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General comments:

This paper aims to estimate PM10 using empirical models with remote-sensed aerosol data in Seoul. I think that this paper is generally well written and the conclusion is acceptable and consistent with the previous studies. However, it seems to miss out some of important papers that has already dealt with the issue in question through different approaches, but supported their conclusions. Also, equations are somewhat needed to clarify.

Specific comments:

P21713, L8: Add Choi et al. (2009), which is a study relevant to the issue in question for East Asia including Seoul, Korea. The study estimated PM10 using MODIS AOD via M1-type model with coefficients obtained from GEOS-Chem, and documented that poor PM10 estimation in spring can be attributed to dust aerosols. Table 1 may include Choi et al. (2009).


P21717: Methodology section needs to add further discussion about PM2.5. Perhaps the upper limit of integration in equation (2) should be replaced by 1.25, and equation (3) has to be replaced by FOD?

P21718, L2: In equation (1), both the extinction efficiency $Q_{\text{ext}}$ and the size distribution $n$ is also function of RH (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). This leads to confuse the concept of $f(RH)$ independent of $<Q_{\text{ext}}>$ in equation (3). In equations (1) and (2), you may replace $r$ (radius) by $D$ (diameter) for better presentation. Is $H$ is the same as BLH?

P21719, L24: Can $f(RH)$ from Beijing during the spring be applied to Seoul where chemical compositions are very different?

P21720, L3: The accuracy of AERONET measured $Re$ can hardly be guaranteed. What is the known accuracy?
What does AE stand for? Hard to understand.

There is no explanation how equation (4) is related with equation (3).

Table 1 mentioned the methods, M1 to M4, which will be introduced later in Table 3. What makes confusion is that, however, M2 in Table 1 uses RH, while in Table 3 M2 does not use RH. Which one is correct?

There is a recent study by Escribano et al. (2014), which pointed out BLH is critical in the AOD-PM relation. Also they found that the misfit in surface reflectance in MODIS algorithm especially in semi-arid region may lead to a spurious MODIS AOD, leading uncoupled relation between PM and AOD. Please at least discuss their findings too in relation with your study.
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