SOURCES AND CONTRIBUTIONS OF WOOD SMOKE DURING WINTER IN LONDON: ASSESSING LOCAL AND REGIONAL INFLUENCES
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ABSTRACT

Determining the contribution of wood smoke to air pollution in large cities such as London is becoming increasingly important due to the changing nature of domestic heating in urban areas. During winter, biomass burning emissions have been identified as a major cause of exceedences of European air quality limits. The aim of this work was to quantify the contribution of biomass burning in London to concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and determine whether local emissions or regional contributions were the main source of biomass smoke. To achieve this, a number of biomass burning chemical tracers were analysed at a site within central London and two sites in surrounding rural areas. Concentrations of levoglucosan, elemental carbon (EC), organic carbon (OC) and K$^+$ were generally well correlated across the three sites. At all the sites, biomass burning was found to be a source of OC and EC, with the largest contribution of EC from traffic emissions, while for OC the dominant fraction likely included contributions from secondary organic aerosols, primary biogenic and cooking sources. Source apportionment of the EC and OC was found to give reasonable estimation of the total carbon from non-fossil and fossil fuel sources based upon comparison with estimates derived from $^{14}$C analysis. Aethalometer derived black carbon data were also apportioned into the contributions from biomass burning and traffic, and showed similar trends to that observed for EC. Mean wood smoke mass at the sites was estimated to range from 0.78-1.0 µg m$^{-3}$ during the campaign in January-February 2012. Measurements on a 160 metre tower in London suggested a similar ratio of brown to black carbon (reflecting wood burning and traffic respectively) in regional and London air. Peaks in the levoglucosan and K$^+$ concentrations were observed to coincide with low ambient temperature, consistent with domestic heating as a major contributing local source in London. Overall, the source of biomass smoke in London was concluded to be a background regional source overlaid by contributions from local domestic burning emissions. This could have implications when considering future emission control strategies during winter and may be the focus of future work in order to better determine the contributing local sources.
1. INTRODUCTION

A number of detrimental health effects have been associated with exposure to airborne particles (Cohen et al., 2005; Kampa and Castanas, 2008). Therefore identifying the sources contributing to air pollution is desirable in order to implement effective control strategies to reduce the health burden associated with ambient particles. In an urban environment, the major primary sources of ambient particles are typically road traffic, industrial emissions and biomass burning (Viana et al., 2008). Of these primary sources, biomass burning is perhaps the more difficult to apportion or quantify as its source profile is not well defined due to the large variation in actual sources that alter the chemical nature of the emitted particles. These sources can include natural wild fires and domestic heating, which will further vary depending on the heating device used (e.g. stove or fireplace) and the type of wood burned (Schauer et al., 2001; Simoneit, 2002; Heringa et al., 2011).

A number of techniques have been developed to determine the contribution of biomass burning to the total levels of ambient particles. These techniques include the chemical analysis of filter samples for elemental carbon (EC) and organic carbon (OC) (Gelencsér et al., 2007), the radioisotope of carbon ($^{14}$C, Heal, 2014; Heal et al., 2011; Szidat et al., 2006) and chemical tracers such as levoglucosan and potassium (Harrison et al., 2012a; Viana et al., 2013; Wagener et al., 2012). Instruments such as Aethalometers (Sandradewi et al., 2008a; Sandradewi et al., 2008b; Herich et al., 2011) and aerosol mass spectrometers (Allan et al., 2010; Lanz et al., 2010; Crilley et al., 2014) have also been useful for determining the contribution of biomass burning with the added advantage of measurements at a high time resolution. Using these techniques, analytical methods have been utilised to separate biomass burning from other urban sources such as vehicle emissions, and so provide a quantitative estimate of the contribution of biomass burning to the overall particle load. Recent work has shown that the contribution of biomass burning to the OC fraction in an urban environment derived from radiocarbon, levoglucosan and aerosol mass spectrometry results.
gave similar estimates, ranging from 0.3-0.7 µg m\(^{-3}\) and indicates that these techniques can give comparable results (Minguillón et al., 2011).

Alternatively, indirect measurements of wood smoke may be obtained using either a 2 or 7 wavelength Aethalometer. However, the reliability of wood smoke and traffic contribution estimations obtained from Aethalometers has been called into question, particularly for locations with low concentrations of wood smoke (Harrison et al., 2012a; Harrison et al., 2013). Estimating the contribution of biomass burning relies on the enhanced UV absorption of wood smoke relative to traffic emitted particles, as detected by an Aethalometer. Using an Aethalometer, the difference between the UV wavelength channel (370 nm) and the 880 nm channel gives a measure of UV absorbing particulate matter (UVPM) that has demonstrated strong correlation with levoglucosan and hence been suggested as a qualitative tracer for biomass burning (Wang et al., 2011a; Wang et al., 2011b). For a 7 wavelength Aethalometer, a two-component model has been proposed that can separate out the traffic and wood burning contributions using two different wavelength channels (Sandradewi et al., 2008a; Sandradewi et al., 2008b). However, the model relies on two assumptions: 1) that only traffic and wood burning contribute to the absorption and 2) absorption Ångstrom exponents (\(\alpha\)) for both sources can be assigned a priori. Single \(\alpha\)-values are assumed for each source in this model, even though they, especially \(\alpha\) for wood burning, can vary substantially (Harrison et al., 2013). Thus the application of multiple techniques can enhance confidence in the estimated contributions of biomass burning to the ambient particle mass concentrations.

Determining the contribution of wood smoke in large urban centres such as London is becoming increasingly important due to the changing nature of domestic heating. In the UK for example, over the last few decades the influence of wood smoke upon urban air quality has been thought to have been minimal due to natural gas being the main combustion fuel used for domestic heating. However, this is changing partly due to the installation of biomass burning boilers to meet
renewable energy targets and also a rise in so-called recreational burning for aesthetic reasons (Fuller et al., 2013). Recent work in large urban centres (London, Paris and Berlin) has demonstrated an increase in the contribution of wood smoke to ambient particles during winter, that can at times exceed traffic emissions (Crippa et al., 2013; Fuller et al., 2013, Wagener et al., 2012).

With measures such as low emission zones (LEZ) reducing the impact of traffic emissions in urban centres (See e.g. (Qadir et al., 2013)), wood smoke is becoming relatively more important to the overall ambient particle concentration, particularly during winter (Fuller et al., 2014). In Europe, biomass burning has been identified as a major cause of exceedences of European air quality limits during winter (Fuller et al., 2013; Reche et al., 2011).

In light of the changing nature of emissions in urban areas there is a need for on-going measurements to assess the impact of biomass burning in cities like London. Therefore we aimed to determine quantitatively the contribution of biomass burning in London and surrounding rural areas. As a consequence of the challenges in apportioning biomass burning, a number of different methods and instruments were used and compared in the current work. This work also aimed to compare the concentrations between London and rural sites and determine whether local emissions or regional sources were the main source of biomass smoke in London.

2. Method

2.1 Sampling Sites

The measurements for this paper were conducted as a part of the NERC-funded ClearfLo project (Clean Air for London, www.clearflo.ac.uk), to investigate boundary layer pollution in London. An overview of the project can be found in Bohnenstengel et al. (2014). Four sites were selected for chemical sampling in this particular study; an urban background and elevated site within London and at two sites in surrounding rural areas, with details summarised in the following sections. Site locations are shown in Figure 1. Furthermore, additional measurements with a scanning Doppler
lidar were also conducted for this study at sites within central London as indicated in Figure 1.

Details on the lidar measurements performed can be found in Section 2.2.3.

2.1.1 North Kensington, London

The North Kensington (NK) site is located within a school grounds in a residential area (51°31’15” N, 0°12’49” W) and is classified as urban background. Central London lies 7 km to the east and a major road is located approximately 500 m to the south of the site. A more complete description of the site and air pollution climate is available in Bigi and Harrison (2010); the site is considered representative of the background air quality within much of London. This site is part of the Automatic Urban and Rural Network (AURN), with further details on the instrumentation and sampling procedures available in Section 2.2.

2.1.2 Detling, Kent

This is a rural site located in the Detling show grounds, approximately 45 km southeast of central London (51°18’ 7” N, 0°35’ 22” E) and is bordered by fields. To the south of the site, approximately 150 m lies a busy road, while the closest village (Detling) is to the southwest (approximately 1.5km). The winter campaign site was not co-located with the long-term AURN monitoring site, approximately 800 m to the south of the AURN site (Mohr et al., 2013).

2.1.3 Harwell, Oxfordshire

The second rural site was located approximately 80 km west of central London within the Harwell Science Centre (51°34’16” N, 1°19’31” W) and is part of the AURN. The closest village, Chilton and the nearest main road (A34) are about 2 km to the east of the site. The site is surrounded by fields and is considered as representative of a rural location (Charron et al., 2007).
2.1.4 BT Tower, London

The elevated site utilised in this study was the BT (British Telecom) tower (51°31’17.31’’N; 0°8’20.12’’W) located at 60 Cleveland St in London. The main platform for the Aethalometer was a well-ventilated balcony (level T35, 160 m above ground level), and the gas monitors sampled from a height of approximately 180 m above ground level (CO and NOx). Further description of the BT site can be found in Harrison et al. (2012b).

2.2 Sampling Methodology and Instrumentation

In this study two datasets were collected, comprising a winter campaign and long-term measurements. The winter campaign was part of the winter intensive observation period (IOP) within the ClearfLo project, which ran from 06/01/2012 till 16/02/2012. The winter campaign included both filter sampling and Aethalometer measurements at Detling, NK and Harwell. Long-term measurements with an Aethalometer and gas monitors were conducted at the BT tower and NK from 24 January 2012 until 24 June 2013. Details of the sampling methods for these two datasets are summarised in the following sections.

2.2.1 Winter campaign measurements

During the winter campaign, filter samples were collected for the chemical analysis of selected biomass burning tracers. Due to various logistical reasons, the sampling regimes for the different filter and instrumental analysis utilised in this paper were not all run at the same time or over the same sampling interval and the filter sampling and analysis methods are summarised in Table 1. The levoglucosan sampling was similar at NK and Harwell but differed at Detling in terms of the size fraction collected and sampling start time (Table 1). A Digitel DHA-80 sampler was used at the NK and Harwell sites to collect PM$_{2.5}$ particles on 150 mm diameter quartz fibre filter circles over a 24 hour sampling period. These filters were analysed for levoglucosan, total OC, EC, K$^+$ and $^{14}$C (the last only applies for NK). At Detling aerosols were collected on prebaked quartz fibre filters.
(PALL 2500QAT-UP Tissuquartz) using a high-volume sampler (CAV-A/MSb) with a PM$_1$ inlet, a flow rate of 500l/min and a sampling time of 24h. These filters were analysed for EC, OC, and $^{14}$C in the total carbon fraction. Separate filter samples with a PM$_{10}$ inlet were collected for levoglucosan analysis.

The different size fraction analysed for levoglucosan at Detling compared to the other sites is unlikely to affect the results as most of the particle-associated levoglucosan is generally within the PM$_{2.5}$ (Saarikoski et al., 2008; Wagener et al., 2012; Yttri et al., 2005). Potassium samples were collected at Detling as a part of this project but failed quality control and therefore were not included in the current work.

In addition to the filter samples collected, measurements of BC were conducted using a Magee Scientific 7 wavelength Aethalometer (Model AE-31) at NK and Detling during the winter campaign. At NK, the 7 wavelength Aethalometer (7W AE) sampled continuously with a 5 min sampling interval while at Detling the 7W AE sampled at a different sampling interval of 2 min. Furthermore, at NK the 7W AE also sampled during the ClearfLo summer IOP (21/07/2012 – 23/08/2012) with a 5 min sampling interval.

As Harwell, NK and Detling are part of the AURN, BC and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were obtained from instruments in this network for the winter campaign. PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations were determined by the Filter Dynamic Measurement System (FDMS) method at an hourly interval and were subjected to the AURN network quality control procedures (Ricardo-AEA, 2013). In addition to the 7W AE, data from a 2 wavelength Aethalometer (Magee Scientific, Model AE 22) was also collected at 15 min intervals at each AURN site.
2.2.2 Long-term measurements

At the BT tower, measurements of BC were performed by a 7W AE (Magee Scientific, Model AE-30), while concentrations of NO and NO₂ were analysed by chemiluminescence (Ecophysics, model 780TR fitted with photolytic blue light converter (BLC) for NO₂), all at a 5 min sampling interval. Calibration was carried out using a 5ppm NO in nitrogen gas standard (BOC), diluted to 50ppb in NOₓ free air. Calibration of the BLC conversion efficiency was done using gas phase titration of the NO calibration gas with ozone. An Aerolaser fast carbon monoxide analyser (Model AL5002) at a 10 Hz sampling rate was used at the BT tower to measure the concentration of CO. As 7W AE data was only available for limited periods at NK compared to BT tower, 2 wavelength Aethalometer (2W AE) data from the AURN network was also obtained at NK, along with concentrations of CO, NO and NO₂ from 1 January 2012 until 30 June 2013.

2.2.3 Meteorological measurements

Meteorological data was obtained from the Met Office for three sites that were judged to be the most suitable based upon relative location to the sampling sites. For NK and BT tower, wind data from Heathrow airport station was used as it will not be affected by large buildings. The Met Office stations Benson and Gravesend were used for Harwell and Detling, respectively, which were the closest stations geographically with wind data. Benson is approximately 16 km to the east of Harwell and Gravesend about 20 km northwest of Detling. The meteorological data obtained from the Met Office had a 1 hour time resolution. The approximate times of sunrise and sunset throughout the winter campaign were 07.40 and 16.45 UT (and local time).

During the ClearfLo summer and winter IOPs, a scanning Doppler lidar (Halo Photonics Streamline) was used for monitoring the boundary layer depth and structure, with the locations shown in Fig. 1. The lidar operates at 1.5 μm wavelength, integrated signals are outputted every 3.6 s, and the vertical resolution is 30 m. For the first part of the winter IOP period (6/1/2012 –
11/1/2012) the lidar was operating on the roof-top of the Westminster City Council’s building (WCC, 18m agl, 51° 31’ 17’’ N, 0° 09’ 40’’ W), and then it was moved to the Engineering Building at Imperial College London (ICL, 33m agl, 51° 29’ 55’’ N, 0° 10’ 29’’ W) where it was operating until the 8/2/2012. For the summer IOP period an identical lidar was operating at NK (ground level, 51° 31’ 15’’ N, 00° 12’ 49’’ W) with a gate resolution of 18 m. The mixing height was detected as the level where the vertical velocity variance measured with the lidar drops below a threshold value, following the method described in Barlow et al. (2014) and originally proposed by Barlow et al. (2011). The minimum observable height is different for each location, and depends on the lidar gate and the height that the instrument is placed (above ground level) at each site. Thus it is 124m for WCC, 139m for ICL, and 63m for NK - all heights are measured above ground level.

Long term meteorological measurements were conducted at the top of BT tower (190.8m agl), employing an instrumentation platform equipped with an eddy covariance system (Gill Instruments R3-50 sonic anemometer, and Licor Li-7500 Hygrometer), a net radiometer (Kipp and Zonen CNR4) and a weather station (Vaisala WXT520).

2.3 Chemical Analysis

2.3.1 Levoglucosan

The analytical method applied is similar to that described in Yin et al. (2010) and Wagener et al. (2012) but modified for the detection of levoglucosan. In brief, one quarter of the quartz fibre filter sample was spiked with an internal standard (IS), methyl-beta-D-xylopyranoside (Sigma-Aldrich Ltd) and extracted with dichloromethane and methanol under mild sonication at room temperature. The combined extracts were filtered and concentrated down to 50 µl. One aliquot of the extract was evaporated to nearly dryness and derivatised by addition of N,O-bis(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide plus 1% trimethylchlorosilane (BSTFA + 1% TMCS) and pyridine at 70°C for 1h, and finally cooled in a desiccator. The quantification was based on the IS and a six point authentic standard calibration curve, with selected ion monitoring mode on an
Agilent GC-MS instrument. The ions monitored were m/z 204 and 217 for the IS and m/z 204, 217 and 333 for levoglucosan.

2.3.2 Elemental and organic carbon

The quartz fiber filter samples from NK, Harwell and Detling were also analysed for OC and EC by a Sunset Thermal-Optical Carbon analyser (Sunset Laboratory Inc.). The protocol used was the EUSAAR 2 protocol (Cavalli et al., 2010), in which organic carbon is removed during an initial non-oxidizing temperature ramp from 200°C to 650°C under a helium atmosphere, and then a second temperature ramp from 500°C to 850°C is initialized with the carrier gas switched to a helium/oxygen mixture for removing elemental carbon and organic carbon pyrolysis products.

2.3.3 Radiocarbon (\(^{14}C\))

**Detling:** Sample preparation for the \(^{14}C\) analysis was performed with two different techniques. First, TC was isolated before the \(^{14}C\) analysis using the THEODORE system (see Szidat et al. (2004) for more details). In brief, TC is oxidized to CO\(_2\) from filter punches with at 650°C for 12 min in an oxygen stream. The evolving CO\(_2\) is separated from interfering reaction gases, cryo-trapped and sealed in glass ampoules for \(^{14}C\) measurements. Second, samples were also prepared following the approach described in Fahrni et al. (2010). Several small filter pieces are put in quartz tubes together with ~0.25 g of copper oxide (small rods for elemental analysis, Fluka, Switzerland), evacuated, sealed and combusted for 4 hours at 800°C in a muffle furnace (model LE 14/11/B150, Nabatherm, Germany).

The \(^{14}C\) measurements were carried out using the MIni radioCArbon DAting System, MICADAS (Synal et al., 2007) at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) Zürich using a gas ion source (Ruff et al., 2007; Wacker et al., 2013), allowing the \(^{14}C\) analysis of the gaseous CO\(_2\) without prior graphitisation (Ruff et al., 2010). Results from the \(^{14}C\) measurement are expressed as fraction
of modern ($f_M$) denoting the ratio of the $^{14}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ content of the samples related to the $^{14}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ ratio in 1950, defined as 0.95 times the value of the standard SRM4990B (Stuiver and Polach, 1977). The $f_M$ values were corrected for δ$^{13}\text{C}$ fractionation (Wacker et al., 2010) and for the $^{14}\text{C}$ decay between 1950 and the year of measurement. Furthermore, a mass depending blank correction was applied following an isotopic mass balance as presented by Zapf et al. (2013). The obtained blank mass and $f_M$ of TC were 0.53 ± 0.18 µg cm$^{-2}$ and 0.50 ± 0.17, respectively. To account for the excess $^{14}\text{C}$ from the thermonuclear weapon tests in the late 1950s and early 1960s the blank corrected $f_M$ values were converted into non-fossil fractions using a reference value ($f_{NF,ref} = 1.082 ± 0.04$) representing the modern $^{14}\text{C}$ content of biogenic and biomass burning aerosols during the sampling period compared to 1950 before the bomb testing (see e.g. Zotter et. al. 2014a).

**North Kensington:** Each filter of PM$_{2.5}$ was equally divided. The percent modern carbon ($f_M$) in TC was derived from one half of the filter sample through the following two-step combustion, similar to that described in Heal et al. (2011) and using the same quality assurance procedures. Filters were first combusted in high purity O$_2$ at 375°C for 1 hour, then combusted in high purity O$_2$ at 800°C for 4 hours, with collection of the evolved CO$_2$ from each combustion. In both cases the CO$_2$ was subject to off-line clean-up, graphitisation and AMS determination of $f_M$. The $f_M$(TC) was calculated by mass balance of the two sub-samples. This was converted to fraction contemporary carbon by dividing by a value that assumes an average age for the material comprising the contemporary carbon. Here a divisor of 1.08 was used, as discussed in Heal et al. (2011).

### 2.3.4 Potassium

Small pieces of the quartz filters collected at Harwell and NK were extracted with distilled de-ionised water (5 mL) by undergoing 40 minutes mechanical agitation. The resulting solutions were filtered with 32 mm Acrodisc syringe filter (0.2 µm pore size) and analysed for $\text{K}^+$ with a Dionex DX500 system which uses a GP-40 gradient pump but run with isocratic elution using 15.4 mM
MSA (CH$_3$SO$_3$H) as eluent. The sample concentrations were calibrated with a series of mixed standards of known concentration (0.01-10 ppm).

2.4 Data Analysis

Rose plots, diurnal variations and conditional probability function (CPF) analyses were all performed in R using the Openair package (Carslaw and Ropkins, 2012). CPF is a data analysis tool to find the direction of source contributions and was applied to the Aethalometer and gas species datasets as they had the necessary high time resolution. The difference in time intervals between meteorological and the pollutant data meant that the hourly averaged Aethalometer and gas species data were used. CPF analysis is presented here in the form of polar plots, which plots the number of events where the concentration was greater than the 90$^{th}$ percentile as a function of both wind speed and direction. As a result CPF polar plots present the probability that high concentrations of a pollutant came from a particular wind direction and speed (Carslaw, 2014) and can give information on the contributions from local and regional sources. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare mean values and was calculated in SPSS v19. Pearson’s correlations between the chemical species were calculated in SPSS using hourly or daily concentrations as appropriate to the technique. Due to the different sampling start times at Detling (midnight) to the other sites (noon) for levoglucosan, EC, OC and $^{14}$C, the concentrations for consecutive days at Detling were averaged to enable comparison between the sites.

2.4.1 Source apportionment of the OC and EC

A number of analytical methods were employed for apportioning the contribution of fossil fuel and biomass burning emissions to the EC and OC fractions. All methods assume that these two sources, biomass burning and fossil fuel emissions are the sole sources of either EC or BC. The first method, referred to as the tracer method throughout this paper, is described in detailed in Gelencsér et al. (2007). In this method, the primary OC concentration from biomass burning (OC$_{bb}$) is estimated...
based upon the concentration of levoglucosan and the ratio of levoglucosan to OC measured for biomass burning emissions from the literature. The EC concentration from biomass burning (EC_{bb}) is calculated from the OC_{bb} using previously observed OC/EC ratio for biomass burning emissions and the remainder of EC is apportioned to EC from fossil fuel emissions (EC_{ff}). Primary OC from fossil fuel emissions (OC_{ff}) is estimated using previously observed OC/EC ratios for vehicle emissions. The remaining OC is from biogenic, cooking (both primary and secondary) or other secondary sources that can include secondary organic aerosols formed from fossil fuel combustion and this fraction is referred to as OC_{SOA}. One limitation of the tracer method is the assumption of constant source ratios, which may not have been the case throughout the current work. Furthermore, the value of these source ratios for vehicle emissions and biomass burning (both levoglucosan/OC and OC/EC) are dependent on the combustion conditions and fuel type. As a consequence, a wide range of ratios have been previously reported in the literature for vehicle emissions (e.g. El Haddad et al., 2013; Gelencsér et al., 2007; Pio et al, 2011) and for biomass burning (e.g. Gelencsér et al., 2007; Schmidl et al., 2008), and thus there is uncertainty regarding the correct source ratios for emissions at the sites. In this study, we have initially applied the average source ratios for Europe as reported in Gelencsér et al. (2007). As a result of the uncertainty regarding the correct source ratios for biomass burning and vehicle emissions, a sensitivity study was carried out using a range of source ratios from the literature to assess the variability in the source apportionment.

Further source apportionment of the total carbon (TC, sum of EC and OC concentration) was carried out using the radioisotope of carbon (\(^{14}C\)) concentrations as described in Heal at al. (2011). In this method, the TC is apportioned based on whether carbon had a fossil (TC_{nf}) or contemporary (non-fossil, TC_{nf}) origin and will be referred to as the \(^{14}C\) method throughout this paper. For comparison to the tracer method, the sum of EC_{ff} and OC_{ff} is considered equal to the TC_{ff} while the TC_{nf} is equivalent to the sum of OC_{SOA}, OC_{bb} and EC_{bb}. This approach ignores the fact that some secondary OC is of fossil origin, although most published work indicates that the larger proportion
is from biogenic (non-fossil) precursors. Secondary OC of fossil origin has been estimated to be 25±13% of secondary OC levels for several European cities in summer (Zotter et al., 2014a and references therein). Recent results in the UK found that fossil EC and fossil OC comprised 27% and 20% of TC respectively (Heal et al., 2011). Using the average primary vehicle emissions OC/EC ratio of 0.58 (Gelencsér et al., 2007) the fraction of fossil OC from primary vehicle emissions was 15.7% of TC. This implies that fossil secondary OC was only around 4% of TC and therefore any differences in the calculated TCnf assuming that the OC_{SOA} was totally non-fossil would be within the uncertainties associated with the tracer method. As part of the sensitivity study reported in Section 3.3.1, inclusion of a 20% fossil fraction of secondary OC generated results which were still consistent with the ^14C data and within the variability of individual days. Furthermore, recent work by Charron et al. (2013) suggests a large biogenic contribution to SOA at southern UK sites.

### 2.4.2 BC corrections and source apportionment

The 7 wavelength Aethalometer provides a real-time optical measurement of the light absorption ($b_{abs}$) with seven different wavelengths (370 nm, 470 nm, 520 nm, 590 nm, 660 nm, 880 nm and 950nm) from which the equivalent black carbon concentration can be deduced (Petzold et al., 2013). Aethalometers suffer from various systematic errors e.g. multiple scattering by the filter fibres (scattering effect) and increased absorption by light absorbing particles accumulating in the filter (shadowing or loading effect) (Bond et al., 1999, Lioussse et al., 1993; Petzold et al., 1997). BC concentrations from the 2W AE and 7W AE were corrected for loading and scattering effects according to the procedure described in Weingartner et al. (2003). In the Weingartner correction, a value of 1.2 was used for the loading correction and the multiple scattering correction constant (C), was determined based upon comparison to results from a Multi Angle Absorption Photometer (MAAP) at 630 nm, as described in Collaud Coen et al. (2010). The results from this comparison determined a C value of 3.336 and 3.095 for NK and Detling, respectively. As there was no MAAP data available at Harwell or the BT tower, the average of the C values determined at NK and
Detling were applied to all 2W and 7W AE data to enable comparison between the sites. The mass absorption cross-section, which is needed to convert the light absorption coefficient to BC, was determined by plotting EC concentration (from thermal-optical analysis) against the corrected 880 nm $b_{abs}$ at Detling, NK and Harwell. As there was no EC data at BT tower, the mass absorption cross-section determined for NK was applied to the BT tower 7W AE data. The 2W AE mass absorption cross-section values were calculated to be 7.3 m$^2$g$^{-1}$, 8.1 m$^2$g$^{-1}$ and 7.3 m$^2$g$^{-1}$ for Harwell, NK and Detling, respectively while a mass absorption cross-section of 7.4 m$^2$g$^{-1}$ was found for the 7W AE at both NK and Detling.

Measurements from the 7W AE data were apportioned into the contribution from wood burning (BC$_{wb}$) and traffic (BC$_{tr}$) according to Sandradewi et al. (2008a; 2008b), referred to as the Aethalometer model. Aethalometer absorption values at 470 and 950 nm were used to determine BC$_{wb}$ and BC$_{tr}$, respectively and an absorption Angstrom exponent ($\alpha$) of 2 for wood smoke ($\alpha_{wb}$) and 1 ($\alpha_{tr}$) for traffic was used. As the UK AURN monitoring network employs 2W AE that measure at 370 and 880 nm, UVPM (also referred to as delta-C) concentrations were also determined as UVPM has been proposed as a marker for wood smoke. UVPM concentration was calculated for both the 2W and 7W AE data by the difference of BC equivalent concentrations at 370 nm and 880 nm (Wang et al., 2011a; Wang et al., 2011b). Furthermore for 7W AE datasets at the BT tower and NK, $\alpha$ for the total ambient absorbing particles was calculated using the 470 and 950 nm wavelength data according to the procedure described in Harrison et al. (2012a).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Meteorology

Meteorological conditions were within expected seasonal variation for winter with low temperatures during the sampling period. Similar temperatures were observed at the three Met stations as demonstrated in Figure 2. Wind rose plots for the winter IOP are given in the Supporting
Information (Figures S1-3), with Gravesend and Heathrow stations having similar plots, with the predominant wind direction being westerly. The wind rose plot for Benson differed slightly, with southwest winds being slightly more frequent, as well as a stronger influence of winds from the south.

3.2 Overall results from the Filter Samples at Harwell, NK and Detling (Winter Campaign)

The summary statistics for the filter analysis results and the BC and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations are given in Table 2. Similar average concentrations of levoglucosan were observed at the three sites but were notably higher compared to previous measurements in London and the UK (Harrison et al., 2012a). At NK, the mean levoglucosan concentration during the previous winter (2011) was 45 ng m$^{-3}$ with a 90$^{th}$ percentile value of 69 ng m$^{-3}$ (Harrison et al., 2012a), notably lower than the average of 77.6 ng m$^{-3}$ observed in this study. In the current work, the average temperature for the sampling period was 4.4 ± 4.4°C while during the 2011 sampling period (Harrison et al., 2012a) it was 6.3 ± 3.1°C, and this temperature difference was found to be statistically significant (p>0.05) using one-way ANOVA. Thus the colder weather in 2012 may account for the observed higher concentrations of levoglucosan due to an increase in the levels of domestic heating coupled with potentially increased atmospheric stability. A similar relationship was observed in Switzerland (Zotter et al., 2014b).

Overall, levoglucosan concentrations observed in the current work are at the lower end of previous winter observations across Europe for urban environments, which range from 40 to 570 ng m$^{-3}$ in the PM$_{2.5}$ fraction (Reche et al., 2012 and references therein). Domestic heating by wood burning is more common in mainland Europe than the UK and so would explain the observed lower levoglucosan concentrations in the UK (Harrison et al., 2012a). Levoglucosan emissions from biomass burning are also dependent on the burning conditions and type of fuel (Schauer et al., 2001; Simoneit, 2002; Heringa et al., 2011) and thus may also explain the observed lower concentrations in the UK.
The temporal variations in the concentration of levoglucosan, OC, EC and K$^+$ were similar at the sites, as demonstrated in Figure 3, with peaks in concentration occurring on the same days. EC concentrations were well correlated between all three sites ($r^2 > 0.73$) as were the concentration of OC ($r^2 > 0.82$). Generally at the three sites the meteorology would be expected to be broadly similar owing to their close proximity and the strong correlation of concentrations over time points indicative of a possible regional source of carbonaceous aerosols at the sites, or similar local sources. The intra-site concentrations of levoglucosan and K$^+$ were correlated at Harwell and North Kensington ($r^2$ of 0.62 at both sites), suggesting a common source. Levoglucosan concentrations at NK were well correlated with Harwell and Detling ($r^2$ of 0.70 and 0.71, respectively) but between Harwell and Detling the concentrations were less correlated ($r^2$ of 0.50). That the concentrations of levoglucosan were at least moderately correlated between all the sites points to a common source at three sites. The weaker correlations between Harwell and Detling are probably a function of the greater inter-site distance and hence greater variations in meteorological conditions, and may also be related to local emissions.

It was also observed that periods of colder temperatures, such as 17-18th January and 2-4th February (Figure 2) corresponded to peaks in the levoglucosan concentration (Figure 3), especially at Harwell. This would implicate domestic heating as the main source, though it could also be due to greater atmospheric stability during these colder periods resulting in reduced dispersion of the wood smoke (Reche et al., 2012). However, these colder periods (e.g. 2-4th February) did not necessarily equate to times of low wind speeds (Figure S4, Supporting Information), suggesting that the levoglucosan concentrations were related more to changes in emissions. Overall, there appears to be a notable contribution from wood smoke and the sources are further investigated in Section 3.4.
3.3 Contribution of Traffic and Biomass Burning to Carbonaceous Aerosols (Winter Campaign)

3.3.1 Source apportionment of OC and EC

Using the tracer method, contributions of biomass burning (EC_{bb} and OC_{bb}) and fossil fuel (EC_{ff} and OC_{ff}) to the EC and OC fractions were estimated first using the average of source ratios reported for Europe in Gelencsér et al. (2007). These applied source ratios for biomass burning were 0.136 for the ratio of levoglucosan to OC and an OC/EC ratio of 6, while for vehicle emissions an OC/EC ratio of 0.58 was used. This average OC/EC ratio for vehicle emissions is notably similar to that recently determined for vehicle emissions in London (0.63) (Pant et al., 2014). The average estimated concentrations using these source ratios are shown in Figure 4 for the three sites, with the results of the sensitivity study discussed later in this section. As expected the concentrations of EC_{ff} and OC_{ff} were higher at NK (p < 0.05), as it is an urban site with higher traffic influence compared to the rural sites. At all three sites, EC_{ff} accounted for the majority of the EC fraction, indicating that the main source of EC was traffic emissions. Overall, the OC_{bb} and EC_{bb} concentrations were similar across the sites, but OC_{bb} accounted for a higher average percentage of the total OC, 30±16% and 23±17% at Harwell and Detling, respectively compared to NK (16±7%). At all three sites according to the tracer method, approximately 40% of the OC fraction was accounted for by the sum of biomass burning and fossil fuel emissions (Figure 4). The remaining fraction, OC_{SOA} which includes both primary biogenic, cooking and secondary organic aerosols therefore exceeds the sum of primary emitted biomass burning and vehicle emissions.

A more direct analysis for apportioning the fossil and non-fossil fractions of TC is the $^{14}$C method, which was applied to samples from NK and Detling, with the results given in Table 3. At NK, the percentage of TC_{ff} was higher compared to that observed at Detling (Table 3, with the daily TC_{af} fraction shown in Figure S5, Supporting Information) showing the greater influence of traffic emissions at NK. As shown in Table 3, a very good agreement was observed between the
percentage of contemporary (TCnf) and fossil (TCff) carbon as determined by both the tracer and $^{14}$C method, indicating that both methods were consistent in the TC apportionment. The high proportions of TCnf in PM$_{2.5}$ in the range ~50-70% derived in this work, even for urban background (Table 3), are consistent with findings from elsewhere in Europe and worldwide (Heal, 2014; Hodzic et al., 2010).

To examine whether the ratios used to apportion the TC$_{nf}$ and TC$_{ff}$ were reasonable, a sensitivity study was carried out using a range of source ratios for biomass burning and vehicle emissions from the literature. Gelencsér et al. (2007) proposed minimum and maximum source ratios based upon the ranges in the published data and these have been employed to estimate the uncertainty for the apportionment between contemporary and fossil carbon by the tracer method. It is worth noting that Minguillón et al. (2011) used a similar range of levoglucosan/OC ratios to assess the uncertainty of their source apportionment. Pio et al. (2011) examined PM$_{2.5}$ OC/EC ratios from vehicle emissions and concluded that a 0.3-0.4 was typical of fresh vehicle emissions, and the lower value has also been applied to assess the uncertainty in the apportionment of vehicle emissions. Harrison et al. (2012a) recently proposed a mean levoglucosan/OC ratio of 0.09 for wood burning based on the literature, which has also been applied in this sensitivity study. Ambient ratios for biomass burning were recently determined using the non-fossil fraction of OC and EC during winter in Switzerland (Zotter et al., 2014b), and the average ratios (0.098 and 8.2 for levoglucosan/OC and OC/EC, respectively) have also been included in the sensitivity analysis.

The results of this sensitivity study are presented in Table 4, along with the values for the ratios used to apportion the contributions of fossil and non-fossil sources. The calculated EC$_{ff}$, EC$_{bb}$, OC$_{bb}$, OC$_{ff}$ and OC$_{SOA}$ concentrations for different ratios can be found in the Supporting Information, Table S1, while the results in Table 4 are shown in graphical form in Figure S6. Overall, comparison between the calculated percentage TC$_{nf}$ and TC$_{ff}$ in Table 4 exhibit a wide
range of values and highlights the importance of selecting the correct source ratio. The closest
match to the $^{14}$C results for $\text{TC}_{nf}$ and $\text{TC}_{fr}$ was found using the mean levoglucosan/OC ratio
proposed by Harrison et al. (2012a) (Table 4, E), the average Swiss ambient biomass burning ratios
(Table 4, G) and the average source ratios from Gelencsér et al. (2007) (Table 3). Therefore it
appears that with the application of average source ratios from the literature the split between
contemporary and fossil carbon was reasonably estimated, though these ratios may not always
accurately represent the sources (Pio et al., 2011). Inclusion of fossil secondary OC, not considered
in Table 4 will also give alternative possible fits to the data.

3.3.2 Source apportionment of BC

Diurnal cycles of the BC concentrations at Harwell, NK and Detling during the winter campaign
measured by 2W AE are shown in Figure 5, with the cycles for the 7W AE given in Figure S7,
Supporting Information. Similar cycles and concentrations were observed by the 2W AE and 7W
AE at NK while at Detling the diurnal cycles were slightly different, which may have been due the
instruments not being co-located. The classic bimodal cycle, coinciding with peak traffic times was
observed at both sites, indicating that traffic was the likely main source of BC at NK and Detling.
At Harwell the diurnal cycle of BC was also bimodal with a similar morning peak but the peak in
concentration in the evening was observed at a later time (20.00) more associated with domestic
heating. This difference in the diurnal cycles of BC suggests that local sources of biomass burning
may be more influential at Harwell than the other two sites.

UVPM, defined as the difference in Aethalometer determined concentrations for the 370 and 880
nm wavelength, has been proposed as a marker for biomass burning (Wang et al., 2011a). Hence the
diurnal cycles of UVPM for both the 2W AE and 7W AE were calculated and are shown in Figure
6. All of the five cycles presented in Figure 6 are broadly consistent with the diurnal cycles
expected for wood smoke from domestic heating, with a peak in concentration in the evening. At
Detling, the observed UVPM cycle is similar to the observed diurnal cycle for other biomass burning markers at Detling, including a PMF-derived BBOA factor (Mohr et al., 2013). The timing of the evening concentration peak varied between the sites, with the observed concentration peak occurring earlier at the rural sites (18-19.00 and at 20.00 at Detling and Harwell, respectively) while at NK the peak appeared later (22.00). This may point to differing source influences, with the earlier peak point to more local emissions while the observed later peak at NK and to a lesser extent at the rural sites suggestive of a regional influences. In addition to the evening concentration peak observed as expected in the UVPM cycle at NK for both instruments, there was also a peak at around 7.00, suggesting a traffic influence in the observed UVPM concentrations at NK.

Furthermore, the UVPM and levoglucosan concentrations were found to be correlated at all sites ($r^2$ between 0.8 and 0.9). Overall, based on the diurnal cycles for UVPM presented in Figure 6, it suggests that UVPM may be a useful qualitative marker for wood smoke in areas where traffic emissions are not dominant, in agreement with Harrison et al. (2013).

Another approach to apportioning the contributions of wood smoke and traffic emission to the observed BC concentrations is the two component Aethalometer model (Sandradewi et al., 2008a; Sandradewi et al., 2008b), which was applied to the 7W AE data. The concentrations of $BC_{tr}$ at both sites were well correlated with NO$_x$ ($r^2$ of 0.92 and 0.65 for NK and Detling, respectively) and demonstrated higher correlations than between $BC_{wb}$ and NO$_x$ ($r^2$ of 0.57 and 0.45 for NK and Detling, respectively). At Detling, the concentrations of $BC_{wb}$ recorded a higher correlation with levoglucosan concentrations ($r^2$ of 0.69) compared to $BC_{tr}$ ($r^2$ of 0.41), as expected. A similar trend was also observed at NK, with $BC_{wb}$ demonstrating a higher correlation with levoglucosan ($r^2$ of 0.95), than $BC_{tr}$ ($r^2$ of 0.80). The high correlation observed between $BC_{tr}$ and levoglucosan at NK is surprising and may be due to dominance of traffic emissions in London.
Notable differences were also observed in the diurnal cycles of the BC$_{wb}$ and BC$_{tr}$ concentrations at NK and Detling as shown in Figure 7. The diurnal cycles were as expected for BC$_{tr}$ at both sites, exhibiting a bimodal cycle as for traffic emissions. However, at Detling the BC$_{tr}$ peak in the morning is broader and the evening peak earlier than would normally be expected for a local traffic source, however the NO$_x$ diurnal cycle also demonstrated an evening peak at the same time.

Differences were observed in the BC$_{wb}$ diurnal cycles at Detling and NK (Figure 7). At Detling, a peak in concentration centred on 20.00 was observed, which is typical for emissions from domestic heating. Meanwhile, the flat diurnal cycle in BC$_{wb}$ concentrations observed at NK is suggestive of regional rather than local sources. Overall, the estimated concentrations of wood smoke differed between the methods and these differences are examined in the next section.

3.3.3 Comparison of the estimated contributions from biomass burning by the different methods

Overall as shown in Figure 4 and Table 5, the main source of both BC and EC was traffic emissions as opposed to biomass burning; however the relative contributions of each source varied depending on the method employed and applied source ratio. EC$_{bb}$ accounted for on average 24±16%, 7±2% and 14±12% of the total EC at Harwell, NK and Detling, respectively. For the organic fraction, the relative contribution of biomass burning also varied, and was generally higher than that from fossil fuel emissions at the rural sites but not at NK (Figure 4). Using the average conversion factor for levoglucosan to wood smoke particle mass of 11.2 adopted by Harrison et al. (2012a), the mean concentrations of wood smoke mass were calculated to be 1.0, 0.87 and 0.78 µg m$^{-3}$ for Harwell, NK and Detling, respectively. From the tracer method, the total biomass burning contribution, taken as the sum of the EC$_{bb}$ and OM$_{bb}$ (OC$_{bb}$ converted to organic matter by conversion factor of 1.6; Aiken et al., 2008) was 1.2, 1.0 and 0.88 µg m$^{-3}$ for Harwell, NK and Detling, respectively. The wood smoke mass concentrations as determined by both the levoglucosan conversion factor and the tracer method were notably similar.
The two component Aethalometer model determined the contribution of wood burning to be an average of 15±12% and 30±13% of the BC at NK and Detling, respectively. Fuller et al. (2014) found that during December and January of 2009-11, wood burning accounted for an average of 23% of BC at NK, higher than the average found in the current work at NK though within the associated variability. The difference is probably attributable to inter-annual variations in concentration. In this study, the BC\textsubscript{wb} followed the expected trend of higher contributions at the rural compared to the urban site, as also observed for EC\textsubscript{bb}. However, the percentage contributions determined by the Aethalometer model were higher than that observed for the tracer model for EC\textsubscript{bb} (Table 5). One of the assumptions in the tracer method and Aethalometer model are constant source composition and α, respectively. However, the α for wood burning is highly variable, ranging at least from 1.4 to 2.2 and has been shown to be dependent on variables such as the fuel and amount of atmospheric aging (Saleh et al., 2013; Kirchstetter et al., 2004). Therefore the applied α for wood burning may not accurately represent the biomass burning aerosols across the sites in this study, possibly resulting in higher calculated contributions. Similarly, the source composition for biomass burning (OC/EC\textsubscript{bb} and levoglucosan/OC) are also variable and have been shown to have wide range, which influences the calculated EC\textsubscript{bb} percentage contributions, ranging from 3-32% and 6-50% of the total EC at NK and Detling, respectively (Table 5 and S1). From Table 5, the closest match to for EC\textsubscript{bb} to the BC\textsubscript{wb} percentage contributions was found using the mean levoglucosan/OC and OC/EC\textsubscript{veh} and minimum OC/EC\textsubscript{bb} (Table 4, F). However, this combination of source ratios did not match 14C source apportionment results as well as other combinations (See Table 4). There were no combinations in the sensitivity analysis in Table 4 that were able to closely match both the 14C and Aethalometer model source apportionment and this may be due to the presence of a small fraction of fossil secondary OC. Just as for α\textsubscript{WB}, these source ratios may not have been selected appropriately for the study and thus the differences between the Aethalometer model and tracer method may be due to the uncertainties in the applied source ratios.
3.4 Identification of the sources of Biomass Burning during the Winter Campaign

3.4.1 Diagnostic ratios

There have been a number of diagnostic ratios utilised to differentiate between the sources of biomass burning including the ratio of levoglucosan to both OC and K⁺ (Harrison et al., 2012a; Minguillón et al., 2011; Reche et al., 2012). While there are a number of sources of OC in an urban environment in addition to biomass burning (e.g. vehicle emissions and cooking), observed correlations between levoglucosan and OC in wood smoke for different fuels suggest that its ratio can be used to determine the source (Sullivan et al., 2008). Average values for the diagnostic ratios, levoglucosan/OC and levoglucosan/K⁺ at each site are given in Table 6. At the three sites the average ratio of levoglucosan/OC were similar and are comparable to the characteristic ratio determined for long-range transport of biomass burning in Spain (0.05±0.01) (Reche et al., 2012). This therefore suggests that the major source was regional biomass burning at all of the sites.

Furthermore, the observed high correlations between levoglucosan and OC at all the sites ($r^2$ of 0.71 – 0.88) along with the majority of the OC being found to be secondary in origin in Section 3.3.1, suggests a common regional source, possibly including a contribution from mainland Europe (Charron et al., 2013) for both levoglucosan and hence for OC_{bb}.

The levoglucosan/K⁺ ratios were determined by gradient to reduce the impact of other sources of K⁺, such as airborne sea salt and crustal material particles. A higher gradient was observed at Harwell (1.1±0.14) than at NK (0.73±0.09). Traditional fires (e.g. fireplaces, woodstoves and garden bonfires) have been shown to have a higher levoglucosan/K⁺ ratio compared to more modern heaters, with their higher burn efficiency (Harrison et al., 2012a; Heringa et al., 2011; Khalil and Rasmussen, 2003). The results are suggestive of the use of more efficient devices in NK, consistent with it being located in a Smoke Control Area where the use of fireplaces and woodstoves is controlled.
At all of the sites, the concentrations of levoglucosan and $\text{K}^+$ showed some temperature dependence, with the lowest concentrations observed during the warmer periods. However, above about 5°C the concentrations did not appear to be as dependent on temperature and thus the levoglucosan/$\text{K}^+$ was largely unaffected by temperature. Higher concentrations during weekends compared to weekdays are likely to be indicative of discretionary or recreational wood burning (Fuller et al., 2014) and to determine if this influenced the observed ratios, weekend and weekday levoglucosan/$\text{K}^+$ gradients were compared. These plots are shown in the Supporting Information Figure S8, with the weekday and weekend gradients similar at Harwell. In contrast, at NK, there was a difference in the observed gradients for the weekend (1.35) compared to weekday (0.57). The higher gradient on the weekend suggests more influence from recreational burning in fireplaces during the weekend in London compared to the rural sites, where fireplaces may be utilised more throughout the week as a means of domestic heating. However the small number of weekend samples ($n = 8$) limits the conclusions that can be drawn in this regard.

Overall, the levoglucosan/$\text{K}^+$ ratios are low compared to most published data directly measured at the sources (e.g. fireplaces) (Caseiro et al., 2009; Puxbaum et al., 2007) but NK and Harwell were within the range reported by Harrison et al. (2012a) for ambient measurements in the UK. NK had a higher levoglucosan/$\text{K}^+$ ($0.73\pm0.01$) in the current study compared to the ratio of 0.15 observed by Harrison et al. (2012a). The higher ratio observed in the present work may be due to more influence from recreational burning at NK due to the colder winter. Previous work in London also found higher concentrations of wood burning tracers on weekends, which was attributed to secondary or recreational domestic heating (Fuller et al., 2014) supporting the trends observed in the current work for the levoglucosan/$\text{K}^+$ ratio. Thus based on the diagnostic ratios it appears that there was a contribution from local sources dependent somewhat on ambient temperature, on top of a regional background. Therefore in the next section, CPF analysis was applied to the BC datasets at Harwell,
NK and Detling during the winter campaign to analyse whether local or regional sources were the largest contributors to biomass smoke.

3.4.2 Direction of significant biomass burning contributions

CPF analysis was applied to the Aethalometer datasets from the winter campaign in order to find the direction of highest contributions at each site to aid the source identification. In Figure 8, CPF analysis of the BC concentrations is presented as polar plots and indicates likely main sources at each site. At NK the highest BC contributions were associated with low wind speeds (Figure 8), which suggests that local emissions are the main source. This along with the diurnal cycles for BC (Figure 5) further indicates that vehicle emissions were the main source of BC. Also from Figure 8, high contributions were to the east of Harwell; in which direction lies the village of Chilton and the A34 (both 2 km). Charron et al. (2013) observed a similar trend for EC concentrations and attributed it to both local traffic emissions from the A34 and also regional sources from mainland Europe. Studies have shown that the levels of BC and vehicle emissions diminish to background levels within 150 m of a road (Massoli et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2004), and thus the A34 was probably too far away to have a strong influence. Therefore there was probably a regional background of BC, with contributions from local domestic heating (Figure 8). A regional influence was also evident at Detling, with the highest contributions to the BC concentrations associated with high wind speeds from the east, in agreement with that observed at Harwell and probably influenced by advection from mainland Europe (Charron et al., 2013). High contributions were also associated with low wind speeds at Detling (Figure 8), indicative of an additional local source of BC along with the regional source.

Polar plots of the mean concentrations of BC_{wb} and BC_{tr} concentrations were calculated in order to further analyse whether the Aethalometer model could distinguish between the two sources. At Detling different source directions were observed for the two components, however at NK the BC_{wb}
and BC$_{tr}$ polar plots were similar (Figure 9). From Figure 9, the polar plot for BC$_{tr}$ at NK showed a slight trend in direction of the closest road (<100 m to the east), and further indicates local traffic emissions as the source. In contrast, at Detling the BC$_{tr}$ polar plot (Figure 9) indicated little influence from the closest road, which was 150 m to the south and thus unlikely to have a large influence (Massoli et al., 2012; Zhu et al., 2004). Rather, the highest concentrations were in the direction of central London, suggesting that emissions from London may be a larger source of BC$_{tr}$ at Detling. Different source contributions between NK and Detling were also observed for BC$_{wb}$ (Figure 9). From Figure 9, the BC$_{wb}$ polar plots indicated that local sources were the main source of BC$_{wb}$ at North Kensington whereas at Detling there were both local and regional influences. The high concentrations of BC$_{wb}$ at Detling (Figure 9) at low wind speeds to south/southwest may be indicative of emissions from nearby villages. The highest concentrations were at high wind speeds to the east at Detling (Figure 9), which is further indication of a strong regional biomass burning source of BC at the sites.

4. LONG-TERM MEASUREMENTS AT THE BT TOWER

To examine the influence of regional sources on the levels of air pollution it is necessary to distinguish between local and regional contributions. One method that has been used previously is to sample from an elevated site such as the BT tower (160 m a.g.l.) (Harrison et al., 2012b). The atmosphere at the tower height, under certain meteorological conditions can become ‘de-coupled’ from local sources at the surface when the top of the planetary boundary layer is below that of the elevated site. During these times of de-coupling, local sources will not be contributing appreciably to the observed particles at the elevated location and so regional sources will be the major contributor, allowing for characteristics of these regional particles to be investigated. Previous measurements at the BT tower in central London demonstrated that frequently at night the turbulent mixing height can drop below the top of the tower (Barlow et al., 2011), indicating that the tower is an ideal location for analysing regional sources of airborne particles during these de-coupling
periods. Because such periods mainly occur during nighttime hours, the derived results will reflect
the nighttime situation, although the regional air may have received its pollutant input largely
during daytime hours.

4.1 Sources of BC at BT

Summary statistics for the long-term BC and gas species concentrations at NK and BT tower can be
found in Table 7. The two likely major sources of BC (traffic emissions and biomass burning) in
urban areas have distinct diurnal cycles, and hence the mean diurnal variation of BC for the London
sites for the long-term measurement period is shown in Figure 10. At each of the sites, the
normalised BC diurnal cycles (Figure 10) demonstrated similar cycles to that of NOx and CO
(Figure S9, Supporting Information), thus implicating a similar source, likely traffic emissions
based on the high fraction of fossil EC found in Section 3.3.1. One feature of Figure 10 and Figure
S9 is a one hour lag in the morning concentration peak at the BT tower compared to the ground-
level site, possibly due to the time for the locally emitted pollutants to mix to the top of the tower
(Despiau and Croci, 2007). The main difference in the diurnal cycles shown in Figure 10 was in the
absolute concentrations of BC at each site, which varied depending on the distance from the main
local source, traffic emissions. BC concentrations at BT tower were, on average a factor of 2-3
times lower than at NK (Figure 10). EC has been observed to follow similar trends in previous
measurements at BT tower and NK (Harrison et al., 2012b). Concentrations of BC at NK and BT
tower were correlated ($r^2$ of 0.6), indicating that the urban background as represented by the NK site
was the main source of pollutants at the elevated BT site.

CPF analysis was applied to the observed BC concentrations at NK and the BT tower for the long-
term measurements, to examine whether local or regional sources were the more significant
contributors, with the results shown in Figure 11, which are similar to the plots for NOx and CO
(Figure S10, Supporting Information). In Figure 11, similar trends were observed at NK and the BT
tower, with the highest BC concentrations being associated with low wind speeds, which indicate that the major contributions were from local sources, likely vehicle emissions based on the observed diurnal cycles (Figure 10). Overall, it appears that the main influences on BC concentrations at BT tower are local ground-level sources (traffic emissions), with some influence from regional sources, investigated further in subsequent sections.

4.2 Absorption Ångstrom Coefficient at the Elevated (BT tower) and Ground Sites (NK)

The frequency distributions of the absorption Ångstrom coefficient (α) calculated hourly for the long-term measurements at the BT tower are given in Figure S11 (Supporting Information) and is similar to the α distribution for NK calculated by Harrison et al. (2013). A mode of 1.1 – 1.2 indicates traffic emissions as the dominant source of BC at the BT tower (Harrison et al., 2013; Sandradewi et al., 2008a). Little seasonal variation was observed in the distribution of α at the BT tower, with a mean α value of 1.1 for all seasons (Figure S12, Supporting Information). The modest seasonal variation in the frequency distributions of α indicates that traffic emissions were the main source at the BT tower throughout the year. Furthermore, the α frequency distribution at the BT tower was not found to be dependent on wind direction. Another observation from Figure S14 was that a considerable number of measurements had an α value less than 1, as also observed by Harrison et al. (2013) at NK and elsewhere (Gyawali et al., 2009; Herich et al., 2011). The observed large number of α less than 1 at the BT tower may be a sampling artefact as result of aerosol evaporation from the filters (Harrison et al., 2013). However, Gyawali et al. (2009) demonstrated that particles consisting of collapsed BC core coated with secondary organic or inorganic aerosol from vehicle emissions have an α less than 1, thus the presence of slightly aged vehicle emissions may also explain the observed large number of α less than 1 at the BT tower.

As 7W AE data was only available at NK during the summer and winter IOPs, the distribution of hourly α values was calculated for these two periods to allow direct comparison between the ground
and elevated sites, shown in Figure 12. The shape of the frequency distributions of $\alpha$ at both sites was similar during each IOP, with a difference in the mode observed between the winter and summer IOP. During the summer IOP, the mean $\alpha$ was $1.09 \pm 0.12$ at both sites, while during the winter IOP the mean $\alpha$ was $1.19 \pm 0.15$ and $1.18 \pm 0.17$ at NK and BT tower, respectively and this difference between seasons was found by one way ANOVA to be statistically significant ($p<0.05$).

As wood smoke has a higher $\alpha$ than traffic emissions, it points to an increased influence of wood smoke from domestic heating during the winter IOP. To examine this further, frequency distributions of $\alpha$ were determined for the day and night times (21.00 until 05.00 inclusive, to avoid peak traffic), as there would be expected to be higher wood smoke contribution during the evening from domestic heating. As expected, there was no significant difference observed between day and night $\alpha$ distributions during the summer IOP, due to the limited influence of domestic heating (Figure S13, Supporting Information). During the winter IOP, different day/night trends were observed at the sites, with a shift to larger $\alpha$ values at both sites in the night (Figure S14, Supporting Information). At NK in Figure S14, while the $\alpha$ frequency distribution showed a notable shift for night times to larger values, a mean $\alpha$ of $1.23 \pm 0.19$ suggests that traffic emissions were the dominant factor even under these conditions (Harrison et al., 2013; Saleh et al., 2013).

### 4.3 Identification of Periods when the BT Tower was De-coupled from Surface Emissions

The turbulent mixing height (TMH) was calculated for the two IOPs and a number of occasions were identified when the TMH was below the sampling height of the Aethalometer and gas monitors at the BT tower, and thus the measurements were de-coupled from surface emissions, as listed in Table S2, Supporting Information. As previously observed in London, extended periods of de-coupling at the BT tower were observed at night (Barlow et al., 2011).

During only one of the de-coupling times listed in Table S2 both NO$_x$ and BC data were available at the BT tower, and the time series of the concentrations of these two pollutants are shown in Figure 13.
13 along with the calculated TMH during this period. This event is used to determine whether the
times of de-coupling can be estimated based on the comparison between the NO\textsubscript{x} and BC
concentration time series at the BT tower and NK. As can be seen in Figure 13a, when the sampling
platform at the BT tower is above the TMH (18.00 to 04.00), the trends in NO\textsubscript{x} concentration at the
BT tower do not follow the trends in NO\textsubscript{x} concentration at NK, unlike when the TMH is above the
tower height. Between 18.00 on 3/2/2012 and 04.00 on 4/2/2012 when de-coupling occurred, the
NO\textsubscript{x} concentration was much less variable than that at NK, hinting at a more regional character.
Furthermore, in the morning when the TMH rises above the tower height (06.00), the BT tower
NO\textsubscript{x} concentration is observed to peak along with that at NK as local ground-level emissions reach
the tower platform. Similar trends are also observed for the BC concentration (Figure 13b), and
would suggest that although there are no detectable TMH values between 22.00 to 03.00, the tower
was still de-coupled during this time.

To further confirm that it was likely that the BT tower measurements were decoupled, the sensible
heat flux (H) and turbulent kinetic energy normalized by the wind speed (tke/U\textsuperscript{2}) measured using
the sonic anemometer at 190 m on the BT tower are shown in Figure 13c. As the convective
boundary layer decays, and the BT Tower is thus in a residual layer, weakly stable (negative) heat
fluxes should be observed and low turbulence levels would be expected. Heat flux values change
sign early in the afternoon (~15:00) and remain negative until next morning (crossover from
positive to negative values occurs at 06:00). Correspondingly, the initially enhanced turbulence
(0.142 at 15:00) reduces to low values (around 0.05) later in the afternoon. Turbulence remains at
low levels throughout the night and increases the following morning (0.381 at 07:15). The two
relatively high values observed at 21:45 and 2:45 (0.123 and 0.105 respectively) might be attributed
to intermittent, locally driven turbulent events in the stable residual layer. The trends of both heat
flux and turbulence agree with the mixing height data and confirm the decoupling of the BT Tower
measurements during night-time when there is no mixing height data.
Overall, comparison of the observed trends in the NOx and BC concentrations at BT and NK allowed identification of times when the BT tower is de-coupled from ground-level emissions. Thus visual inspection of the NOx and BC concentrations was conducted to estimate times of de-coupling by looking for the key trends including:

- Focus on night time only, outside of peak traffic times, as this is when it is more likely to occur (Barlow et al., 2011).
- Divergence in trends between NK and the BT tower, with time series at NK typically more variable than at the BT tower.
- Sharp peak in concentration in the morning at the BT tower as the TMH rises above the sampler height.

By the application of these criteria, 16 additional de-coupling periods were identified and are described in Table S3 (Supporting Information), along with the time series of the NOx and BC concentrations for selected events (Figures S15-S19, Supporting Information) demonstrating the trends that are indicative of de-coupling. Using these times of de-coupling, the influences of local and regional sources on the observed BC concentrations were analysed.

4.4 Trends in the BC concentrations during De-coupling Events

In addition to demonstrating the changes in the TMH, Figure 13b also revealed differences in the BC concentration time series as measured by the two Aethalometer channels, 370 and 880 nm at NK and BT during the period of de-coupling. While BC is the strongest light absorbing component of aerosols (Moosmüller et al., 2009 and references therein) other aerosol components such as certain organic compounds have been observed to absorb light, typically at the lower visible to UV region of the spectrum (Zhang et al., 2013). This light absorbing organic aerosol components, also referred to as brown carbon, are thought to arise from both biomass burning and secondary organic aerosols (Andreae and Gelencsér, 2006; Lack et al., 2013). Using the event in Figure 13 when the
BT tower was de-coupled from ground level emissions, the BC concentrations at the BT tower were
analysed to characterise regional sources. Equally, the observed trends in the measured BC
concentrations at NK during de-coupling were likely due to local emissions with limited regional
influence, and these are investigated further in the following sections.

4.4.1 Characterisation of Local BC Emissions at NK during De-coupling

In Figure 13b while both Aethalometer channels follow the same temporal trends at NK, the
increased concentration at 370 nm relative to the 880 nm channel at around 20.00 suggests a change
in contributing sources. This timing is consistent with increased contributions from biomass burning
for domestic heating, while traffic emissions would be decreasing with reduced traffic flows. The
time series of the calculated α values further demonstrates the influence of wood smoke at NK
(Figure 14). Between 20.00 and 21.00, α increased from 1.08 to 1.41, which is indicative of a
change in the dominant emission source from traffic (α = 1) to wood smoke, which has a highly
variable α ranging from 1.38 to 2 (Saleh et al., 2013; Sandradewi et al., 2008b). Furthermore the re-
emergence of traffic emission as the main source can be seen at 06.00 (when traffic counts starts to
rise) as α dropped to 1.16 (Figure 14).

The average α during the night was 1.32±0.05, consistent with a significant biomass burning
component of BC at NK. Similar temporal trends in the Aethalometer concentrations at 370 and 880
nm were also observed at NK during the other winter de-coupling events (e.g. Figure S15), while
during summer de-coupling events (e.g. Figures S17 and S18) there was little difference observed
between the concentrations at 370 and 880 nm.

4.4.2 Characterisation of regional BC particles during de-coupled periods

An increased concentration at 370 nm compared to 880 nm was also observed at the BT tower in
Figure 13, although the level of enhancement was not a large as at NK. The flat temporal trends
observed at the BT tower by the Aethalometer during this de-coupling period suggest regional/background levels rather than the influence of local sources. A similar flat temporal trend in BC concentrations was generally observed across the other de-coupling events (e.g. Figures S16, S17 and S18) though without the higher concentrations at 370 nm. Elevated concentrations at 370 nm relative to the 880 nm channel were only observed during the winter de-coupling periods (Figures 13 and S15), with an average increased concentration at 370 nm of 20-40% during these de-coupled times. With little brown carbon observed during the summer/spring, it points to a seasonal effect due to biomass burning on a regional scale.

In Figure 14, the constancy of $\alpha$ at the BT tower, with limited changes during this de-coupled period suggests a well-mixed background of light absorbing aerosols with a relatively uniform composition. To examine this further, the frequency distributions of $\alpha$ at the BT tower were calculated for the identified de-coupling periods and compared to the remaining nights without evidence of de-coupling (Figure 15). Similar distributions of $\alpha$ were found with and without de-coupling from surface emissions, pointing to uniform background particles that were difficult to distinguish from local sources of BC using UV wavelengths. Although most of the identified events were during summer and spring, little seasonal variation in the $\alpha$ distributions at the BT tower was observed which suggests that regional aerosols over the whole sampling period had a similar value of $\alpha$.

It should be noted that recent studies have demonstrated that Aethalometers may have difficulties distinguishing brown carbon when its levels are low compared to BC, but when there are high concentrations, for example from biomass burning, Aethalometers can detect these high levels (Liu et al., 2013; Rizzo et al., 2011). Thus in the current work, apart from winter when there was a substantial amount of biomass burning from domestic heating, the levels of regional brown carbon may have been too low relative to BC for detection using an Aethalometer.
5. CONCLUSIONS

By estimation of spatial distributions, sources of wood smoke during winter in London were investigated at an urban background site and two surrounding rural sites. An array of chemical species that have previously been used as biomass burning tracers were analysed to determine the wood smoke contribution. Concentrations of levoglucosan, EC, OC and K$^+$ were generally well correlated, indicating similar sources of these species and similar meteorology at the three sites.

Based on the conversion factor for levoglucosan, mean wood smoke mass at Detling, NK and Harwell was 0.78, 0.87 and 1.0 µg m$^{-3}$, respectively. Source apportionment of the EC and OC by the tracer method of Gelencsér et al. (2007) was found to give reasonable estimation of the TC$_{nf}$ and TC$_{ff}$ based upon comparison to the $^{14}$C method results. The choice of source ratios used in the tracer method was found to be critical, with the averages of published data giving the most consistent split between TC$_{nf}$ and TC$_{ff}$. At all the sites, biomass burning was found to be the smallest of the major sources of primary OC and EC, with the largest source of EC found to be traffic emissions, while for OC the dominant fraction likely included contributions from secondary organic aerosols (including SOA from biomass smoke), primary biogenic and cooking sources.

Similar trends to EC were observed in the source apportionment of BC, though higher percentage contributions of wood burning were determined using the Aethalometer model but were within the uncertainties associated with the tracer method. The higher percentage contributions of wood burning determined by the aethalometer model were possibly due to the chosen $\alpha$ which might not perfectly represent the wood burning conditions, wood types used and atmospheric processing in this study. The observed diurnal cycles of BC$_{wb}$ differed between NK and Detling, with the former demonstrating a flat diurnal cycle indicative of regional background while the cycle at Detling was more characteristic of domestic wood burning. Generally, apart from at NK, the diurnal cycles for UVPM were broadly consistent with expected trends for domestic heating emissions and suggests
that UVPM may be a useful qualitative marker for wood smoke in areas where traffic emissions are not dominant, in agreement with a previous work (Harrison et al., 2013).

At all the sites, CPF analysis indicated high contributions from local sources, notably at NK. Peaks in the levoglucosan and $K^+$ concentrations were observed to coincide with low ambient temperature, suggesting domestic heating as a contributing source in London. Furthermore, levoglucosan concentrations were higher in the present work compared to the previous winter, likely due to the colder temperatures resulting in increased burning for domestic heating. Thus along with diurnal cycles, these local sources were probably related to domestic heating.

Measurements aloft (160 metres) on the BT Tower showed BC to behave similar to CO (and NO$_x$), with concentrations following those at NK, with a time lag of about one hour. Examination of periods when the atmospheric boundary layer top was below the BT sampling platform allowed comparison of air polluted by ground-level emissions (measured at NK) with regional air (sampled at BT). This showed little difference in the absorption Ångstrom coefficient ($\alpha$), even in winter, between the two sites, although NK showed an increase in $\alpha$ of BC during periods with a low boundary layer top in winter, consistent with the influence of wood burning emissions.

Overall, the inter-site correlations in concentration across southern England, the directionality shown by the polar plots and the comparison of local ground-level and regional air composition are all consistent with widespread emissions of biomass smoke across southern England and the near continent, including emissions occurring within London itself. The contribution to PM mass is significant, and any further changes in the pattern of domestic fuel usage towards greater wood burning are a matter of concern.
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1369 Figure 3: Times series of the concentration of (A) levoglucosan, (B) OC, (C) EC and (D) K$^+$ at Harwell, NK and Detling. No potassium data is available for Detling.
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1373 Figure 4: Average of daily concentrations of EC$_{bb}$, EC$_{fr}$, OC$_{bb}$, OC$_{fr}$ and OC$_{SOA}$ apportioned using the tracer method (Gelencsér et al., 2007). Variability shown is 1 standard deviation.
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1375 Figure 5: Mean diurnal variations in the BC concentrations at 880 nm during the winter campaign as measured by a 2W AE with the shaded areas indicating the 95% confidence intervals. Note in the key HAR and DET represents Harwell and Detling, respectively.
**Figure 6:** Mean diurnal cycles of UVPM during the winter campaign as determined by 2W AE (Column A) and 7W AE (Column B) for the sites where the data was available. Shaded areas indicate the 95% confidence intervals. In the key HAR and DET represents Harwell and Detling, respectively.

**Figure 7:** Mean diurnal cycle of concentration of BC\(_{\text{wb}}\) and BC\(_{\text{tr}}\) at 880 nm during the winter campaign at NK (A) and Detling (B) derived from the Aethalometer model. The shaded areas represent the 95% confidence interval. Note the different y-axis scales used between panels.

**Figure 8:** Polar plots of CPF analysis (90\(^{\text{th}}\) percentile) for the BC concentrations at 880 nm measured with a 2W AE at the sites during the winter campaign. Wind speed (wind spd) is in m s\(^{-1}\).

**Figure 9:** Polar plots of the mean concentration (µg m\(^{-3}\)) of BC from traffic (BC\(_{\text{tr}}\)) and wood burning (BC\(_{\text{wb}}\)) calculated by the two component Aethalometer model at North Kensington (top row) and Detling (bottom row) for the winter campaign. Wind speed is in m s\(^{-1}\).

**Figure 10:** Mean diurnal cycles of the mean (A) and normalised (B) BC concentrations (880 nm) over the whole sampling period at the London sites. For plot B the values were normalised to the mean. Note BC concentrations were measured by a 2W AE at NK and by 7W AE at the BT tower (BT), with the same correction factor applied to both instrument datasets.

**Figure 11:** CPF analysis for BC concentrations at 880 nm over the whole sampling period at NK and the BT tower (BT). Note the NK concentrations were determined with a 2W AE and the BT tower concentrations with a 7W AE.

**Figure 12:** Histogram of Ångstrom coefficients for winter and summer campaigns at NK and the BT tower.

**Figure 13:** Time series of NO\(_x\) concentration (A) and BC concentration measured at 370 and 880 nm (B) during a de-coupling event as marked by the turbulent mixing heights (TMH). For times where there are no TMH data in the figure, this is due to the turbulent layer lying below the lowest range gate of the Doppler lidar i.e. minimum resolvable TMH is 139 m. Also shown for this de-coupling event is the sensible heat flux (H) and turbulent kinetic energy normalized by the wind speed (tke/U\(^2\)) as measured at the BT tower (C). Note the dashed line represents the height of the T35 sampling level of the aethalometers (160 m).

**Figure 14:** Time series of the calculated absorption Ångstrom coefficient (α) during the de-coupling event from Figure 13.

**Figure 15:** Histogram of hourly of Ångstrom coefficient for BT tower for (A) all de-coupling periods and (B) for the other nights.
Table 1: Summary of sampling methodology for the filter analyses in the winter campaign. Note N/A means not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Levoglucosan</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>OC/EC</th>
<th>¹⁴C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Harwell</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size Fraction</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Interval</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start time</td>
<td>Noon</td>
<td>Noon</td>
<td>Noon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dates</td>
<td>12/01 – 9/02</td>
<td>12/01 – 9/02</td>
<td>12/01 – 9/02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NK</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size Fraction</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
<td>PM₂.₅</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Interval</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start time</td>
<td>Noon</td>
<td>Noon</td>
<td>Noon</td>
<td>Noon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dates</td>
<td>12/01 – 8/02</td>
<td>12/01 – 8/02</td>
<td>12/01 – 8/02</td>
<td>31/01 – 07/02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Detling</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size Fraction</td>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>PM₁</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling Interval</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
<td>24 hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start time</td>
<td>Midnight</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Midnight</td>
<td>Midnight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dates</td>
<td>12/01 – 9/02</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>12/01 – 9/02</td>
<td>12/01 – 9/02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Summary statistics for selected species at Harwell, NK and Detling during the winter campaign. BC concentrations from a 2W AE. Variability given for the average is 1 standard deviation. Note N/A means not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Levoglucosan</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>OC</th>
<th>EC</th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>PM₂.₅</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Harwell</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>92 ± 51.6</td>
<td>65 ± 47</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>14 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>18.3 - 219</td>
<td>7.9 - 189</td>
<td>0.4 - 6.1</td>
<td>0.03 - 2.2</td>
<td>0.06 - 3.8</td>
<td>0 - 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3131</td>
<td>831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NK</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>77.6 ± 35.9</td>
<td>82.8 ± 48.6</td>
<td>3.5 ± 2.2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>20 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>27.8 - 155</td>
<td>25 - 210</td>
<td>1.0 - 8.6</td>
<td>0.4 - 4.1</td>
<td>0.07 - 15</td>
<td>2 - 65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3345</td>
<td>798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Detling</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>69.7 ± 62.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>2.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.8</td>
<td>16 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>5.5 - 264</td>
<td>0.3 - 5.9</td>
<td>0.2 - 1.3</td>
<td>0.07 - 5.0</td>
<td>0 - 58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>2286</td>
<td>441</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3: Average of daily percentage fractions of non-fossil and fossil TC for the tracer and $^{14}$C methods. Variability shown is 1 standard deviation. *Detonates the averages for the sampling days with both $^{14}$C and EC OC data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>$^{14}$C $n_f$ (%)</th>
<th>$^{14}$C $n_f$ (%)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detling</td>
<td>Tracer</td>
<td>62 ± 18</td>
<td>38 ± 18</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tracer*</td>
<td>69 ± 13</td>
<td>31 ± 13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{14}$C</td>
<td>64 ± 7</td>
<td>36 ± 7</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tracer</td>
<td>54 ± 11</td>
<td>46 ± 11</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK</td>
<td>Tracer</td>
<td>58 ± 9</td>
<td>42 ± 9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$^{14}$C</td>
<td>53 ± 6</td>
<td>47 ± 6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harwell</td>
<td>Tracer</td>
<td>72 ± 9</td>
<td>28 ± 9</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4: Average of daily percentage fraction of non-fossil and fossil TC using different source ratios. OC/EC\textsubscript{veh} and OC/EC\textsubscript{bb} refers to the source ratio of primary vehicle and biomass burning emissions, respectively. Variability shown is 1 standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Source ratio applied</th>
<th>Levoglucosan/OC</th>
<th>OC/EC\textsubscript{veh}</th>
<th>OC/EC\textsubscript{bb}</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>TC\textsubscript{nf}(%)</th>
<th>TC\textsubscript{ff}(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.136 0.58 6</td>
<td>Detling</td>
<td>62 ± 18</td>
<td>38 ± 18</td>
<td>Average source ratio (Gelencsér et al., 2007)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.07 0.3 3</td>
<td>Detling</td>
<td>83 ± 13</td>
<td>17 ± 13</td>
<td>Min. source ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.17 1 10</td>
<td>Detling</td>
<td>48 ± 23</td>
<td>52 ± 23</td>
<td>Max. source ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.136 0.3 6</td>
<td>Detling</td>
<td>69 ± 14</td>
<td>31 ± 14</td>
<td>Pio et al. (2011) OC/EC\textsubscript{veh}</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.09 0.58 6</td>
<td>Detling</td>
<td>65 ± 17</td>
<td>35 ± 17</td>
<td>Harrison et al. (2012a) levoglucosan/OC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>0.09 0.3 6</td>
<td>Detling</td>
<td>71 ± 17</td>
<td>29 ± 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>0.098 0.58 8.2</td>
<td>Detling</td>
<td>62 ± 20</td>
<td>38 ± 18</td>
<td>Swiss biomass burning ratios (Zotter et al., 2014b)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: TC\textsubscript{nf} = OC\textsubscript{bb} + EC\textsubscript{bb} + OC\textsubscript{SOA} and TC\textsubscript{ff} = EC\textsubscript{ff} + OC\textsubscript{ff}
Table 5: Percentage contributions of biomass burning and traffic emissions to total EC or BC concentration at 880 nm as determined by the tracer method and Aethalometer model, respectively. Variability shown is 1 standard deviation. Note N/A means not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EC&lt;sub&gt;bb&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>BC&lt;sub&gt;wb&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>EC&lt;sub&gt;ff&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>BC&lt;sub&gt;tr&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harwell</td>
<td>24% ± 16%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>76% ± 16%</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK</td>
<td>7% ± 2%</td>
<td>15% ± 12%</td>
<td>93% ± 2%</td>
<td>85% ± 12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detling</td>
<td>14% ± 16%</td>
<td>30% ± 13%</td>
<td>82% ± 20%</td>
<td>70% ± 13%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Average of daily results for selected ratios. Variability shown is 1 standard deviation. Note N/A means not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Levoglucosan/K&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Levoglucosan/OC</th>
<th>OC/EC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Harwell</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.9 ± 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detling</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.02</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7: Summary statistics of the long-term measurement at NK and the BT tower. Note the values given for 7W AE at NK is the combined data from summer and winter campaigns. Note N/A means not available.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BC 7W AE</th>
<th>BC 2W AE</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>NO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>CO</th>
<th>O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>µg m&lt;sup&gt;-3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>µg m&lt;sup&gt;-3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td>ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NK Average</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>16386</td>
<td>50353</td>
<td>50784</td>
<td>50784</td>
<td>50791</td>
<td>51072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT tower Average</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max.</td>
<td>6.23</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>122394</td>
<td>90247</td>
<td>72806</td>
<td>7251</td>
<td>105643</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Map of the study area with the sampling sites (indicated with circles) and lidar locations (designated with triangles) indicated. Note for the start of the winter IOP the lidar operated from the Westminster City Council (WCC) building and then moved to Imperial College London (ICL). During the summer IOP the lidar operated from the North Kensington (NK) site.
Figure 2: Times series of the temperature at three Met stations used for the entire winter campaign.
Figure 3: Times series of the concentration of (A) levoglucosan, (B) OC, (C) EC and (D) K⁺ at Harwell, NK and Detling. No potassium data is available for Detling.
Figure 4: Average of daily concentrations of EC_{bb}, EC_{ff}, OC_{bb}, OC_{ff} and OC_{SOA} apportioned using the tracer method (Gelencsér et al., 2007). Variability shown is 1 standard deviation.
Figure 5: Mean diurnal variations in the BC concentrations at 880 nm during the winter campaign as measured by a 2W AE with the shaded areas indicating the 95% confidence intervals. Note in the key HAR and DET represents Harwell and Detling, respectively.
Figure 6: Mean diurnal cycles of UVPM during the winter campaign as determined by 2W AE (Column A) and 7W AE (Column B) for the sites where the data was available. Shaded areas indicate the 95% confidence intervals. In the key HAR and DET represents Harwell and Detling, respectively.
Figure 7: Mean diurnal cycle of concentration of BC_{wb} and BC_{tr} at 880 nm during the winter campaign at NK (A) and Detling (B) derived from the Aethalometer model. The shaded areas represent the 95% confidence interval. Note the different y-axis scales used between panels.
Figure 8: Polar plots of CPF analysis (90th percentile) for the BC concentrations at 880 nm measured with a 2W AE at the sites during the winter campaign. Wind speed (wind spd) is in m s⁻¹.
Figure 9: Polar plots of the mean concentration (µg m⁻³) of BC from traffic (BCᵣ) and wood burning (BCₕ) calculated by the two component Aethalometer model at North Kensington (top row) and Detling (bottom row) for the winter campaign. Wind speed is in m s⁻¹.
Figure 10: Mean diurnal cycles of the mean (A) and normalised (B) BC concentrations (880 nm) over the whole sampling period at the London sites. For plot B the values were normalised to the mean. Note BC concentrations were measured by a 2W AE at NK and by 7W AE at the BT tower (BT), with the same correction factor applied to both instrument datasets.
Figure 11: CPF analysis for BC concentrations at 880 nm over the whole sampling period at NK and the BT tower (BT). Note the NK concentrations were determined with a 2W AE and the BT tower concentrations with a 7W AE.
Figure 12: Histogram of Ångstrom coefficients for winter and summer campaigns at NK and the BT tower.
Figure 13: Time series of NO\textsubscript{x} concentration (A) and BC concentration measured at 370 and 880 nm (B) during a de-coupling event as marked by the turbulent mixing heights (TMH). For times where there are no TMH data in the figure, this is due to the turbulent layer lying below the lowest range gate of the Doppler lidar i.e. minimum resolvable TMH is 139 m. Also shown for this de-coupling event is the sensible heat flux (H) and turbulent kinetic energy normalized by the wind speed ($\text{tke}/U^2$) as measured at the BT tower (C). Note the dashed line represents the height of the T35 sampling level of the aethalometers (160 m).
Figure 14: Time series of the calculated absorption Ångstrom coefficient ($\alpha$) during the de-coupling event from Figure 13.
Figure 15: Histogram of hourly Ångstrom coefficient for BT tower for (A) all de-coupling periods and (B) for the other nights.