On the origin of the occasional spring nitrate peak in Greenland snow
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Abstract

Ice-core nitrate concentrations peak in the summer in both Greenland and Antarctica. Two nitrate concentration peaks was observed in some years in ice cores in Greenland from samples dating post-1900, with the additional nitrate peak occurring in the spring. The origin of the spring nitrate peak was hypothesized to be pollution transport from the mid-latitudes in the industrial era. We perform a case study on the origin of a spring nitrate peak in 2005 measured from a snowpit at Summit, Greenland covering three years of snow accumulation. The isotopic composition of nitrate ($\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$ and $\Delta^{17}O$) was also measured. Isotopic data combined with photochemical calculations suggest that the presence of this spring peak is linked to a significantly weakened stratospheric ozone (O$_3$) layer. The weakened O$_3$ layer resulted in elevated UV-B (Ultraviolet B) radiation on the snow surface, where the production of OH and NOx from the photolysis of their precursors were enhanced. Enhanced NOx and OH concentrations resulted in more by the NOx + OH formation pathway, as indicated by decreases in $\delta^{18}O$ and $\Delta^{17}O$ of nitrate associated with the spring peak. We further examined the nitrate concentration record from a shallow ice core covering the period from 1772 to 2006 and compared this record to satellite observations of springtime O$_3$ column density data from 1979 to 2006. We found 19 years with two nitrate peaks after the 1950s. After 1979, all years with two nitrate peaks are also years with springtime O$_3$ column density near or below the 1979-2006 average. We thus hypothesize that the presence of the spring nitrate peak is largely associated with and may be determined by the interannual variability of O$_3$ column density in the Arctic, under the condition of elevated local NOx abundance at Summit after the 1950s resulting from transport of anthropogenic
NO\textsubscript{x} precursors, though direct transport of nitrate and other factor(s) may be important in some years. Isotopic data covering additional years of low O\textsubscript{3} column density are needed to further examine this hypothesis.

1. Introduction

Knowledge of the abundance and variability of reactive nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{x} = NO + NO\textsubscript{2}) is valuable because of the critical role that NO\textsubscript{x} plays in determining the oxidative capacity of the atmosphere. The oxidative capacity of the atmosphere is determined by the tropospheric abundance of hydrogen oxide radicals (HO\textsubscript{x} = OH + HO\textsubscript{2}) and O\textsubscript{3} and largely controls the residence times of pollutants (e.g., CO) and greenhouse gases (e.g., CH\textsubscript{4}). NO\textsubscript{x} is emitted from a variety of sources including fossil fuel combustion, biomass burning, soil emissions, and lightning (Logan, 1983).

In the atmosphere, NO\textsubscript{x} cycle rapidly between NO and NO\textsubscript{2} according to:

\[ \text{NO} + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \]  
\[ \text{NO} + \text{HO}_2(\text{RO}_2) \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{OH}(\text{RO}) \]  
\[ \text{NO}_2 + \text{hv} \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}_3 \]

The main sink of NO\textsubscript{x} is thought to be atmospheric oxidation to nitrate which distributes between the gas- and particulate phases. In general, the formation of nitrate involves reactions of NO\textsubscript{x} with OH and O\textsubscript{3}:

\[ \text{NO}_2 + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3 \]  
\[ \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_3 + \text{O}_2 \]  
\[ \text{NO}_3 + \text{DMS/HC} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3 + \text{products} \]  
\[ \text{NO}_3 + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 \]  
\[ \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{H}_2\text{O}_{(aq)} \rightarrow 2\text{HNO}_3_{(aq)} \]
Reactive halogen species (e.g., BrO) may also play a role in NOx cycling and nitrate formation, especially in the Arctic (Morin et al., 2007). R4 mainly occurs during daytime, as the result of diurnal variation in OH concentrations. The conversion to nitrate via O3 (R5-R8) is negligible during the day, since the NO3 radical is rapidly photolyzed back to NOx in sunlight. Globally, oxidation of NOx by OH (R4) is thought to be the dominant nitrate formation pathway on an annual basis (Alexander et al., 2009). Once formed, nitrate is removed from the atmosphere mainly through wet and dry deposition to the surface. Efforts have been made to use ice core nitrate records to assess information about past changes in the global NOx environment (Fischer et al., 1998; Mayewski et al., 1990), and potentially about the past variations in the atmospheric oxidation capacity (Alexander et al., 2004). However, nitrate concentration in polar snow is also influenced by variations in snow accumulation rate and by post-depositional processes such as evaporation and photolysis (Röthlisberger et al., 2002) of nitrate in near-surface snow. Post-depositional processing of snowpack nitrate has hampered the interpretation of ice core nitrate records in terms of assessing past atmospheric NOx variability.

Examination of detailed chronological nitrate records in snowpits and ice cores may provide clues on the primary factor(s) controlling nitrate concentration and its temporal variability in snow. For example, a clear seasonal pattern in nitrate concentration may be linked to a source with an annual cycle and/or modulated by seasonally varying atmospheric conditions. Many previous studies of snow samples and ice cores from central Greenland have found that nitrate concentration reaches a maximum in summer snow and a minimum in winter snow (Davidson et al., 1989; Finkel and Langway, 1986; Whitlow et al., 1992). This seasonal variation in nitrate
concentration in general is due to the active photochemical reactions during polar
summer (Yang et al., 1995), when peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN), snowpack nitrate and
other NOx reservoir species act as local NOx sources through recycling reactions
(Moxim et al., 1996; Thomas et al., 2012). A few investigators (Burkhart et al., 2006;
Yang et al., 1995; Whitlow et al., 1992) noticed that a single nitrate peak appears
annually in summer snow/ice layer deposited prior to 1900, whereas two nitrate peaks
are seen in some post-1900 annual layers. Although it seems the timing of the
additional nitrate peak differs as observed in ice cores from different locations
(Burkhart et al., 2006), Yang et al. (1995) stated that at Summit the additional peak
occurs in late winter/early spring, which is consistent with the dating in Burkhart et al.

The occurrence of this occasional spring nitrate peak has been hypothesized to be
associated with pollution transport from the mid-latitudes (Burkhart et al., 2006; Yang
et al., 1995), though this hypothesis and the occasional nature of the spring nitrate
peak were not carefully examined. Anthropogenic NOx emissions from fossil fuel
combustion have increased the concentration of atmospheric NOx and other nitrogen
species (e.g., HNO3) in the northern hemisphere since 1900, especially in the last 50
years (Galloway et al., 2003). A corresponding increase in nitrate concentrations in
Greenland ice cores has been documented (Mayewski et al., 1990). Direct transport of
NOx from the mid-latitudes to the Arctic is unlikely given the short lifetime of NOx
(1-3 days (Levy et al., 1999)). Alternatively, long range transport of nitrate and NOx
precursors (e.g., PAN) from anthropogenic NOx source regions could lead to increases
in Greenland snow nitrate concentrations. However, the relative importance of nitrate
and NOx precursors to Greenland snow nitrate is unclear. An earlier study suggested a
significant contribution from PAN (e.g., (Ford et al., 2002)), whereas a recent study (Geng et al., 2014) suggests that transport to Greenland occurs mainly in the form of gaseous HNO₃. In addition to PAN decomposition as a potential local source of NOₓ, the photolysis of nitrate in snowpack produces NOₓ, which is quickly transported to the overlying atmosphere by diffusion and wind pumping (Zatko et al., 2013). This is consistent with the elevated NOₓ concentrations observed in the ice sheets during polar summers (Honrath et al., 1999). In particular, a model study (Thomas et al., 2012) suggested that at Summit, NOₓ produced from snow nitrate photolysis can account for all of the observed NOₓ concentrations in the overlying atmosphere. Elevated local NOₓ concentrations, via enhanced concentrations and/or decomposition rates of NOₓ precursors, could also lead to a nitrate concentration peak in surface snow, in addition to enhanced pollution transport.

The isotopic composition of nitrate can provide valuable information not available from concentration measurement alone, for example, regarding the pathways of NOₓ conversion to nitrate in the atmosphere (Michalski et al., 2003). Stable isotope ratios in nitrate are expressed as δ¹⁵N, δ¹⁸O and Δ¹⁷O, where Δ¹⁷O = δ¹⁷O − 0.52 × δ¹⁸O and \( \delta = \frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{reference}}} - 1 \) with R denoting the \( ^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}, ^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \) and \( ^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} \) isotope ratios. The references are N₂-AIR and VSMOW for N and O, respectively. δ¹⁵N and Δ¹⁷O of nitrate (hereafter denoted as δ¹⁵N(NO₃⁻) and Δ¹⁷O(NO₃⁻), respectively) have been used to investigate the origin and fate of NOₓ in the Arctic troposphere (Morin et al., 2008). In particular, Δ¹⁷O(NO₃⁻) is related to oxidizing conditions and nitrate formation pathways (R4 vs. R5-R8) in the atmosphere (Alexander et al., 2004; Alexander et al., 2009; Kunasek et al., 2008; Michalski et al., 2003; Morin et al., 2011). For example, Michalski et al. (2003) found
that nitrate formed during nighttime (i.e., via R5-R8) has higher $\delta^{17}$O than nitrate formed during daytime (via R4) because of the high $\delta^{17}$O of the dominant nighttime oxidant O$_3$ (Johnson et al., 2000; Lyons, 2001) which is transferred to nitrate. The $\delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) in Greenland snow also reflects the seasonality of nitrate production, with O$_3$ oxidation (R1, R5) being more important in winter than in summer (Kunasek et al., 2008).

After atmospheric nitrate is deposited to the snow, UV photolysis will convert snow nitrate back to NO$_x$, which is then released to the atmosphere (Honrath et al., 1999; Thomas et al., 2012; Zatko et al., 2013). This snow-sourced NO$_x$ will be re-oxidized in the atmosphere to nitrate, which is subsequently re-deposited to surface snow or transported away. Reformation of nitrate in the condensed phase of snow grains can also occur if the nitrate being photolyzed is trapped inside the snow grain instead of on the surface (Meusinger et al., 2014). This is the so-called post-depositional processing of snow nitrate, which includes the steps of photolysis, recombination of photoproducts in the condensed phase and in the overlying atmosphere (i.e., recycling), and the re-deposition and/or export of snow-sourced nitrate. Post-depositional processing induces isotopic effects (Frey et al., 2009). In general, the photolysis of nitrate will enrich $\delta^{15}$N and $\delta^{18}$O of nitrate remaining in snow, but will not alter $\delta^{17}$O as photolysis induces mass-dependent fractionation. If the photolytic products of nitrate are re-oxidized and re-deposited to the snow surface (i.e., no expert/no net loss), no measurable effect on $\delta^{15}$N(NO$_3^-$) will be observed assuming negligible nitrogen isotopic fractionation during the re-formation of nitrate. However, changes in $\delta^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) and $\delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) are expected even without net loss of nitrate. The reformation of nitrate in the condensed phase of snow grains will cause
oxygen isotope exchange with water (Frey et al., 2009), which lowers $\delta^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) and $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$). In addition, the re-oxidation of the snow-sourced NO$_x$ to nitrate in the overlying atmosphere will occur mainly through the OH formation pathway. This is because active snow nitrate photolysis requires strong radiation, which is also when atmospheric nitrate is mainly formed through the daytime reaction channel(NO$_x$ + OH). Recycling of snow-sourced NO$_x$ in the atmosphere will thus likely lower $\delta^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) and $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$), particularly if the initially deposited nitrate was formed through the O$_3$ oxidation pathway (e.g., formed in polar winters when $\delta^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) and $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) values are the highest (Alexander et al., 2009; Kunasek et al., 2008)). The deposition of this reformed nitrate will then lower the bulk snow $\delta^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) and $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$). At sites with snow accumulation rates greater than 100 kg/m$^2$/yr, the oxygen isotope exchange in the condensed phase is minimal (Erbland et al., 2013). This is consistent with the discussion in Fibiger et al. (2013) that the condensed phase process can't explain the observed relationship between $\delta^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) and $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) in surface snow, given the high snow accumulation rate at Summit (~ 260 kg/m$^2$/yr, (Cole-Dai et al., 2013)). However, the Fibiger et al. (2013) analysis neglected atmospheric process, i.e., the reformation of nitrate from snow-sourced NO$_x$ in the atmosphere and its subsequent deposition to surface snow. This process alters $\delta^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) and $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) simultaneously and can explain the relationship between $\delta^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) and $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) in surface snow observed by Fibiger et al. (2013). In addition, Fibiger et al. (2013) suggested that there is little to no post-depositional loss of nitrate at Summit, which might be true. But post-depositional loss is only one (possible) step of the post-depositional processing. Post-depositional processing of
snow nitrate at Summit could be very active while little post-depositional loss occurs, given a fast recycling rate of nitrate in the air-snow interface.

In this study, the concentrations of major ions (Na\(^+\), NH\(_4\)^+, K\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Cl\(^-\), NO\(_3\)^-, and SO\(_4\)^{2-}\), and the isotopic composition of nitrate in a snowpit from central Greenland were measured. The concentration data were used to establish their temporal patterns and to identify any spring nitrate peak(s). The isotopic data were used to assess the chemistry of nitrate in any identified spring peaks and to discern the origin of the peak. Further examinations were conducted on a shallow ice core to determine whether the mechanism leading to the spring nitrate peak observed in the snowpit is representative of the occasional nature of the spring nitrate peaks observed in Greenland snow since 1900.

2. Methods

2.1. Snowpit and ice core sample collection

In July 2007, six snow blocks (dimensions: 0.35 m long \(\times\) 0.25 m wide \(\times\) 0.35 m deep) were excavated from the surface down to a depth of 2.10 m at Summit, Greenland (72.5 °N, 38.5 °W; elevation: 3200 m). These snow blocks were wrapped with clean polyester film with their dimensions labeled and stored in a hard-shell box to avoid external compaction during delivery. Several ice cores including a 79 meter shallow core were drilled approximately 100 meters from the location of the snow blocks. These snow blocks and the ice cores were shipped frozen to the laboratory at South Dakota State University (SDSU) and stored in a freezer at -20 °C until analysis.

At the same time, a set of snowpit samples were also collected in the field every 5 cm from the surface down to the depth of 2.10 m, at the same location of the collected snow blocks. This set of snowpit samples (referred to as SP-1) were double sealed in
clean plastic bags and shipped frozen to Laboratoire de Glaciologie et Géophysique de l’Environnement (LGGE) for nitrate concentration and isotope analysis. All tools/containers directly touching the snowpit samples and/or the snow blocks were pre-cleaned with 18 MΩ water before use.

The density profile of the snow blocks were also measured in the field. In particular, in the field, a small snow cube was collected every 5 cm from the wall of a snowpit. The weight and the volume of the snow cube were measured and then the density of the snow was calculated. The snow density profile from the surface to the depth of 2.1 m was included as supplemental data.

2.2. Chemical and isotope analysis

At SDSU, another set of snow samples (referred to as SP-2) in a vertical sequence was chiseled out from the snow blocks, after the removal of a surface layer of at least 1 cm in thickness, and collected in clean plastic sample containers. All tools and sample containers were pre-cleaned with 18 MΩ water prior to use, and clean disposable plastic gloves were always worn during sample handling. In total, 71 samples with a depth resolution of 3 cm were obtained. These samples were allowed to melt at room temperature and the meltwater samples were then analyzed by ion chromatography for concentrations of major ions in snow (Na⁺, NH₄⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, and SO₄²⁻). The ion chromatography instrumentation and methodology are similar to that described by Cole-Dai et al. (2006). Ice blanks were made from deionized water, processed and analyzed following the same procedure as that for the snow samples. High blank values of Ca²⁺ (up to 5 μg L⁻¹) indicated that the snowpit samples were probably contaminated with Ca²⁺ during sample preparation. No evidence of contamination was found for the other ions. Therefore, the snowpit Ca²⁺
data were not used in interpretation in this study. The 79 meter shallow core was analyzed for the ionic species using the technique of continuous flow analysis with ion chromatography (CFA-IC) detection (Cole-Dai et al., 2006). One advantage of the CFA-IC technique is that it minimizes the potential contamination by eliminating sample preparation. Replicate analysis of blanks with CFA-IC showed no contamination of any of the ions including Ca$^{2+}$.

The concentration measurements of the SP-2 samples described above were used to estimate the volume of meltwater needed to provide sufficient nitrate mass (500 nmoles, allowing for replicate measurements) for isotopic analysis. Based on that estimate, the snow blocks were carved vertically to yield large samples for isotope measurement. A total of 29 clean snow samples (referred to as SB) were obtained from the depth interval of 0.70 m to 1.75 m of the snow blocks (snow outside this depth interval had been consumed for other purposes). The depth resolution of these samples varies from 2 cm to 6 cm because the concentration of nitrate is different at different depths. These samples were melted at room temperature and concentrated, following the method described by Frey et al. (2009), to 10 mL solutions, collected in HDPE bottles, and stored frozen until isotopic analysis. Measurements of N and O isotope ratios in nitrate of the SB samples were performed in the stable isotope laboratory at University of Washington (UW) using the bacterial denitrifier method (Kaiser et al., 2007). Briefly, nitrate in the samples was first converted into N$_2$O gas by the bacteria Pseudomonas aureofaciens; the N$_2$O was carried on-line by helium gas into a heated gold tube where it was thermally decomposed to N$_2$ and O$_2$. These were then separated by gas chromatography and the isotopic ratio(s) of each gas ($^{15}$N/$^{14}$N for N$_2$, and $^{18}$O/$^{16}$O and $^{17}$O/$^{16}$O for O$_2$) was measured with an isotope ratio
mass spectrometer. The $\delta^{15}N$ values were calculated with respect to $N_2$-Air and
calibrated against the two international reference materials IAEA-NO-3 ($\delta^{15}N = 4.7$
‰) and USGS34 ($\delta^{15}N = -1.8$ ‰) (Kaiser et al., 2007). The $\delta^{17}O$ and $\delta^{18}O$ values
were calculated with respect to VSMOW and calibrated against the two international
reference materials USGS34 ($\delta^{17}O = -14.5$ ‰, $\delta^{18}O = -27.9$ ‰) and USGS35 ($\delta^{17}O$
= 51.3 ‰, $\delta^{18}O = 57.5$ ‰) (Kaiser et al., 2007). The $\Delta^{17}O$ values were then calculated
by using the linear equation $\Delta^{17}O = \delta^{17}O - 0.52 \times \delta^{18}O$. No replicate samples were
possible due to the limited amount of snow available in the snow blocks, and no
seasonally resolved isotopic measurements of the ice core samples were preformed
because of the limited amount of ice available. The analytical uncertainty of
$\Delta^{17}O$(NO$_3^-$) and $\delta^{18}O$(NO$_3^-$) measured at the UW laboratory was estimated to be 0.1
‰ and 0.5 ‰ (1σ), and that of $\delta^{15}N$ was 1.0 ‰ (1σ), based on repeated measurements
of the oxygen and nitrogen isotope ratios in the international reference materials
USGS35 and IAEA-NO-3, respectively (Table 1 for details).

The SP-1 samples at LGGE were measured for nitrate concentration and
isotopic composition ($\delta^{15}N$, $\delta^{18}O$ and $\Delta^{17}O$). A small portion of each sample was
taken for nitrate concentration measurement using the well-established Griess method
in continuous flow analysis (Seal Analytical, Method No 1000223-1784-09). The rest
of samples were processed following the similar procedure described above and the N
and O isotope ratios were determined by the bacterial denitrifier method.

Instrumentation details at the LGGE laboratory are similar to that described in
Erbland et al. (2013). International reference materials (USGS34, USGS35 and
IAEA-NO-3) were used for data reduction. The uncertainties of $\Delta^{17}O$(NO$_3^-$),
$\delta^{18}O$(NO$_3^-$) and $\delta^{15}N$(NO$_3^-$) measured at the LGGE laboratory were 0.3 ‰, 2.0 ‰ and
0.3 ‰, respectively, estimated as the reduced standard deviation of the residuals from
the linear regression between the measured reference materials and their accepted
values.

3. Results

3.1. Dating and identifying spring nitrate peak(s)

The concentration data from the SP-1 and SP-2 samples, and the isotopic data
from the SP-1 and SB samples, were plotted as a function of depth in Figure 1 (data
are available in Supplemental Material). The concentration profiles of nitrate from
SP-1 and SP-2 are nearly identical and each displays five peaks approximately at the
same depths (labeled 1, 2, 3, 3' and 4 in Figure 1d). We used the sodium (Na+) peak
as a winter snow layer indicator and the peak of the Cl/Na+ ratio as a summer snow
layer indicator (Whitlow et al., 1992). Sodium concentration in Greenland snow peaks
in winter/late winter due to winter Arctic aerosols (with high sea salt content) and/or
late winter cyclonic storms, while Cl/Na+ peaks in summer likely due to long range
transport of aged sea salt aerosols (Whitlow et al., 1992) which is depleted in Na+
because of the preferential removal of Na+ in aerosols relative to gaseous HCl
following sea salt-acid displacement (Legrand and Delmas, 1988). Since the snowpit
and snow blocks were excavated in July of 2007, the partial peak of Cl/Na+ at the
surface identifies the summer of 2007. The other Cl/Na+ peaks represent the summer
of 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively (Figure 1e) The nitrate peaks 1, 2, 3 and 4 are in
almost identical layers as the Cl/Na+ peaks, providing independent evidence that they
can be considered summer peaks. Nitrate Peak 3' is between a winter Na+ peak and a
summer Cl/Na+ peak, clearly identifying it as a spring peak. This dating by Cl/Na+
and Na+ peaks (referred to here as Method A) is consistent with the results of weekly
recorded snow accumulation data determined by stake height measurements at Summit (data are available at ftp://ftp.summitcamp.org/pub/data/GEOSummit/Bales_UCM/Bamboo%20Forest/Bamboo%20Forest%20Accumulation%20Log.xls) (referred to here as Method B). The approximate months of snowfall at depths of 0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 m identified by Method B were indicated with vertical dashed lines of Figure 1. According to Method B, snow in the layer of the Peak 3' fell in February of 2005, suggesting it is an early spring peak, consistent with previous studies that the additional annual nitrate peak occurs in late winter/early spring (Burkhart et al., 2006; Yang et al., 1995). Some small discrepancies exist between the two dating methods. For example, the month of snowfall at the surface was identified as May of 2007 using Method B instead of July. This discrepancy is due to negligible snowfall (around 2 cm) from May to July 2007. The month of snowfall at the depth of 2 m was identified as March of 2004 using Method B, suggesting that Peak 4 is also a spring peak. However, according to the snow accumulation data, there was only about 3 cm of snow accumulation from March to June of 2004 (Supplemental Figure 1). Negligible snowfall during this time resulted in nitrate produced in summer dry depositing to the prior spring snow layers, making it appear as if the peak occurs in spring using Method B. In addition, the nitrate profiles in SP-1 and SP-2 are slightly different (i.e., nitrate peaks are not exactly at the same depths), which is likely due to the spatial variability of snow accumulation rates in the field due to snow drifting. But the overall temporal patterns of the nitrate profiles in SP-1 and SP-2 are similar, suggesting there was negligible disturbance of the stratigraphy of the snow blocks during delivery and storage.
The record of nitrate concentrations from the shallow ice core is shown in Figure 2 (data are available in Supplemental Material). The annual layer dating of this core was performed by counting the annual spring peak of calcium (Cole-Dai et al., 2013). From this ice core, we found there are nineteen years with two nitrate peaks in the period of 1960 to 2006, obtained by subtracting total calcium peaks from total nitrate peaks in this period (Figure 2). We didn't attempt to specifically identify the seasonality of the peaks. As discussed explicitly in Cole-Dai et al. (2014; 2009), sub-annual layer dating of the ice core samples by seasonal peaks of ion concentrations is imprecise and carries an estimated uncertainty of ± 4 months for ice cores drilled at Summit (sub-annual layer dating of the snowpit samples has a much lower uncertainty due to relatively high temporal resolution). However, we note that the practice of referring to the additional nitrate peak as a spring peak is both consistent with previous studies (Burkhart et al., 2006; Whitlow et al., 1992; Yang et al., 1995), and with our sub-annual layer dating of the snowpit. The frequency of the spring peak in each decade in this period is listed in Table 2. No such spring peak was seen before 1960, similar to the finding by Finkel and Langway (1986) that the spring nitrate peak started appearing after the 1950s, though others (Burkhart et al., 2006; Yang et al., 1995) stated in general that elevated spring nitrate concentrations are seen in snow layers after around 1900.

3.2. $\delta^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$), $\delta^{15}$N(NO$_3^-$) and $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) variations

The $\delta^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$), $\delta^{15}$N(NO$_3^-$) and $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) data from the SP-1 and SB samples are shown in Figure 1a, 1b and 1c, respectively. The depth range of the SP-1 samples (0 to 2.10 m) corresponds to the period of the 2007 summer to 2004 summer, while the depth range of the SB samples (0.7 to 1.75 m) corresponds to the time period of
the 2006 spring/summer to the 2004/2005 winter. In general, all three isotopic signatures of nitrate display large seasonal variations. $\delta^{18}O(\text{NO}_3^-)$ is low in summer and high in winter, while $\delta^{15}N(\text{NO}_3^-)$ is high in spring/early summer and low in winter, consistent with previous measurements of Summit snow samples from Hastings et al. (2004). In the spring of 2005 (the time period corresponding to the depth of the spring nitrate peak), the means ($\pm 1\sigma$) of $\delta^{15}N(\text{NO}_3^-)$ from the SP-1 and SB samples were (5.3 ± 3.3) ‰ and (6.4 ± 2.1) ‰, respectively, much higher than winter values (-9.2 ± 3.3) ‰ from the SP-1 samples and (-7.4 ± 4.4) ‰ from the SB samples. A local minimum in $\delta^{18}O(\text{NO}_3^-)$ was also observed from both the SP-1 and the SB samples at the depth of the 2005 spring nitrate peak (Figure 1a).

The observed seasonality of $\Delta^{17}O(\text{NO}_3^-)$ (Figure 1c) is consistent with the expectation of high $\Delta^{17}O(\text{NO}_3^-)$ during winter (polar night) due to the dominance of the O$_3$ oxidation pathway of NO$_x$ (R5-R8). The magnitude of the seasonality is also consistent with the observations of $\Delta^{17}O(\text{NO}_3^-)$ at Summit by Kunasek et al.(2008). The seasonality of $\Delta^{17}O(\text{NO}_3^-)$ is regulated by the shift of the dominant nitrate formation pathway from OH oxidation (R4) in polar summer/day to that via O$_3$ (R5-R8) in polar winter/night (low summer values and high winter values). Modeling by Kunasek et al. (2008) showed that, in early spring, $\Delta^{17}O$ of locally produced nitrate at Summit should be close to that in winter snow, as oxidation by OH (R4) is very limited at this time due to the lack of sunlight. In other words, at Summit $\Delta^{17}O(\text{NO}_3^-)$ values in winter and early spring should be similar. However, in the early spring of 2005, the $\Delta^{17}O(\text{NO}_3^-)$ values display apparent declines from the prior winter values (Figure 1c). To test whether the declines are significant, we calculate the mean $\Delta^{17}O(\text{NO}_3^-)$ value in the early spring of 2005 and compare it to the mean of measured
winter $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) values. The winter mean $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) was calculated from the 2004/2005 to 2006/2007 winters from the SP-1 samples, and from the 2004/2005 to 2005/2006 winters for the SB samples (samples used to calculate the winter and 2005 early spring means are as marked in Figure 1c). The mean winter value was $(32.9 \pm 1.1) \%$ from the SP-1 samples and $(32.4 \pm 0.6) \%$ from the SB samples. In contrast, the mean $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) value in the early spring of 2005 was $(31.1 \pm 0.6) \%$ from SP-1 and $(30.8 \pm 0.8) \%$ from SB, approximately $1.7 \%$ lower than the winter means, which is statistically significant based on one-tailed t-test (for SP-1 samples: $t = 3.434$, DOF = 24, $P = 0.001$; for SB samples: $t = 4.637$, DOF = 17, $P \leq 0.0005$). A previous study measuring $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) in Summit snow by Kunasek et al. (2008) also noted significantly low $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) values (around 26 \%) in the spring of 2005, compared to the prior winter of $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) around 33 \%.

4. Discussion

The low $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) values in the spring of 2005 suggest an increase in OH oxidation in the formation of nitrate associated with the peak. This is qualitatively consistent with the observed decrease in $\delta^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) from a previous study (Hastings et al., 2004), as increases in the relative importance of OH oxidation will also result in decreases in $\delta^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$). The extra nitrate deposited during the spring of 2005 was estimated to be $5.7 \text{ nmol} \times \text{cm}^{-2}$, which was calculated by subtracting the flux of nitrate ($9.4 \text{ nmol} \times \text{cm}^{-2}$) in the spring of 2006 from the nitrate flux in the spring of 2005 ($15.1 \text{ nmol} \times \text{cm}^{-2}$). The 2006 spring was a normal spring without nitrate peak. This suggests a $60 \%$ increase in nitrate deposited in the spring of 2005 compared to the spring of 2006. The additional nitrate in the spring of 2005 could either come from enhanced transport of nitrate produced elsewhere or be produced locally involving
NOx precursors. In the discussion to follow, we consider separately the possibility of enhanced transport and enhanced local production of nitrate in the Arctic being responsible for the spring 2005 nitrate peak. We further examine whether the explanation for the case of spring 2005 is representative of the occasional nature of the additional nitrate peaks observed in the shallow ice core.

4.1. Enhanced transport

4.1.1. Stratospheric denitrification

Stratospheric denitrification refers to the sedimentation process of Polar Stratospheric Clouds (PSCs) containing nitric acid trihydrate. Research on nitrate in Antarctic snow (Mulvaney and Wolff, 1993) suggested that stratospheric denitrification associated with the winter polar vortex could result in a late winter/early spring nitrate peak. Although denitrification occurs less frequently and less extensively in the Arctic than in Antarctica due to the warmer winter and the weaker and less persistent Arctic vortex (Waugh and Randel, 1999), significant denitrification has been observed in Arctic for some exceptionally cold winters, including the winter of 2004/2005 (Mann et al., 2003; Jin et al., 2006; Kleinbohl et al., 2005). Could stratospheric denitrification in the winter of 2004/2005 have resulted in the early spring nitrate peak?

Stratospheric nitrate is expected to possess high $\Delta^{17}O$ since it is mainly formed via O3 oxidation (McCabe et al., 2007; Savarino et al., 2007) and stratospheric $\Delta^{17}O(O_3)$ is up to 5‰ higher than that in the troposphere (Liang et al., 2006; Lyons, 2001; Mauersberger et al., 2001). In addition, stratospheric nitrate can also be formed via ClO oxidation (McCabe et al., 2007). Nitrate formed via ClO oxidation also possesses high $\Delta^{17}O$ (McCabe et al., 2007; Savarino et al., 2007) due to the internal
non-statistical distribution of isotopes in O₃ which transfers its terminal oxygen atoms
to ClO (Bhattacharya et al., 2008).

If the additional nitrate in the 2005 spring snow originated from stratospheric
denitrification, the Δ¹⁷O(NO₃⁻) would be expected to be anomalously high, not low as
was observed. It is possible that the Δ¹⁷O value of nitrate deposited to the snow
surface is reduced by post-depositional processing, for the photolytic recycling of
snowpack nitrate (nitrate photolysis followed by re-formation and re-deposition of
nitrate) can reduce the Δ¹⁷O signature of nitrate finally preserved in snow (Erbland et
al., 2013). If all of the nitrate produced in the stratosphere undergoes photolytic
recycling, the stratospheric Δ¹⁷O signature would be completely erased (i.e., Δ¹⁷O of
the nitrate would be the same as that of nitrate produced in the tropospheric boundary
layer). Given the high snow accumulation rate at Summit (the degree of snowpack
nitrate photolysis decreases with increasing snow accumulation rate (Röthlisberger et
al., 2002)), it is unlikely that all additional nitrate underwent photolytic recycling.
Consequently, Δ¹⁷O of the preserved nitrate originally produced in the stratosphere
should be no lower than that of the tropospheric nitrate deposited in a typical spring.
Therefore, the observed Δ¹⁷O(NO₃⁻) suggests stratospheric denitrification cannot
account for the spring nitrate peak.

4.1.2. Transport from mid-latitudes

Previous studies (Burkhart et al., 2006; Yang et al., 1995) hypothesized that the
spring nitrate peak results from pollution transport in the industrial era, though the
occasional nature of the peak was not explicitly discussed. In case of strong
meridional transport of nitrate and/or NO₃ precursors, a spring nitrate peak may be
expected. In addition, the Δ¹⁷O of nitrate formed in the mid-latitudes is likely lower
than that of nitrate formed in the Arctic troposphere due to the latitudinal gradient in
$\Delta^{17}\text{O}($NO$_3^-$) resulting from the latitudinal gradient in O$_3$/HOx ratio (Alexander et al.,
2009). Thus, enhanced long-range transport of mid-latitude nitrate to Greenland
during the spring could elevate nitrate concentrations in snow with relatively low
$\Delta^{17}\text{O}($NO$_3^-$), consistent with the observations. Direct transport of nitrate from the mid-
latitudes to Summit is also consistent the conclusions in Kunasek et al. (2008) and
Geng et al. (2014).

Pollution from the Northern mid-latitudes is transported to the Arctic by
poleward meridional circulation, which is strong when the North Atlantic Oscillation
(NAO) is in its positive phase (Eckhardt et al., 2003). However, the NAO index data
in the 2004/2005 winter and early spring of 2005 is not strong compared with other
years during the period of 1997 to 2009 (Osborn, 2011), suggesting no enhanced
transport from the mid-latitudes at this time. If the additional nitrate in the spring of
2005 was from enhanced transport, elevated concentrations of other species derived
from anthropogenic and continental sources, such as SO$_4^{2-}$ and Mg$^{2+}$, would also be
expected, especially for SO$_4^{2-}$ because it has a very similar atmospheric lifetime (4 to
6 days) as nitrate (3 to 7 days) (Park et al., 2004; Pye et al., 2009). However, the data
from the snowpit show that neither SO$_4^{2-}$ nor Mg$^{2+}$ concentrations were elevated
(Figure 1f and 1g) in the spring of 2005 when the nitrate peak was present. Therefore,
it is unlikely that the 2005 spring nitrate peak was caused by enhanced long-range
transport of nitrate from the mid-latitudes during that time period.

In addition to pollution from industrial areas, biomass burning (e.g., wild fire)
plumes from Boreal North America could also potentially increase snow nitrate
concentrations in Greenland. However, the global fire emission data (Giglio et al.,
2013) suggests that fire emissions in Boreal North America (or in Temperate North America and Europe) are not strong in 2005 spring compared to other years during the period from 1997 to 2011. In addition, chemical species transported from fire events will likely cause episodic, sharp spikes, such as the NH$_4^+$ spikes frequently observed in Greenland ice cores (Savarino and Legrand, 1998). The morphology (shape) of the 2005 spring nitrate peak seems to suggest a source different from fire events.

4.2. Enhanced local production

4.2.1. PAN decomposition

Previous work has found that peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) is the dominant form of reactive nitrogen in the Arctic troposphere during winter and spring (Beine and Krognes, 2000; Bottenheim et al., 1993; Honrath and Jaffe, 1992; Jacobi et al., 1999) and its decomposition yields NO$_x$ that is subsequently converted to nitrate. If the decomposition rate of PAN is enhanced in the spring of 2005, more NO$_x$ would be produced resulting in an increase in local nitrate production. The possibility of PAN decomposition in spring leading to the spring nitrate peak has been mentioned in Yang et al. (1995), but not explicitly examined. Here we provide a detailed examination of the possible role of PAN decomposition in the spring 2005 nitrate peak.

PAN decomposes to NO$_x$ either thermally or via photolysis (Talukdar et al., 1995). At temperatures above 255 K, thermal decomposition dominates, while photolysis becomes more important at lower temperatures (Talukdar et al., 1995). The typical spring (February and March) air temperature at Summit is about 240 K (242 K in 2005 and average of 236 K in the springs of 2006 to 2008; data are from http://www.summitcamp.org/resources/files). At these low temperatures, the
NO\textsubscript{x} release from PAN is dominated by photolysis at wavelengths between 290-345 nm (Talukdar et al., 1995; Flowers et al., 2005).

The stratospheric O\textsubscript{3} layer filters out most of the UV-B (\(\lambda = 290-320\) nm) portion of the solar spectrum. A weakened stratospheric O\textsubscript{3} layer will allow more UV-B penetration into the troposphere. In Figure 3, the spring (average of February and March values) O\textsubscript{3} column density at Summit for the years of 1979-2006 are shown (data are from NASA Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer program). The 2005 spring level (290 Dobson Unit (DU)) was significantly lower than the average of spring values from 1979 to 2006 (390 ± 50 (1\(\sigma\)) DU), suggesting a relatively high UV-B flux to the surface in the spring of 2005.

The photolysis of PAN in the spring of 2005 could have been enhanced due to the elevated UV-B radiation caused by stratospheric O\textsubscript{3} loss, leading to increased local NO\textsubscript{x} abundance, and subsequently resulting in the unusual spring nitrate peak in snow. The increase in PAN photolysis resulting from the decreased O\textsubscript{3} column density (290 DU) is estimated with the UCAR Tropospheric Ultraviolet & Visible (TUV) radiation model (available at http://cprm.acd.ucar.edu/Models/TUV/).

Calculations with the TUV model showed a 24 % increase in the photolysis rate constant of PAN \(j = (9.0 ± 5.4) \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1}\) vs. \((7.3 ± 4.3) \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}^{-1}\), both are daytime averages) in the spring of 2005 compared to that in a typical spring (column O\textsubscript{3} density of 390 DU). This would lead to an increase of only 1.6 \(\times\) \(10^5\) \(\text{cm}^{-3}\) in NO\textsubscript{x} number concentration, which is, on average, 0.04 % of the observed springtime NO\textsubscript{x} concentration of \((3.9 ± 3.1) \times \(10^8\) \(\text{cm}^{-3}\) in the Arctic (Stroud et al., 2003). The above calculation assumes steady state of PAN with number concentration of \((2.3 ± 0.7) \times 10^9\) \(\text{cm}^{-3}\) (Stroud et al., 2003) and \([\text{NO}_2] = (((\text{PAN}) \times j_{\text{PAN}}) / k)^{1/2}\), where \([\text{NO}_2]\)
[PAN] represent the number concentrations of NO\textsubscript{2} and PAN, respectively, and \( j_{\text{PAN}} \) (s\(^{-1}\)) is the photolysis rate constant of PAN calculated from the TUV model and \( k \) (cm\(^3\) \times s\(^{-1}\)) is the reaction rate constant of PAN formation at T = 240 K and P = 650 hPa (Summit springtime condition) calculated based on the equation from Atkinson et al. (2006). The additional production of NO\textsubscript{x} from enhanced PAN photolysis is negligible compared to the observed 60 % enhancement in nitrate deposition flux (5.7 nmol × cm\(^{-2}\)) during the spring of 2005. This is consistent with the model prediction by Stroud et al. (2003) that, during spring, PAN is a net sink of NO\textsubscript{x}, rather than a source. A similar conclusion was also reached by Singh et al. (1992) who found that the PAN reservoir is not a significant source of NO\textsubscript{x} until summer.

4.2.2. Snowpack NO\textsubscript{x} emissions

The photolysis of nitrate in the snowpack at snow depths of up to 20 cm or more (Zatko et al., 2013; France et al., 2011; Grannas et al., 2007) emits NO\textsubscript{x} to the overlying atmosphere (Honrath et al., 1999; Frey et al., 2009), serving as a local NO\textsubscript{x} source. NO\textsubscript{x} originating from the photolysis of nitrate in the snowpack can be re-oxidized in the atmosphere to nitrate and re-deposited to the surface. A recent model study (Thomas et al., 2012) suggested that at Summit, the photolysis of snowpack nitrate alone can sustain observed NO concentrations in the local atmospheric boundary layer. If the emission of NO\textsubscript{x} from snowpack were enhanced, local atmospheric nitrate production would also expected to be elevated. The reduction in O\textsubscript{3} column density in the spring of 2005 led to more UV radiation at the surface, which in turn may significantly enhance the photolysis of snow nitrate

photolysis. Consequently, the oxidation of NO\textsubscript{x} released from the snowpack by enhanced photolysis of snowpack nitrate at depths, and subsequent re-deposition of
the nitrate to the surface in spring, would result in elevated nitrate concentration in
surface snow during spring.

In order to estimate the impact of the reduced overhead O$_3$ column density in the
spring of 2005 on local NO$_x$ concentration via the photolysis of snowpack nitrate, we
used the TUV model to calculate the surface actinic flux at Summit in the spring of
2005 (290 DU) versus normal springtime with average O$_3$ column density (390 DU,
which was close to the value (380 DU) in the spring of 2006). The parameterization
from Zatko et al. (2013) was then used to calculate the photolysis frequency ($s^{-1}$) of
snowpack nitrate at wavelengths from 298 to 345 nm (peak wavelength for nitrate
photolysis is around 320 nm (Chu and Anastasio, 2003)). This calculation suggested a
30 % enhancement of the snowpack nitrate photolysis frequency in the spring of 2005
relative to 2006, and thus a similar enhancement of NO$_x$ emission from the snowpack,
due to the reduced overhead O$_3$ column density alone. This likely contributed to the
enhanced local nitrate production in the spring of 2005. However, the relative
importance of snowpack nitrate photolysis to local NO$_x$ abundance in springtime is
unknown, making it difficult to quantitatively assess the contribution from snowpack
emissions to the observed spring nitrate peak. If snowpack nitrate photolysis nitrate
photolysis is the dominant source of boundary layer NO$_x$ at Summit as suggested by
Thomas et al. (2012), then this could account for up to half of the additional nitrate in
the observed 2005 spring nitrate peak.

The relatively high $\delta^{15}$N(NO$_3^-$) values in the spring of 2005 (SP-1: (5.3 ± 3.3)
‰; SP-2 (6.4 ± 2.1) ‰) indicate some post-depositional loss of snowpack nitrate at
that time. Since post-depositional loss is driven by photolysis (Berhanu et al., 2014;
Frey et al., 2009), the observed high $\delta^{15}$N(NO$_3^-$) values suggest active snow nitrate
photolysis during spring 2005. This is qualitatively consistent with the observations at Summit by Hastings et al. (2004) that $\delta^{15}$N(NO$_3^-$) in surface snow is significantly higher in daytime when snow nitrate photolysis is active compared to during the nighttime.

4.2.3. Enhanced conversion of NO$_x$ to nitrate

The number concentration of NO$_x$ in the Arctic mid-troposphere (58-85 °N, 3-6 km) during spring of 2002 has been reported to be $(3.9 \pm 3.1) \times 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$ (Stroud et al., 2003), which is similar to the summer NO$_x$ level at Summit (Jacobi et al., 2004). Under this scenario with sufficient NO$_x$, an increase in nitrate concentration would be expected if the oxidation rate of NO$_x$ to HNO$_3$ is enhanced, even in the absence of increased springtime NO$_x$ concentrations. The oxidation rate can be enhanced by increased oxidant (O$_3$, OH, BrO) levels. Both O$_3$ and BrO have high $\Delta^{17}$O values (Lyons, 2001; Morin et al., 2007), thus an increase in either O$_3$ or BrO concentrations would result in additional nitrate with high $\Delta^{17}$O. The relatively low $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) in the spring 2005 snow (Figure 1c) suggests that increased tropospheric O$_3$ and/or BrO concentrations are unlikely to be the direct cause of enhanced nitrate production.

On the other hand, increased oxidation of NO$_x$ by OH would produce additional nitrate with low values of $\Delta^{17}$O as was observed. In general, the concentration of tropospheric OH is dependent on concentrations of tropospheric O$_3$ and water vapor and the available UV-B radiation through following reactions:

$$O_3 + hv \rightarrow O_2 + O(^1D) \quad (290 \text{ nm} < \lambda < 320 \text{ nm}) \quad (R9)$$

$$O(^1D) + H_2O \rightarrow 2OH \quad (R10)$$

Either a substantial increase in UV-B in the troposphere or an increase in the water vapor content at elevated atmospheric temperatures can increase OH production.
and therefore enhance the conversion of NO$_x$ to HNO$_3$ via OH oxidation (R4). In addition, two other important oxidants involved in NO-NO$_2$ cycling (R2), HO$_2$ and RO$_2$, are formed by reactions of carbon monoxide (CO) and hydrocarbons (RH) with OH:

\[
\text{CO} + \text{OH} \xrightarrow{\text{O}_3} \text{CO}_2 + \text{HO}_2 \quad \text{(R11)}
\]

\[
\text{RH} + \text{OH} \xrightarrow{\text{O}_3} \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{RO}_2 \quad \text{(R12)}
\]

Increased OH will enhance NO-NO$_2$ cycling via HO$_2$ and RO$_2$ and reduce the relative importance of O$_3$ in NO$_x$ cycling, which also leads to lowered $\Delta^{17}$O in nitrate.

Using temperature and relative humidity data at Summit from the Greenland Climate Network Data (GCND, [http://cires.colorado.edu/science/groups/steffen/gcnet/order/admin/station.php](http://cires.colorado.edu/science/groups/steffen/gcnet/order/admin/station.php)), we estimate that the spring (February and March average) atmospheric water vapor mixing ratio in 2005 was 40% higher than that in 2006 due to high temperatures in the 2005 spring (-30 °C) relative to the 2006 spring (-34 °C). Assuming this high water vapor in spring 2005 will result in a maximum increase in OH production of 40%, the increase in water vapor is not enough to account for the 60% enhancement in the nitrate deposition flux in the spring of 2005. In fact, as discussed later, OH must be increased by 200% to explain the full magnitude of the spring nitrate maximum. Although the relatively high temperature in the spring of 2005 may have contributed around 20% (40% increase versus the required 200% increase) to the enhanced local nitrate production, it was likely not the dominant factor producing the spring nitrate peak in 2005.

To determine the effect of reduced column O$_3$ density on OH production at the surface, we used the TUV model to estimate the OH production rate (R9 and R10) at
Summit due to enhanced photolysis of boundary layer O₃. Our calculation showed that the OH production rate due to this mechanism alone was increased by 90% in the spring of 2005 resulting from the decrease in O₃ column density from 390 to 290 DU.

Assuming that the production of HNO₃ via OH oxidation was increased by the same factor, enhanced local OH production could easily account for the 60% enhancement of nitrate flux in the spring of 2005, if all of the additional nitrate was formed via OH oxidation (R4). During a typical Arctic spring, O₃ is expected to be the major oxidant in the oxidation of NOₓ to HNO₃ (Kunasek et al., 2008), so it is unlikely that R4 is solely responsible for the local oxidation of NOₓ to HNO₃. Using a global chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem), Alexander et al. (2009) estimated that about 30% of total nitrate in a normal spring (average in February and March) is produced via OH oxidation at Summit. With this more realistic value, a 200% increase in the local OH production rate was needed to account for the additional nitrate flux in the spring of 2005. Therefore, the enhanced local production rate of OH due to solely the enhanced photolysis of tropospheric O₃ in the spring of 2005 can explain about 50% of the observed spring nitrate peak.

In addition to production via O₃ photolysis (R9 and R10), OH can be formed by the photolysis of snowpack emitted CH₂O, H₂O₂, and HONO (Dassau et al., 2002; Hutterli et al., 2001; Zhou et al., 2001; Sjostedt et al., 2007). Model studies suggested that photolysis of CH₂O, H₂O₂ and HONO contributes a similar amount to local OH production as that from O₃ photolysis (Hutterli et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2002). A recent model study (Thomas et al., 2012) suggested that at Summit, snow-sourced NOₓ by photolysis of snow nitrate also contributes to the local OH concentration (20-50%) via shifting the local OH/HO₂ ratio in favor of OH. The production of OH via
CH$_2$O, HONO and H$_2$O$_2$ photolysis is also strongly influenced by UV-B radiation (Hutterli et al., 2001; Molina et al., 2002; Zhou et al., 2001; Hullar and Anastasio, 2013). Therefore, it is conceivable that under the condition of reduced O$_3$ column density in the spring of 2005, the OH production rate could be increased by 200 % from the combined contribution of OH precursors from the snowpack and enhanced tropospheric O$_3$ photolysis, even without considering the effect of elevated water vapor mixing ratio due to the temperature increase (around 3 °C).

Thus, we propose that the elevated tropospheric UV-B level due to a weakened stratospheric ozone layer in the spring of 2005 likely led to additional local nitrate production in sufficient quantities to account for the spring nitrate peak. The additional nitrate was generated primarily through increased tropospheric OH production from the enhanced photolysis of tropospheric O$_3$ and CH$_2$O, H$_2$O$_2$ and HONO emitted from the snowpack, and also possibly from enhanced NO$_x$ emission from the photolysis of snowpack nitrate. The enhanced snowpack NO$_x$ emission, contributed to the spring nitrate peak by enhancing the local NO$_x$ source and by increasing OH production rate.

4.3. Justification with additional snow and ice core data

Hastings et al. (2004) reported seasonal variations in concentrations, δ$^{18}$O and δ$^{15}$N of snow nitrate at Summit covering the years of 2000 and 2001. Qualitatively, δ$^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) is similar to Δ$^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$), because the δ$^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) value is also determined by the relative importance of O$_3$ versus OH oxidation (higher δ$^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) values are associated with increased O$_3$ oxidation and lower δ$^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) values indicate increased HO$_x$ oxidation) (Hastings et al., 2004). Consequently, δ$^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) is high in winter snow and low in summer snow (as shown in Figure 1a, and also in Hastings et
al. (2004)). The data shown in Hastings et al. (2004) indicated a spring nitrate peak in
$\delta^{18}O$ values ($(69.8 \pm 2.1) \%o$) similar to those during the summer of 2000 ($(70.5$
$\pm 2.4) \%o$). In contrast, in the 2001 spring when no nitrate concentration peak was
observed, $\delta^{18}O(NO_3^-)$ was $(77.5 \pm 2.4) \%o$, which is similar to the $\delta^{18}O(NO_3^-)$ values
observed during the prior winter ($(77.4 \pm 1.9) \%o$), and higher than those observed
during the subsequent summer ($(68.9 \pm 2.1) \%o$). In addition, the mean $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$ in
the spring of 2000 ($(5.9 \pm 6.2) \%o$) from Hastings et al. (2004) is similar to that
observed in the spring of 2005 $(6.4 \pm 2.1) \%o$, and is higher than that observed in
Hastings et al. (2004) during the spring of 2001($( -1.4 \pm 3.0) \%o$). In summary, the
isotopic features of nitrate associated with the spring peaks observed in 2000
(Hastings et al., 2004) and in 2005 are similar, each suggesting enhanced local
photochemistry as a contributor to the observed spring nitrate peaks. This is consistent
with the low O$_3$ column density in the spring of 2000 (337 DU, Figure 3) and 2005
(294 DU, Figure 3). Therefore, the results of Hastings et al. (2004) support the
explanation that the appearance of the spring nitrate peak is caused by a weakened
stratospheric ozone layer.

To further determine whether the above explanation is representative of the
occasional nature of the spring nitrate peak observed in modern snow in Central
Greenland, we compared the nitrate concentration record from the shallow ice core
with O$_3$ column density data from 1979 to 2006, the time period when global O$_3$ data
are available from satellite observations. The year-to-year variability of polar
stratospheric O$_3$ is largely controlled by the Brewer-Dobson circulation (BDC)
through direct transport and indirect coupling between dynamics and chemistry [e.g.,
Halogen-catalyzed chemical destruction leads to a decreasing trend in column $\text{O}_3$ density since 1980 (WMO, 2007) and causes sudden drops in $\text{O}_3$ column density in years when the winter temperatures are anomalously low [e.g., the winter of 2004/2005 (Jin et al., 2006; Kleinbohl et al., 2005)]. The strength of the BDC is related to the wave force, which is represented by extratropical poleward eddy heat flux in the lower stratosphere (Fusco and Salby, 1999; Weber et al., 2011). From the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis data (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/gridded/data.ncep.reanalysis.pressure.html), we retrieved the average eddy heat flux in the lower stratosphere at 40-80° N in January and February (due to the transport lag, January and February eddy heat flux determines the February and March polar stratospheric $\text{O}_3$ abundance) from 1979 to 2006 (Figure 3). Reanalysis data before 1979 are also available, but less reliable due to lack of constraints by satellite observations. Therefore in this study, we only use the data after 1979.

As shown in Figure 3, in the period from 1979 to 2006, the spring nitrate peak only appears when $\text{O}_3$ column density is near or below the average for that period. We note that although spring nitrate concentration peaks are observed in all years with especially low (lower than the average) $\text{O}_3$ column density, there are a few years (3 out of 12) with a spring nitrate peak when $\text{O}_3$ column density is near the 1979-2006 average. In addition, there are other years with similarly average $\text{O}_3$ column density when no spring nitrate peak is observed. We suggest that this is because the presence of the spring nitrate peak also requires other conditions (e.g., sufficient local NO$_x$ abundance), and that this is especially true when $\text{O}_3$ column density is near the 1979-
2006 average. Additional factors may also be important, such as stratospheric input of nitrate and/or long range transport of nitrate, as discussed previously. With isotopic data and other relevant information available, we were able to exclude many possible sources of nitrate to the spring peak in 2005; however, it is difficult to explicitly assess the dominant source(s) of nitrate contributing to the spring peak in each individual year observed in the shallow ice core, mainly due to the lack of isotopic data. It is possible that episodic events bring sufficient nitrate so that a spring peak is detected, when local photochemistry is not significantly enhanced.

Possible local NO$_x$ sources at Summit include PAN decomposition and the photolysis of snowpack nitrate/nitrate photolysis. A model study (Stroud et al., 2003) also suggested that HNO$_4$ is a source of NO$_x$ in remote regions through recycling reactions, but its importance is unclear due to a lack of field observations. In spring, PAN acts as a sink of NO$_x$, which leaves the snowpack nitrate photolysis as the most likely local source of NO$_x$. As shown in Figure 2a, snow nitrate concentrations at Summit began to increase around 1950 due to increasing anthropogenic NO$_x$ emissions in the mid-latitudes (Fischer et al., 1998), and reached and maintained the highest level from 1970 to the present. Since snow nitrate can be photolyzed, releasing NO$_x$ to the boundary layer, the increase in snow nitrate concentrations represents an increase in a potentially important NO$_x$ reservoir in Greenland. Anthropogenic NO$_x$ emissions also increase other reservoir species that may contribute to springtime NO$_x$ abundance at Summit. Prior to the 1950s, when local NO$_x$ abundance was not sufficiently high, no spring nitrate concentration peaks were detected.

5. Conclusion
Spring nitrate peaks have been observed in Greenland ice core records beginning ~1900 and was hypothesized to originate from pollution transport from the mid-latitudes in the industrial era (Burkhart et al., 2006; Whitlow et al., 1992; Yang et al., 1995). Here we report observations of nitrate concentrations isotopes in a snowpit from Summit, Greenland. After identifying a spring nitrate peak in the year of 2005, we performed a case study regarding its origin. The isotopic composition of nitrate in the snowpit, combined with photochemical calculations, suggests the presence of the 2005 spring nitrate peak was caused by enhanced local nitrate production, instead of long range pollution transport. An analysis of the possible causes suggests that this enhancement was primarily due to increased OH concentration mainly resulting from enhanced photolysis of OH precursors (O₃, HONO, H₂O₂, etc.) in the troposphere caused by elevated UV-B radiation at the surface. Elevated UV-B radiation at the surface was the result of a significant reduction of the stratospheric ozone layer in the Arctic in spring 2005. Increased local NOₓ concentrations due to enhanced snowpack nitrate photolysis may also contribute to up to half of the additional nitrate in the spring peak, if snowpack nitrate photolysis is the dominant local NOₓ source. Either the increase in OH concentration or the increase in local NOₓ concentration relies on enhanced photochemical reactions initiated by the reduced O₃ column density. This mechanism is supported by the appearance of a spring nitrate peak in 2000 (Hastings et al., 2004), when a reduced O₃ column density also occurred. In addition, we examined the nitrate concentration record from a shallow ice core from Summit. Years with two nitrate peaks are frequently observed after 1950. Although we did not conduct sub-annual layer dating of this core, the practice of referring to the additional nitrate peak as a spring peak is both consistent with previous studies (Burkhart et al.,
2006; Whitlow et al., 1992; Yang et al., 1995), and with our sub-annual layer dating of the snowpit. Most of the years with two nitrate peaks observed in snow layers after 1979 are also years with significantly low spring ozone column density, consistent with our explanation of the nitrate peak in spring 2005.

Based on the above evidence, we present an alternative hypothesis that the presence of the occasional spring nitrate peak in Greenland snow is largely associated with the interannual variability of \(O_3\) column density in the Arctic. The interannual variability of \(O_3\) column density is mainly controlled by the Brewer-Dobson circulation, while chemical destruction may also play a role beginning around 1980 (WMO, 2007). The presence of the spring nitrate peak, however, also requires sufficient \(NO_x\) at Summit. Local \(NO_x\) sources likely increased dramatically after the 1950s due to increases in anthropogenic \(NO_x\) emissions in the mid-latitudes, leading to a buildup of \(NO_x\) reservoir species (PAN and snow nitrate) at Summit. Seasonally resolved isotopic data (\(\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)\) and \(\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)\)) covering additional years with spring nitrate concentration peaks are needed to further examine how robust the connection is between the spring nitrate peak and \(O_3\) column density. Although it is difficult to conduct such efforts with ice core measurements because of the large sample requirements (> 50 g ice), measurements of snowpit samples can be performed. Snow samples from Summit covering the years of 2010 to 2012 may be ideal for this purpose because there is unprecedented \(O_3\) loss in the spring of 2011 in Arctic (Manney et al., 2011).
6. Acknowledgments

Total column O$_3$ data are from http://jwucky.gsfc.nasa.gov/eptoms/ep.html, by Earth Probe Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer, NASA. We thank Ice Drilling Design and Operations (formerly Ice Coring and Drilling Services), University of Wisconsin for field assistance in drilling the Summit ice cores. A. L. Lanciki and D. G. Ferris of South Dakota State University performed the continuous analysis of the shallow ice core. We also thank J. Bautista of University of Washington for assistance in isotopic measurements and acknowledge the support from the Quaternary Research Center at University of Washington. This work is financially supported by the NSF (Awards 0612461 and 0839066 to J. Cole-Dai, PLR 0944537 and PLR 1106317 to B. Alexander, and 0538049 and 0454803 to E. J. Steig). JS and JE has been supported by a grant from Labex OSUG@2020 (Investissements d’avenir – ANR10 LABX56). The French Polar Institute IPEV is acknowledged for its financial and logistical support to JS (programme 457). LEFE-IMAGO, a scientific program of the Institute National des Sciences de l’Univers (INSU/CNRS), has also provided partial funding for JS.
References


37


Morin, S., Savarino, J., Bekki, S., Gong, S., and Bottenheim, J. W.: Signature of Arctic surface ozone depletion events in the isotope anomaly ($\Delta^{17}O$) of atmospheric nitrate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1451-1469, 10.5194/acp-7-1451-2007, 2007.


Table 1. Uncertainties of replicate measurements of reference gases and standards using the bacterial denitrifier method (Kaiser et al., 2007) at the University of Washington IsoLab.

Table 2. Frequency (number of years per decade) of the spring nitrate peak in each decade from 1960 to 2006 in the shallow ice core.
Figure 1. $\delta^{18}O(NO_3^-)$ (a), $\delta^{15}N(NO_3^-)$ (b), $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$ (c) and concentrations of NO$_3^-$ (d), Na$^+$ (e), SO$_4^{2-}$ (f) and Mg$^{2+}$ (g) in the snow samples (data are 3-point running averages). SP-1 data were plotted in gray with plus signs; SP-2 and SB data were plotted in black with circle and star signs, respectively. The pink and green stars represent samples in SB used to calculate the winter and 2005 spring mean $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$, respectively; while the red and blue plus signs represent samples in SP-1 used to calculate the winter and 2005 spring mean $\Delta^{17}O(NO_3^-)$, respectively. The vertical dashed line indicates the approximate months of snowfall at depths of 0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 m by Method B as described in the text.

Figure 2. (a) Nitrate concentration record from the shallow ice core; (b), (c), (d) show seasonal peaks of NO$_3^-$, Na$^+$ and Ca$^{2+}$, respectively in two sections of the ice core (data shown in b, c and d are 3-point running averages). Vertical dashed lines in (a) indicate the years of snowfall at relevant depths.

Figure 3. Summit springtime O$_3$ column density (February and March averages) and poleward eddy heat flux in the lower stratosphere (40-80° N, January and February averages) from 1979 to 2006. The solid blue line is the average of springtime O$_3$ column density from 1979 to 2006 (390 ± 50 (1σ) DU), and the dashed blue line is the linear least-squared regression of the O$_3$ column density from 1979 to 2006. The symbols marked as red indicate the years with a spring nitrate peak observed in the shallow ice core.
Table 1. Uncertainties of replicate measurements of reference gases and standards using the bacterial denitrifier method (Kaiser et al., 2007) at the University of Washington IsoLab.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>δ(^{15})N (‰)</th>
<th>δ(^{17})O (‰)</th>
<th>δ(^{18})O (‰)</th>
<th>Δ(^{17})O (‰)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zero Enrichment(^a) (N = 30)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAEA-NO-3 (vs. reference gas)(^b)</td>
<td>Short term(^d) (N = 6)</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.0</td>
<td>28.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>56.1 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS35 (vs. reference gas)(^b)</td>
<td>Short term (N = 6)</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.6</td>
<td>63.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>85.2 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAEA-NO-3 (normalized)(^c)</td>
<td>Long term(^e) (N &gt; 100)</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.8</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>25.3 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USGS35 (normalized)(^c)</td>
<td>Short term (N = 6)</td>
<td>4.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>25.2 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Long term (N &gt; 100)</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>51.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>57.1 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short term (N = 6)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>51.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>56.8 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Continuous flow measurements of reference gases (O\(_2\) and N\(_2\)) relative to themselves; values are one standard deviations (1\(\sigma\));
\(^b\) Refers to raw values not corrected for any isotopic effects during the analytical procedure; reported values are means ± RMSD (root-mean-square deviation);
\(^c\) Refers to corrected values using the least squares linear regression curve between the measured and accepted values of reference materials; reported values are means ± 1\(\sigma\) (standard deviation);
\(^d\) Refers to the time period (two days) when samples in this study were measured;
\(^e\) Refers to the period of instrument running since October, 2009 to present.

Table 2. Frequency (number of years per decade) of the spring nitrate peak in each decade from 1960 to 2006 in the shallow ice core.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. $\delta^{18}$O(NO$_3^-$) (a), $\delta^{15}$N(NO$_3^-$) (b), $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$) (c) and concentrations of NO$_3^-$ (d), Na$^+$ (e), SO$_4^{2-}$ (f) and Mg$^{2+}$ (g) in the snow samples (data are 3-point running averages). SP-1 data were plotted in gray with plus signs; SP-2 and SB data were plotted in black with circle and star signs, respectively. The pink and green stars represent samples in SB used to calculate the winter and 2005 spring mean $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$), respectively; while the red and blue plus signs represent samples in SP-1 used to calculate the winter and 2005 spring mean $\Delta^{17}$O(NO$_3^-$), respectively. The vertical dashed line indicates the approximate months of snowfall at depths of 0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 m by Method B as described in the text.
Figure 2. (a) Nitrate concentration record from the shallow ice core; (b), (c), (d) show seasonal peaks of $\text{NO}_3^-$, $\text{Na}^+$ and $\text{Ca}^{2+}$, respectively in two sections of the ice core (data shown in b, c and d are 3-point running averages). Vertical dashed lines in (a) indicate the years of snowfall at relevant depths.
Figure 3. Summit springtime O$_3$ column density (February and March averages) and poleward eddy heat flux in the lower stratosphere (40-80º N, January and February averages) from 1979 to 2006. The solid blue line is the average of springtime O$_3$ column density from 1979 to 2006 (390 ± 50 (1$\sigma$) DU), and the dashed blue line is the linear least-squared regression of the O$_3$ column density from 1979 to 2006. The symbols marked as red indicate the years with a spring nitrate peak observed in the shallow ice core.