Real-case simulations of aerosol-cloud interactions in ship tracks over the Bay of Biscay
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Abstract

Ship tracks provide an ideal test bed for studying aerosol-cloud interactions (ACI) and for evaluating their representation in parameterisations. Regional modelling can be of particular use for this task, as this approach provides sufficient resolution to resolve the structure of the produced track including their meteorological environment whilst relying on the same formulations of parameterisations as many general circulation models. In this work we simulate a particular case of ship tracks embedded in an optically thin stratus cloud sheet which was observed by a polar orbiting satellite at 12:00 UTC on 26 January 2003 around the Bay of Biscay.

The simulations which include moving ship emissions show that the model is indeed able to capture the structure of the track at a horizontal grid spacing of 2 km and to qualitatively capture the observed cloud response in all simulations performed. At least a doubling of the cloud optical thickness was simulated in all simulations together with an increase in cloud droplet number concentration (by about 50 cm$^{-3}$) and decrease in effective radius (by about 5 µm). Furthermore the ship emissions lead to an increase in liquid water path in at least 25% of the track regions.

We are confident in the model’s ability to capture key processes of ship track formation. However, it was found that realistic ship emissions lead to unrealistic aerosol perturbations near the source regions within the simulated tracks due to grid-scale dilution and homogeneity.

Combining the regional-modelling approach with comprehensive field studies could likely improve our understanding of the sensitivities and biases in ACI parameterisations, and could therefore help to constrain global ACI estimates, which strongly rely on these parameterisations.
Introduction

Since their discovery in satellite imagery, ship tracks have been viewed as convincing evidence of aerosol-cloud interactions (ACI) occurring in shallow, marine planetary boundary layers (PBLs). Their exclusive existence within a narrow range of environmental conditions despite vast global emissions of ship exhaust has inspired a wide field of experimental and modelling research on the influence of aerosol perturbations on cloud microphysics and the marine PBL state. Since marine shallow clouds are particularly effective in modulating the radiative budget as well as the hydrological cycle (Stevens and Feingold, 2009), the role of anthropogenic emissions for these clouds is of particular interest not only for process understanding, but also for climate impacts.

In particular it has been shown in both satellite observations (Christensen and Stephens, 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Goren and Rosenfeld, 2012) and modelling studies (Wang et al., 2011; Kazil et al., 2011; Berner et al., 2013) that changes in the background aerosol and cloud condensation nuclei CCN concentrations, not only affect the cloud albedo by producing more numerous and smaller cloud droplets (Twomey effect, 1974), but may also induce transitions between cloud regimes, which fundamentally change the boundary layer state.

While CCN injections were found to induce transitions from open- to closed-cell stratocumulus by suppressing drizzle formation (Wang et al., 2011; Goren and Rosenfeld, 2012), the converse was simulated in case of aerosol depletion by precipitation. The scarcity of CCN induced the collapse of the boundary layer and a break-up of the stratocumulus cloud deck into an open cell structure with scattered, drizzling shallow cumuli (Ackerman et al., 1993; Wood et al., 2011; Berner et al., 2013).

Despite significant impacts of ship tracks on the regional and local scale, their radiative forcing on the global scale was found to be insignificant due to their rare occurrence (Schreier et al., 2007; Peters et al., 2011, 2014). Assessing the global effects of ACIs due to ship emissions in general and their relevance to
climate has been challenging in both satellite observations and global models. Peters et al. (2011) found no statistically significant impacts on large-scale cloud fields by shipping emissions using satellite observations. However, due to the large natural variability within the cloud systems which might mask potentially relevant ACIs, satellite observations (Peters et al., 2014) could not exclude their existence either.

Global general circulation model (GCM) simulations yield globally averaged ACIs due to ship emissions between $-0.6$ and $-0.07$ Wm$^{-2}$ (Lauer et al., 2007; Righi et al., 2011; Peters et al., 2012; Partanen et al., 2013). Given the maximum simulated cooling effect, ACI induced by shipping emissions could significantly contribute to the current best estimate of globally averaged ACI ($-0.45$ Wm$^{-2}$, Myhre et al., 2013). However, ACI are represented in GCMs by parameterisations, which are highly uncertain. Combined with the limited ability of GCMs to simulate mesoscale circulations and low clouds in general (Nam et al., 2012), these estimates can be given with limited confidence only.

In order to gain a more detailed understanding of the parameterised cumulative response of dynamical and microphysical processes to aerosol perturbations by ship emissions, we consider the regional modelling approach. While both boundary layer and microphysical processes are represented by similar parameterisations in regional models as in GCMs, one should be able to capture the structure of a ship track at kilometer-scale resolution. Therefore, this approach allows for a direct comparison of the simulated ACI to observations and can hence aid significantly to constrain the realism of the parameterised response.

In this study we use the regional COSMO model to simulate the most prominent case of ship tracks observed over Europe by the MODIS satellite on 26 January 2003. At 12:00 UTC, the polar-orbiting satellite passed over this region and captured ship tracks embedded within optically thin stratus (optical thickness $\tau \leq 2$) west of the Bay of Biscay (see Fig. 1). From the satellite image one can deduce further information on the background conditions of the boundary layer.

Based on the structures of open cells underneath the optically thin cloud layer visible in the MODIS image, one can infer the cloud to be drizzling. To the East of the ship
track region, a closed stratocumulus deck without any ship track signal was observed. This is consistent with our current understanding of the susceptibility of different cloud systems to aerosol perturbations (Stevens and Feingold, 2009). While drizzling boundary layers of little cloud water have previously been identified as susceptible to aerosol perturbations, optically thick non-precipitating stratocumulus sheets are known to buffer the response to the aerosol perturbation (e.g. Coakley et al., 1987; Stevens and Feingold, 2009; Chen et al., 2012; Christensen and Stephens, 2012).

Furthermore the presence of the optically thin stratus suggests the boundary layer to be weakly mixed in this region as the cloud top radiative cooling is small. This is supported by soundings at the French coast at Brest, which display a collapsed boundary layer structure with a strong inversion of 12 K at 500 m on 27 January 2003 at 00:00 UTC (Possner et al., 2014). The collapse of the marine boundary layer with a remnant stratified thin cloud layer has been found to coincide with aerosol deprived clean background conditions due to precipitation scavenging of CCN where cloud droplet numbers can be as low as 1–10 cm$^{-3}$ (Ackerman et al., 1993; Wood et al., 2011; Berner et al., 2013).

In these simulations the response of the aerosol and cloud bulk microphysics parameterisations to the ship emissions are quantified and discussed in the context of the MODIS observation and other ship track measurements from the literature. Additionally, parameterised boundary layer processes, such as PBL tracer transport, are discussed as well as the impact of the ship exhaust on the PBL structure.

2 Methods

2.1 Model description

The simulations were carried out with the COSMO model, developed and maintained by the COSMO consortium. The COSMO model (version 4.14) is a state-of-the-art, non-hydrostatic model used at 2 km horizontal resolution with a timestep of 20 s.
The fully compressible flow equations are solved using a 3rd-order Runge–Kutta discretisation in time (Wicker and Skamarock, 2002; Foerstner and Doms, 2004). Vertical advection is computed using an implicit 2nd order centred scheme and horizontal advection is solved using a 5th-order upstream discretisation. Tracers, such as the hydrometeors and aerosol species are advected horizontally using a second order Bott scheme (Bott, 1989). The turbulent fluxes are represented using a 1-D turbulent diffusion scheme with a prognostic description for the turbulent kinetic energy. The minimum threshold for the eddy diffusivity intrinsic to the turbulence parameterisation is set to 0.01 m² s⁻¹ (Possner et al., 2014). Shallow convection is described using the Tiedtke (1989) mass flux scheme without precipitation production with an entrainment rate of 3 × 10⁻⁴ m⁻¹. The radiative transfer is based on a δ-two-stream approach (Ritter and Geleyn, 1992) using a relative humidity criterion for subgrid-scale cloud cover.

In previous work the model was extended with a two-moment bulk cloud microphysics scheme (Seifert and Beheng, 2006) and the M7 aerosol microphysics scheme (Vignati et al., 2004; Zubler et al., 2011). The aerosol microphysics scheme describes the evolution of black carbon (BC), organic carbon (OC), sulphate (SO₄), sea salt and dust binned into 4 internally mixed soluble and 3 insoluble modes determined by fixed size ranges (nucleation, Aitken, accumulation and coarse). The processes relevant to this study captured by the model include condensation of sulphuric acid vapour, hydration, coagulation, sedimentation as well as dry and wet deposition of aerosol particles. The soluble aerosol particles are activated according to Lin and Leaitch (1997). All soluble aerosol particles in the accumulation (50 nm ≤ R ≥ 0.5 µm) and coarse (R > 0.5 µm) mode, as well as Aitken mode (5 nm ≤ R ≥ 50 nm) aerosol particles larger than 35 nm radius are considered as activated. Although there exist more physical activation parameterisations (e.g. Abdul-Razzak and Ghan, 2000; Nenes and Seinfeld, 2003), using this simpler form of activation is still in good agreement with observations, which found the CCN concentration to scale linearly with the soluble accumulation mode number concentration (Wood, 2012 and references therein).
number of newly activated cloud droplets is then further restricted by the available moisture content and the updraft velocity (Lohmann, 2002).

The cloud microphysical processes for cloud droplets and rain described by the Seifert and Beheng (2006) parameterisation contain the growth by condensation, self-collection of cloud droplets and rain drops, autoconversion, accretion, droplet breakup, sedimentation of rain and evaporation (saturation adjustment is applied). The grid-scale cloud optical properties are parameterised as a function of wavelength using the effective droplet radius (Hu and Stamnes, 1993).

2.2 Numerical experiments

The dynamical settings and nesting approach used in these simulations are based on the setup of Possner et al. (2014). We use a one-way nesting approach, where the 2 km simulation ($\Delta t = 20$ s) is nested in a 12 km simulation ($\Delta t = 90$ s) run over a larger domain stretching from the northeast Atlantic to the eastern borders of Switzerland and Germany (see Fig. 1 of Possner et al., 2014). The initial and lateral boundary conditions for the dynamical fields are provided by the ECMWF Interim Reanalysis (Uppala et al., 2005; Dee et al., 2011). For the aerosol tracers, the climatological means for January (1999–2009) obtained in ECHAM-HAM simulations (Folini and Wild, 2011) are prescribed as initial and lateral boundary conditions. Anthropogenic aerosol emissions, excluding ship emissions, are given by the AeroCom dataset (Kinne et al., 2006). Natural emissions such as dimethylsulfid (DMS) emissions (Zubler et al., 2011) and sea salt (Guelle et al., 2001) emissions are computed interactively.

2.2.1 Shipping emissions

By combustion of low-quality fuel ships emit gases such as $\text{SO}_2$, $\text{NO}_2$, hydrocarbons and carbon monoxide and particulate matter (PM) such as $\text{SO}_4$, OC, BC and ash into the atmosphere.
However, of the gaseous emissions only \( \text{SO}_2 \) is considered in this study, which focuses solely on aerosol-cloud interactions (ACI) of ship emissions. Although emitted hydrocarbons, as well as CO, lead to significant increases of greenhouse gas (CH\(_4\) and CO\(_2\) respectively) concentrations, their contribution to aerosol mass and number concentrations is small (Murphy et al., 2009). As the secondary aerosol formation of nitrates in sulphur-rich emissions is also very small (Vutukuru and Dabdub, 2008; Murphy et al., 2009), NO\(_2\) emissions were not prescribed. Furthermore, ash emissions are also not included, as ash particles are too large (\( \sim 200\) nm to \( 10\)\( \mu\)m, Moldanová et al., 2009) to act as efficient CCN.

The emission fluxes used in this study are based on measurements of cargo ship emissions obtained in the Monterey Area Ship Track campaign (Hobbs et al., 2000). Cargo ships, such as tankers, bulk carriers, container and passenger ships larger than a 100 gross tons, contribute to over 50% of the global fleet and are the major source of global emissions (Corbett, 2003). The PM emission fluxes for BC, OC and \( \text{SO}_4 \) are based on the mean PM particle number emission flux of 5 different cargo vessel measurements (Hobbs et al., 2000). The mean total particle number flux (\( 9 \times 10^{15} \) s\(^{-1}\)) was chosen, as individual emission measurements themselves varied by more than a factor of 2 between the individual vessels. The PM mass flux was estimated using the total particle number flux and estimates of emission size and density. For each of the 5 considered ships, the median emission radius was provided by Hobbs et al. (2000). The averaged mean radius (0.04 \( \mu\)m) was used as the emission size estimate. Together with the density estimate, which was taken as the mean density across all involved constituents (\( \sim 1.95 \) g cm\(^{-3}\)), the PM mass flux was approximated as 20.84 kg h\(^{-1}\). In a final step the emission fluxes for OC (9.59 kg h\(^{-1}\)), BC (3.13 kg h\(^{-1}\)) and \( \text{SO}_4 \) (8.13 kg h\(^{-1}\)) were determined using the mass fractions of ship emissions measured by Lack et al. (2009). The \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission flux (144 kg h\(^{-1}\)) was inferred from Hobbs et al. (2000) by averaging the 5 vessel measurements.

The PM and \( \text{SO}_2 \) emission mass fluxes were emitted in one level \( \sim 160\) m above the surface (e.g. Peters et al., 2012) with a log-normal size distribution (\( \sigma = 1.59\) and
two different size specifications (Righi et al., 2011; Lund et al., 2012) shown in Table 1. Both size distributions, fresh and aged, are inferred from measurements and attempt to include coagulation effects of the aerosol as time progresses. Whereas the size distribution of the fresh emissions is representative for emissions at the ship’s exhaust where all aerosol particles are emitted into the Aitken mode, the aged size distribution represents older emissions where coagulation processes occurred and the aerosol particles are split into the Aitken and accumulation modes.

In the simulations we prescribe three ships starting at 03:00 UTC on 26 January 2003 at the same longitude at the edge of the Bay of Biscay, arbitrarily separated in their initial position in the latitude by 50 km (between the northernmost and middle ship) and 80 km (between middle and southernmost ship). All ships move southwest at 230° (0° pointing north) at 5, 10, or 20 m s\(^{-1}\). For numerical stability the ship exhaust is not emitted into a single grid box, but distributed horizontally into four adjacent grid boxes (Fig. 2), based on the ship’s exact location, scaled by the distance-weighted mean.

Whilst all boundary fields are updated at an hourly rate, the ship emission fields are updated every three minutes. For each three minute interval the ship emissions are accumulated within the four adjacent grid points around the instantaneous ship position.

The performed simulations, summarised in Table 2, include a control run (clean) where the contribution of shipping emissions is zero and a simulation where the ship emissions are specified as described above (ship). As discussed in detail in Sect. 3.1, the emission flux by Hobbs et al. (2000) generated smaller aerosol perturbations near the emission source in the ship simulation than the measurements of aerosol number concentration obtained in the same study. We therefore perform experiments with scaled emission mass fluxes by a factor 10 (ship10). A scaling of similar order of magnitude has been applied in a previous study performed at considerably higher resolution, where the aerosol perturbation generated by emissions from Hobbs et al. (2000) were found to be insufficient to create a significant cloud response (Wang...
and Feingold, 2009). Additionally the sensitivity towards the emission particle size is investigated in ship10A, where the aged emission size distribution is prescribed. In order to understand the balance of the macrophysical constraints (e.g. cloud cover and moisture availability) and the microphysical feedbacks involved in determining the extent of the ship tracks, simulations with varied ship speeds are performed. Whereas the ships move at 10 m s⁻¹ in most simulations, the ships’ speed was set to 5 m s⁻¹ in ship10_V5 and 20 m s⁻¹ in ship10_V20. In doing so, one can assess the influence of the ship’s speed on the track structure.

2.3 Classification of ship plume

In order to quantify the changes in microphysical entities, a distinction between plume and non-plume grid points has to be made in the post-processing. As the only perturbation in total aerosol number concentration $N_a$ is caused by ship emissions, we defined a relative threshold concentration of $N_a$ at each grid point to determine the plume points. Only points where $N_{a_{\text{simulation}}} \geq 3N_{a_{\text{clean}}}$ are considered part of the ship exhaust plume. This threshold provides the required balance of being small enough to include a maximum number of plume points and being large enough to separate the core track structures from surrounding increases of $N_a$ due to aerosol being mixed away from the track region.

Another sampling was performed to determine not only the plume points, but the subset of plume points where a significant cloud response was detected. Here, the additional criterion in terms of cloud droplet number concentration $N_c$ of $N_{c_{\text{simulation}}} \geq 5N_{c_{\text{clean}}}$ was applied. The ability to distinguish plume from non-plume points and ship track from non-ship-track points of these criteria is shown in Sect. 3.4.
2.4 Evaluation of cloud optical thickness

A simple metric to compare simulated cloud optical thickness to the MODIS observation was designed, as the COSP simulator (Bodas-Salcedo et al., 2011), including grid-scale and sub-grid scale cloud water contributions, is not yet available within COSMO.

Cloud optical thickness $\tau$ within COSMO is diagnosed for each of the 8 spectral intervals (three shortwave and five longwave) in the radiation scheme. For warm-phase clouds $\tau$ is given as:

$$\tau = \int_{\text{SFC}}^{\text{TOA}} \xi(\lambda_i) q_{c_{\text{tot}}}(x,y,z) c_{\text{clt_{tot}}}(x,y,z) \, dz,$$

where $\xi(\lambda_i)$ denotes the extinction coefficient of each spectral band $\lambda_i$, $q_{c_{\text{tot}}}$ the total (grid-scale and sub-grid-scale) liquid water content at each grid point at coordinates $x$ (longitude), $y$ (latitude) and $z$ (level), and $c_{\text{clt_{tot}}}$ the cloud cover fraction (predominantly 0 or 1 in these simulations).

As MODIS cloud optical thickness during day-time and over the ocean is predominantly defined by radiances measured within the visible (King et al., 1998; Platnick et al., 2003), the contribution of the visible channel in COSMO ($0.25 \leq \lambda \leq 0.7 \mu m$) to the total $\tau$ was isolated and used for the MODIS comparison.

3 Results

Before a detailed assessment of the ship exhaust effects on the stratocumulus deck is given in the following sections, the background state is described. The mesoscale circulations and the macrophysical state on 26 January are predominantly driven by an extensive high pressure system with an underlying subsidence rate of about $-0.75 \text{ mm s}^{-1}$ at night and $-2.5 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ during daytime at a height of 1.5 km. Temperature gradients of up to 4 K per 100 m are simulated within the inversion in the
ship track domain (domain shown in Fig. 2). An inversion of this magnitude was only obtained after a significant reduction of the prescribed minimum threshold for the eddy diffusivity of heat and moisture from the operational value of 1.0 to 0.01 m$^2$ s$^{-1}$ (Possner et al., 2014). A detailed evaluation using coastal soundings of PBL profiles of horizontal wind, potential temperature $\theta$ and relative humidity and their impact on cloud cover is presented in Possner et al. (2014).

The horizontal large-scale advection of the air masses is dominated by northwesterly flow, pushing air masses from the ship track region towards the continent. During the simulated period a pre-frontal band of organised convection (see Fig. 1) propagates through the domain from the northwest to the east and passes through the ship track domain between 07:00 and 12:00 UTC.

3.1 Impacts on aerosol microphysics

The simulated background of this case study is very clean due to the presence of unpolluted marine air into the ship track domain and the removal of aerosol by precipitation. Aerosol concentrations as low as 285 cm$^{-3}$ and CCN concentrations of 10–20 cm$^{-3}$ are simulated.

The background aerosol particles are a composite of sea salt emitted within the region and sulphate particles within the Aitken mode, which are transported into the domain from the lateral boundaries. The sulphate particles formed near the upper troposphere and were mixed downward in the driving GCM simulations. Although the aerosol and CCN concentrations are low, they are not unrealistic for this region (Zubler et al., 2011) or for stratocumulus in general (Wood, 2012) and are consistent with the MODIS observation of optically thin stratus.

The impact of the ship exhaust in all simulations, containing either a varied emission mass flux or emission size, on the aerosol size distribution is illustrated in Fig. 3. This figure shows the averaged aerosol size distributions determined over a plume volume close to the source at 06:00 UTC and at a distance at 12:00 UTC. The selection method of the included plume grid points of each track is illustrated in Fig. 4. At each of these
points the size distribution is determined and then bin-wise averaged over all selected plume points. As in-situ size distribution measurements shown in Fig. 3 are obtained within a 10 km radius of the ship’s position, plume points within the same radius of each ship are selected at 06:00 UTC. Furthermore, to ensure a comparison of the same volume of air between different simulations, the plume points considered for this analysis were determined in ship10 and used in all other simulations. In order to visualise size distribution changes along the plume due to dilution and microphysical processing, the distributions were determined again at 12:00 UTC over plume points selected from a volume of air centred at the same latitude as 06:00 UTC (see Fig. 4) which now contains atmospherically aged aerosol particles. To highlight the variability between individual plume points, the span between the 10th and 90th percentiles (P10 and P90 respectively) is shown in addition to the averaged distribution in grey at 06:00 UTC.

In general a good agreement of the peak width is found between all simulations and observations obtained by Hobbs et al. (2000) (Fig. 3 blue markers) and Petzold et al. (2008) (Fig. 3 orange markers), while peak amplitudes are underestimated with respect to the observations in ship and ship10A. Observed peak concentrations in aerosol number per size bin, which vary between 5000 cm\(^{-3}\) and 100 000 cm\(^{-3}\) (i.e. over two orders of magnitude), are merely captured by the ship10 simulation.

However, it has to be considered that the observations shown in Fig. 3 were obtained within different marine boundary layers of varying background aerosol concentrations, ship emissions (in terms of mass flux and size) and PBL state, and were obtained for considerably smaller samples of air (compared to a 2 km by 2 km by 100 m volume) at different plume ages. As all of these factors influence the plume evolution, complete conformity between the simulated plumes of this case study and the observations is not to be expected. However, a qualitative comparison in terms of order of magnitude can still be made and provides valuable insights.

In addition to size distribution measurements, observations of the total perturbation in aerosol number concentration are also considered. The simulated perturbations in
$\Delta N_a$ (shown in Fig. 3b–d) are compared to measurements obtained by Hobbs et al. (2000) (Fig. 1 of their paper) for a bulk carrier running on marine fuel oil (Star Livorno), which was one of the 5 ships considered for the ship exhaust estimate of this study. The observations of $\Delta N_a$ range between 3000 and 30 000 cm$^{-3}$. However only 7% of the measurements were smaller than 5000 cm$^{-3}$, while over 50% were obtained at $\Delta N_a > 20 000$ cm$^{-3}$. Therefore, peak concentrations of at least 20 000 cm$^{-3}$ in the vicinity of the ship can be inferred.

In the simulations $\Delta N_a$ was determined at each point by taking the difference between any simulation containing ship exhaust and clean over plume points within a 10 km radius from the source at 06:00 UTC. A comparison between the simulated range of $\Delta N_a$ with the observations shows that almost 75% of the simulated perturbations are below the observed range. This indicates that the aerosol perturbation due to the literature-scale emission flux might be insufficient to generate comparable peak concentrations within the plume. On the other hand, the simulated range of perturbations as compared to the observations agrees well up to the 75th percentile (P75) with the observations of ship10. However, this agreement is obtained at the expense of a considerable overestimation of the peak perturbations in this simulation.

In addition to differences in peak amplitude, a shift of the aerosol peak towards smaller radii is detectable between the ship and ship10 simulations and observations. The difference in peak radius is strongly tied to the emission size of the ship exhaust. Whilst an emission size of 0.015 µm was specified for fresh plumes (Table 1), ship exhaust radii of at least 0.03 µm were measured by Hobbs et al. (2000). While this discrepancy in emission radius was noted, the results of this study were not found to be affected.

The aerosol size distribution in ship10A displays a distinct double-peak structure due to the bimodal emission size distribution applied (Table 1). While all observations shown in Fig. 3 display a single peak, measurements of double-peak structures have been obtained in test bed studies (Petzold et al., 2008). In terms of $\Delta N_a$, smaller peak perturbations are simulated in ship10A than observed, as the aged emission size
distribution was developed to represent older plume segments, which consequentially are more diluted.

As time evolves and the growing plumes are increasingly diluted, the in-plume size distributions are increasingly influenced by clean air. Indeed the size distribution peak is found to shift towards the clean aerosol peak position in all simulations (Fig. 3b–d) by 12:00 UTC. In addition the formation of a secondary peak can be observed for ship, which is not simulated to the same extent in ship10. This is caused by the increase of background aerosol concentrations within this size range (Fig. 3a) and their increased impact in ship as compared to ship10.

In terms of microphysical processing, the most relevant processes include the condensation of sulphate and water vapour onto mixed aerosol particles. The presence of the ship pollutants leads to a suppression of sulphate nucleation due to the abundance of condensation nuclei within the plumes. In combination with efficient scavenging by in-plume aerosol particles, the nucleation mode aerosol concentrations are reduced.

Finally the number of activated particles was found to be strongly tied to the prescribed emission flux and to a lesser extend to emission size. As can be seen in Fig. 3, particle concentrations larger than 35 nm are similar between ship10 and ship10A, which are five times as high as the activated aerosol concentrations in ship. However, the percentage of activated particles lies between 3 and 6 % in most of the plume areas, which agrees well with plume measurements obtained at 0.2 % supersaturation (Hudson et al., 2000).

### 3.2 Vertical aerosol transport

After emission at ~160 m a.s.l., the ship plume aerosols are subject to turbulent and convective boundary layer transport. Figure 5 provides an insight into the vertical distribution of mixed aerosols in clean and ship10. Under clean conditions, the background aerosols (Fig. 5a) are not homogeneously distributed in the mean boundary layer profile. Instead, the aerosol concentration is found to increase from
at least 300 cm\(^{-3}\) near the surface to 500 cm\(^{-3}\) near the PBL top in stratified layers. The PBL top, as defined by the inversion, and boundary layer stability, can be inferred from Fig. 5c, which displays the potential temperature (\(\theta\)) profile at the center point of the ship track domain at 03:00, 06:00, and 09:00 UTC. Throughout the first 9 h of simulation, the PBL remains slightly stable in this region, which allows for persistent vertical gradients in the mixed aerosol concentrations. Higher concentrations of mixed aerosol particles up to 600 cm\(^{-3}\) are reached due to the increased concentration of Aitken mode sulphate above the inversion.

For the formation of ship tracks, the time-scale of the ship plume reaching cloud base is of particular interest. The mean cloud base height within the ship track domain between 00:00 and 09:00 UTC was determined at \(\sim 360\) m, as shown by the white line in Fig. 5a and b. Figure 5b shows the evolution of the mixed aerosol concentration including ship exhaust averaged every 15 min at each level. As time progresses, the total number concentration increases within the PBL, due to cumulative emissions within this region. From the clearly distinguishable emission height at \(\sim 160\) m, the aerosol are mixed both downwards towards the surface and upwards towards the PBL top, as is consistent with a turbulent boundary layer (e.g. Verzijlbergh et al., 2009). At cloud base the mixed aerosol number concentration is first raised from the background concentration of 35 to 420 cm\(^{-3}\) within 1 h 15 min after emission begin at 03:00 UTC. This time scale is consistent with the time scale estimate obtained for the turbulent mixing of a passive tracer assuming a gradient approach, i.e. \(w'c' = -K_{c}\partial c/\partial z\), where \(c\) is the passive tracer concentration, \(w'\) the turbulent vertical velocity and \(K_{c}\), is the eddy diffusivity of the tracer. Taking \(K_{c} \sim K_{h}\), the eddy diffusivity for heat, one can estimate \(K_{c}\) as 12.3 m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\) based on the mean \(K_{h}\) profile for the ship track domain (not shown). Neglecting variations of \(K_{c}\) with height, the overturning time scale within a slab of the atmosphere of extent \(\Delta z\) can be approximated as \(\tau_{turb} \sim \Delta z^2/K_{h}\). Therefore taking \(\Delta z = 200\) m, which is the difference in height between the cloud base and emission height, \(\tau_{turb}\) is approximated as 54 min, which agrees well with the time scale obtained from the mean profiles shown in Fig. 5b. Although this approach merely
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provides a crude estimate for the time scale of turbulent mixing, it does show the consistency of the boundary layer transport in these simulations.

In addition to turbulent transport, a fraction of the ship emissions are transported by convective fluxes into the cloud layer, just below inversion base. At the level between 500 m and inversion base height, the mixed aerosol concentrations are raised by 10–15 cm$^{-3}$ already 30 min after emission onset. However, turbulent mixing is the predominant form of vertical PBL transport at this time.

Finally, Fig. 5b clearly highlights the confinement of the ship plume to the boundary layer due to the strong inversion. The mixed aerosol concentrations above the PBL remain unaffected by the ship emissions.

### 3.3 Microphysical and radiative effects

The simulated cloud microphysical response to the plumes of increased aerosol concentration was found to be in agreement with findings of previous studies. Within plume regions, the increased number of activated aerosol leads to an increase in cloud droplet number concentration and a decrease in effective radius. As a result, the cloud optical thickness increased. The strength of the response is sensitive to the plume’s age and intensity (in terms of aerosol number concentration) as well as the environmental conditions, as discussed in Sect. 3.4.

Figure 6 displays the cloud droplet number burden summed over the PBL (columns 1 and 2) and $\tau$ (columns 3 and 4) at 09:00 and 12:00 UTC. Although all simulations display an increase in the cloud droplet burden along the tracks, its extent varies significantly among the different simulations. At 09:00 UTC, the cloud droplet burden is increased up to $120 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-2}$ within the plume regions in all simulations apart from ship, where maximum burdens of $15 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-2}$ are simulated. Considering the significantly smaller number of activated aerosol within the plume in ship (Fig. 3b), this is to be expected. After an additional 3 h of simulation, the tracks have grown in size, but similar values of cloud droplet burden are reached at 12:00 UTC.
In ship10_V20 however, a significant decrease in the cloud droplet burden was simulated down to $20 \times 10^4$ cm$^{-2}$ until 12:00 UTC. Whilst the ship tracks shown in rows 1–5 in Fig. 6 form $\sim$ 25 km from the source, or even just $\sim$ 7 km in ship10_V5, the emission sources are already separated by 313 km from the track sections displayed within the ship track domain in row 6 at 12:00 UTC, and are therefore significantly more diluted.

Additionally, little difference in cloud droplet burden is found between plumes where a fresh (ship10) or an aged (ship10A) size distribution was assumed at the point of emission. In accordance marginal differences in cloud droplet number concentration ($N_c$) were simulated, as shown in Fig. 7. Although P75 is slightly higher in ship10, the median of $N_c$ lies at 41 cm$^{-3}$ in both simulations. This is consistent with the equivalent increase of aerosol number concentration within the size range of activation in these simulations.

Comparing the simulated changes in effective radius $R_{\text{eff}}$ (Fig. 7b) as well as $N_c$ to in situ and surface remote sensing observations of ship tracks one finds, in general, a good agreement of the simulated and observed cloud response. The observed increase in cloud droplet number concentration ranges between 40 cm$^{-3}$ (Hobbs et al., 2000) and 800 cm$^{-3}$ (McComiskey et al., 2009), though most observed cloud droplet number concentrations lie within a narrower range of 40 to 200 cm$^{-3}$ (Ferek et al., 1998; Hobbs et al., 2000; Hudson et al., 2000; Durkee et al., 2000).

Similarly the in-situ observations of cloud effective radii measured in ship tracks ranged between 6 and 15 µm. This is almost identical to the range spanned by P25 and P75 in all simulations. Although these values were obtained for a range of environmental conditions which are not necessarily similar to the environmental conditions of this case study, these measurements provide a basis to demonstrate the realism of our simulated cloud response.

In line with the increase in $N_c$, and the decrease of $R_{\text{eff}}$ (Fig. 7), $\tau$ increases within the plume regions. Within ship10, ship10A and ship10_V5 the response in $\tau$ varies between 6 to 10 at the track edges and 12 to 24 within the track centres. Within the
ship simulation the response in $\tau$ is considerably weaker, following the considerably smaller perturbations to $N_c$ and $R_{eff}$, at both 09:00 and 12:00 UTC. An increase in optical thickness of 8 to 10 was simulated within the considerably smaller plume areas. A slightly stronger response was simulated at 12:00 UTC in ship10_V20, where the increase in $\tau$ ranges between 8 to 14 within the plume regions.

Due to the significant increase of cloud optical thickness within the ship track regions, the top of the atmosphere (TOA) shortwave (SW) cloud radiative effect (CRE), defined as the difference between all-sky outgoing SW and clear-sky outgoing SW radiation at TOA, was changed. Averaged over the entire ship track domain, the TOA SW CRE (Fig. 6c) increased by 15% in ship10, ship10A and ship10_V5. This increase is solely due to changes of the TOA SW CRE within the ship tracks themselves, which cover at most 6% of the domain area, while the background TOA SW CRE variations were no larger than 5 Wm\(^{-2}\) (\sim 3%) at any given time.

### 3.4 Interplay between micro- and macrophysics

In confined regions of the simulated ship tracks, microphysical properties were found to produce localised changes of macrophysical entities, such as cloud extent and in-cloud liquid water content $q_c$. As is shown in Fig. 8, regions of increased $N_c$ due to the ship exhaust aerosol, where found to coincide with regions of increased $q_c$. The localised increase of $q_c$ is caused by the suppression of rain formation, since the influx of activated aerosol led to a significant decrease of cloud droplet size. Within the ship track domain, the stratocumulus deck is lightly precipitating with almost all precipitation evaporating before reaching the surface, thereby moistening the sub-cloud layer. Starting at 04:00 UTC, the rain water content within the ship track domain is reduced. By 09:00 UTC, the mean rain water content within the domain is reduced by 20% and at 12:00 UTC by 28% in ship10. Within the ship plumes themselves the reduction of rain was found to be as large as 80% during the simulated period.

The resulting increase of liquid water path LWP is not only seen for the particular cross section shown, but in several confined regions of the ship tracks (Fig. 9). The
background LWP ranges between 0.04 and 0.08 kg m\(^{-2}\) and is found to remain constant throughout the day. Within the ship10 simulation, the LWP is increased to 0.12 or even 0.2 kg m\(^{-2}\) in ship track regions (Fig. 9), which is almost a threefold increase in comparison to the background liquid water path.

Changes in cloud liquid water content and cloud depth due to increased aerosol concentrations have been shown to affect cloud life time (Albrecht, 1989). Whether the cloud life time is increased or decreased depends fundamentally on the effect of drizzle suppression on the entrainment rate and the humidity in the free troposphere (Stevens et al., 1998). In general, precipitation acts to stabilise the boundary layer. In case of a collapsed boundary layer such as this, where cloud droplet number concentrations and accumulation size aerosol concentrations are extremely low, entrainment rates are believed to be small due to the weak radiative cloud top cooling generated by the optically thin clouds. Therefore, decreases in precipitation combined with a re-establishment of CCN have been shown to increase entrainment and lead to a re-growth of the previously collapsed boundary layer. However, entrainment rate parameterisations in most global and regional climate models are inadequate to capture such effects. During this case study we are not able to study possible effects of the ship exhaust on the PBL top as this process was found to occur on the order of days (e.g. Berner et al., 2013). Due to advection of the air masses into regions of changed environmental conditions the impact of the ship exhaust on the stratiform cloud deck could only be studied for about 12 h.

Nonetheless increases of liquid water content within these regions were found to affect the simulated cloud depth, by lowering the cloud base. This is shown explicitly in Fig. 8d, where the cloud base is lowered significantly within the track regions. The occurrence of an increase in LWP with a combined decrease in cloud base height over the simulated period within the ship track domain is summarised in Fig. 10. As can be seen in Fig. 10a, a doubling of the LWP is simulated in at least 25% of the ship track regions in all simulations but ship. Figure 10b displays the simultaneous occurrence
of cloud base lowering, given a doubling of LWP. Between 09:00 and 15:00 UTC 50 to 80% of regions with increased LWP display an additional lowering of the cloud base.

This phenomenon has previously been observed using balloon soundings during the Monterey Area Ship Track campaign (Durkee et al., 2000) campaign by Porch et al. (1999), where similar environmental conditions were encountered. A lowering of the cloud base by \( \sim 50 \text{ m} \) was measured. Based on their data alone, no clear explanation for this phenomenon could be found. However, Porch et al. (1999) hypothesized that the cloud base lowering was related to dynamical effects, such as adiabatic cooling in convective plumes originating from the ship. Microphysical effects related to increases in drizzle, on the other hand were perceived as unlikely. Our simulations suggest the cloud base lowering to be a consequence of drizzle suppression and the resulting localised increase of \( q_c \) within the mixed layer. As can be seen in Fig. 8, the cloud base is situated within the mixed layer. Whilst the strength of the vertical exchange was not found to be affected by the emissions, more cloud water is mixed downward below cloud base, where it evaporates leading to a moistening of the sub-cloud layer. If a sufficient amount of cloud water is mixed downward, such that saturation is reached, the vertical cloud extent is increased. However, this process was found to only occur in regions where the sub-cloud layer near cloud base was near saturation.

3.5 Evaluation against observations

The simulated cloud optical thickness, diagnosed within in the visible spectrum, is compared to the MODIS observation for \( \tau \) obtained at 12:00 UTC on 26 January 2003. Within the observation shown in Fig. 11, three characteristic features can be determined. Firstly, considerably higher values of optical thickness (with \( \tau > 20 \)) are detected over land than over the ocean. Secondly, a pre-frontal band structure passing through the domain, which stretches across the Bay of Biscay from \( 4^\circ \text{ W}, 47^\circ \text{ N} \) to \( 10^\circ \text{ W}, 44^\circ \text{ N} \) at 12:00 UTC is characterised by \( \tau \) values of similar magnitude. Finally, a region of ship tracks embedded within an optically thin stratified cloud layer (\( \tau < 2 \)) located to the west of the pre-frontal band of convection was observed.
The optical thickness is shown in Fig. 11 for two simulations (clean and ship10) in comparison to the MODIS observation. Only one of the simulations including ship emissions is shown here, as the two features outside the small ship track region are identical (qualitatively) in all simulations. The cloud response within the ship tracks for all other simulations is shown in Fig. 6 for the ship track domain.

The cloud optical thickness over land as well as within the convective band is strongly underestimated in all simulations. Causes for this low bias in $\tau$ can be manifold and can not be disentangled with confidence based on this observation alone. It might be caused by an underestimation in pollution, or vertical velocity in the simulations, which would lead to a low bias in either activated cloud droplet number concentration, cloud water or both. Although the issue has to be acknowledged, it is outside the scope of this work.

We now focus our analysis on the region west of the convective cloud band. The observed background optical thickness in this region ranges from less than 2 up to a value of 4, which represents an optically very thin cloud sheet. The range of $\tau$ simulated within the background cloud compares well to observations. However significantly more small scale structures with higher values of optical thickness (3–4 instead of $\tau < 2$) occur within the simulations than the observations. These structures are due to sub-grid scale cloud water produced by the shallow convection scheme. Therefore, with respect to the mean state, a slightly optically thicker background cloud sheet is simulated than observed.

The perturbation in $\tau$ along the observed ship tracks varies between 5–10 in one group of tracks and 10–24 in more pronounced ship tracks. Although the simulated and observed ship tracks can not be compared one-to-one since the prescribed ship routes vary in space from the observations, a comparison in terms of the perturbation in $\tau$ as well as the track structure can be made.

The simulated cloud response in terms of optical thickness in all simulations containing ship emissions agrees well with observations. As was done for the observed ship tracks, the simulated cloud response along the ship tracks can be grouped into
the same two classes. While simulations with a smaller prescribed ship emission flux \((\text{ship})\), or older ship tracks \((\text{ship10\_V20})\) lead to a smaller perturbation in \(\tau\) (i.e. 5–10), the remaining simulations produced ship tracks with a larger cloud response where \(\tau\) ranges between 10–24 as in the observations.

Furthermore, the simulations and the observation are nearly identical in terms of horizontal track extent and length. The only exception might be \(\text{ship}\), as the ship track segments where the aerosol perturbation is sufficient to create a detectable increase in \(\tau\) with respect to the background, seem to be underestimated in length compared to the observations.

4 Conclusions

In this study a new approach to study aerosol-cloud interactions and macroscopic feedbacks in ship tracks has been used. For a particular case study ship tracks were simulated in a real-case setup with the regional non-hydrostatic COSMO model for 26 January 2003 and evaluated against MODIS cloud optical thickness obtained at 12:00 UTC.

Numerous ship tracks were observed in this region, covered by a drizzling, optically thin cloud sheet \((\tau \leq 2)\) under very clean conditions with very few accumulation mode background aerosol. Such a regime had previously been identified as susceptible to aerosol perturbations, allowing the formation of ship tracks within the cloud sheet.

These simulations have shown that a regional model is able to capture key aspects of ship track formation and to simulate a realistic cloud response. After reaching cloud base (which was found to take roughly 1 h), the aerosol coated by sulphate begin to interact with the cloud. Evaluation against observations showed the cloud microphysical response to be comparable to observations in terms of changes in cloud droplet number \((\Delta N_c \leq 210 \text{ cm}^{-3})\) and effective radius \((\Delta R_{\text{eff}} \sim -5 \mu\text{m})\). Furthermore, in all simulations that did or did not apply a scaling of the emission flux, the ship exhaust led to at least a doubling of \(\tau\) with respect to the background. The comparison of
the simulations against MODIS showed the simulated cloud-radiative response to be realistic.

The resulting cloud radiative effect is largely determined by the change in CCN due to the ship emissions. The CCN concentration in turn is intrinsically linked to the aerosol perturbation within the soluble Aitken and accumulation modes. These simulations showed the aerosol size distribution perturbation to be very sensitive to the emission flux and size. A scaling of the emission mass flux by a factor 10 had to be applied to reproduce observed aerosol size distributions near the source, which defines the size distribution within the entire exhaust plume and hence the potential CCN perturbation by ship emissions. The dilution of literature ship emissions onto the grid scale, which provides a grid-scale mean perturbation to the system, leads to a significant underestimation of their potential effects. However the performed scaling of the emission fluxes leads to a considerable overestimation of peak concentrations in ship10. In this manner the simulations clearly highlight the issues tied to analysing effects of a rapidly microphysically processed point-source aerosol emission with parameterisations based on grid-scale mean fields operating at discretised time steps. In order to capture these effects correctly, increased computational resolution in combination with an LES scheme would be highly desirable.

Although global studies are based on fundamentally different ship emission inventories than those used in this study, they are still restricted to area weighted emission fluxes over grid box sizes of $O(100)$ km. Furthermore, given atmospheric residence times of SO$_4$, BC and OC of several days to a week, biases in the predicted aerosol number perturbation due to ship emissions could introduce significant uncertainties in radiative forcing estimates. Indeed it has been shown in global simulations, based on the same aerosol microphysical parameterisation, that a tenfold upscaling of the emission inventories did induce significant changes in microphysical and macrophysical quantities surpassing the background noise (Peters et al., 2014).

Besides the microphysical and radiative response, changes in cloud structure and liquid water content were simulated. The liquid water content was found to have
more than doubled in at least 25% of the ship tracks, which coincided with a cloud base lowering in over 70% at the early onset of ship track formation. By vertical mixing of cloud water within the boundary layer and evaporation below cloud base the condensation level was lowered in the simulations.

On the whole, these simulations give confidence in the realism of a multitude of simulated processes occurring predominantly on the parameterised scale. To further constrain parameterisations which are widely used in regional and global models using this kind of approach, a more comprehensive data set would be required. In order to attribute biases to particular parameterisations (turbulence, cloud and aerosol microphysics, radiation, shallow convection, etc.) simultaneous observations of the boundary layer and turbulent structure, ship emission, background aerosol concentrations and composition as well as cloud property measurements in and around the ship track would be needed, most of which were obtained during the Monterey Area Ship-Track campaign (e.g. Noone et al., 2000).
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Table 1. Specifications of the two size distributions used for ship emission fluxes obtained from Righi et al. (2011). The ship emissions are treated as lognormal size distributions and are partitioned into the soluble Aitken (AIT) and accumulation (ACC) modes based on the mass percentage at the mean radius $\bar{R}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fresh</th>
<th>Aged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AIT</td>
<td>ACC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\bar{R}$ [$\mu m$]</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% [mass]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Summary of ship emission specifications as prescribed in the simulations. Prescribed SO₂ and PM mass fluxes based on the literature (Hobbs et al., 2000) are given together with prescribed size distributions and ship’s speed ($v_{\text{ship}}$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation</th>
<th>SO₂ flux [kg h⁻¹]</th>
<th>PM flux [kg h⁻¹]</th>
<th>Size distribution</th>
<th>$v_{\text{ship}}$ [m s⁻¹]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>clean</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>20.84</td>
<td>fresh</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship10</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>208.4</td>
<td>fresh</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship10A</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>208.4</td>
<td>aged</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship10_V5</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>208.4</td>
<td>fresh</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ship10_V20</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>208.4</td>
<td>fresh</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. True-colour MODIS satellite image (wavelength bands 670, 565 and 479 nm) on 26 January 2003 at 12:00 UTC of the Bay of Biscay. Note the numerous ship tracks in the Northwest of the image and the pre-frontal band of convection stretching across the Bay.
Figure 2. 2 km simulation domain of 1160 km × 800 km showing three prescribed ship routes oriented from northeast to southwest. A schematic of the distribution of the ship emissions along the 2 km × 2 km grid is given inside the black box. The emissions are distributed at a 3 min interval within four adjacent grid boxes along the ship’s route. The red box displays the ship track domain used in Figs. 3–7 and 9. (Note that the ship plume locations shown in these figures are determined by the relative motion between the ships and the horizontal wind.)
Figure 3. Mean aerosol size distributions of internally mixed aerosol for (a) clean, (b) ship, (c) ship10 and (d) ship10A are shown at 06:00 and 12:00 UTC. At 06:00 UTC size distributions were averaged bin-wise near the emission source and at 12:00 UTC at a distance of 216 km (see text for details). Red line marks the activation size threshold. In panels (b–d): grey shaded region spans between the 10th and 90th percentiles at 06:00 UTC; field study measurements are represented by coloured markers and include five size distribution measurements (different shades of blue) obtained by Hobbs et al. (2000) of vessels and one measurement (orange) obtained by Petzold et al. (2008); box plot representations of the total aerosol perturbation ($\Delta N_a$) at 06:00 UTC near the emission source with respect to the background (obtained from clean) are shown in addition (Note their different scale).
Figure 4. Schematic of selection method for plume points considered for averaged size distributions shown in Fig. 3. Exemplary tracks are shown for 06:00 and 12:00 UTC within the same map. The plume points are sampled at each level within a 10 km radius (red half circle) at the latitude (red line) defined by the ship's position at 06:00 UTC. Therefore the plume points contain freshly emitted aerosol particles at 06:00 UTC and atmospherically aged aerosol particles at 12:00 UTC.
Figure 5. (a–b) Mixed aerosol number concentration [cm$^{-3}$] averaged at each level over the ship track domain at a 15 min interval for (a) clean and (b) ship10. The mean cloud base height is shown in white. (c) Potential temperature ($\theta$) profiles at 03:00, 06:00 and 09:00 UTC at the ship track domain center point.
Figure 6. Columns (1–2) show grid-scale cloud droplet number burden [cm$^{-2}$] and (3–4) cloud optical thickness, including grid-scale and subgrid-scale contributions, at 09:00 and 12:00 UTC respectively. Rows (a–f) include the following simulation results: (a) clean, (b) ship, (c) ship10, (d) ship10A, (e) ship10_V5 and (f) ship10_V20. Grey shaded areas in columns (1–2) denote regions of missing grid-scale clouds.
Figure 7. (a–b) Distributions across PBL grid points for either plume points of significant cloud response or environmental background conditions are shown for (a) $N_c$ and (b) $R_{\text{eff}}$ at 12:00 UTC for all simulations containing ship emissions. The box edges denote the 25th and 75th percentiles, and the whiskers display the 5th and 95th percentiles. The plume regions were diagnosed within ship10 and ship10_V5 (for ship10_V5 only) and ship10_V20 (for ship10_V20 only). The range of observations is denoted in black. (c) displays the top of the atmosphere (TOA) shortwave (SW) cloud radiative effect (CRE) averaged over the entire ship track region for all simulations (clean is shown in light blue).
**Figure 8.** Vertical cross sections (location shown in Fig. 6 row c) for (a) the total aerosol number concentration \( N_a [\text{cm}^{-3}] \), (b) \( N_c [\text{cm}^{-3}] \), (c) \( \theta [\text{K}] \) and (d) the liquid cloud water content \( q_c [\text{g kg}^{-1}] \). Each contour in (a) indicates a doubling of the concentration starting at \( 250 \text{ cm}^{-3} \). In (b) the contour levels are: 2, 5, 10, 50, \ldots every 50 \ldots 350 \text{ cm}^{-3}. \theta \) contours are given at an interval of 1.4 K and for \( q_c \) the contour spacing is 0.02 g kg\(^{-1}\). Grey shading in (a, c) indicates plume points and in (b, d) plume points where a significant cloud response was simulated as defined in Sect. 2.3.
Figure 9. Liquid water path \( \text{[kg m}^{-2}\text{]} \) is shown in the ship track domain for two simulations (row a: clean, row b: ship10) at 09:00, 12:00 and 15:00 UTC.
Figure 10. (a) Percentage of ship plume points of significant cloud response determined as in Fig. 7, where at least a doubling of the liquid water path LWP was detected. Given an LWP doubling in at least 25\% of all plume points, the percentage of plume perturbed grid points which additionally displayed a cloud base lowering was computed and is shown in (b). A cloud base lowering is diagnosed for any change greater than 0 m. Therefore only occurrences above 50\% are above noise.
Figure 11. Comparison of cloud optical thickness observed by MODIS to simulated cloud optical thickness including grid-scale and sub-grid-scale contributions for (a) clean, (b) ship10 at 12:00 UTC.