI) (Figure 1). Changes in major chemical components of aerosol in these different haze areas also remain unknown. These changes are also important to explore the reasons of the occurrence of severe haze-fogs in China in recent years.

Various meteorological factors, including wind speed, wind direction, air pressure, temperature, humidity, precipitation, and atmosphere stability, are possibly related to aerosol pollution, but each meteorological factor cannot completely and quantitatively indicate the integrated situation of meteorological conditions that may aggravate aerosol pollution (Sui et al., 2007; Pang et al., 2009). However, mechanisms by which these meteorological impacts can be quantified and by which these data can be used to diagnose aerosol pollution based on a longer time scale of emission changes than meteorological factors (Wang et al., 2012; Yang, 2009).

Long-term daily-based aerosol chemical components were determined here for aerosol particles with diameter less than 10 μm (PM10) from regionally representative measurement networks (China Atmosphere Watch network-CAWNET) from 2006 to 2013. The parameterized index (PLAM) (Wang et al., 2012; Yang, 2009) was also used in this study to evaluate specific meteorological conditions and their connections with severe aerosol pollution. This study investigates the changes and the extent of these changes by analyzing chemical component changes and meteorological factors; this study also determined the relative contribution of specific meteorological conditions in winter. These chemical component data, especially in 2012 and 2013, can also be used as a basis to evaluate various control measures that have been used. After 2013, the Chinese government promoted ten prevention and countermeasures for aerosol pollution control.
called “Atmospheric Pollution Prevention and Control of the Ten Measures of China.” The
government also released the Second Atmospheric Pollution Control Special Plan. All these have
gained increasing attention among researchers to reduce emission caused by aerosol with an
emphasis on coal combustion and industrial manufacturing processes, mainly in steel mills,
chemical plants, glass, and cement plants. The long-term chemical characterization of aerosols in
China is also essential to assess the effect of aerosols in the atmosphere and climate, and the results
can be used to evaluate and improve existing haze-fog forecasting systems.

2. Sample Collection and Analyses

2.1. Sampling Description

24-hr aerosol filter samples were collected at 13 CAWNET stations on a one day in every three
day basis from 2006 to 2013. These CAWNET stations are operated by the China Meteorological
Administration (CMA), as shown in Figure 1 and the details of these stations have been reported in
previous studies (Zhang et al., 2012a; Zhang et al., 2008). Aerosol samples were collected by using
MiniVol™ air sampler (Airmetrics, Oregon USA) operated at an ambient air flow rate of 5 l/min
for 24 hours from 9:00 AM to 9:00 AM (BST) the following day. The filtration media were 47 mm
Whatman quartz microfibre filters (QM/A) that were cleaned by heating at 800°C for 3 hours
before use.

Insert [Figure 1] here

2.2. Aerosol chemical component analyses

The elemental concentrations were analyzed directly via X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF) method
using X-Lab 2000 (SPECTRO, Germany) at the Key Laboratory for Atmospheric Chemistry (LAC),
Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences (CAMS). Eighteen elements, namely, As, Br, Ca, Cr,
Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb, S, Se, Ti, V, Zn and Zr were analyzed using a Multi-Channel
Analyzer that was calibrated using a standard plastic sample to check the signal energy and the
multi-channel twice a month. The XRF spectrometer includes a high-tech detector using a silicon lithium drifted crystal cooled at a low temperature (-90°C). This crystal is able to discriminate between X-ray photons of different energies, i.e. energy dispersion. Four different targets (Mo, Co, Al₂O₃, and Highly Oriented Pyrolytic Graphite (HOPG)) with different energy are employed to identify different elements. For the Mo target, the energy range is 25 keV, which is suitable for Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Br, Pb and Zr. The Al₂O₃ target with a working energy of 50 keV is deal for Cd, Sb and Ba while the Co target has an energy range of 12.5 keV for the analysis of K, Ca, Ti, V, Cr, Mn. The HOPG target’s working tube voltage is 12.5keV, which is good for Na, Mg, Al, P, S and Cl. Eighteen elements, i.e. As, Br, Ca, Cr, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na, Ni, Pb, S, Se, Ti, V, Zn and Zr, were analyzed by the Multi-Channel Analyzer. A standard glass sample (Spectro Corp. Germany) was used to check the signal energy and the multi-channel twice a month. The standard calibration curve between the intensity of signal and the elemental concentration is determined by single-element Mylar filters. Seven blank quartz filters were analyzed with Mylar matrices method. The averaged background of blank filters was subtracted during spectral processing. The detailed procedures at (LAC, CAMS) can be found in previous studies (Zhang et al., 2012a).

After XRF analysis, a portion of the filter samples was extracted with 25 ml of double-deionized water (DDI) using a 3” x 5” clean-room Poly bag (Clean Room Products, In., Ronkonkoma, NY). The resulting extracts were analyzed for SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺ and other ionic species, including Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, F⁻ and Cl⁻ by ion chromatography (Dionex JCS 3000) with a OmniPac Pax-500 column, 25 mM H₂SO₄ autoregenerant, gradient elution (from 5 to 28.75 mM NaOH/5% methanol) at the Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, CMA. The detailed protocol can be found in a previous study (Zhang et al., 2002).

Thermal/optical reflectance carbon (TOR) analysis method for element carbon (EC) and organic carbon (OC) content was performed following the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) protocol (Chow et al., 1993; Chow et al., 2004). The sample filter was heated stepwise at temperatures of 120°C (OC1), 250°C (OC2), 450°C (OC3), and 550°C
(OC4) in a non-oxidizing (He) atmosphere, and at 550°C (EC1), 700°C (EC2), and 800°C (EC3) in
an oxidizing atmosphere of 2% oxygen and 98% He. Evolved carbon is oxidized to CO₂, and then
reduced to CH₄ for detection by FID. The pyrolyzed or charred OC is monitored by reflectance at
\( \lambda = 633 \text{ nm} \). The portion of EC1 until the laser signal returns to its initial value is assigned to
pyrolyzed organic carbon (OP). The OC is defined by the sum of OC1, OC2, OC3, OC4, and OP
while the EC is defined by EC1+EC2+EC3-OP. The detailed procedures at (LAC, CAMS) can be
found in previous studies (Zhang et al., 2008).

2.3. Parameterized index (PLAM) for evaluating specific meteorological condition that
resulted in severe aerosol pollution

In this study, we used a parameterized index, namely, Parameter Linking Aerosol Pollution
and Meteorological Elements (PLAM), which was derived from a relationship of PM₁₀ and key
meteorological parameters from 2000 to 2007 from various region of China by our group. The
PLAM index has been used to evaluate the contribution of meteorological factors to changes in
atmospheric composition and optical properties over Beijing during the 2008 Olympic Games
(Zhang et al., 2009), and to identify the contribution of specific meteorological factors to the ten-
day haze-fog event in 2013 (Zhang et al., 2013). Based on a set of weather sensitive parameters, an
integrated index “PLAM” was parameterized (Wang et al., 2012; Yang, 2009). Among these factors,
high temperature, high humidity, moderate wind, and high stability were the most relevant to the
poor air-quality in China. The PLAM was thus established as a function of the following
parameters:

\[
\text{PLAM} (F) \in f (p, t, w, rh, e, s, c', . . . )
\]

where \( p, t, w, \text{rh}, e, s, \) and \( c' \) represent air pressure, air temperature, winds, relative humidity,
evaporability, stability, and effective parameter associated with the contribution of air pollution
A stable summer weather with high air temperature, high relative humidity, moderate winds, and stability might create a microenvironment for high PM$_{10}$ concentrations in August over Beijing (Yang, 2009), and this climate corresponds to static dynamic forcing (baroclinicity) and thermal forcing (equivalent potential temperature ($\theta_e$) gradient) in moist adiabatic processes in the atmosphere (Gao et al., 2004). Part of the temperature profile is between the adiabatic lapse rate (neutral stability) and the isothermal lapse rate (Johnson and Baker, 1997). Condensation is also a key factor that contributes to intense pollution at given weather conditions in the moist adiabatic processes in the atmosphere. Apparently, the final PLAM can be attributed to two major separate factors: (1) initial meteorological conditions $\alpha(m)$ associated with the atmospheric condensation processes and (2) a dynamic effective parameter associated with the initial contribution of air pollution $\beta(c)$ such as:

$$\text{PLAM} = \alpha(m) \times \beta(c). \quad (2)$$

The details have been described in the reference (Wang et al., 2012; Yang, 2009).

3. **Results and Discussion**

3.1. **Spatial distribution of chemical components**

The CAWNET observational network covers all areas of China with 30 ground-based stations that have been operating since 2006. The concentrations of SO$_4^{2-}$, OC, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, EC, and mineral aerosol were measured in this paper by using PM$_{10}$ filter samples collected from 13 stations, which are located in Haze Region I (Dalian), Haze Region II (Gucheng, Zhengzhou and XiAn), Haze Region III (LinAn, Jinsha and Change), Haze Region V (Panyu and Nanjing), Haze Region VI (Chengdu), Haze Region VIII (Lasha, but data in this study were just from 2006 to 2010), and Haze Region IX (Gaolanshan and Dunhung) (Figure 1, and (Zhang et al., 2012a)). Mineral aerosol was...
the largest component in almost all stations based on the five-year average data from 2006 to 2010 (Figure 2a). Thus, high “dust” is a character in aerosol pollution in China. In general, a high mineral concentration was observed in northwest China, thereby indicating the influence of dust from natural Asian dust sources. However, in the southern margin of the Loess Plateau in XiAn, the mineral level exceeded those in stations closer to natural dust sources (Dunhuang, Galanshan etc). Thus, urban fly coal ash and fugitive dust sources were also observed to affect the mineral levels in these areas. Based on the measurement results of non-crustal Ca in coarse particle fractions, especially during non-spring seasons (with fewer occurrences of Asian sand and dust storm (SDS)), part of the mineral dust is hypothesized to originate from construction activities and coal ash (Zhang et al., 2002). As such, even in the southern part of China, where the influence of Asian dust is low, the concentrations of these minerals are still significant. Mineral dust was characterized by using the surrogate concentration of Fe, which is around 4% in the Chinese mineral dust mass. This approach has been used in our previous papers in various ambient conditions and areas in China, and has been proved to be able to estimate mineral dust content properly (Zhang et al., 1993; Zhang et al., 2003; Zhang et al., ACP, 2012a), although the uncertainties are still present. We didn’t use the oxides of Al, Si, Fe, Ca, Mg, Ti to estimate mineral aerosol concentration, mainly because we found, in our previous work, that we can get similar concentration of mineral aerosol by using this simple method (just on the basis of Fe) and by using oxides of various element, which will probably bring the extra uncertainties due to limitation of elemental analysis, another reason is that we can not measured Si, Al in relative higher accuracy by using Whatman quartz microfibre filters as the filtration media for simultaneously measuring water-soluble constituents, carbonaceous species and mineral aerosol.

It is hard to separated the relative contributions of coal-ash and other sources to mineral aerosol mass by only using Fe, but the contribution of coal ash to mineral aerosol do existed in China. However, the contribution of this part from incomplete coal-combustion tended to be ignored in the previous source apportionment, which desert to pay a further attention.
The highest mean concentrations of SO$_4^{2-}$ in aerosol particles from 2006 to 2010 were larger than 33 µg m$^{-3}$ at the urban stations of XiAn, Zhengzhou in Haze Region II, and at Chengdu of Haze Region VI. This result indicates that the effect of coal combustion emission was high in these areas (Figure 2a). The lowest concentrations (<6 µg m$^{-3}$) were observed in the western desert area (Dunhuang) and the Tibetan Plateau (Lasha). Thus, the effect of coal combustion is low. The spatial patterns of total inorganic ammonia ions (NH$_4^+$) in China are fairly similar to those of SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$. Aside from XiAn, Zhengzhou, and Chengdu, stations with high NO$_3^-$ concentrations also include those further north of China (Gucheng and Dalian) with concentrations >11 µg m$^{-3}$, even at Panyu in Pearl River Delta area of the Haze Region V, mainly because of the influences from both motor vehicle and coal-combustion as well as natural gas burning (reason discussed later).

The concentrations of OC and EC are similar to the distribution of SO$_4^{2-}$, and the highest concentrations of OC (20 µg m$^{-3}$ to 30 µg m$^{-3}$) and EC (7 µg m$^{-3}$ to 10 µg m$^{-3}$) at XiAn, Zhengzhou, Gucheng, and Chengdu, in Haze Region II and Haze Region VI in southwestern China, and the lowest concentrations were observed in rural northwest China and at the Tibetan Plateau. These higher levels are typically observed in regions with a high population density and are associated with emissions from biomass burning, traffic, and power generation (Zhang et al., 2012a).

**3.2. Chemical proportions of PM$_{10}$ and their changes from 2006 to 2013**

From Figure 2b, the highest concentrations of PM$_{10}$ during 2013 were observed at urban stations of XiAn (~320 µg m$^{-3}$), followed by 200 µg m$^{-3}$ to 240 µg m$^{-3}$ at Gucheng and Zhengzhou. These stations are all located in Haze Region II (the HBP area), which is considered as the most seriously polluted region in China in terms of aerosol pollution. This result is similar with those obtained from 2006 to 2007 (Zhang et al., 2012a). In rural northwest China (Gaolanshan and Dunhuang station), the PM$_{10}$ levels (240 µg m$^{-3}$ to 250 µg m$^{-3}$) remain high, but chemical compositions are different with higher mineral fractions. In the Yangtze River Delta area in central
eastern China (LinAn, Jinsha and Changde) of the Haze Region III, the PM$_{10}$ levels (70 µg m$^{-3}$ to 90 µg m$^{-3}$) were 3 times lower than those in the HBP, but the stations in Haze Region III are located in rural areas and those in the HBP are in urban areas. In the Chengdu urban station located in Haze Region VI, which is also called Si Chuan Basin (SCB) area in southwestern China, the PM$_{10}$ level went up to ~160 µg m$^{-3}$, and this value is between the level of Gucheng (200 µg m$^{-3}$) and Dalian in N. E. China in Haze Region I (90 µg m$^{-3}$). Thus, SCB is considered to be another polluted region in China, followed by N. E. China. The urban PM level in Haze Region V (PRD areas), with values of ~90 µg m$^{-3}$ at Panyu and Nanning, were similar to those in rural areas in Haze Region III, thereby showing that the area has a relatively low aerosol pollution in 2013.

During 2013, mineral dust was still the dominant fraction over various regions with a relatively high proportion, especially in rural northwest China (Gaolanshan and Dunhuang). Mineral dust accounted for about 75% to 85% of PM$_{10}$. In other areas, the percentage was between 25% and 50%.

In most of areas of China except rural northwest China, sulphate contributed the second, and the first large anthropogenic, mass fraction to the atmospheric aerosol with a range of 12% to 25%. In other three stations (Gucheng, XiAn and Panyu), the sulphate fraction were bit lower than OC and ranked the third with a range of about 9%-16% (Figure 2b). As such, coal combustion was still the largest anthropogenic source of aerosol pollution in various areas of China during 2013. These sulfate proportions and related conclusions are quite similar to those from our previous study, where we mainly focused on the classification of sources of aerosol in various regions in China from 2006 to 2007 (Zhang, 2014; Zhang et al., 2013), and from 2007 to 2008 in urban Beijng (Zhang et al., 2012b). Table 1 shows the proportion change of each chemical component of PM$_{10}$ at Haze Region II (Gucheng). No evident changes were observed from 2006 to 2013, again indicating that the sources of aerosol particles did not significantly change from 2006 to 2013. This result was also observed in other major haze regions in China, but that in northwest China (Gaolanshan and Dunhuang) is slightly different.
In Figure 2b, OC normally ranked the third and accounted for about 7%–19% in terms of mass, with high proportions in Gucheng (19%) and Panyu (16%) in 2013. This value is also similar to that from 2006 to 2007 (Zhang et al., 2013). In the rural northwest China, OC accounted ~8%. In the urban and rural areas of China, about 55% and 60% of OC can be attributed to secondary organic carbon (SOC) formed from volatile organic gases (VOCs), which mainly originate from chemical plants, paint and coatings, motor vehicle (including leaks during fuel), and vegetation from natural sources (Zhang et al., 2012a). The other half of OC is primary organic carbons (POCs) originating from coal combustion. Based on the emission inventory of Beijing and its vicinity (BIV) (Cao et al., 2010), about 55% of POC emission can be attributed to coal combustion, and POC from coal combustion was estimated to account for 12% of the total PM$_1$ mass (Zhang et al., 2012b; Zhang et al., 2013). Still from Beijing urban area, the primary organic aerosol (POA) from coal-combustion was also found to be the most important fraction of total POA, and accounted ~33% of total organic aerosol (Sun et al., 2014). Biomass burning and motor vehicle are the two other major sources for POC (Cao et al., 2010; Lu et al., 2011), and their contribution to OC will be discussed in Section 3.4.

The mass fractions of nitrate and ammonium normally were around 5% to 10% and 3% to 7%, respectively. The mass fraction of nitrate was less than 5% in rural northwest China (Gaolanshan and Dunhung) and that of ammonium was less than 3% in rural northwest China, urban Haze Region V (Panyu), and urban Haze Region I (Dalian) (Figure 2b). The percentage of nitrate and ammonium in 2013 had no significant differences with those in 2006 and 2007 (Zhang et al., 2013). Comparing the nitrate mass in PM$_{10}$ among the stations within Haze Region II in 2013 (Table 2), the concentrations in urban Beijing (~20 µg/m$^3$, from 2009 data because no data was obtained in 2013) were not higher than those in other stations (19 µg/m$^3$ to 22 µg/m$^3$ for Zhengzhou, Gucheng, and XiAn). However, the contribution from motor vehicle emission is the largest in BIV (NBS-Beijing, 2013). This result shows that nitrate over the region does not only originate from motor vehicle emission but also from coal combustion. Even in BIV, about 28% of the emission amount...
of NO\textsubscript{x} can still be attributed to coal combustion. In other areas in China, about 50% of nitrate mass can be attributed to coal combustion (Cao et al., 2010). Globally, NO\textsubscript{x} is mainly derived from natural sources. However, in urban areas, NO\textsubscript{x} mainly comes from anthropogenic activities such as oil and coal combustion. Given the rapid development of China's economy in recent years, the amount of gasoline and diesel oil consumption has significantly increased over the past few years (Lu et al., 2011), even if the Chinese government started promoting the “low nitrogen combustion technology” for denaturalization in power generation since 2012. The installation capacity reached only around 70% until the middle of 2013, except in BIV (meeting communication), which can probably explain the high nitrate concentration in HBP.

In most areas in China (Figure 2b), EC normally accounts for 3% to 4% of aerosol mass, with less than 2% in rural northwest China (Figure 2b); this result is also similar to the data obtained from 2006 to 2007 (Zhang et al., 2013). The EC has similar sources with POC (Cao et al., 2010), and is not a major chemical fraction in aerosol particles over China.

Almost similar concentrations were found for each chemical component in aerosol particle with diameters less than 10 µm (PM\textsubscript{10}) and less than 2.5 µm (PM\textsubscript{2.5}) were observed in urban Beijing, respectively (Table 2). These results provide further evidence that the secondary aerosol particle (sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and SOC) and particles from combustion processes (EC and POC) are present in particles with a diameter of <2.5 µm.

### 3.3. Changes of annual mean concentration of chemical components

Table 2 provides the annual averaged daily concentrations for PM\textsubscript{10} of major chemical components in six major haze areas of China from 2012 to 2013. In the Gucheng station (Haze Region II), the annual mean concentrations of most chemical components had no significant difference between 2013 and 2012, except OC with an increase of ~10 µg m\textsuperscript{-3} in 2013. This result indicates that no significant difference was observed in emission between the two years. About 37.5 and 36.2 billion tons of standard coal were used for energy consumption in 2013 and 2012 (NBS-
China, 2014), respectively, and the difference is not that high. The mass of mineral aerosol in 2013 was even bit lower than that in 2012, resulting in a relatively lower yearly mean PM10 concentration in 2013 but was unexpectedly associated with the occurrence of persistent heavy haze-fog events in the winter of 2013. This event did not occur in 2012. As such, this difference was the subject of studies because no significant changes were observed in the emission. Gucheng station is located in a rapidly urbanized area of Baoding city, Hebei province, which is the closest city to Beijing (about 140 km southwest). Zhengzhou, XiAn, and Beijing are all within the Haze Region II. The annual concentration levels of chemical components were not significantly different between 2013 and 2012.

A similar situation was also observed in stations such as LinAn, Jinsha, and Changde in Haze Region III (YRD area), Panyu in Haze Region V (PRD area), Chengdu in Haze Region VI (SCB area), Dalian in Haze Region I (N. E. China), and Gaolianshan and Dunhuang in Haze Region IX (N. W. China) (Table 2). As such, no evident annual concentration differences were observed in 2012 and 2013 in terms of the major chemical components, especially those associated with fine particles such as \( \text{SO}_4^{2-}, \text{OC}, \text{NO}_3^{-}, \text{NH}_4^+, \text{EC} \).

Comparing the annual averaged concentrations, the annual mean of most chemical components in 2013 are even lower than those from 2006 to 2007 (Zhang et al., 2012a). A survey of the main aerosol chemical components shows a wide spatial variability and higher concentrations for all aerosol components in aerosol mass concentration in the same stations from 2006 and 2007. For these annual mean concentrations, large decreases were observed in 2013 relative to the values from 2006 to 2007 in Gucheng station for various major chemical species, especially for \( \text{SO}_4^{2-}, \text{NH}_4^+, \text{NO}_3^- \) with 2006 to 2007 to 2013 ratios of 1.5, 1.5, and 1.2, respectively. The only component whose concentration increased in 2013 was OC. The reason will be discussed in the next section. For EC and mineral aerosol, their concentrations exhibited no evident changes. The same result was observed for stations (Zhengzhou, XiAn) within the same haze region (II), where the concentrations

| Table 2 | Insert [Table 2] here |
of most chemical components, except for OC and mineral aerosol, were all lower in 2013 relative to those from 2006 to 2007. Moreover, the final PM$_{10}$ concentration in 2013 was also lower than that from 2006 to 2007.

3.4. Occurrence of haze-fog event in Jan. of 2013 as opposed to 2012 in Gucheng in Haze Region II as an example

3.4.1. Winter concentration and year-long aerosol pollution

The typical seasonal patterns in various aerosol components in China are summarized by (Zhang et al., 2012a), where the maximum concentrations of most aerosol chemical components were observed in winter, whereas that of mineral aerosol was observed in spring. In addition to the regular seasonal maximum, secondary peaks were found for sulfate and ammonium during the summer and for OC and EC during May and June. The general changing patterns of various chemical components were also true in Gucheng at this study (Figure 3-left panel). The OC concentration from late autumn to winter season was normally 2 to 4 times higher than those in summer, and the concentration during winter continuously declined from 2006 to 2009 and then increased from 2010 to 2013. This result can also be seen in the linear trend of wintertime concentration from 2010 to 2013 in Figure 3. The averaged OC concentration in January of 2013 even reached ~145 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, which is a ~2.2 time higher than that in 2010. A similar situation was also observed for EC, SO$_4^{2-}$, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, and PM$_{10}$.

Although the annual mean concentration had no evident changes, the winter concentration of PM$_{10}$ was higher by at least 25% in 2013 than in the winter of 2012, whereas the winter concentrations of SO$_4^{2-}$ and NH$_4^+$ in 2013 even increased by a factor of 1 or near 1 relative to that in 2012, and the OC concentration increased by ~50%. All these increases resulted in the heavy and persistent haze-fog event in January of 2013.

Based on the OC concentration histogram in Figure 3 (right panel), the OC concentration is
usually 15 μg m\(^{-3}\), which can be considered as the regularly-observed background concentration in Haze Region II. A peak concentration of 55 μg m\(^{-3}\) can be considered as the maximum wintertime mass of OC. As discussed in Section 3.2, the increased value of OC concentration during the winter can be partially attributed to scattered and central centralized coal combustion during the heating season. Aside from this, the increased OC concentration during the winter was also highly correlated with biomass fuel burning in Gucheng, Baoding city, Hebei province in the south of Beijing during the winter. This is mainly inferred from the OC/EC ratio speculation, although the uncertainties do exist. The OC/EC ratio was 4.6 for Gucheng in the winter of 2013 and ranged from 4.1 to 4.5 during wintertime in other years. This group “Gucheng” ratio (4.1 to 4.6) is between the ratio of biomass fuel combustion (3.3) and open biomass burning (8.9 in winter, 8.0 in spring, 5.6 in summer, and 5.9 in autumn) based on the emission inventory (Cao et al., 2006; Cao et al., 2010), but is much higher than the ratio of coal combustion (2.0), gasoline (1.4), and diesel oil (3.0), as well as the normal value of 3.1 to 3.9 for ambient aerosol in urban areas in China (Zhang et al., 2008a). Thus, biomass fuel burning was identified to be another main source for the increase in OC concentration during winter in these areas in the past few years. Baoding (Gucheng station located) is the nearest city in Hebei province to Beijing and has a population of about 11 million, in which ~80% are rural dwellers living in more than 600 villages of 27 counties. Even in urban areas with more than 2 million inhabitants, the proportion of central heating during winter in Baoding is less than 40%. In the village and country, large amounts of biomass and scattered coal were burned during winter for heating. During summer, the OC/EC ratios ranged from 1.6 to 3.0 from 2008 to 2012 and 2.6 to 3.4 from 2006 to 2007 (Zhang et al., 2008). As such, the contribution of gasoline and diesel combustion during summer to the OC concentration is high, which is evidently different during the winter.

The change in EC is similar to that in OC, and its background concentration is around 5 μg m\(^{-3}\). In winter of 2012, the value is about 21 μg m\(^{-3}\). In Figure 2b, sulfate, similar to OC, accounted for a large fraction (~12%) of PM\(_{10}\) in 2013 in
Gucheng, and its seasonal variation pattern is similar to the general trend in other areas of China (Zhang et al., 2012a) with the maximum concentrations found during winter, and secondary peaks during summer (Figure 3-left panel). The histogram analysis for sulfate (Figure 3-right panel) also shows two peak values of 43 and 33 μg m⁻³, which may represent the peak concentrations in winter and summer, respectively. Another peak at 21 μg m⁻³ may represent the background value. Based on the winter sulfate concentration from 2010 to 2013, a slight increase in SO₄²⁻ concentration was observed from linear fitting of SO₄²⁻ in Figure 3 (left panel), particularly in January of 2013. A peak at 56 μg m⁻³ was observed, thereby indicating that the sulfate probably originated from scattered coal combustion and central heating involving the use of coal in Gucheng because the major emission sources for SO₂, i.e., coal power plant and industrial manufacturing processes, would be no extra increase during winter. In China, half of coal is used for power generation. About three quarters of another half are used in industrial manufacturing processes, mainly in steel mills, chemical plants, and glass and cement plants, and a quarter of this half can be attributed to scattered coal combustion for winter heating (Cao et al., 2010). Only this part caused the increase during winter. The emissions of scattered coal combustion were those from smaller heating boilers (less than 40 tons) and those of coal burning were from scattered residential households. All sulfate data fitting (not just based on wintertime data) still shows the decreasing trend from 2010 to 2013 (-0.07 slope for linear fitting, data omitted), Thus, desulphurization processes in power plant, which have been carried out 2000 in China, might cause these decreases.

Similar to sulfate, nitrate concentration was also higher in winter relative to other seasons, and an increasing trend during winter was observed from 2010 to 2013 with less significant seasonal variations relative to OC and EC (Figure 3-left panel). Given that the main sources of NOₓ emission, i.e., coal combustion from coal power plants, industrial manufacturing processes, fuel burning from motor-vehicle, and natural gas burning (Göke et al., 2014), are relatively the same for all four seasons, the contribution of scattered coal heating or central heating boilers without denitrification devices to the increase of NO₃⁻ concentration during winter cannot be ignored. The lower height of
the planetary boundary layer during the winter is another reason for the high concentration during winter. If one just compares the winter data, the effect would be eliminated. This is, of course, an important aspect to determine the impact of meteorological factors on pollution and will be discussed in the next section by using PLAM. Based on the NO$_3^-$ histogram result (Figure 3-right panel), the regional background and winter peak concentrations are around 12 and 28 μg m$^{-3}$, respectively. Unlike in sulfate, the linear fit by using all nitrate data still showed an increasing trend for NO$_3^-$ (Figure 3-left panel), thereby showing that the denitrification in power plants since 2012 had no obvious effect in the increase in NO$_3^-$ concentration.

Of the anions, SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ are dominant species contributing to aerosol acidities, both of which are highly correlated with NH$_4^+$ in Gucheng (Zhang et al., 2012a). The median ratio of the observed mole number of NH$_4^+$ and capable molecules for neutralizing this substance from SO$_4^{2-}$ and NO$_3^-$ was approximately 0.70 from 2006 to 2013, thereby suggesting that excess overbalanced anion can sufficiently neutralize NH$_4^+$ in Haze Region II. The change in NH$_4^+$ concentration since 2010 was similar to that of NO$_3^-$, with the same tendency to increase during winter (Figure 3-left panel). The frequency distribution was also similar to that of NO$_3^-$ but different with that of SO$_4^{2-}$ (Figure 3-right panel). The frequency distribution analyses of NH$_4^+$ concentration also showed that the background and winter concentrations were 7.5 and 23 μg m$^{-3}$, respectively (Figure 3-right panel). Both values are much higher in the HBP area than in other regions, which imply that the major sources of NH$_4^+$ are located in the HBP. Waste and coal consumption account for ~67% to 85% of the total NH$_3$ emissions, of which human and poultry wastes as well as coal combustion from industry, commercial, and residential usage are the major contributors in urban areas (Cao et al., 2010). In rural areas, another source of ammonia emission is agricultural activities, which account for ~14% to 28% of the total NH$_3$ emission, with nitrogen fertilizer as the major contributor (Cao et al., 2010).

In Figure 3-left panel, the linear trend of winter mineral aerosol concentration shows almost no change from 2010 to 2013, thereby indicating that the change in PM$_{10}$ in these years was mainly...
attributed to the changes in fine aerosol particle concentrations. The histogram analysis of the
mineral suggests that a high regional background loading of 90 μg m⁻³ was observed in the Haze
Region II, which not only caused by sand-dust-storm dust, urban fugitive dust, but also coal ash that
is highly related with the large amount of coal combustion activities in this area. The winter
concentration of mineral aerosol is around 130 μg m⁻³ (Figure 2-right panel).

3.4.2. Contribution of specific meteorological condition to the wintertime PM₁₀ changes

The PM₁₀ concentration increased by about 25% in January of 2013 compared with that in
2012. The specific meteorological conditions that are highly related with aerosol pollution
(parameterized as an index called “PLAM”) also increased by ~15% at the same period. Given that
the pollutant emissions did not significantly change from 2012 to 2013 (NBS-China, 2014), which
was not enough to cause a significant increase of 25%, about 60% of this 25% increase in PM₁₀
concentration can thus be considered as the contribution of worsening meteorological conditions
because PLAM has been confirmed to be linearly related with PM₁₀ concentration (Wang et al.,
2012).

The comparison of averaged PM₁₀ concentration between January 2013 and January 2010 also
showed that the mean value in 2013 was 1.2 times higher than that in 2010, whereas the PLAM
index increased to about 35% in 2013 compared with that in 2010. Given the linear relation
between PLAM and PM₁₀ (Wang et al., 2012), about 28% of the 1.2 times increase in PM₁₀ can be
approximately regarded as the contribution from worsening meteorological conditions since 2010.
Based on the changes in mean mass of various chemical components between January 2010 and
January 2013 and by multiplying these by the proportion of each component of PM₁₀ in 2013
(Figure 2b), about 20%, 41%, 18%, 16%, 2.0%, and -5.0% of the increase in PM₁₀ in 2013 relative
to 2010 can be attributed to SO₄²⁻, OC, NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺, EC, and mineral, respectively. The other
components accounted for about ~9% of this increase in PM₁₀ concentration between 2010 and
2013. OC contributed the largest percent of the increase in PM₁₀ concentration from 2010 to 2013.
3.5. Changes in the chemical components of PM$_{10}$ in other major haze areas in China

3.5.1. Haze Region III (Yangtze River Delta (YRD) area) in China

Although the winter concentrations of particular aerosol chemical components, such as OC, NO$_3^-$, and NH$_4^+$, continuously increased since 2010 in Haze Region III (LinAn) (Figure 4-left panel), the increase in amplitude was much smaller than that of HBP. The winter concentration of EC and dust slightly decreased and that of sulfate did not significantly change from 2010 to 2013. Thus, PM$_{10}$ concentration remained unchanged since 2010, which was very similar to the change in wintertime PLAM since 2010 in the same area. This result implies the important effect of meteorological condition on aerosol pollution. In general, the pollution-meteorological condition worsened from 2006 to 2010 in Haze Region III (LinAn) and remained almost unchanged from 2010 to 2013 with a slight decrease in 2011 (Figure 4-left panel-upper part).

The regional background concentrations of SO$_4^{2-}$, OC, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, EC, and mineral dust are normally 17, 11, 6, 6, 3, and 25 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, respectively, which are about 60% to 80% of the HPB level (Figure 4-right panel). The corresponding winter values are normally 33, 22, 15, 10, 5, and 65 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, respectively. The winter values were still more than 1 times higher than the annual background concentration, but the concentrations are much smaller than in HBP, thereby suggesting the weak influence of winter heating in the YRD area. Winter heating is not legally imposed in the southern parts of China. However, coal-fired heating during winter is still practiced in this area, which can increase the mineral content to 65 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ during winter from the normal value of 25 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$. The increased wintertime mineral concentration is most likely caused by coal ash, whereas other fugitive dust, such as dust from sand and dust storm events, construction sites, and unpaved roads, would cause a significant increase during winter. Unlike in HBP, the proportion of sulfate (~19% of PM$_{10}$) is much higher than the OC fraction (13% of PM$_{10}$).

The winter OC/EC ratio was 3.7 with a range of 2.7 to 5.3 from 2006 to 2013 in Haze Region
III (LinAn), and a high value of ~4.0 was observed from 2012 to 2013. These values are similar to those from biomass fuel combustion (Cao et al., 2010), thereby suggesting that the influence of biomass burning for heating was also observed in the YRD area during winter.

3.5.2. **Haze Region V (Pearl River Delta (PRD) area) in China**

The pollution-meteorological conditions during wintertime were much better in Haze Region V (Panyu) in the PRD area than that in the HBP, with PLAM values ranging from 60 to 90 from 2010 to 2013 (corresponding values of 110 to 150 in HPB; ~120 to 125 in YRD) and are associated with a declining trend (Figure 5-left panel-upper part). This decrease in PLAM was very similar to the decreased trend of PM$_{10}$, thereby implying the effect of meteorological factors on the change in PM. In Figure 5, almost all chemical components presented a decreasing since 2010, except for nitrate. In addition to the reduced emission in YRD with emphasis on coal combustion sources, the decrease in the concentrations of major chemical components and PM$_{10}$ can also be partially attributed to improved meteorological conditions since 2010. The proportion of coal in primary energy consumption decreased to 49% in 2013 in Guangzhou. The corresponding number is 60% in 2004 (meeting communication).

Insert [Figure 5] here

The regional background concentrations of SO$_4^{2-}$, OC, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, EC, and mineral dust in this region are 17, 13, 9, 7, 3.5, and 25 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$, respectively, which are similar to the values in YRD. The corresponding winter values are 33, 22, 15, 9, 6.7, and 70 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ for SO$_4^{2-}$, OC, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, EC, and mineral, respectively. The difference between the winter and background concentrations in other season in the PRD area is very similar to those in the YRD area.

The winter OC/EC ratio in Haze Region V (Panyu) is 0.79 with a range of 0.25 to 2.9 from 2006 to 2013, which is close to the value of 1.4 for gasoline (Cao et al., 2010). Thus, different sources were noted in the HBP area for OC and EC with less biomass burning contribution. In January of 2006, 2008, and 2009, the OC/EC ratios were within the range of 2.4 to 2.9, which were
very similar to the fossil fuel combustion (2.0) and diesel oil (3.0) (Cao et al., 2010). As such, 
emission had an evident effect in reducing the contribution of coal combustion and diesel vehicles 
in Guangzhou. After 2010, the winter ratio of OC and EC were within 0.3 to 0.5.

3.5.3. Haze Region VI (Si Chuan Basin (SCB) area) in China

Based on the monthly changes in the various chemical components and PM_{10} in Haze Region 
VI (Chengdu) (Figure 5-left panel), the wintertime mass of various chemical components generally 
had peak values from 2006 to 2013. However, in particular years, this kind of situation was not 
particularly evident. These results suggest that the wintertime heating season had an effect on the 
chemical composition in the SCB area. Specifically, the mass of mineral aerosol was found to have 
an evident peak in winter, which is different from other areas. As such, the influences of coal-ash 
contribution were observed. The significant increase in the concentration during winter was not 
evident for sulfate relative to other chemical species, such as EC and nitrate, especially after 2010, 
because another period had existed in the summer where the values were at their maximum.

Moreover, the decreasing trend of sulfate in January from 2010 to 2013 shows the effect of 
desulfurization in this area. The opposite behavior was observed for nitrate during the same period, 
showing no obvious denitrification effect of power generation plants. During winter, PLAM 
decreased from 2006 to 2009 and remained at a similar level at ~130 from 2010 to 2013. However, 
the value was low in 2011, thereby showing that the effect of bad weather on aerosol pollution is 
medium in the SCB area (Figure 5-left panel-upper part).

The background concentrations of SO_{4}^{2-}, OC, NO_{3}^{-}, NH_{4}^{+}, EC, and mineral dust in this region 
are 23, 22, 9, 7, 5, and 65 μg m^{-3}, respectively, which are similar to the HBP value, with slightly 
higher masses for SO_{4}^{2-} and OC and slightly lower values for NO_{3}^{-} and mineral dust. The 
corresponding winter values are 43, 47, 23, 18, 14, and 145 μg m^{-3}. The difference between the 
winter and background concentrations in the SCB area is very similar to that in the HBP area,
thereby showing the relatively polluted condition in this area.

In general, two values of OC/EC were obtained: ~3.1 in December to January and ~0.41 in February. The higher OC/EC ratio is quite similar to biomass fuel combustion (3.3), and the lower value is close to gasoline (1.4) (Cao et al., 2010). These results suggest the contributions of biomass burning for heating during winter in the SCB area, and the contribution from motor vehicle was also observed. The low OC/EC ratio during winter is also similar to most values of OC/EC during other seasons, thereby showing the strong influences of motor vehicle to OC and EC in this area.

4. Summary

On the basis of mass concentration of sulfate, OC, nitrate, ammonium, EC, and mineral dust from 24-hr aerosol particle (PM$_{10}$) collected from various CAWNET stations from 2006 to 2013, the change in these major water-soluble constituents, carbonaceous species, mineral aerosol and PM$_{10}$ since 2006 and the contribution of meteorological condition were investigated in this study. The mass concentration and comparison of the chemical components in major haze regions of China were also provided with details for 2012 and 2013 in particular, in hope to strengthen the understanding of the growing haze-fog over the years in China. Moreover, this study can also serve as a basis in chemical composition aspect for further evaluation the effect of implementation of various pollution control countermeasures after 2013, called "atmospheric pollution prevention and control of the ten measures of China" by Chinese government. This investigation also provides the inputs and validation of haze-fog forecasting system that used a lot of near-real time (NRT) data.

The major findings of this study can be summarized as follows:

During 2013, the mineral aerosol was found still to be the largest component of PM$_{10}$ in almost all stations in different haze areas, thereby showing the high “dust” characteristics in aerosol pollution of China, in which the contribution of coal-ash should not be ignored. Thus, a high mineral concentration was observed even at southern part of the urban areas in China. The high regional background loading of 90 $\mu$g m$^{-3}$ in mineral aerosol was observed in the Haze Region II,
which not only caused by sand-dust-storm dust, urban fugitive dust, but also coal ash that is highly related with the large amount of coal combustion activities in this area. The increased coal ash during winter, which were mainly from the increased coal-combustion for heating, can be the reason for higher mass concentration of mineral dust in winter, which about 1.5 times higher concentration of mineral aerosol in winter relative to normal background mean at HBP area (~130 μg m\(^{-3}\)) (Table 3). This is also true for other haze regions in China with 2 to 3 factors higher mineral dust mass in winter (Table 3).

- Sulphate contributed the second and the first large anthropogenic, mass fraction to the atmospheric aerosol (i.e., 12% to 25%) in most of areas of China except rural northwest China in 2013. OC normally ranked the third and accounted for about 7% to 19% by mass, with high proportions in Gucheng and Panyu. The mass fractions of nitrate and ammonium were normally around 5% to 10% and 3% to 7%, while in most areas of China, elemental carbon represented 2% to 4%. All these percentage are similar with the results of 2006 and 2007 (Zhang et al., 2012a).

- More than 50% of primary OC and 28% to 50% nitrate mass were attributed to coal-combustion in 2013. In the stations of Gucheng, Zhengzhou and XiAn in HBP, the nitrate concentrations are all higher than or close to that in Beijing that has the largest number of motor vehicles within the Haze Region II. The result suggests that coal-combustion also has an important contribution to the increased nitrate concentration in this area. NH\(^+_4\) concentration are much higher in HBP than in other regions, with the background and winter concentrations of 7.5 and 23 μg m\(^{-3}\), respectively, which imply that the major sources of NH\(^+_4\) are located in the HBP. Agricultural activity is the most important source of ammonium.

- Excessive amount of unclean energy-consumption was the most fundamental reason for the increased severity of haze and fog events in China, in which coal-combustion was still the largest anthropogenic source for aerosol pollution in various areas of China in 2013. The proportion of each chemical component of PM\(_{10}\) had no evident changes from 2006 to 2013, which also shows that the sources for aerosol particles did not significantly change from 2006 to 2013.
Wintertime mass concentrations of aerosol chemical components and PM$_{10}$ are more important than annual mean value in evaluating of aerosol pollution for a year. In the HBP area, the winter concentrations were usually about 2-4 factors higher than the normal regional background masses (Table 3), and the concentrations in winter for various components normally exhibited a decline during 2006 to 2010 (considered as the first phase for the pollution change during 2006-2013), and then rose till 2013 (called second phase).

Given the ~25% increase in PM$_{10}$ concentration in January of 2013, relative to 2012 in the HBP area, while the winter values of SO$_4^{2-}$ and NH$_4^+$ concentrations in 2013 even increased by 1 or near 1 factor in 2013, relative to 2012. The OC concentration increased by ~50%. All these resulted in persistent haze-fog event in Jan. of 2013, which did not happen in the winter of 2012. About ~60% of this increment can be attributable to worsening meteorological conditions. The APEC summit period (8-10 November 2014) was associated with bad meteorological conditions with ~100 to 120 of PLAM at Beijing, ~35% reduction of pollutant emission in the BIV area by various control measures. This ~35% cut resulted in good air quality, and was called “APEC blue” in media (all the details will be introduced in a companioned paper separately). From the fact of increment of ~25% pollutants in the HBP area resulted in persistent haze-fog event in winter 2013, and ~35% cutting derived in APEC Blue in 2014 winter, one could approximately estimates that there will be no frequent persistent haze-fog event induced by aerosol pollution if ~one third of pollutant emission can be reduced on the basis of winter emission level of 2013 in BIV. The ~one-third reduction of 2013 winter emission might be considered as the upper limit of capacity of aerosol pollution in this area.

OC contributed the largest in the increase in PM$_{10}$ concentration during winter from 2010 to 2013 in the HBP area, in which the contribution from biomass fuel burning for heating was identified in addition to the contribution from the scattered and central coal-combustion for heating. The phenomenon was also found in YRD area and SCB but not in PRD area, where the contribution from the coal-combustion to various chemical components was noted before 2009, but after 2010,
the contributions from motor vehicle increased.

- All sulfate data fitting from 2010 to 2013 shows a decreasing trend in the HBP area that is opposite of the fitting when only wintertime data are used, thereby reflecting the effect of power plant desulphurization since 2002 in China. This is also true for PRD and SCB areas, but almost no change in the YRD area. Unlike in sulfate, the linear fit using all season nitrate data in the four major haze regions still showed an increasing trend from 2010 to 2013, thereby partially showing the lack of effect of power plant denitrification. Since large-scale caring on power plant denitrification started in 2012 in China, the effect of desulphurization is not yet fully expressed. Of course the increased number of motor vehicle and the burning of more natural gas also contributed to the increase in nitrate concentration over these years.

- In Haze Region III (YRD area), although there were some changes in different chemical components between 2010-2013, the PM$_{10}$ concentrations in winter season remained less change and is associated with similar changes in PLAM. The regional background concentrations of SO$_4^{2-}$, OC, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, EC and mineral dust are normally 2 to 2.6 factors less than winter high values for each of them (Table 3). The weak influence of winter heating was identified in this area.

- In Haze Region V (PRD area), the pollution-meteorological conditions during winter were much better than that in the HBP, and continually getting better from 2010 to 2013. This improvement is associated with a declining in almost all chemical components and PM$_{10}$ during winter, with less change for nitrate. This trend is the opposite of that in HBP. Within the same Haze Region V, nitrate mass was a factor higher in Panyu of Guangdong province than in Nanjing of Guangxi province, thereby showing a relatively larger influence of motor vehicle and natural gas burning on nitrate concentration in Panyu compared with that in Nanjing. Similar to the mass and difference between winter and normal conditions in the YRD area, the regularly-observed background concentrations of SO$_4^{2-}$, OC, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$ and EC are normally 1-3 factors lower than winter higher values for each of them (Table 3).
components and PM$_{10}$ in winter were all similar to those of the HBP, with background masses $2$-$3$

factors lower than winter concentrations for SO$_4^{2-}$, OC, NO$_3^-$, NH$_4^+$, EC and mineral dust (Table 3).

During winter, the contributions of biomass fuel burning from heating to OC and EC were also identified in this area, and the contribution from motor vehicles also observed in other part of wintertime and other season. The change in chemical components in January and the associated changes in PLAM in the SCB area were similar to those in the YRD area, with a slight change in winter, 130 of PLAM, for aerosol pollution from 2010 to 2013.

Evidence of comparisons for the chemical components in PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ in Beijing suggests that the secondary aerosol particle (sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, SOC), and particles from combustion (EC and POA) are almost associated with the particles with diameters smaller than 2.5 μm. The measurement of PM$_{10}$ from monitoring or filter analysis can provide information that covers all kinds of information related to chemical components of PM$_{2.5}$ and also includes information about mineral dust, especially those with diameters between 2.5 and 10 μm, which can fully reflect the problems of aerosol pollution in China.
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Table 1. Proportion change in major chemical components of PM$_{10}$ at Gucheng

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2008</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3^-$</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_4^+$</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stations</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Region</td>
<td>PM$_{10}$</td>
<td>Mineral</td>
<td>SO$_4^{2-}$</td>
<td>OC</td>
<td>NO$_3^{-}$</td>
<td>NH$_4^{+}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haze Region II (HBP)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gucheng (GC)-2013</td>
<td>semi-urban</td>
<td>Province south of Beijing</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-GC</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhengzhou (ZZ)-2013</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>Province south of Beijing</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-ZZ</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XiAn (XA)-2013</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>Guanzhong Plain</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2011-XA‡</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beijing (BJ)-2009-PM10</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>Haidian District of Beijing</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2009-PM2.5</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haze Region III (YRD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LinAn (LA)-2013</td>
<td>rural</td>
<td>Yangtze River Delta regions</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-LA</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jinsha (JS) -2013</td>
<td>rural</td>
<td>Lower reach of Yangtze River</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-JS</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changde (TYS)- 2013</td>
<td>rural</td>
<td>Dongting and Buoyan lakes basin</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-TYS</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haze Region V (PRD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panyu (PY) -2013</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>Pearl River Delta region</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-PY</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanning (NJ) -2013</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>Pearl River Delta region</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2010-NJ‡</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haze Region VI (SCB)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chengdu (CD) -2013</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>Si Chuan Basin</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-CD</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haze Region I (N. E. China)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dalian (DL) -2013</td>
<td>urban</td>
<td>Southern tip of Liaodong peninsula</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-DL</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haze Region IX (N. W. China)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaolanshan (GLS) -2013</td>
<td>rural</td>
<td>Gansu province</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-GLS</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunhuang (DH) -2013</td>
<td>rural</td>
<td>North margin of Kumutage Desert</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012-DH</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¶ Classification of major haze regions referred from (Zhang et al., 2012a); ‡ At XiAn and Nanjing here used are data in 2011 and 2010, respectively, for comparing with 2013 results; ‖ Beijing are 2009 data for PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$; Lasha data omitted because of no data of 2012 and 2013 for this station.
Table 3. Comparisons between normal regional background and winter concentrations (μg m⁻³) for major chemical components of aerosol particles in major haze regions of China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions</th>
<th>Conditions</th>
<th>SO₂⁻</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>NO₃⁻</th>
<th>NH₄⁺</th>
<th>EC</th>
<th>Mineral aerosol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HBP</td>
<td>Regional background</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winter conc.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YRD</td>
<td>Regional background</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winter conc.</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRD</td>
<td>Regional background</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winter conc.</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCB</td>
<td>Regional background</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winter conc.</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure Captions:

Figure 1: Locations of thirteen CAWNET stations and nine similar visibility changing regions in China (Zhang et al., 2012a)

Figure 2a: Averaged mass concentrations ($\mu$g m$^{-3}$) of major chemical components in thirteen stations during 2006-2010

Figure 2b: Chemical proportions of PM$_{10}$ in 2013

Figure 3: Left panel: Change of monthly median concentrations of major chemical components, PM$_{10}$, and January PLAM at Gucheng in Haze Region II, one of major haze region in the Hua Bei Plain (HPB) area in China. Wintertime data were used in linear trend fitting from 2010 to 2013; Right panel: Histogram analysis for various chemical components.

Figure 4: Left panel: Change of monthly median concentrations of major chemical components, PM$_{10}$, and January PLAM at LinAn in Haze Region III, one of major haze region in the Yangtze River Delta (YRD) area in China. Wintertime data were used in linear trend fitting from 2010 to 2013; Right panel: Histogram analysis result for various chemical components.

Figure 5: Left panel: Change of monthly median concentrations of major chemical components, PM$_{10}$, and January PLAM at Panyu in Haze Region V, one of major haze region in the Pearl River Delta (YRD) area in China. Wintertime data were used in linear trend fitting from 2010 to 2013; Right panel: Histogram analysis result for various chemical components.

Figure 6: Left panel: Change of monthly median concentrations of major chemical components, PM$_{10}$, and January PLAM at Chengdu in Haze Region VI, one of major haze region in the Si Chuan Basin (SCB) area in China. Wintertime data were used in linear trend fitting from 2010 to 2013; Right panel: Histogram analysis result for various chemical components.
The winter concentrations were usually around 43, 55, 28, 23, 21 and 130 μg m-3 for SO42-, OC, NO3-, NH4+, EC and mineral dust, respectively, which were about 2-4 factors higher than the background masses, with corresponding values of 20, 15, 12, 7.5, 5 and 90 μg m-3 in the HBP area.
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