The fate of NO$_x$ emissions due to nocturnal oxidation at high latitudes: 1-D simulations and sensitivity experiments
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Abstract

The fate of nitrogen oxide pollution during high-latitude winter is controlled by reactions of dinitrogen pentoxide (N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}) and is highly affected by the competition between heterogeneous atmospheric reactions and deposition to the snowpack. MISTRA, a 1-D photochemical model, simulated an urban pollution plume from Fairbanks, Alaska to investigate this competition of N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} reactions and explore sensitivity to model parameters. It was found that dry deposition of N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} made up a significant fraction of N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} loss near the snowpack, but reactions on aerosol particles dominated loss of N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} over the integrated atmospheric column. Sensitivity experiments found the fate of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions were most sensitive to NO emission flux, photolysis rates, and ambient temperature. The results indicate a strong sensitivity to urban area density, season and clouds, and temperature, implying a strong sensitivity of the results to urban planning and climate change. Results suggest that secondary formation of particulate (PM\textsubscript{2.5}) nitrate in the Fairbanks downtown area does not contribute significant mass to the total PM\textsubscript{2.5} concentration, but appreciable amounts are formed downwind of downtown due to nocturnal NO\textsubscript{x} oxidation and subsequent reaction with ammonia on aerosol particles.

1 Introduction

The high-latitude winter is a unique chemical environment characterized by extreme cold, extended periods of darkness, and constant snow cover. As the world’s population increases, high latitudes are likely to see increased population, enhanced urbanization, and increased resource extraction, all leading to increased pollution emissions including nitrogen-containing species. Anthropogenic nitric oxide (NO) emissions react to form nitrogen dioxide (NO\textsubscript{2}), together they form the chemical family of NO\textsubscript{x}, which is ultimately removed through further oxidation to form nitric acid (HNO\textsubscript{3}). Nitric acid can acidify aerosol particles in the atmosphere or deposit to the ground where it has been found to affect ecosystems adversely (Fenn et al., 2003). In sunlit conditions, the
principal removal pathway of NO₂ is reaction with OH (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006) and this reaction can form significant amounts of HNO₃ during the day, particularly in polluted regions (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 2000). In the absence of photolysis, the “dark” reaction pathway forms the intermediate species nitrate radical (NO₃) and dinitrogen pentoxide (N₂O₅), which have both been measured in the nocturnal boundary layer (e.g. Brown et al., 2003; Ayers and Simpson, 2006; Sommariva et al., 2009). The dark reaction pathway includes Reactions (R1) to (R3), followed by either Reaction (R4a) or (R4b):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{NO} + \text{O}_3 &\rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \quad \text{(R1)} \\
\text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_3 &\rightarrow \text{NO}_3 + \text{O}_2 \quad \text{(R2)} \\
\text{NO}_2 + \text{NO}_3 &\leftrightarrow \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 \quad \text{(R3)} \\
\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow 2\text{HNO}_3 \quad \text{(R4a)} \\
\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{Cl}^- &\rightarrow \text{CINO}_2 + \text{NO}_3^- \quad \text{(R4b)}
\end{align*}
\]

The absence of photolysis allows NO₃ to exist in sufficient concentration for Reaction (R3) to occur and cold temperatures hinder the dissociation of N₂O₅, making the cold and dark conditions of high-latitude winter ideal for N₂O₅ formation. Upon formation, N₂O₅ can undergo heterogeneous hydrolysis through Reaction (R4a) on the surface of an aerosol particle in the atmosphere or the snowpack surface on the ground to form HNO₃. Alternatively, N₂O₅ can react with Cl⁻ (Reaction R4b) after uptake in an aerosol particle to form nitryl chloride (CINO₂), which is volatile and quickly enters the gas phase. Figure 1 outlines the dark oxidation pathway reaction sequence and the competing removal of N₂O₅ by reactions on aerosol particles and the snowpack. Cold and dark conditions of high-latitude winter encourage loss of NOₓ via the dark oxidation pathway. In a modeling study, Dentener and Crutzen (1993) found that 80% of high latitude NOₓ is lost through the dark oxidation pathway in winter. Measurements by Wood et al. (2005) at mid latitudes found total HNO₃ produced by N₂O₅ hydrolysis during the night can be comparable to ambient NO₂ concentrations, suggesting total
HNO₃ produced by heterogeneous hydrolysis may be greater at high latitudes during winter.

The probability of a heterogeneous reaction of N₂O₅ to occur upon a molecular collision with an aerosol particle surface is described through the reactive uptake coefficient, γ. Laboratory and field studies have shown γ can be affected by aerosol particle chemical composition (Hanson and Ravishankara, 1991; Van Doren et al., 1991). In a mid-latitude flight campaign, Brown et al. (2007a) observed a strong dependence of γ on particle acidity and composition. Laboratory analysis has found high concentrations of NO₃⁻ in aerosol particles can hinder uptake of N₂O₅ and suppress γ in a phenomena known as the “nitrate effect” (Mentel et al., 1999). Additionally, Reaction (R4b) was presented by Graedel and Keene (1995) as a sink of N₂O₅ and the product, ClNO₂, has been observed in the atmosphere (Osthoff et al., 2008; Thornton et al., 2010). Bertram and Thornton (2009) found trace amounts of Cl⁻, when the molar Cl⁻/NO₃⁻ > 0.1, can negate the nitrate effect. They have characterized γ’s dependence on aerosol liquid water content, aqueous Cl⁻ concentration, and aqueous NO₃⁻ concentration in a parameterization for mixed organic and inorganic aerosol particles in the laboratory.

Because pollution is typically emitted at or near ground level, vertical gradients of reactive nitrogen species can easily form in nocturnal boundary layers, especially in cold and stable conditions. Observations of vertical distributions of NO₃ and N₂O₅ demonstrated that nocturnal mixing ratios can vary widely over vertical scales of 10 m or less, implying that NO₃ and N₂O₅ occupy distinctly different chemical regimes as a function of altitude (Brown et al., 2007b). Aircraft observations of NO₃ and N₂O₅ show that these species occur at larger concentrations and are longer lived aloft than they are near the ground (Brown et al., 2007a). A modeling study by Geyer and Stutz (2004) found that slow upward transport of NO emitted near the ground, and the simultaneously occurring chemistry, controlled the vertical structure of the chemistry of NOₓ, NO₃, and N₂O₅.

Such observations of vertical gradients of nocturnal nitrogen species may be due to competition between the removal of N₂O₅ through Reaction (R4a) or (R4b) on aerosol
particle surfaces aloft vs. deposition to the ground. Measurements of N$_2$O$_5$ near Fairbanks in winter by our group found sinks of N$_2$O$_5$ (presumably heterogeneous chemistry) were an efficient mechanism for NO$_x$ removal near ground level (Ayers and Simpson, 2006). Apodaca et al. (2008) found that dry aerosol surface area was insufficient to explain the loss of N$_2$O$_5$ observed, suggesting loss to other surfaces plays a key role. To characterize loss to the snowpack, Huff et al. (2011) found the deposition velocity of N$_2$O$_5$ to be 0.59 ± 0.47 cm s$^{-1}$ and that dry deposition represents at least 1/8 of the total chemical removal of N$_2$O$_5$ near the ground. Theoretical studies of Kramm et al. (1995) calculated a somewhat higher N$_2$O$_5$ deposition velocity that is towards the high end of our sensitivity studies. Understanding the magnitude of relative loss rates is essential for interpretation of N$_2$O$_5$ measurements performed at ground level since air parcels near the ground surface will undergo both loss to aerosol particles and the snowpack.

Here we use a 1-D atmospheric chemistry model to address the fate of emitted NO$_x$ in high-latitude winter. A 1-D model allows for analysis of a theoretical atmospheric column composition vs. height over time and comparison of loss processes, such as reaction of N$_2$O$_5$ on aerosol particles vs. the snowpack. Timescales for removal of NO$_x$ are analyzed and model sensitivities to parameters and constraints are examined.

2 Model description

2.1 General features

The meteorological and microphysical part of MISTRA (MIcrophysical STRAtus) was originally a cloud-topped boundary layer model used for microphysical simulations of stratus clouds (Bott et al., 1996). MISTRA has been adapted as a marine and polar boundary layer model for studies of halogen chemistry (von Glasow et al., 2002; Piot and von Glasow, 2008) and includes gas phase, liquid phase, and heterogeneous chemistry, as well a microphysical module that explicitly calculates particle growth and
treats interactions between radiation and particles. The full gas-phase reaction mechanism is available in the supplemental materials of Sommariva and von Glasow (2012), the aqueous mechanism is described in Pechtl et al. (2006), and photolysis rates are calculated online by the method of Landgraf and Crutzen (1998). Aerosol particles are initialized as the sum of three log-normal modes based on Jaenicke (1993) and distributed into 70 bins by diameter. Calculations of kinetic rates are governed by IUPAC (International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry) rate constants. Mixing is driven by turbulent heat exchange coefficient calculations. The model has 150 vertical layers from the bottom layer centered at a height of 5 m to the model top at 2000 m. The bottom 100 layers are spaced with a 10 m vertical resolution while the top 50 layers are spaced logarithmically. The model runs have a 10 s integration time with output every 15 min. For a more detailed explanation of the model see von Glasow et al. (2002).

MISTRA treats dry deposition of gases to the snowpack as an irreversible removal from the lowest atmospheric layer (5 m) to the snowpack below using a resistance model presented by Wesely (Wesely, 1989; Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). The parameterization includes aerodynamic, quasi-laminar, and surface resistance and utilizes gas–aqueous equilibrium coefficients explicitly calculated for each species by MISTRA. Parameters for a mixed forest with wetland in a winter, sub-freezing environment were chosen (Wesely, 1989) and include resistance to deposition by buoyant convection and a lower ground “canopy” to simulate resistance to uptake by leaves, twigs, and other exposed surfaces. No resistance to deposition by large vegetation resistance is included. A dry deposition velocity of 0.59 cm s$^{-1}$ is explicitly specified for N$_2$O$_5$, based on the field study by Huff et al. (2011), while all other dry deposition velocities are calculated using the parameterization by (Wesely, 1989). Significant dry deposition in the model occurs for species of interest NO$_2$, O$_3$, N$_2$O$_5$, and HNO$_3$. The dry deposition velocity for NO is calculated in MISTRA with the Wesely formulation but is unimportant, as found by Wesely and Hicks (2000).

The parameterization presented by Bertram and Thornton (2009) is included to calculate $\gamma$ explicitly for each model layer in time. This parameterization is dependent on
aqueous NO$_3^-$ concentration, aqueous Cl$^-$ concentration, and aerosol particle liquid water content.

To simulate a high-latitude atmospheric column moving in space, MISTRA is initialized with a clean Arctic air mass that then receives a pollution injection for two hours, corresponding to the contact time of an air parcel moving over Fairbanks at a speed of about 1 m s$^{-1}$. Model runs begin at local midnight ($t=0$ h), with pollution injection period beginning at $t=2$ h and ending at $t=4$ h. Injection occurs as a positive flux from the ground surface into the lowest model layer (5 m). No additional injection occurs after $t=4$ h and simulations continue until $t=50$ h for analysis two days “downwind” of the pollution source to focus on the fate of emitted NO$_x$.

2.2 Observational constraints

Model runs did not attempt to simulate a specific day for comparison with observations, but rather typical conditions are presented to study the detailed chemical processes occurring under idealized conditions. The “base case” scenario is initialized as an average November day with a clear sky and snow covered ground with an albedo of 0.8. Photolysis rate calculations are performed online for 10 November at latitude 64.76° N, with a sunrise of 8:03 AKST and a sunset of 15:57 AKST. Both daytime and nighttime chemistry occur in the model. Photolysis rate calculations use a total column ozone of 401 Dobson Units based the average of November 2009 observations over Fairbanks from the Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS, 2011). An initial temperature at ground level of 257 K (Fig. 2) is an observational average from 1929–2010 for November (ACRC, 2011). Relative humidity (RH) is constrained to 78% in the mixed layer for the base case (Fig. 2) based on average of November 2009 observations from the meteorological station located at the Fairbanks International Airport courtesy of the National Climate Data Center (NCDC, 2011).

Vertical mixing at high latitudes can become extremely hindered due to temperature inversions caused by strong radiative cooling from the ground surface at night. We know of no nocturnal vertical profiles of NO$_x$ species above Fairbanks in November, but
a nocturnal in-situ vertical profile of NO$_2$ was obtained in early April from the Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from Aircraft and Satellites (ARCTAS) campaign was available and also represents typical wintertime (inverted) conditions. Therefore, the ARCTAS NO$_2$ profile is used to constrain chemical vertical profiles in such conditions (ARCTAS, 2008). The flight originated at Fairbanks International Airport and took off at 02:23 AKST on 8 April 2008. NO$_2$ was detected to an altitude of 300 m along a flight path to the southwest, away from and downwind of downtown Fairbanks. The temperature profile obtained from the 8 April 2008 flight showed a surface inversion to 50 m and a capping inversion at 300 m. Vertical mixing in stable conditions often presents problems in model simulations (e.g. Anderson and Neff, 2008) and our simulations suffer from this as well. Attempts to simulate chemical profiles based on temperature profile observations did not yield results that agreed with the chemical profiles. Therefore, a mixed layer of 300 m is initialized using a dry-adiabatic lapse rate from the ground capped by a small isothermal layer (Fig. 2). The modeled vertical temperature profile allows for mixing of NO$_2$ to agree with the observed chemical vertical profile.

The chemical composition of the modeled atmospheric column at $t = 0$ h represents an unpolluted Arctic air mass. Ambient ozone mixing ratios from Barrow, Alaska are 35 nmol mol$^{-1}$ on average from 2000–2010 in November, with peak abundances of 42 nmol mol$^{-1}$ (ESRL, 2011) and concentrations of polar aerosols found close to the surface are generally very low (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). Therefore, the background chemical composition of the model is initialized as devoid of anthropogenic pollutants with an O$_3$ mixing ratio of 40 nmol mol$^{-1}$ and an aerosol particle loading of < 1 µg m$^{-3}$.

The pollution injection during the “emission period” consists of NO, sulfur dioxide (SO$_2$), ammonia (NH$_3$), and aerosol particles containing organic matter, trace chloride (Cl$^-$), and sulfuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$) (Table 1). Sufficient NO emissions can “titrate” an air mass through Reactions (R1) and (R2), depleting O$_3$ and leading to an environment with excess NO, which is observed almost nightly in winter months in downtown Fairbanks (State of Alaska, 2008). The modeled NO flux is the smallest emission rate
possible to titrate ozone to near zero through Reactions (R1) and (R2). This yields a modeled NO\textsubscript{x} mixing ratio of 58 nmol mol\textsuperscript{-1} at the end of the pollution injection period (\(t = 4\) h), which is within the first quartile (Q1) to third quartile (Q3) range of 31–103 nmol mol\textsuperscript{-1} from observations in downtown Fairbanks (State of Alaska, 2008) and simultaneously brings O\textsubscript{3} down to 1 nmol mol\textsuperscript{-1} at ground level. Emission of SO\textsubscript{2} is constrained by November 2008 average abundance observed in downtown Fairbanks (State of Alaska, 2008).

Ammonia and aerosol particle emissions are interrelated. Modeled aerosol particles are emitted as liquid particles containing organic material, sulfuric acid (H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}), and trace amounts of chloride and are constrained by PM\textsubscript{2.5} (aerosol particles with aerodynamic diameter \(< 2.5\) µm) observations from downtown Fairbanks (ADEC, 2007) (Table 1). To obtain an appropriate aerosol number density and surface area, the number density of a standard tri-modal urban aerosol distribution (Jaenicke, 1993) is scaled to agree with the average PM\textsubscript{2.5} mass observation for November (ADEC, 2007). Sulfate (SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2–}) concentrations from emitted H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} are constrained on a percent mass basis based on total PM\textsubscript{2.5}. The remaining observed aerosol particulate mass is primarily composed of organic carbon, elemental carbon, and heavy metals and is accounted for in the model using chemically inert dissolved organic matter.

Currently, there are no known ammonia observations in Fairbanks. Ammonia mixing ratios in remote areas can be \(< 50\) pmol mol\textsuperscript{-1} (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 2000), so background NH\textsubscript{3} is initialized as 0.05 nmol mol\textsuperscript{-1}. Biomass burning is a well-documented source of ammonia emission (Yokelson et al., 1996, 1997; Akagi et al., 2011), suggesting combustion of local fuel in woodstoves is a significant NH\textsubscript{3} source. Emission of ammonia is constrained based on ratios of CO and NO\textsubscript{x} emissions using Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) emission inventories and calculations based on previous studies. The EPA emissions inventory for Fairbanks in 2005 listed 1325 tons year\textsuperscript{-1} (TPY) of carbon monoxide (CO) (ADEC, 2008). Assuming all woodstove emissions are produced in the winter 6 months out of the year, this yields an estimate of 221 tons month\textsuperscript{-1} (TPM) of CO. Studies of smoldering wood smoke composition by
Yokelson et al. (1997) have shown ammonia is the primary nitrogen emission from a smoldering fire and estimate NH$_3$ emissions from burning wood to be 10.8% of the CO emission for white spruce harvested in Alaska. Assuming local fuel is consumed in woodstoves, the estimation using Yokelson et al. (1997) would yield 24 TPM NH$_3$, currently not accounted for in the emissions inventory. For mobile sources, the emissions inventory reports 71 TPM NO$_x$ and 4 TPM NH$_3$ from annually occurring on-road, gasoline-powered sources. Calculations based on results from a study by Kean et al. (2000) suggest the magnitude of NH$_3$ emissions are 25% that of NO$_x$ from automobiles due to use of 3-way catalyst systems in gas-powered vehicles. By this estimate, on-road NH$_3$ from gas-power vehicles is 18 TPM, an estimate 4.5x higher than the NH$_3$ value listed in the inventory. Together, these estimates of NH$_3$ emissions from woodstoves and automotive sources make for 42 TPM NH$_3$, which is 4.8% of the total reported NO$_x$ emission of 872 TPM. Therefore, the ammonia flux during the emission period in the base case is constrained to be 4.8% by mass of NO$_x$ emissions.

Ammonia (NH$_3$) readily protonates in acidic particles to form ammonium (NH$_4^+$), increasing the pH. The molar ratio of NH$_4^+$/SO$_4^{2-}$ in aerosol particles can be used to determine aerosol acidity, where a value above 2 indicates that all sulfuric acid has been neutralized. Data from downtown Fairbanks shows the Q1–Q3 range of molar NH$_4^+$/SO$_4^{2-}$ to be 1.5–2.4 (State of Alaska, 2011). Modeled NH$_3$ emission from the ground, as explained above, is ~3 times the molar H$_2$SO$_4$ emission, thus enough NH$_3$ is emitted to neutralize the sulfuric acid.

3 Results

3.1 The urban pollution plume

The evolution of modeled primary emissions, destruction of ozone, and resulting oxidation products are shown in Figs. 3 and 4. All pollutants rapidly mix upon emission to 100 m at $t = 4$ h, reaching 300 m at approximately $t = 8$ h, then slowly dilute higher
for the duration of the model run. The NO\textsubscript{x} vertical profile (Fig. 3a) shows a strong decrease with height at the end of the emission period due to ground level emission. Emitted NO\textsubscript{x} reaches 100 m altitude at the end of the emission period (\( t = 4 \) h) and 300 m, the top of the initialized mixed layer, within 2 h after emissions cease (\( t = 6 \) h).

Modeled total PM\textsubscript{2.5} (Fig. 3b) shows a vertical profile similar to NO\textsubscript{x} in the first two hours after the emission period ends (\( t = 6 \) h) due to vertical dilution. No observations of aerosol number density and surface area are available for downtown Fairbanks for model evaluation. Modeled values at ground level at \( t = 4 \) h reach a number density of \( 2 \times 10^4 \) cm\textsuperscript{-3} and aerosol surface area density of 380 µm\textsuperscript{2} cm\textsuperscript{-3}. Modeled nitrate produced through secondary formation by Reaction (R4a) and (R4b) in aerosol particles is 2 % of total PM\textsubscript{2.5} mass at \( t = 4 \) h, compared to an average observed value of 4.4 % total PM\textsubscript{2.5} mass in November (ADEC, 2007). Background and emitted ammonia rapidly react with emitted acidic aerosol particles, forming particulate ammonium (Fig. 4f). Modeled ammonium in aerosol particles is 5 % by mass at \( t = 4 \) h, and closely resembles ammonium observations comprising 6.4 % of total PM\textsubscript{2.5} mass (State of Alaska, 2011). Particulate ammonium formation leads to values of NH\textsuperscript{+}\textsubscript{4}/SO\textsuperscript{2−}\textsubscript{4} = 1.5 (Table 1) at the end of the emission period (\( t = 4 \) h) through aerosol particle uptake and increases the molar ratio of NH\textsuperscript{+}\textsubscript{4}/SO\textsuperscript{2−}\textsubscript{4} to 2.1 one hour after the emission period (\( t = 5 \) h). Column integrated SO\textsubscript{2} remains constant in time, indicating that the model does not produce significant amounts of sulfate in the base case, and the only loss mechanism of SO\textsubscript{2} from the atmosphere is dry deposition (not shown).

3.2 Plume evolution in the base case

Previous field studies in Fairbanks were performed outside of the downtown area in order to observe un-titrated air masses that allow for formation of N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}. Ayers and Simpson (2006) conducted measurements on the edge of the populated area of Fairbanks and observed both titrated and un-titrated air masses. Modeled dilution of NO\textsubscript{x} (Fig. 3a) agrees well with various field measurements in the greater Fairbanks area (Table 2), where abundances of NO\textsubscript{x} reduce with distance from downtown.
Background ozone (Fig. 4a) is depleted (< 2 nmol mol\(^{-1}\)) at ground level at \(t = 4\) h and is significantly reduced in the mixed layer due to titration of the air mass through Reactions (R1) and (R2). Ozone abundance returns to near background approximately four hours after the pollution injection due to vertical mixing and photolysis of NO\(_2\) in daylight hours.

Abundance of N\(_2\)O\(_5\) in the model (Fig. 4b) peaks aloft in the early morning of the first day (\(t = 9\) h) and in the middle of the second night (beginning \(t = 21\) h). The diurnal cycle of N\(_2\)O\(_5\) shows it is not produced during daylight hours but peak levels can be maintained for about one day after NO emissions cease from the remaining NO\(_x\) in the atmosphere. A reduction in mixing ratio of N\(_2\)O\(_5\) near the ground occurs due to dry deposition to the snowpack. Modeled abundance of N\(_2\)O\(_5\) agrees well with observations by Ayers and Simpson (2006), but modeled N\(_2\)O\(_5\) near the ground is over-estimated at longer distances (Table 2). This result is consistent with enhanced N\(_2\)O\(_5\) deposition to vegetation and enhanced turbulence due to surface vegetation and is discussed in Sect. 5.1.

Formation of ClNO\(_2\) (Fig. 4c) occurs immediately upon formation of N\(_2\)O\(_5\) through Reaction (R4b) and removes trace Cl\(^-\) in emitted aerosol particles (not shown) in less than one hour after emissions end (\(t = 5\) h). A reduction in N\(_2\)O\(_5\) mixing ratio below 50 m can be seen (Fig. 4b) from \(t = 4\) h to \(t = 5\) h that is due to ClNO\(_2\) formation. Once formed, ClNO\(_2\) dilutes through the mixed layer and abundances of \(\sim 20\) pmol mol\(^{-1}\) throughout the mixed layer are lost through photolysis during the first day resulting in peak Cl radical concentrations of \(2.6 \times 10^3\) radicals cm\(^{-3}\). Formation of ClNO\(_2\) is limited by aqueous Cl\(^-\) concentrations in this simulation.

Particulate nitrate (Fig. 4d) is primarily formed through Reaction (R4a) and peaks \(\sim 24\) h after the emission period at the end of the second night, corresponding to reactive uptake of N\(_2\)O\(_5\) formed during the second night. Total nitrate (all aerosol particle sizes) peaks at a concentration of 6.0 µg m\(^{-3}\) at an altitude of 325 m at \(t = 30\) h, where 4.2 µg m\(^{-3}\) of the nitrate is in the PM\(_{2.5}\) size fraction. Concentrations of nitrate at ground level reach a maximum of 2.2 µg m\(^{-3}\) about 16 h after emission ends, showing a delay
in secondary formation of nitrate through the dark oxidation pathway. Gas phase nitric acid (Fig. 4e) mixing ratio peaks within hours after the nitrate aerosol peaks and is outgassed by particles made acidic through Reaction (R4a). Larger aerosol particles are able to uptake greater amounts of $\text{NO}_3^-$ The peak number density of large aerosol particles ($d > 2.5 \mu m$) occurs aloft, leading to increased $\text{NO}_3^-$ aloft (Fig. 4d) and decreased abundance of gas-phase $\text{HNO}_3$ aloft (Fig. 4e). The modeled $\text{HNO}_3$ does not react readily with other species and will be ultimately removed through aerosol uptake upon mixing or deposition to the snowpack.

Formation of $\text{NH}_4^+$ (Fig. 4f) occurs during the emission period and one hour immediately following emission due to aerosol particle uptake of $\text{NH}_3$ and neutralization of emitted sulfuric acid aerosol particles. This process depletes background ammonia and emitted ammonia throughout the column (not shown) and forms ammonium sulfate [(NH$_4$)$_2$SO$_4$] or ammonium nitrate (NH$_4$NO$_3$) in the particles. Once ammonium is formed in the aerosol particles they are well-mixed throughout the mixed layer and no losses from the atmosphere exist except aerosol particle deposition to the snowpack. Some additional ammonium is produced after the emission period due to entrainment from background ammonia above the mixed layer.

### 3.3 Fate of NO$_x$ in the base case

Nitrogen speciation is divided into four categories (Fig. 1) to characterize the state of emitted NO$_x$ in time. Gas-phase nitrogen oxide species that have not yet undergone heterogenous reaction on aerosol particles (R4a and R4b) are grouped into the term “un-reacted”, which is not meant to imply no reaction but simply no irreversible heterogenous conversion to nitrate-type species. The “un-reacted” fraction includes NO$_x$, NO$_3$ (which is very small due to reactivity) N$_2$O$_5$, and other reactive nitrogen species present in sub-pmol mol$^{-1}$ range: HONO, HNO$_4$, and N$_2$O$_4$. The “aerosol reacted” fraction includes any aqueous phase NO$_3^-$, HNO$_3$ formed by nighttime chemistry then outgassed from acidic particles, and ClNO$_2$ that remains suspended in the atmosphere.
The “$\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ dry deposited” fraction represents dry deposition of $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ only. The “other deposited” fraction includes dry deposition of $\text{NO}_2$ and $\text{HNO}_3$ and deposition of $\text{NO}_3^-$ aerosol. Reduced species $\text{NH}_3$ and $\text{NH}_4^+$ are not oxidized under simulation conditions and are not included in the speciation analysis.

Figure 5 presents a time series of speciation of emitted $\text{NO}_x$, depicted as the column integrated fraction of each species out of the total emitted $\text{NO}_x$. Diurnal cycles discernible include the formation of NO and destruction of $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ during the day. A vertical transect at any point in time depicts the current state of emitted $\text{NO}_x$. Most apparent is the trend of the un-reacted fraction decreasing with time. In the base case, only 36% of un-reacted nitrogen remains in the atmosphere two days after the beginning of emissions ($t = 50\,\text{h}$), with the remaining 63% partitioned among the other categories (Fig. 5). The large fraction of gas phase $\text{HNO}_3$ (33% at $t = 50\,\text{h}$) is due to acidic aerosol conditions and represents a significant fate of emitted $\text{NO}_x$. Nighttime formation of $\text{HNO}_3$ dominates gas phase $\text{HNO}_3$ production, but a small amount of $\text{HNO}_3$ production can be seen in the afternoon periods due to the daytime oxidation pathway. Dry deposition of $\text{HNO}_3$ through the aerosol reacted pathway is the fate of 5% of the total emitted NO after two days, but is less than the $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ dry deposited fraction of 17%. Dry deposition of $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5$ makes up a discernable fraction two hours after the emission period ends while $\text{NO}_3^-$ aerosol deposition and $\text{HNO}_3$ dry deposition does not build until 16 h after the emission period ends. A slight increase in dry deposition occurs during the day due to increased turbulent mixing. Other reactive nitrogen species such as HONO, $\text{HNO}_4$, and $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4$ are included with the NO$_2$ fraction and make up an insignificant portion (< 1%).

4 Sensitivity of the fate of $\text{NO}_x$ to model parameters

Experiments were performed to analyze the sensitivity of the fate of $\text{NO}_x$ to model constraints by modifying parameters over ranges based on realistic conditions. These experiments are presented as demonstrations of model performance as well as repre-
sentations of the base case under changing scenarios. Sensitivities found to be most significant are described below and are depicted in Fig. 6a–h. Analysis of each experiment is conducted by relative comparison of total nitrogen fractions in each speciation category two days after the emission period ends ($t = 50$ h).

4.1 NO emission rate

Increased flux of NO during the emission period leads to increased NO$_x$ abundance, most intensely near the ground. Increased mixing ratio of NO depletes O$_3$ in the mixed layer, slowing Reactions (R1) and (R2) and N$_2$O$_5$ formation. This slowing of N$_2$O$_5$ formation causes the un-reacted fraction to remain dominant. The 5×-NO case represents a strongly titrated air mass. In this case, modeled NO$_x$ reaches 300 nmol mol$^{-1}$ at $t = 4$ h, within the range of downtown observations (Table 1), leaving excess NO and depleted ozone at night throughout the mixed layer for the entire duration of the run, suppressing N$_2$O$_5$ formation and slowing nocturnal oxidation of NO$_x$. Alternatively, under a lower NO emission rate, NO$_x$ is efficiently removed through the dark oxidation pathway, with preference for the aerosol reacted fraction.

4.2 NH$_3$ emission rate

Increased emissions of NH$_3$ lead to greater amounts of NO$_3^-$ retention in the particulate phase, giving increased particulate surface area and thus a greater aerosol reacted fraction. This result was somewhat surprising because we expected that the increased nitrate effect from enhanced NO$_3^-$ retention would decrease the reactive uptake coefficient, according to the parameterisation by Bertram and Thornton (2009), and reduce the aerosol particle reactivity. However, the aerosol particle reactivity is the product of the uptake coefficient $\gamma$ and the aerosol surface area density, and the surface area term increase outweighs the reduction in $\gamma$ due to the nitrate effect. This sensitivity is discussed further in Sect. 5.3.
4.3 Aerosol emission rate

In general, increased aerosol flux from the surface leads to greater aerosol particle number density, surface area, and mass density of sulfate particles. Primary sulfate emissions do not leave the particles and thus lead to increased total aerosol particle mass. The increase in aerosol particle surface area allows for more surface reactivity and increases the aerosol reacted fraction and aerosol particle deposition in the other deposited fraction over the $1/5 \times 5 \times$ factor sensitivity experiments. Additionally, the $N_2O_5$ dry deposited fraction is decreased due to the enhanced aerosol uptake. The decrease of the aerosol reacted fraction in the $2 \times$ experiment requires further examination, but is likely a feedback based on NO$_x$ emission and time of analysis ($t = 50$ h).

4.4 $N_2O_5$ dry deposition velocity

The empirical value of dry deposition velocity of $N_2O_5$ was found to be between 0.12–1.06 cm s$^{-1}$ (Huff et al., 2011) and covered by the range of the $1/5 \times 2 \times$ sensitivity experiments. The total fraction of $N_2O_5$ dry deposited varies from 5% to 25% over this range. Increases in the dry deposition velocity of $N_2O_5$ lead to an increase in the $N_2O_5$ dry deposited fraction, a corresponding decrease in all other fractions, and a reduction of $N_2O_5$ mixing ratio at ground level, near the snowpack.

4.5 Photolysis

In this experiment, photolysis calculations are carried out for 10 November, 21 December, 22 January, 21 February, and 20 March. The lowest photolysis rate (21 December) corresponds to the smallest un-reacted fraction. Under the weakest photolysis conditions, $N_2O_5$ is present at all hours and reaches a minimum value of 200 pmol mol$^{-1}$ throughout the mixed layer during the day. This $N_2O_5$ abundance allows for nitrate formation via the dark oxidation pathway through Reaction (R3) for 24 h per day. Increased photolysis and longer periods of daylight (20 March) leads to an increased un-reacted...
fraction due to limitation of Reaction (R3) during the shorter nights and weak daytime oxidation of NO\textsubscript{x}. Monthly average temperatures in winter in Fairbanks are very similar due to large temperature fluctuations over a monthly time period, and each month is likely have days near the base case temperature of 258 K. For a sensitivity experiment with respect to temperature, see (Sect. 4.7).

4.6 Initial RH

This experiment modifies the initial RH in the mixed layer. Increases in RH lead to increases in aerosol surface area from water vapor to particle equilibrium, which is calculated by the model. Most substantial in a relatively dry mixed layer, a 20% increase in RH from 40% to 60% increase the aerosol reacted fraction by 9%.

4.7 Surface temperature

For this experiment, temperature at bottom layer of the atmosphere ranges from 228 K to 273 K, which could occur on any given day during the months of November to March. Decreasing temperatures produce a significantly greater un-reacted fraction due kinetic limitation of reactions.

4.8 Initial mixing height

The mixed layer in the model gradually rises in time (Fig. 2) due to mixing from above. Due to the time needed to mix air throughout the 300 m mixed layer (~ 6 h), the height of the mixed layer is nearly constant at 100 m at the end of the emission period for all runs (100–400 m) and therefore does not affect constrained mixing ratios of emissions. Thus, this experiment shows variation of the dilution downwind of the emission source due to a variable mixed layer height. Increases in the height of the mixed layer decrease both N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} dry deposited and other deposited fractions while increasing the amount of aerosol reacted fraction retained in the atmosphere due to less contact with the snowpack surface.
4.9 Chloride concentration (not shown)

In this experiment, aqueous concentration of emitted chloride in aerosol particles varies from zero–5× base to determine the effect on NO\textsubscript{x}. This range leads a particulate chloride concentrations of 0.00–0.56 µg m\textsuperscript{-3} at \( t = 4 \) h near the ground. These trace amounts of Cl\textsuperscript{−} present in the particles slightly reduce the aerosol reacted fraction, while the aerosol-reacted fraction increases by 3% when no Cl\textsuperscript{−} is included. This weak sensitivity of the fate of NO\textsubscript{x} to particulate chloride is likely due to analysis occurring two days after emission. Analysis less than eight hours after emissions end yielded a larger sensitivity to Cl\textsuperscript{−} due to the presence of ClNO\textsubscript{2} in the aerosol reacted fraction. Significant reductions in N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} mixing ratio and nitrate production are seen (Fig. 4b) in the first hours after emission ends due to production of ClNO\textsubscript{2}. Therefore, aerosol chloride concentrations may have a much greater impact on a local scale.

4.10 Time of day (not shown)

The start of the emission period was varied to analyze the effect of photolysis on the fresh or aged plume. With respect to local impacts (under 8 h), time of day has a significant effect on column composition by hindering or allowing the dark oxidation pathway to occur immediately after emission. Therefore, time of day of NO\textsubscript{x} emission is found to have a significant effect on N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} deposition on a local scale, where NO\textsubscript{x} emissions in daylight are likely to travel farther from the source before undergoing oxidation and NO\textsubscript{x} emissions at night will enhance local deposition. By \( t = 50 \) h, however, the plumes are exposed to approximately equal amounts of sunlight and there is no significant effect on the fate of NO\textsubscript{x}.
4.11 **SO₂ emission (not shown)**

Weak photolysis conditions in the base case do not allow for significant secondary formation of sulfate by SO₂ oxidation by the OH radical. Therefore, SO₂ is virtually inert in these simulations and does not affect the fate of NOₓ.

4.12 **Deposition to canopy (not shown)**

An additional experiment was performed to include the “upper canopy” term (see Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006) of mixed forest in the dry deposition parameterization to simulate deposition to trees. Addition of an upper canopy parameter in the dry deposition equation leads to increases of dry deposition velocity of 10% for HNO₃, 16% for NO₃, and an order of magnitude for NO₂. The explicitly set value for dry deposition velocity of N₂O₅ is scaled up by 10% for this experiment, based on the result for HNO₃. Including the upper canopy results in a 4% increase in the other deposited fraction, primarily due to increased NO₂ deposition, and a < 1% increase in the N₂O₅ dry deposited fraction. In this 1-D model, addition of deposition to the upper canopy of trees has an insignificant effect on the fate of NOₓ. However, air transport over horizontally varying trees causes mixing of surface and near-surface layers that may enhance deposition in a way we cannot model in this 1-D simulation. This point is discussed below.

5 **Discussion**

5.1 **Local effects vs. long-range transport**

Results from the base case speciation analysis (Fig. 5) have implications for local and long-range deposition effects. Dry deposition of N₂O₅ begins immediately upon formation of N₂O₅ and dominates the nitrogen flux to the snowpack during the night. Snowpack deposition of aerosol nitrate and gas-phase HNO₃ does not occur in significant amounts until 16 h after emissions have ended. This indicates dry deposition of
N$_2$O$_5$ dominates nitrogen deposition to the snowpack on a local scale, while particulate nitrate deposition is minimal. Alternatively, particulate nitrate can remain suspended in the local atmosphere, undergo long-range transport, be diluted in transit, and removed by a precipitation event.

Observations of both titrated and un-titrated air masses in studies such as Ayers and Simpson (2006) indicate a wide variability of the oxidation capacity of the mixed layer. Sensitivity experiments presented here have shown NO emissions in the absence of photolysis can transform the lower atmosphere from an oxidizing environment rich in ozone to a reduced environment with no oxidation capacity. Some values of NO$_x$ observed in downtown Fairbanks are even greater than the modeled 5x-NO$_x$ experiment (Fig. 6a) in which ozone was titrated in the mixed layer for two days. In reality, horizontal mixing may reduce the timescale of titration as background ozone is mixed in, but ozone reduction may linger for well over 24 h downwind. Ozone titration is likely to be enhanced under stable meteorological conditions.

The photolysis experiment (Fig. 6e) has implications for environments at higher latitudes than Fairbanks, which is located at 64.76° N. The month of December, with the weakest photolysis and longest periods of darkness, shows the smallest un-reacted fraction. Dry deposition of N$_2$O$_5$ and aerosol reacted fractions are enhanced by extended darkness. Locations north of the Arctic Circle (66.56° N) will have days on which no photolysis will occur and N$_2$O$_5$ formation is occurring continuously, allowing the dark oxidation pathway of NO$_x$ to be active 24 h per day. Under total darkness conditions, local deposition of N$_2$O$_5$ is likely to be enhanced.

The drastic dependence of the fate of NO$_x$ on temperature (Fig. 6g) shows ambient temperatures are the most important naturally-occurring factor controlling the chemistry of the nocturnal NO$_x$ plume. Dry deposition rates of N$_2$O$_5$ remain fairly constant over the temperature range, suggesting that the heterogeneous chemistry occurring is more strongly dependent on temperature than N$_2$O$_5$ formation. The range of temperatures studied are not uncommon in Fairbanks for the months of November to March.
For temperatures lower than 228 K and stable meteorological conditions, NOx may be near the snowpack for extended periods of time, enhancing dry deposition.

5.2 Vertically varying chemistry

Modeled vertical profiles of N2O5 have implications for interpreting field measurements. Modeled N2O5 mixing ratio at 105 m one hour immediately following the emission period (t = 5 h) is over 2× greater than at the surface and consistently 10–15 % greater for the duration of the model run. This suggests that observations carried out near the snowpack may yield abundances of N2O5 significantly lower than those aloft. More importantly, positive vertical gradients of N2O5 reaffirm the result found by Huff et al. (2011) that dry deposition is a significant loss mechanism of N2O5 near the snowpack.

Additionally, loss of N2O5 near the ground may be underestimated. Modeled values of N2O5 aloft in the first hour after emissions end (t = 5 h) are in good agreement with measurements performed 80 m above the valley floor (Table 2). This suggests the model properly captures loss of N2O5 aloft on short timescales (a few hours). At longer distances and near the ground, the model predicts ∼ 4× observed abundances of N2O5 (Table 2). The measured dry deposition velocity of N2O5 used to constrain the model was based on aerodynamic methods and measured above a treeless, flat snowpack. Under this constraint, the model assumes a flat ground surface for the entire model run, whereas Fairbanks is surrounded by densely wooded terrain, which enhances turbulence due to roughness. This turbulence is expected to enhance deposition of N2O5 and thus reduce observed N2O5 when compared to modeled values, which is a treeless environment free of mechanical turbulence.

The effect of enhanced turbulence near the ground would increase air parcel transport to the ground surface, with a result similar to that of a sensitivity experiment with enhanced dry deposition velocity of N2O5. The model scenario with an enhanced value of 2.95 cm s−1 (Fig. 6d) still predicts N2O5 abundance near the ground ∼ 2× greater than observed values. This method is not the correct way to address enhanced de-
position because deposition velocity is increased rather than air parcel contact with the snowpack. It does, however, suggest that deposition of N$_2$O$_5$ may be significantly underestimated in the treeless model scenario. Modeling enhanced deposition due to mechanical turbulence induced by a three-dimensional object such as tree cover is a limitation of the one-dimensional model. Airborne observations of N$_2$O$_5$ aloft, away from Fairbanks, would verify if the model properly captures loss of N$_2$O$_5$ away from the ground and would verify that loss to ground surface is underestimated. Such observations are necessary to fully understand the vertical and spatial distribution of the nocturnal nitrogen plume.

5.3 Ammonium nitrate formation

Downtown Fairbanks lies in a US Environmental Protection Agency non-attainment area for PM$_{2.5}$ (ADEC, 2008). A common concern in reducing total PM$_{2.5}$ lies in a non-linearity present in aerosols containing ammonium, nitrate, and sulfate. When excess ammonia is available (molar ratio of NH$_4^+$/SO$_4^{2-} > 2$), reductions in particulate sulfate may be replaced by particulate nitrate, leading to an increase of ammonium nitrate in the aerosol particles (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006, p. 483). Modeled particulate nitrate concentrations in the polluted area ($t = 4$ h) are $< 0.5 \mu$gm$^{-3}$ and agree with observations (ADEC, 2007), but concentrations of $> 2 \mu$gm$^{-3}$ NO$_3^-$ are modeled within six hours after emissions end. These results suggest that secondary particulate nitrate formation due to NO$_x$ oxidation is not a major contributor to PM$_{2.5}$ non-attainment because titration of O$_3$ slows N$_2$O$_5$ formation and thus formation of NO$_3^-$ and HNO$_3$. Enhanced secondary formation of particulate nitrate, however, may have implications further downwind of the polluted area.

In the NH$_3$ emission rate sensitivity experiment (Fig. 6b), the aerosol reacted fraction increases with increased ammonia emission. This effect can be seen in total PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations near the ground (Fig. 7) beginning two hours after end of emission due to formation of ammonium nitrate (NH$_4$NO$_3$). During the emission period, primary emissions of H$_2$SO$_4$ and organic matter dominate total mass and are similar for each
experiment. During the emission period and for ~2 h afterward, NH$_4$NO$_3$ concentrations are zero and NH$_4^+$/SO$_4^{2-}$ < 2 in the particle and sulfuric acid is not fully neutralized. Base case emissions of NH$_3$ are sufficient to bring the molar ratio of NH$_4^+$/SO$_4^{2-}$ at the surface to 1.5 at $t = 4$ h, which gradually increases to 2.1 at $t = 5$ h. Values of NH$_4^+$/SO$_4^{2-}$ > 2 are possible as NO$_3^-$ is formed and available to react with NH$_4^+$ to form NH$_4$NO$_3$ (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006, p. 479). Increases in the NH$_3$ flux bring the NH$_4^+$/SO$_4^{2-}$ ratio at the end of the emission period ($t = 4$ h) to 1.9 for the 5× NH$_3$ run. Divergence of total PM$_{2.5}$ mass at $t = 8$ h (Fig. 7) between the sensitivity studies is controlled by NH$_3$ emission and subsequent formation of NH$_4$NO$_3$. In this manner, secondary formation of nitrate particles is controlled in magnitude by ammonia flux and the rate of nocturnal NO$_x$ oxidation, which is strongly affected by ozone titration. In all cases, secondary aerosol mass continues to form during the first day while N$_2$O$_5$ is still present from nighttime formation (Fig. 7).

The slow timescale of NH$_4^+$ uptake by aerosol forming NH$_4$NO$_3$ makes it impossible to infer NH$_3$ abundances downtown based on NH$_4^+$ measurements. Due to the slow timescale of nitric acid or particle nitrate formation, a decrease in primary sulfate emissions should reduce total PM$_{2.5}$ and not be replaced by an increase in particulate nitrate in the downtown area. However, this NO$_x$ is later oxidized to NHO$_3$ and particulate NO$_3^-$, which later reacts with NH$_3$ forming NH$_4$NO$_3$ that could result in soil fertilization downwind of Fairbanks.

Constrained by emissions inventories and calculations, NH$_3$ emissions yielded a value of 0.96 µgm$^{-3}$ NH$_4^+$ and 1.6 nmolmol$^{-1}$ excess NH$_3$ near the ground at the end of the emission period ($t = 4$ h). In order to achieve the measured November average of 0.97 µgm$^{-3}$ NH$_4^+$ (State of Alaska, 2011) through aerosol uptake, we estimate a minimum of 1.2 nmolmol$^{-1}$ NH$_3$ need be available for uptake into aerosol particles. The base case emitted NH$_3$ was sufficient to reach NH$_4^+$ observations and yield excess NH$_3$. We believe automotive and woodsmoke sources of NH$_3$ are sufficient to account for measured NH$_4^+$/SO$_4^{2-}$ ratio. Results of sensitivity experiments have shown
NH$_3$ could be greater than modeled in the base case with no indication present in NH$_4^+$ observations downtown due to slow NH$_4$NO$_3$ formation caused by titration. However, if there are larger than base case ammonia emissions, significantly enhanced formation of NH$_4$NO$_3$ is modeled outside of the primarily polluted area. Therefore, observations of NH$_3$ emissions would be highly valuable for understanding Fairbanks air quality and possible downwind ecosystem impacts through ammonium nitrate deposition.

The origin and chemistry of sulfate aerosol in Fairbanks winter is currently unknown. The emissions used in this simulation, constrained by gas phase SO$_2$ and PM$_{2.5}$ SO$_2^-$ observations, estimate column integrated total sulfur is in the form of 93% SO$_2$ and 7% SO$_4^{2-}$. A value of 7% is likely too high to be purely primary sulfate emission, but the modeled base case scenario produces no secondary sulfate, which would be expected in an atmosphere with weak OH photochemistry and reduced oxidants (due to titration of ozone). Sulfur oxidation catalysis by transition metals has been presented as a sulfate formation mechanism (Brandt and van Eldik, 1995; Hoffman and Boyce, 1983) and could be a significant secondary SO$_4^{2-}$ source during winter. If the formation of SO$_4^{2-}$ by metal catalysis is fast, the sulfate could appear like true primary emissions, as we have modeled them in this study. The fate of NO$_x$ emissions is found to be insensitive to SO$_2$, but this may not have been the case if secondary sulfate was formed by pathways alternative to photochemistry. Additional study would be useful for understanding the sulfate chemistry in Fairbanks and identifying possible remedies for PM$_{2.5}$ non-attainment.

5.4 Model limitations

The simulations in this experiment presented for analysis of the fate of anthropogenic NO$_x$ pollution in a high-latitude environment are not without a few limitations. The meteorological conditions in the model were chosen such that cloud formation is avoided, primarily because microphysical and chemical feedbacks would hinder the main focus of this study, which was the fate of emitted NO$_x$ in a high-latitude winter environment.
Clear skies dominate synoptic conditions in the greater Fairbanks area in the winter months, supporting that the base case simulation is not weakened by the absence of clouds. Observations by Sommariva et al. (2009) found that that N$_2$O$_5$ removal by fog droplets was dominant when fog was present. Cloud formation would likely lead to dominance of N$_2$O$_5$ uptake aloft in large cloud particles, leading to less gas-phase HNO$_3$ and more nitrate aloft which could undergo long-range transport. Cloud formation would also affect photolysis rates in model layers below the cloud.

The temperature profile used to initialise the model was not taken from an individual measured profile but rather an idealised case because this idealized case better replicated the ARCTAS NO$_2$ profile. This model deficiency is a common problem for numerical models of the stable boundary layer (see discussion in Anderson and Neff, 2008). The NO$_2$ detected by the ARCTAS aircraft at 300 m was 14 km from downtown Fairbanks (ARCTAS, 2008). Assuming column motion of about 1 m s$^{-1}$, 14 km would correspond to the modeled NO$_x$ profile at $t = 8$ h, which shows vertical dilution to $\sim 290$ m.

The modeled temperature profile of the base case is applicable for conditions with relatively high mixed layers and weak inversions, which are common in the “shoulder” months of October, November, March and April. Mixing due to the modeled temperature gradient is suitable for this study; however, mixing forced by eddy-diffusivity has been performed to match observed vertical profiles (Geyer and Stutz, 2004) and may be more appropriate for thermally inverted and stratified boundary layer simulations. However, vertically resolved chemical observations are required to apply the Geyer and Stutz (2004) method.

As MISTRA is a 1-D model, horizontal mixing is not included. This lack of horizontal mixing ensures that column-integrated abundances conserve mass, allowing the analysis shown here, while still explicitly allowing vertical mixing that is necessary to consider the competition between surface and aloft chemical processes. Horizontal mixing over the duration of the model runs will depend strongly on the prevailing synoptic situation so that a quantification of the effect of horizontal mixing is not possible. Horizontal mix-
Aerosol particles in the simulations were represented as purely aqueous constituents. With respect to frozen water, observations by LIDAR in Fairbanks indicate presence of super-cooled droplets in high-latitude environments at temperatures as low as 240 K, suggesting aqueous phase aerosols are present in temperatures well below the freezing temperature of water (Fochesatto et al., 2005). Freezing of particles would have complex and currently poorly understood effects on reactivity. However, freezing could potentially occur on the two-day timescale, implying that more study of the structure and reactivity of ice particles is needed.

6 Conclusions

Simulations have shown that approximately two-thirds of NO\textsubscript{x} is lost in two days after emission in high-latitude winter conditions mostly through the dark oxidation pathway. Observed pollution fluxes commonly produce a reduced environment with excess NO and near zero ozone, slowing secondary oxidation chemistry that removes NO\textsubscript{x}. The fraction of emitted NO\textsubscript{x} that remains in the atmosphere was found to be most sensitive to the NO emission flux and temperature. Winter months with relatively warm temperatures and high mixing heights are likely to have the greatest nitrate aerosol particulate loading. Alternatively, cold days with low mixed layers are likely to have the greatest dry deposition rates and greatest local nitrogen deposition impact. Dry deposition rates of N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} were found to be most sensitive to aerosol surface area and dry deposition velocity, illustrating the competition between dry deposition and aerosol reactivity for removal of N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}. Due to ground contact only occurring in the bottom model layer, greater amounts of total emitted NO\textsubscript{x} were removed from the column via aerosol particle reactions (38 %) than through dry deposition (17 %) two days after emission in the base case scenario. Modeled abundances of N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} showed diurnal variations of over 1000 % and positive vertical gradients from the snowpack, showing the need...
for further study to understand vertical distribution of the emission plume and estimate potential impacts.
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Table 1. Emissions of pollutants in the base model case (at end of emissions, $t = 4\, \text{h}$) and observations from downtown Fairbanks. Q1–Q3 refers to first to third quartile range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Emission Parameter</th>
<th>Base case 5 m, $t = 4, \text{h}$</th>
<th>Observed Q1–Q3 or average</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{NO}_x$ (nmolmol$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>31–103</td>
<td>downtown Fairbanks, Nov 2008 (State of Alaska, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SO}_2$ (nmolmol$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8.8–20.6</td>
<td>downtown Fairbanks, Nov 2008 (State of Alaska, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{NH}_3$ (nmolmol$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>no known observations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ($\mu$gm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>downtown Fairbanks, Nov 2008 average (ADEC, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{PM}_{2.5}$ $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ (% mass)</td>
<td>0.18 %</td>
<td>0.18 %</td>
<td>downtown Fairbanks, Nov 2008 average (ADEC, 2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{PM}_{2.5}$ $\text{Cl}^-$ (% mass)</td>
<td>0.4 %</td>
<td>0.5 %</td>
<td>downtown Fairbanks, Nov average (State of Alaska, 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{PM}_{2.5}$ $\text{NH}_4^+$/SO$_4^{2-}$ (molmol$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5–2.4</td>
<td>downtown Fairbanks, annual average (State of Alaska, 2011)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Field observations of NO\textsubscript{x} from downtown Fairbanks, University of Alaska Fairbanks (UAF), and the Quist Farm as well related model results. A wind speed of 1 m s\textsuperscript{-1} and distance from downtown was used to calculate corresponding model time. Observations at UAF were performed at an elevation 80 m above the valley floor \cite{1} and compared to modeled values in layer centered at 75 m \cite{2}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Distance from downtown (km, direction)</th>
<th>Downtown</th>
<th>UAF</th>
<th>Quist Farm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corresponding model time (h)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modeled NO\textsubscript{x} (nmol mol\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>24\textsuperscript{2}</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed NO\textsubscript{x} range (nmol mol\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>1–390</td>
<td>0–100\textsuperscript{1}</td>
<td>0–15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modeled N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} (pmol mol\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>182\textsuperscript{2}</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} range (pmol mol\textsuperscript{-1})</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0–250\textsuperscript{1}</td>
<td>0–80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Fig. 1.** A nocturnal nitrogen schematic with emphasis on $N_2O_5$ reactivity. The total nitrogen equation ($N_{tot}$) is a sum of the total column integrated nitrogen from emitted $NO_x$, divided into speciation fractions.
Fig. 2. Modeled meteorological parameters include temperature (dashed contours), potential temperature (solid contours), and specific humidity (blue). The modeled mixing height is initialized to be 300 m.
Fig. 3. Modeled evolution of primary emission NO\textsubscript{x} and total PM\textsubscript{2.5} beginning at local midnight. Daytime regions are indicated by the dotted region and the emission period is indicated by the dashed lines. Emitted species dilute throughout the mixed layer. NO\textsubscript{x} undergoes chemical loss (a) while total PM\textsubscript{2.5} increases (b), primarily due to formation of particulate nitrate.
Fig. 4. Contour plots of important gas phase species. Modeled NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$ are total aerosol mass density (sum of all aerosol particle sizes). Daytime regions are indicated by the dotted region and the emission period is indicated by the dashed lines.
**Fig. 5.** Speciation diagram of reactive nitrogen species showing column integrated concentrations plus time integrated depositional loss as a function of time. Color categories correspond to Fig. 1.
Fig. 6. Sensitivity of the fate of emitted NO\textsubscript{x} to model parameters was investigated by variations of constraints on the base case. Shown are speciation fractions of total column nitrogen emitted as NO\textsubscript{x} at \( t = 50 \) h, corresponding to two days after the emission period begins. Base case runs are marked by an asterisk (\( * \)).
Fig. 7. Secondary formation of ammonium nitrate begins at \( t = 8 \) h and is controlled in magnitude by \( \text{NH}_3 \) abundance. The delay of ammonium nitrate formation after emissions end is due to the slowness of nocturnal oxidation caused by ozone titration present during the first night. Pictured above is total PM\(_{2.5}\) for the lowest model layer (5 m) for each \( \text{NH}_3 \) sensitivity experiment.