OCIO and BrO observations in the volcanic plume of Mt. Etna – implications on the chemistry of chlorine and bromine species in volcanic plumes
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Abstract

Spatial and temporal profiles of chlorine dioxide (OCIO), bromine monoxide (BrO) and sulfur dioxide (SO₂) were investigated in the plume of Mt. Etna, Italy, in September 2012 using Multi-Axis-Differential-Optical-Absorption-Spectroscopy (MAX-DOAS). OCIO was detected in 119 individual measurements covering plume ages up to six minutes. BrO could be detected in 452 spectra up to 23 minutes downwind. The retrieved slant column densities (SCDs) reached maximum values of $2.0 \times 10^{14}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ (OCIO) and $1.1 \times 10^{15}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ (BrO).

Mean mixing ratios of BrO and OCIO were estimated assuming a circular plume cross section. Furthermore, ClO mixing ratios were derived directly from the BrO and OCIO-SCDs. Average abundances of $\overline{\text{BrO}} = 1.35$ ppb, $\overline{\text{OCIO}} = 300$ ppt and $\overline{\text{ClO}} = 139$ ppt were found in the young plume (plume age $\tau < 4$ min) with peak values of 2.7 ppb (BrO), 600 ppt (OCIO) and 235 ppt (ClO) respectively.

The chemical evolution of BrO and OCIO in the plume was investigated in great detail by analysing the OCIO/SO₂ and BrO/SO₂-ratio as a function of plume age $\tau$. A marked increase of both ratios was observed in the young plume ($\tau < 142$ s) and a levelling off at larger plume ages showing mean SO₂ ratios of $3.17 \times 10^{-5}$ (OCIO/SO₂) and $1.65 \times 10^{-4}$ (BrO/SO₂). OCIO was less abundant in the plume compared to BrO with a mean OCIO/BrO-ratio of 0.16 at plume ages exceeding three minutes.

A measurement performed in the early morning at low solar radiances revealed BrO/SO₂ and OCIO/SO₂-ratios increasing with time. This observation substantiates the importance of photochemistry regarding the formation of BrO and OCIO in volcanic plumes.

These findings support the current understanding of the underlying chemistry, namely, that BrO is formed in an autocatalytic, heterogeneous reaction mechanism in literature often referred to as “bromine explosion” and that OCIO is formed in the reaction of ClO with BrO.

These new findings, especially the very detailed observation of the BrO and OCIO formation in the young plume, were used to infer the prevailing Cl-atom concentrations in the plume. Relatively small values ranging from $[\text{Cl}] = 2.5 \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ (assuming 80 ppb back-
ground $O_3$) to $[Cl] = 2.0 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (at 1 ppb $O_3$) were calculated at plume ages of about two minutes. Based on these Cl abundances, a potential – chlorine induced – depletion of tropospheric methane ($CH_4$) in the plume was investigated. $CH_4$-lifetimes between 14 h (at 1 ppb $O_3$) and 47 days (at 80 ppb $O_3$) were derived. While these lifetimes are considerably shorter than the atmospheric lifetime of $CH_4$, the impact of gaseous chlorine on the $CH_4$-budget in the plume environment should, nevertheless be relatively small due to plume dispersion (decreasing Cl concentrations) and due to ongoing mixing of the plume with the surrounding atmosphere (replenishing $O_3$ and $CH_4$).

In addition, all spectra were analysed for signatures of IO, OIO and OBrO. None of these species could be detected. Upper limits for IO/$SO_2$, OIO/$SO_2$ and OBrO/$SO_2$ are $1.8 \times 10^{-6}$, $2.0 \times 10^{-5}$ and $1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ respectively.

1 Introduction

In the past years, improved measurement techniques, especially remote sensing methods, gained importance for the study of the chemical composition of volcanic plumes. In this study we present MAX-DOAS measurements (e.g. Hönninger et al., 2004) performed in the volcanic plume of Mt. Etna on Sicily, Italy. MAX-DOAS is an established method to simultaneously study a variety of chemical species in volcanic plumes by analysing scattered sunlight spectra. Furthermore, it is easily possible to monitor the volcanic emissions over a wide range of different plume ages, which is of particular importance for studies related to the chemical evolution of the emitted species. It is well known, that volcanic gases can have significant impacts on atmosphere and climate both on local and global scales (e.g. acid rain, stratospheric sulfur aerosols, see e.g. Robock, 2000). Furthermore, a detailed knowledge of the chemical composition of volcanic plumes - especially in the young plume - is helpful to give insights into the degassing behaviour of the magma and may even be related to the state of activity of the volcano (e.g. Donovan et al., 2014). In addition, the environment of volcanic plumes provides an unique possibility to study details of complex chemical
reaction mechanisms related to atmospheric ozone/oxidant chemistry in the presence of reactive halogen species (RHS).

The focus of this article is on the chemical evolution of volcanic halogens, especially on the formation of RHS (e.g. BrO, ClO, OCIO) from the primarily emitted species (e.g. HCl, HBr) and their evolution in the ageing plume. The scientific interest in volcanic RHS increased dramatically, when large amounts of bromine monoxide (BrO) were detected in the plume of Soufriére Hills volcano, Montserrat [Bobrowski et al. 2003]. Today, we have only gained a rough understanding of the chemical processes involved in the RHS-formation in volcanic plumes and possible dependencies due to the presence of other species (e.g. ozone or nitrogen oxides). Especially the conversion of the emitted HCl into reactive chlorine is still poorly understood. This is both due to a lack of measurement data and the complexity of the chemical processes involved.

1.1 Halogens in volcanic plumes

1.1.1 Initial plume composition

The main constituents of volcanic plumes are H_2O, CO_2 and sulfur gases (dominated by SO_2, H_2S). Apart from these species, volcanoes also emit a certain amount of halogen species which is largely dominated by chlorine emissions (e.g. Textor et al. 2004). Volcanic halogens are mainly released in the rather un-reactive form of hydrogen halides such as HCl, HF, HBr, HI (e.g. Carroll and Holloway 1994; Francis et al., 1995; Gerlach, 2004). Pyle and Mather (2009) reviewed past measurements (∼1980–2008) of arc-related volcanic halogen emissions around the globe and found that HCl emissions contribute most with an estimated flux of 4.3 (±1) Tg a\(^{-1}\). HBr and HI emissions are orders of magnitude smaller with fluxes of 5–15 Gg a\(^{-1}\) and 0.5–2 Gg a\(^{-1}\) respectively.

In case of Mt. Etna, SO_2 / HCl-ratios between 2–7 were found in past measurements (e.g. Francis et al. 1995, La Spina et al. 2010, Voigt et al., 2014). SO_2 appears to dominate the total sulfur emissions of Etna with SO_2 / H_2S-ratios of the order of 10^1 – 10^3 (Jaeschke...
A certain amount of the emitted hydrogen halides is converted into RHS whereas the conversion from HBr into BrO appears to be much more efficient than the analogous reactions for volcanic chlorine. A key question related to these conversion mechanisms is the production of the halogen radicals (i.e. Br, Cl, I) in the plume. Once these are provided, oxidised halogens such as BrO and ClO are formed in reaction with ozone (O$_3$).

1.1.2 Formation of RHS in the plume - the bromine explosion

A certain amount of RHS (e.g. Cl, Br) can be produced in the hot initial plume via high temperature oxidative dissociation processes as suggested by model studies (e.g. Gerlach, 2004; Martin et al., 2006). Furthermore, Br can be formed via the reaction of HBr with OH in the very young plume (Roberts et al., 2009). However, the corresponding amounts are by far too small to explain the BrO amounts observed. In fact, the largest part of BrO is formed in atmospheric reactions including the photolysis of Br$_2$ and BrCl (e.g. Oppenheimer et al., 2006; Bobrowski et al., 2007; Kern et al., 2009). This is further supported by direct observations showing a strong increase of the BrO levels in the young plume (e.g. Bobrowski et al., 2007; Bobrowski and Giuffrida, 2012) and the virtual absence during night-time (Kern et al., 2009).

Nowadays, the underlying chemical reaction processes are mostly understood and likely driven by a heterogeneous and partly auto-catalytic reaction mechanism often referred to as “bromine explosion” (e.g. Lehrer et al., 1997; Wennberg, 1999), which includes the following reactions (note that the subscript “aq” denotes species in the aqueous phase on particles):
BrO + HO₂ → HOBr<sub>gas</sub> + O₂  \hspace{1cm} (R1)
HOBr<sub>gas</sub> → HOBr<sub>aq</sub>  \hspace{1cm} (R2)
HBr<sub>gas</sub> → HBr<sub>aq</sub> → Br<sup>-</sup><sub>aq</sub> + H<sup>+</sup><sub>aq</sub>  \hspace{1cm} (R3)
HOBr<sub>aq</sub> + Br<sup>-</sup><sub>aq</sub> + H<sup>+</sup><sub>aq</sub> → Br<sub>2</sub>, gas + H₂O  \hspace{1cm} (R4)
Br<sub>2</sub>, gas + hν → 2Br  \hspace{1cm} (R5)
2Br + 2O₃ → 2BrO + 2O₂  \hspace{1cm} (R6)

The “bromine explosion” encompasses the uptake of hypobromous acid (HOBr) from the gas into the aqueous phase. After reaction of HOBr with bromide, Br₂ is released into the gas phase where it is rapidly photolysed forming BrO in reaction with O₃. Once formed, the self reaction of BrO induces a catalytic destruction of O₃. Noteworthy in this context are the similarities to observations of bromine emissions in polar regions (e.g. Barrie et al., 1988; Simpson et al., 2007). Measurements performed at Mt. Etna and Stromboli volcano (Aeolian islands, Italy) indicate that up to 11% of the total emitted bromine is converted into BrO already within the first five minutes downwind (Wittmer et al., 2014).

1.1.3 Volcanic chlorine

Potential formation processes of reactive chlorine species from the emitted HCl are still little studied. Apparently, the activation of chlorine is much weaker compared to bromine. This is indicated by the comparatively low Cl and ClOₓ abundances we found (relative to the BrO ratios), indicating that less than 1% of the emitted HCl is converted into reactive chlorine (ClOᵧ) in the Etna plume. In other words ClOᵧ / HCl is much smaller than BrO/HBr. In our opinion this phenomenon is mainly due to the fact, that Br-oxidation (conversion of bromide to Br, BrO) is a self-amplifying process (the bromine explosion) while Cl-oxidation has no such properties. The reason why Br “explodes” but Cl does not is due to the relatively fast reaction of Cl-atoms with CH₄ (Platt and Janssen, 1995; Platt, 2000). Moreover, the
dissolved chloride ions are less reactive compared to bromide ions (see [R4] (von Glasow et al., 2009). Thus, Cl-release is rather likely to be a by-product of the bromine explosion via formation of BrCl in the reaction of HOBr with chloride. However, the efficiency of this chlorine release channel strongly depends on the Cl−/Br−-ion ratio in the condensed phase (Fickert et al., 1999). A significant release of BrCl is only likely for Cl−/Br−-ratios exceeding $10^4$, for instance a Cl−/Br−-ratio of $2 \times 10^4$ would yield a release of 50 % BrCl and 50 % Br₂. Direct sampling measurements at Mt. Etna revealed Cl−/Br−-ratios of the order of $10^2$ (Martin et al. 2008) up to $10^3$ (Wittmer et al., 2014). For these values the HOBr uptake rather yields a release of more than 90 % Br₂. Note, that this favoured Br₂ release is probably even enhanced in volcanic plumes due to the acid environment (low pH in the aerosol, for details see Fickert et al., 1999, i.e. the pH dependency of the discussed mechanisms).

To our knowledge, there are no measurements indicating Cl−/Br−-ratios of the order of $10^4$ or larger at Mt. Etna. Hence, a significant BrCl release due to the HOBr uptake is relatively unlikely in the case of Mt. Etna.

Measurements of reactive chlorine species in volcanic plumes are still rare. Thus, the underlying chemistry is only partly understood and still bears large uncertainties, especially regarding the question of possible abundances of Cl-atoms in the gaseous phase and the corresponding release mechanisms. However, once Cl-atoms are provided, ClO is formed in the reaction with O₃ and OCIO is then formed in the reaction of ClO with BrO:

$$\text{BrO} + \text{ClO} \rightarrow \text{OCIO} + \text{Br} \\
(R7)$$

The corresponding reaction rate coefficient is $k_8 = 6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (at 298 K, Sander et al., 2006). Further possible reaction channels for the OCIO formation are orders of magnitude slower (e.g. ClO+O₃, ClO+ClO, Sander et al., 2006) and were not considered within this study. The main daytime sink of OCIO is its photolysis:

$$\text{OCIO} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{ClO} + \text{O} \\
J \approx 6 \times 10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}. \\
(R8)$$
Both Bobrowski et al. (2007) and General et al. (2014) detected OClO in the plume of Mt. Etna. The corresponding OClO/SO$_2$-ratios were between $3-6 \times 10^{-5}$ (for spectra related to the plume centre). Simultaneous BrO measurements indicate an OClO/BrO-ratio of approximately 0.25 for Mt. Etna in both studies. Further detections of volcanic OClO are reduced to satellite measurements (Puyehue-Cordón Caulle volcano, Chile) after an eruption in 2011 (Theys et al., 2014) and most recently, the detection of OClO in the plume of Soufrière Hills volcano (Montserrat) during a hiatus in 2011 (Donovan et al., 2014). In the latter study, comparatively large OClO/SO$_2$-ratios ($4-6 \times 10^{-4}$) are reported as well as large OClO/BrO-ratios showing values up to five (i.e. about 20 times larger compared to Mt. Etna).

A key parameter for the OClO formation in volcanic plumes is the prevailing availability of ClO and BrO molecules. Previous studies reported relatively large amounts of volcanic ClO measured with passive DOAS instruments (Bobrowski et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2005). The corresponding ClO/SO$_2$ ratios were of the order of 5% hence, almost three orders of magnitude more ClO than OClO. However, these measurements have to be treated cautiously due to difficulties and uncertainties in the DOAS evaluation of ClO. Furthermore, to our knowledge it has not yet been possible to reproduce these measurements in model studies (e.g. Bobrowski et al., 2007; von Glasow, 2010). Kern et al. (2009) investigated ClO and OClO abundances at the vent of Masaya Volcano (Nicaragua) using an active Long-Path DOAS instrument. They did not detect any of both species, most likely due to the proximity of the measurement to the crater (i.e. early stage of the RHS formation). In addition, the halogen-content of Masaya volcano is probably smaller compared to Mt. Etna (Pyle and Mather, 2009).

While the OClO/ClO-ratios should typically of the same order of magnitude in case of Mt. Etna and Masaya (Kern et al., 2009; General et al., 2014), this seems not to be the case for the Puyehue–Cordón Caulle eruption in 2011 which rather indicates a large excess of ClO compared to OCIO and even BrO (Theys et al., 2014).
The focus of this article is regarding the temporal and spatial evolution of RHS in volcanic plumes (especially BrO, ClO, OClO) and potential impacts on the atmosphere in the vicinity of volcanic plumes. In particular, we use MAX-DOAS data to study the formation of BrO and OClO in the young plume in great detail and to infer typical formation times of these species (for the conditions at Mt. Etna in September 2012). We furthermore estimate mean plume abundances of BrO, ClO and OClO. These results are used to derive Cl-atom concentrations in the plume in order to address the question of a potential – chlorine induced – depletion of atmospheric methane (CH₄) in the plume environment.

2 Methods and study area

2.1 Technical setup

The MAX-DOAS instrument used in this study analyses the solar spectrum in the ultraviolet (UV) and the visible (VIS) range using two spectrographs (UV: Avantes AVA AvaBench-75-ULS2048x64, VIS: Avantes AVA AvaBench-75-ULS2048L) covering a spectral range of 292–578 nm (UV: 292.1–456.1 nm, VIS: 434.7–577.8 nm). Scattered sunlight was collected using a small telescope consisting of a quartz lens (f = 100 mm) which focuses incoming light onto an optical fibre bundle. The latter consists of seven individual fibres with each a diameter of d = 100 µm. Six of these were coupled into the UV spectrograph, the seventh fibre into the VIS, respectively. The measured spectral resolution of both spectrographs was Δλ_{UV} = 0.51 nm and Δλ_{VIS} = 0.39 nm. A SCHOTT BG-3 filter was placed behind the entrance slit of the UV spectrograph to reduce stray light. The telescope was focused such, that both spectrographs have approximately the same field of view (UV: 0.15°, VIS: 0.16°, full aperture angle). The optical benches of the spectrographs were thermally insulated and temperature stabilised using a Peltier element controlled by a Supercool PR-59 temperature controller. During the whole measurement campaign, both spectrographs were stabilised to a temperature of T_{meas} = 10 °C. The air tight instrument-box was mounted onto
a tripod. Two motors (azimuth and elevation) allowed to control the viewing direction of the telescope, geo-locations were recorded using a gps-receiver. All hardware elements were remotely controlled using an embedded PC. The software MS-DOAS was used for data acquisition. MS-DOAS was developed by U. Frieß at the Institute of Environmental Physics in Heidelberg and is designed to control standard hardware components used in DOAS instruments (e.g. spectrographs, motors, temperature controller, gps receiver). Furthermore, it includes a scripting feature making it easily possible to automate measurement and scanning routines.

2.2 Measurement location and data acquisition

Mt. Etna is the largest and most active volcano in Europe and is situated in the eastern part of Sicily, an island south of the Italian mainland. The activity of Mt. Etna shows a distinct variability including quiescent degassing periods as well as eruptive periods. During the measurement campaign in September 2012, Etna showed a stable quiescently degassing behaviour from the four active craters – North East (NE), Bocca Nuova (BN), Voragine (VOR) and South East crater (SE) – which are located in the summit region at an altitude of approximately 3300 m a.s.l.. The first three days of the campaign (11–13 September 2012) took place at the Etna observatory (Pizzi Deneri) which is located approximately 2.5 km north-east of the active summit at an altitude of 2800 m. Figure 1 shows a photo of the volcano and the emission plumes from the different craters. The photo was taken from the observatory and shows the NE crater (right) and the SE crater (faint in the background) on 13 September at 07:24 UTC. The plume was slightly condensed (see Fig. 1) during most of the measurements performed in September 2012 and showed no visible indications of any ash emissions. In Fig. 2 all measurement locations of the campaign (11–26 September 2012) are indicated. One of the main objectives of this study was to investigate the temporal evolution of oxidised halogens in the volcanic plume. Therefore, the measurements were performed at different locations in order to cover a large variety of different plume ages in the spectra.
2.3 Plume scanning routines

Three different plume scanning routines (“scans”) were performed in order to study the chemical variability of the measured species in the volcanic plume (see sketch in Fig. 3). One “scan” typically consists of a set of plume spectra plus a subsequently recorded solar reference spectrum with the telescope pointing into a volcanic gas free atmosphere (for details see Sect. 2.4).

Plume evolution scans

The purpose of “plume evolution scans” is to study the chemical evolution of the measured species as a function of the plume age. The spectra are therefore recorded at different plume ages along the plume propagation direction (typically in the centre of the plume, see Fig. 3a).

Plume cross section scans

“Plume cross section scans” are performed perpendicular to the plume propagation axis in order to study chemical variations between the centre and the edges of the plume (Fig. 3b).

Point measurements

A certain number of spectra are taken at a fixed point in the plume without changing the viewing direction of the telescope. This measurement type is suited for the analysis of temporal variations in the plume composition (Fig. 3c).

2.4 Data acquisition and DOAS-evaluation

The spectra were analysed using the software package DOASIS (v. 3.2.4422, Kraus, 2006). Details on the scanning routines can be found in Sect. 2.3. In order to improve the detection sensitivity, several hundred up to 1500 individual spectra were co-added for the DOAS analysis. A standard DOAS fit (see Platt and Stutz, 2008) was performed for the UV and
VIS spectra in order to retrieve slant column densities (SCDs) of the chemical species in the plume (in this study mainly: OClO, BrO, SO$_2$, IO, OBrO, OIO). A Fraunhofer reference spectrum (FRS, $I_0(\lambda)$) was included into the fitting routines to account for solar absorption lines in the spectra (Fraunhofer lines) and atmospheric background absorption. The FRS were recorded with the telescope pointing in the direction of a volcanic gas-free atmosphere and close in time to the corresponding plume spectra (usually subsequently to each scan). The latter is important to keep potential additional stratospheric signals at a minimum (for details see Sect. 2.8). Each potential FRS was pre-evaluated regarding its SO$_2$-content using a literature solar background spectrum as FRS (Chance and Kurucz, 2010) which was convolved with the instrumental line-spread-function (LSF). Only FRS candidates showing SO$_2$-SCDs ($S_{SO_2}$) smaller than $S_{SO_2,FRS} < 5 \times 10^{16}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ were used as FRS.

In the following, the implemented steps to retrieve the SCDs from the raw-spectra are described. Further details to individual topics regarding the data evaluation can be found in Appendix A.

Prior to the DOAS-evaluation, all FRS and plume spectra were corrected for electronic offset and dark current. Two Ring spectra ($R, R4$) were included into the fitting routine to account for inelastic scattering effects (Raman scattering) in the atmosphere (see e.g. Vountas et al., 1998). The first Ring spectrum ($R$) was calculated in the usual way from the respective FRS using the function of the evaluation software DOASIS (Kraus, 2006). The second ring spectrum ($R4$) was determined following the suggestions from Wagner et al., 2009 (for details see Appendix A1). Literature cross sections of the individual absorbers ($\sigma_i$, see Table 2) were convolved with the LSF of the respective spectrograph. During the convolution, the $\sigma_i$ were corrected for the solar $I_0$ effect and for spectral saturation (Platt and Stutz, 2008) using the corresponding functions in DOASIS. The latter was performed assuming typical SCDs for the respective species (e.g. $S_{SO_2} = 2 \times 10^{18}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$).

In order to correct for any misalignment of the spectrograph, a slight shift ($\pm 0.1$ nm) and squeeze ($\pm 5\%$) was allowed for all fitted species (i.e. FRS, $R, R4, \sigma_i$). Shift and squeeze of all $\sigma_i$ were linked to the strongest absorber and the two Ring spectra were linked to the corresponding FRS, respectively in order to minimise the degrees of freedom during
the fit-process. A 3rd order polynomial was included in the fitting routine to remove broad band extinction. An additional 0th-order polynomial residing in intensity space was included (also referred to as offset polynomial) to account for intensity offsets in the spectra (e.g. due to stray light, for details see [Kraus, 2006, Platt and Stutz, 2008]). The measurement uncertainty ($\delta_{\text{meas}}$) was estimated conservatively by multiplying the retrieved fit errors ($\delta_{\text{fit}}$) with a factor of $U = 4$ to account for potential abundances of fit residuals structures ([Stutz and Platt, 1996], see e.g. Fig. A2). In case of good fit results (which were assessed by the peak-to-peak values of the fit residuals $\Delta_{\text{res}}$) the correction factor was reduced down to $U = 3$ (i.e. for $\Delta_{\text{res}} \leq 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$, see e.g. Fig. 4). Details regarding the error treatment are discussed in Sect. A2.

The detection limits of the SCDs were defined to be twice the measurement uncertainty (2 $\cdot$ $\delta_{\text{meas}}$) thus, representing a detection certainty of 95%.

### 2.4.1 Evaluation Routines

The focus of this study was on the data collected with the UV spectrograph (i.e. the evaluation of OClO, BrO, SO$_2$, IO). In order to find the optimum evaluation range for each species, detailed sensitivity studies were performed including DOAS fit contour plots (“Retrieval wavelength mapping”, for details see [Vogel et al., 2013]). For the VIS data (i.e. the OBrO and OIO evaluation) these sensitivity studies were not performed since this data was of secondary interest. Therefore, we used a fixed correction factor of $U = 5$ for the estimation of the corresponding measurement uncertainties of OBrO and OIO (for details see Sect. A2). All evaluation routines used in this study are summarised in table 1 including the corresponding wavelength ranges, additional absorbers and the used correction factors $U$ for the DOAS fit errors. An overview of all corresponding literature cross sections ($\sigma_i$) which were used is given in table 2. Note, that in case of O$_4$ two different literature cross sections were used because we found that different cross sections for the UV and VIS spectral range give best results.
BrO and OCIO evaluation

BrO and OCIO were evaluated in the same wavelength range of $\Delta \lambda_{\text{BrO, OCIO}} = 330.6-356.3$ nm. An exemplary fit result for this wavelength range is shown in Figure 4 including the two ring spectra ($R$, $R4$) and all additionally included absorbers as well as the corresponding fit residual. This example rather shows an unstructured residual with a peak-to-peak value of $\Delta_{\text{res}} = 9.65 \times 10^{-4}$ (1.200 co-added scans per spectrum) thus, in this case a fit correction factor of $U = 3$ was used.

OCIO was evaluated in a second “upper wavelength range” ($\Delta \lambda_{\text{OCIO, uwr}} = 363.6-391.3$ nm) in order to verify the retrieved SCDs in the standard range with respect to possible influences due to radiative transfer phenomena and/or cross correlations between different absorbers (for details see Appendix A3). However, this “upper” range was found to be influenced by larger fit uncertainties and was therefore not used for the discussion of our results. Nonetheless, both OCIO retrievals show a good correlation (see Fig. A1).

Formaldehyde (CH$_2$O) was included in the fitting routine in order to account for potential background abundances. In addition, CH$_2$O could also be formed in the volcanic plume itself, for instance via CH$_4$ oxidation (in the plume most likely initiated by the reaction with Cl atoms) or in the presence of nitrogen oxides (Platt and Stutz 2008).

SO$_2$ evaluation

SO$_2$ was evaluated in two different wavelength ranges. The “lower wavelength range” (lwr) between $\Delta \lambda_{\text{SO$_2$, lwr}} = 314.8-326.8$ nm (e.g. Vogel 2011) was used for SO$_2$-SCDs below $3 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-2}$. In this wavelength region, especially below 320 nm, SO$_2$ shows strong absorption features. In order to avoid the well known evaluation problems related to strong SO$_2$ absorption in this “lower” wavelength range (see e.g. Kern et al. 2010, Bobrowski et al. 2010), SO$_2$ was evaluated in a second, “upper” wavelength range of $\Delta \lambda_{\text{SO$_2$, uwr}} = 349.8-372.8$ nm for SO$_2$-SCDs exceeding $3 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Hörmann et al. 2013). These problems
-- originating in the non-linear nature of the Beer-Lambert law -- lead to an underestimation of the SO2-SCDs in the “lower” wavelength range. This is clearly visible in the scatter plot of the SO2 retrieval in both evaluation ranges shown in Figure A3 (i.e. flattening of the trend at large SO2-SCDs). Furthermore, an exemplary fit result of the upper wavelength range is shown in Figure A2.

**IO, OIO and OBrO evaluation**

In addition to the evaluation of BrO, OCIO and SO2, abundances of IO, OBrO, and OIO were investigated. The details of the corresponding evaluation routines for these species can be found in table 1.

**2.5 Estimation of OCIO and BrO concentrations from plume cross section scans**

The data from plume cross section scans was used to estimate mean concentrations ($\bar{c}_i$) of BrO and OCIO in the plume. This was done assuming a circular plume cross section and straight line absorption light paths through the plume. Any potential deviations due to radiative transfer effects (RTE, e.g. multiple scattering, light dilution, for details see e.g. Kern et al., 2010; Mori et al., 2006) or deviations from the assumed circular shape were neglected in this estimation. The plume diameter ($\varnothing_{pl}$) was estimated from the angular extend of the SO2-SCD profile and the distance to the plume (see also e.g. Bobrowski et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2005). The corresponding SO2-SCDs were used as a proxy for the lengths of the absorption light paths ($l_{eff,i}$) in the plume, whereas the largest SO2-SCD of the scan was assigned to $\varnothing_{pl}$. Based on that, the $l_{eff,i}$ could be estimated for all scan spectra $i$: $l_{eff,i} = \varnothing_{pl} / S_{SO2,max} \times S_{SO2,i}$.

Using this, the mean concentrations ($\bar{c}_{j,i}$) of the measured species $j$ (e.g. OCIO, BrO) were estimated: $\bar{c}_{j,i} = S_{j,i} / l_{eff,i}$. The corresponding uncertainties were determined from the DOAS-fit errors and the uncertainties in the estimation of the plume diameter using Gaussian error propagation.
2.6 Determination of ClO concentrations

Following Kern et al. (2009), we estimated ClO concentrations from the retrieved BrO and OCIO-SCDs assuming steady state between the formation of OCIO (R7) and its photolytic destruction (R8):

\[ \text{ClO} = \frac{J_{\text{OCIO}}}{k_1} \cdot \frac{[\text{OCIO}]}{[\text{BrO}]} \approx \frac{J_{\text{OCIO}}}{k_1} \cdot \frac{S_{\text{OCIO}}}{S_{\text{BrO}}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Since BrO and OCIO were evaluated in the same wavelength range, differences in the retrieved SCDs \((S_i)\) due to differences in the radiative transfer can be neglected. We therefore assume, that the ratio of the OCIO and BrO concentrations is approximately the same as the ratio of the respective SCDs (Eq. 1). The OCIO photolysis frequencies \(J_{\text{OCIO}}\) used for the calculation of the ClO concentrations were simulated for our dataset by E. Jäkel (Leipzig Institute for Meteorology). For the simulation, the 1-D radiative transfer model libRadtran (Mayer and Kylling, 2005) was used. The photolysis frequencies were determined for a set of chosen spectra from the field campaign and were between \(5.1 \times 10^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) (SZA \(\approx 62^\circ\)) and \(7.1 \times 10^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) (SZA \(\approx 34^\circ\)), slightly slower than typical values in the stratosphere (e.g. \(J_{\text{str,OCIO}} \approx 7.6 \times 10^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\), Birks et al. (1977)). Uncertainties in the determination of the ClO-concentrations were estimated using Gaussian error propagation.

2.7 Determination of the plume age (\(\tau\)) and meteorological data

The plume age (\(\tau\)) was estimated using meteorological information (i.e. wind speed and direction) and the measurement geometry (i.e. geo-locations of instrument and craters, telescopes viewing direction). A typical measurement geometry at Mt. Etna is sketched in Figure 5. The intersection of the telescopes viewing direction with the plume determines the distance \(l\). Based on that, the plume age was estimated as follows:

\[ \tau = \frac{l}{v_{\text{wind}}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)
The azimuthal alignment of the instrument was performed using a compass. Due to possible disturbances of the planetary magnetic field by the volcano, we estimated the instrument's azimuth-uncertainty to ±3° (gray shaded area in Fig. 5). Wind directions were estimated using own observations/notes and - on clear days - satellite pictures from the MODIS network (Aqua, Terra satellites). Wind velocities were partly retrieved from simultaneously performed SO₂-camera measurements and from own observations. From the 16. September we additionally monitored meteorological data using a meteorological station, which was installed on the southern side of the craters. Uncertainties in the plume-estimation were determined using Gaussian error propagation, a detailed discussion of these, especially relative and absolute errors can be found in Appendix A5.

2.8 Correction for stratospheric BrO

Typical vertical column densities (VCDs) of stratospheric BrO are of the order of several 10¹³ molecules cm⁻² (e.g. Schofield et al., 2004; Sinnhuber et al., 2005). Therefore, MAX-DOAS measurements of volcanic BrO (using scattered sunlight) can be significantly disturbed by stratospheric BrO signals under certain conditions. Based on the geometrical air mass factor (AMF: \(X = \frac{1}{\cos(\Theta)}\)) and by assuming a constant stratospheric BrO-VCD of \(V_{\text{str,BrO}} = 4.0 \times 10^{13} \text{ molecules cm}^{-2}\) (Sinnhuber et al. (2005), Schofield et al. (2004)) a correction was implemented to account for additional stratospheric BrO signals in our retrieved SCDs. A detailed discussion including simplifications and sensitivity studies can be found in Appendix A6.

For our dataset we found, that deviations due to the superimposed stratospheric BrO signals are smaller than 5% in 85% of the analysed spectra. Only 8% of the retrieved BrO-SCDs showed deviations exceeding the corresponding fit uncertainty. All of these spectra were either recorded before 08:15 LT or after 16:45 LT (64.6° < SZA < 83.2°), which shows the importance of this correction, especially for measurements performed in the early morning and late afternoon.
2.9 SO$_2$ as volcanic plume proxy – Analysis of X$_m$O$_n$/SO$_2$ ratios

In order to study spatial (and temporal) variations of the retrieved halogen species X$_m$O$_n$, molar SO$_2$ ratios of these species were analysed (i.e. X$_m$O$_n$/SO$_2$-ratios) whereas SO$_2$ was treated as volcanic plume proxy due to its comparatively long tropospheric lifetime (e.g. McGonigle et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2011; Beirle et al., 2013). This is a common method to avoid signal variations due to atmospheric dilution effects (e.g. Bobrowski et al., 2003; Bobrowski and Platt, 2007; Bobrowski et al., 2007; Kern et al., 2009). Furthermore, compared to the individual SCDs, the X$_m$O$_n$/SO$_2$-ratios are much less affected by radiative transfer effects (RTE) such as light dilution or multiple scattering (Lübcke et al., 2014) and we therefore neglect any potential influences of these effects on our retrieved trace gas ratios. The discussion of our results mostly relates to the measurements performed at the Etna observatory. Especially for these data, potential influences due to RTE on the retrieved ratios should be negligible because of the proximity to the plume, the relatively high altitude (i.e. low plume dilution, see e.g. Mori et al., 2006; Kern et al., 2010) and the fairly good visibility during most of the measurements (i.e. low aerosol scattering, for details see Sect. 2.2). The errors and detection limits of the X$_m$O$_n$/SO$_2$-ratios were calculated from the SCD errors using Gaussian error propagation.

3 Results and discussion

Most of the significant OCIO detections (i.e. 99.2%) are related to the measurements performed at the Etna observatory (11–13 September 2012), where the largest SCDs can be found due to the proximity to the craters (little plume dispersion). Out of 677 significant SO$_2$-detections during the whole campaign, OCIO could be detected in 119 spectra up to plume ages of six minutes. BrO was detected in 452 spectra (269 of those recorded at the observatory) at plume ages up to 23 min. As expected, OCIO was less abundant compared to BrO with a mean OCIO/BrO-ratio of 0.16. The retrieved SCDs of OCIO, BrO and SO$_2$ ranged between $0.4 - 2.0 \times 10^{14}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$, $0.3 - 11.4 \times 10^{14}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$.
and $0.03 - 8.77 \times 10^{18}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ respectively. Furthermore, the DOAS evaluation of IO, OIO and OBrO was investigated but none of these species could be detected significantly. Upper limits of IO, OIO and OBrO were determined and are presented in Sect. 3.2.

### 3.1 OCIO and BrO results

In Figure 6 we plotted all retrieved OCIO and BrO-SCDs as a function of the corresponding SO$_2$-SCDs (A, B) and furthermore OCIO vs. BrO (C). Both, BrO and OCIO showed a good correlation to SO$_2$ (6A, B), indicating, that these species could only be detected in volcanic plume spectra. Average ratios of $1.65 \times 10^{-4}$ for BrO/SO$_2$ (6A) and $3.17 \times 10^{-5}$ for OCIO/SO$_2$ (6B) were found (linear regression). These values are in good agreement with previous findings (e.g. Bobrowski et al., 2007; Bobrowski and Giuffrida, 2012; General et al., 2014). For the linear regression, only significant detections at plume ages exceeding three minutes were considered (blue dots in Fig. 6). Measurements at plume ages smaller than three minutes (green dots) were excluded because in this plume age range, the formation of BrO and OCIO is yet not fully developed and therefore the $X_{m}O_{n}$/SO$_2$-ratios are smaller (for details see Sect. 3.1.3).

The corresponding average OCIO/BrO-ratio (at plume ages exceeding three minutes) is $0.16 \pm 0.08$ and indicates a very good correlation between both species in this plume age range ($R^2 = 0.9447$). Young plume measurements (green dots, $\tau < 3$ min), however, rather indicate a stronger fluctuation of the OCIO/BrO-ratio ($R^2 = 0.4717$).

#### 3.1.1 Results from individual scans

In order to study the chemical evolution of BrO and OCIO, we analysed the corresponding ratios with SO$_2$ (BrO/SO$_2$, OCIO/SO$_2$-ratio). In Fig. 7 examples of plume evolution scans of both ratios are plotted for different plume age ranges (i.e. Fig. 7a-e). Furthermore, an exemplary plume cross section scan is shown in Fig. 7f. As discussed in Sect. 2.7 and Appendix A5, plume age errors were separated into a geometrical contribution (x-error bars) and a percentage contribution due to uncertainties in the wind velocity ($\Delta \tau$, plot header).
We explicitly point out again, that these are not to be treated as independent random plume age errors between each of the scan spectra but are strongly correlated and thus, rather indicate a stretch/squeeze of the whole dataset towards smaller or larger plume ages. The plume evolution scans of the BrO/SO₂-ratio (Fig. 7a–c) show a strong increase in the young plume (Fig. 7a) stabilising after approximately 150 s downwind. This trend could be observed in six individual measurements performed in the young plume (i.e. $\tau < 5$ min), details are discussed in section 3.1.3. At larger plume ages, the BrO/SO₂-ratios show a rather constant behaviour with only slight variations.

The discussion of potential trends of the corresponding OCIO/SO₂-ratio in the young plume (at $\tau \lesssim 2$ min) is more difficult for the data retrieved from the individual scans (see e.g. Fig. 7d–e), since the retrieved values are often below our (conservative choice) of the detection limit. Therefore, we refer to section 3.1.3 were we statistically analyse these apparent trends of both ratios in the young plume.

For plume ages exceeding two minutes, we found rather low variations in the retrieved OCIO/SO₂-ratios with increasing plume age (similar to our BrO observations for this plume age range, i.e. compare Fig. 7e,b). Due to the higher $S/N$-ratio, BrO could also be analysed at larger plume ages (i.e. $\tau > 5$ min). An exemplary BrO scan in the aged plume is shown in Fig. 7c. It covers a plume age range between 8–22 min and shows rather stable BrO/SO₂-ratios around $1.7 \times 10^{-4}$. A slight but not significant decrease of approximately 17% might be observable between eight and ten minutes downwind.

The retrieved BrO/SO₂-ratios in Fig. 7a–c) range from $8 \times 10^{-5}$ to $1.8 \times 10^{-4}$ in the $\tau > 3$ min-regime (i.e. after reaching steady state). These variations could - for example - be caused by superimposed diurnal profiles (note: the scans were performed on different days and at different times) or varying volcanic activity.

### 3.1.2 Cross sectional distribution of BrO and OCIO

Previous studies showed increased BrO/SO₂-ratios at the edges of the plume (e.g. Bobrowski et al., 2007; Louban et al., 2009; General et al., 2014). These are likely due to a
limited transport of tropospheric O$_3$ and HO$_2$ radicals towards the plume centre (see also Sect. 1.1). In order to elaborate this issue, plume cross section scans perpendicular to the plume propagation axis (see Fig. 3b) were performed. Unfortunately, we were not able to confirm these observations significantly due to enhanced measurement uncertainties at the edges of the plume (i.e. at low SO$_2$-SCDs). However, analysing the retrieved ratios in dependency of the corresponding SO$_2$-SCDs (which indicates, whether the spectrum was recorded in the centre/edge of the plume) we found indications of enhanced ratios at the edges of the plume. An exemplary plume cross-section scan of the BrO/SO$_2$-ratio is shown in Fig. 7f. This example visualises the problems related to the plume-edge spectra: the BrO/SO$_2$-ratios show increased values at low SO$_2$-SCDs but considering the larger errors (due to low BrO and SO$_2$-SCDs) it is not possible to draw certain conclusions. However, in 76% of all 25 suited cross section scans we observed this trend (enhanced BrO/SO$_2$-ratios at low SO$_2$-SCDs). In case of OCIO/SO$_2$ it was even more difficult to draw confident conclusions due to the weaker OCIO signal. Nonetheless, in five of - in total - nine suited cross section scans indications of enhanced OCIO/SO$_2$-ratios at low SO$_2$-SCDs could be found.

### 3.1.3 The BrO and OCIO evolution in the plume

**Statistical analysis of the young plume evolution**

All plume evolution scans performed in the young plume clearly showed increasing BrO/SO$_2$-ratios at plume ages (τ) smaller than 150 s (see e.g. Fig. 7a). In case of OCIO, we found strong indications of a similar trend in the young plume. However, from our individual scans this could not be validated with certainty due to comparatively large measurement uncertainties in the OCIO retrieval (i.e. in most cases the OCIO/SO$_2$-ratios appeared to be below the detection limit in this plume age range, see e.g. Fig. 7d).

Therefore, in order to further elaborate this issue and especially the young plume evolution of OCIO, we performed a statistical analysis of the retrieved $X_{mO_n}$/SO$_2$-ratios as a function of the plume age. The plume was subdivided into six plume age intervals between zero and 250 s downwind (i.e. $\sim$ 42 s interval$^{-1}$) and the retrieved $X_{mO_n}$/SO$_2$-ratios were
assigned to the corresponding plume age interval accordingly. Only spectra related to the plume-centre were considered by including only measurements showing \( \text{SO}_2 \)-SCDs larger than \( 1.5 \times 10^{18} \text{ molecules cm}^{-2} \). This was done to avoid possible falsifications due to potentially enlarged ratios at the edges of the plume (for details see Sect. 3.1.2). Further, we did not distinguish between measurements above or below the respective detection limits of \( \text{BrO} \) and \( \text{OCIO} \). Based on this selection, we determined the mean-value of the retrieved \( X_m \text{O}_n / \text{SO}_2 \)-ratios for each \( \tau \)-interval. The corresponding uncertainties (\( \Delta \), i.e. \( y \) axis errors) for the averaged ratios were determined from the mean of the individual errors (\( \sigma_i \)) divided by the inverse square-root of the number \( N \) of averaged spectra in each interval: 
\[
\Delta = \frac{\sigma_i}{\sqrt{N}}.
\]

The results of this statistical approach are plotted in Fig. 8 and clearly show an increase of the \( \text{OCIO/ SO}_2 \)-ratio in the young plume and confirm the increase of the \( \text{BrO/ SO}_2 \)-ratio which was already observed in the individual scans. Both \( \text{BrO/ SO}_2 \) and \( \text{OCIO/ SO}_2 \) level off after approximately 142 s. However, while \( \text{BrO/ SO}_2 \) reaches a constant plateau of \( \sim 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \) at larger plume ages, the \( \text{OCIO/ SO}_2 \)-ratio rather seems to follow a slight – but statistically not significant – decreasing trend. Indications of such a decrease could also be observed in some of the individual scans and it is likely due to plume dilution (decreasing \( \text{BrO} \) and \( \text{ClO} \) concentrations).

The retrieved \( \text{BrO/ SO}_2 \) and \( \text{OCIO/ SO}_2 \)-ratios in the aged plume (i.e. \( \tau > 142 \) s, see Fig. 8) are slightly lower compared to the values retrieved in the corresponding scatter plots (Fig. 6) of the whole dataset (i.e. \( \text{BrO/ SO}_2 = 1.65 \times 10^{-4} \), \( \text{OCIO/ SO}_2 = 3.17 \times 10^{-5} \)). One explanation for this deviation could be, that spectra related to the plume-edges (which showed indications of elevated \( \text{BrO/ SO}_2 \) and \( \text{OCIO/ SO}_2 \)-ratios, see Sect. 3.1.2) were excluded in the statistical approach. Further possible reasons could be that the long-term trend of both species (i.e. \( \tau > 250 \) s) is still increasing (which should, however be unlikely for \( \text{OCIO} \) due to plume dilution) or that a superimposed diurnal signal might have influenced the statistics. Moreover, both long and short-term variations of the volcanic activity might have affected the retrieved ratios. Nonetheless, the main objective of this study, namely the young plume increase of both species could be validated. In order to further elaborate the
long term trend of BrO and OCIO (and/or diurnal profiles, variations due to volcanic activity) more measurements are necessary, especially in the ageing plume.

The similarities in the trends of OCIO and BrO in the young plume (i.e. increase in the young plume and steady state after about 2–3 min) strongly support the assumption that OCIO is mainly formed via the “BrO+ClO”-reaction (R7).

3.1.4 Photochemical formation of BrO and OCIO at low solar radiances

The “bromine explosion” includes the photolysis of the Br$_2$-molecule (R5, for details see Sect. 1). Kern et al. (2009) investigated night time abundances of BrO at Masaya volcano, Nicaragua and were not able to detect significant BrO levels during night-time.

Although MAX-DOAS measurements can only be made when a sufficient amount of sunlight is available, we were able to observe the onset of the BrO and OCIO formation. The corresponding point measurement (for details see Sect. 2.3) was performed in the early morning on 13 September 2012 between 05:20 and 06:20 UTC (SZA-range: 83.2–70.1°, sunrise: 04:40 UTC). The data is plotted in Fig. 9 and clearly shows an increase of the BrO/SO$_2$-ratio with time between 05:20 and 05:32 UTC (see Fig. 9, top) and a constant ratio afterwards. A similar trend can be observed for the corresponding OCIO/SO$_2$-ratios (see Fig. 9, bottom) which were averaged (nearest neighbour averaging) due to larger measurement uncertainties. Compared to BrO/SO$_2$, the increase of OCIO/SO$_2$ appears to be delayed by approximately 30–40 min reaching a plateau around 06:15 UTC. One possible explanation for such a delayed increase could be that the availability of Cl-atoms is delayed with respect to Br during this time of the day. The corresponding SO$_2$-profile is fairly constant showing typical plume centre values around $5 \times 10^{18}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$. This suggests that the measurement conditions (e.g. wind direction and velocity) did not change significantly during the measurement which is important for the interpretation of these data. In case of BrO, this increase (at large SZZs) was only observable due to the correction for stratospheric BrO signals, were we assumed a constant stratospheric BrO-VCD of $V_{\text{str,BrO}} = 4.0 \times 10^{13}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ (see Sect. 2.8). However, according to Schofield et al. (2004), slight variations of about 10–20% in the stratospheric BrO load
are to be expected during that time of day (i.e. 83.2 > SZA > 80.3°). Hence, to ensure that the observed increase can be attributed to variations in the volcanic column (rather than stratospheric variations) we performed a sensitivity study for this dataset by linearly varying the stratospheric VCD from 3.0 to $4.0 \times 10^{13}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ during the time span of the first five data points. This test did not reveal any significant change and still clearly showed the increasing trend of the BrO/SO$_2$-ratio before 05:32 UTC. This is mainly due to the comparatively strong volcanic BrO signal of several $10^{14}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ during this measurement.

We attribute this observation to be a direct result of the increasing solar irradiance at that time of the day (i.e. the increasing photolysis of Br$_2$ and BrCl molecules). This is further evidence that the availability of sunlight is an important parameter for the chemical processes related to the BrO and OCIO formation in volcanic plumes.

On 22 September 2012, a similar point measurement was performed slightly later in the morning between 06:33–06:48 UTC (at $\tau = 7.6 \pm 1.6$ min). It showed a constant BrO/SO$_2$-ratio of $(1.15 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$ (20 spectra) which is in good agreement with the values shown in Fig. 9 after reaching the steady state. OCIO could not be detected in this scan.

### 3.1.5 BrO, OCIO and ClO mixing ratios

As described in Sect. 2.5 average BrO and OCIO concentrations (volume number densities) were estimated from plume cross section scans assuming a circular plume shape. The plume diameter could be estimated in 61 (from in total 90) cross section scans performed during the campaign. Furthermore, ClO concentrations were calculated as described in Sect. 2.6. The corresponding number densities were converted into mixing ratios and the results are plotted in Fig. 10 as a function of the plume age $\tau$. Only BrO, ClO and OCIO concentrations above the detection limit were considered. Furthermore, only measurements during clear meteorological conditions were included to avoid potential impacts on the radiation light path, for instance caused by clouds or high background aerosol concentrations.
BrO mixing ratios between 11(±7) ppt and 2.7(±1.2) ppb were derived covering plume ages up to 17 min. The largest values were found in the young plume following a decreasing trend due to plume dilution. The OClO mixing ratios ranged between 37 (±24)–597 (±440) ppt and the corresponding values for ClO between 70 (±44)–235(±121) ppt. Both species could be detected up to six minutes downwind. Mean abundances in the young plume (i.e. \( \tau < 4 \) min) were \( \overline{\text{ClO}} = 139 \pm 39 \) ppt, \( \overline{\text{BrO}} = 1.35 \pm 0.45 \) ppb and \( \overline{\text{OClO}} = 300 \pm 90 \) ppt.

The comparatively large errors of the derived mixing ratios (see Fig. [10]) are due to our conservative estimation of the SCD errors and the uncertainties in the plume diameter estimation. More detailed radiative transfer effects (RTE, e.g. multiple scattering, light dilution, for details see Kern et al., 2010; Kern et al., 2012) were neglected in the determination of the mixing ratios as well as potential deviations from the circular plume cross section. Hence, the reported numbers are rather an estimate of the order of magnitude of the average abundances of these species in the plume. Nonetheless, for the observatory data (11–13 September 2012, i.e. \( \tau < 4 \) min range in Fig. [10]) potential deviations due to RTE are most likely smaller than a factor of two, relying on the findings of Kern et al., 2012 and Mori et al., 2006. This is due to the fairly good measurement conditions (i.e. low plume condensation, see e.g. Fig. [1]) and furthermore, because of the proximity to the plume (mean distance to plume: \( \bar{d} = 2.03 \) km, \( d_{\text{max}} < 3.2 \) km) and the high altitude at the measurement location (i.e. 2.800 m a.s.l., i.e. lower scattering probability). Moreover, a strong eccentricity of the plume (i.e. pronounced elliptical plume shape) is unlikely in this plume age regime (see e.g. Turner, 1970).

The measurements performed in the aged plume (i.e. data points at \( \tau > 4 \) min in Fig. [10]) are most likely stronger influenced by deviations from the assumed circular cross section and by the light dilution effect since they were partly performed at sea level and at greater plume distances (up to 17 km). Thus, the observed decrease of the BrO mixing ratios in the ageing plume (see Fig. [10]) is most likely not solely due to the decreasing concentrations (plume dilution) but to a certain degree also influenced by light dilution and elliptical plume shapes. However, in most cases the latter two effects should counteract each other.
to a certain degree since light dilution induces a decrease in the “true” signal whereas the
lengths of the effective light paths through the plume are most certainly overestimated. The
latter is due to the pronounced elliptical shape of the plume (i.e. \( \sigma_{\text{hor}} > \sigma_{\text{vert}} \), for typical con-
ditions) and large plume viewing angles (i.e. close to 90°). Hence, rather \( \sigma_{\text{hor}} \) is estimated
from the scan and as a result, the individual lengths of the absorption light paths (\( l_{\text{eff},i} \)) are
overestimated. A rough estimation assuming slightly stable conditions (i.e. Pasquill stability
class E, see e.g. Turner, 1970) would approximately yield a factor of \( \sigma_{\text{vert}} / \sigma_{\text{hor}} \approx 0.16 \) at 20
km distance from the source, yet underestimated concentrations up to a factor of six.

3.2 Results for IO, OIO and OBrO

We investigated the presence of IO (in the UV spectral range), OIO and OBrO (in the VIS
spectral range) but did not detect any of these species. Here, we give both the detection
limits for plume ages smaller and larger than three minutes (table 3) since it appears rea-
sonable to assume, that these species – if abundant in the plume – show a similar plume
evolution as was observed in case of BrO and OCIO (for details see 3.1.3). For plume ages
larger than three minutes upper limits of \( 5.2 \times 10^{-6} \) (IO/SO\(_2\)), \( 2.8 \times 10^{-5} \) (OIO/SO\(_2\)) and
\( 1.8 \times 10^{-5} \) (OBrO/SO\(_2\)) were found. Note that the UV spectrograph showed a better per-
formance (\( S/N \)-ratio) than the VIS spectrograph, which is indicated by the lower detection
limits for IO compared to OIO and OBrO.

4 Conclusions

Simultaneous OCIO and BrO distributions were measured in the volcanic plume of Mt. Etna
in September 2012 using the MAX-DOAS method. Measurements of volcanic OCIO are
still rare and most reports are merely based on a few data points. Our results provide new,
very detailed insights into the chemical evolution of these species in the volcanic plume. For
the first time, the formation of both, BrO and OCIO could be observed in a volcanic plume.
These processes were studied in great detail and formation times of BrO and OCIO (i.e. the
time until an equilibrium is reached) between 2-3 min downwind the craters were found.
Furthermore, mean concentrations of the order of several hundred ppt ($\text{ClO}_x$) up to several ppb ($\text{BrO}_x$) could be estimated. Having in mind, that most of the $\text{ClO}_x$ and $\text{BrO}_x$ originates from the initially emitted HCl and HBr, our findings strongly suggest, that the oxidation of chloride is much weaker compared to the bromide oxidation. This is mainly due to the fact, that any potential Cl-release mechanisms are likely less efficient compared to bromine. Moreover, once formed, the Cl-radicals in the plume will rapidly react with CH$_4$ which may even cause a significant depletion of CH$_4$ in the plume. This very important question is addressed in the following, where we use our results (i.e. the formation times of $\text{ClO}_x$ and the estimation of mean concentrations) to derive an estimate of Cl-atom concentrations and from that, the potential of a chlorine-induced depletion of CH$_4$.

**Cl-atom concentrations and the depletion of atmospheric CH$_4$ in the plume**

Once Cl atoms are produced in a volcanic plume, they will rapidly react either with CH$_4$ or with O$_3$:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cl} + \text{CH}_4 & \rightarrow \text{HCl} + \text{CH}_3 \\
\text{Cl} + \text{O}_3 & \rightarrow \text{ClO} + \text{O}_2
\end{align*}
\]

The corresponding reaction rate coefficients are $k_{10} = 1.0 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (R9 at 298 K) and $k_{11} = 1.2 \times 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (R10 298 K, Sander et al. 2006). Note, that R9 is 16 times faster than the OH + CH$_4$ reaction (at 298 K) and has a strong positive temperature dependence (Sander et al., 2006). All other Cl sink reactions are much slower and are therefore neglected here.

Cl atom concentrations in the plume were estimated using the ClO and OCIO concentrations inferred from our measurements (see Sect. 3.1.5) and the corresponding young plume formation times $\tau_0$ (see Sect. 3.1.3). For the estimation we assumed that the total amount of Cl$_y$ (i.e. $[\text{ClO}_y] = [\text{ClO}] + [\text{OCIO}]$), observed after the levelling of OCIO (i.e. at plume age $\tau_0$) was produced from Cl atoms via R10 (ClO) and further R7 (OCIO). Assuming a linear
increase of $[\text{ClO}_y]$ the corresponding formation rate of Cl atoms was estimated as follows:

$$
\left( \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\text{[Cl]}}{[\text{ClO}_y]} \right)_{\text{obs}} \approx \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\text{[Cl]}}{[\text{ClO}_y]} \approx \frac{[\text{ClO}_y]}{\tau} \cdot \frac{\tau = \tau_0}{\tau_0}.
$$

(3)

Actually the true rate of Cl atom production $d/dt[\text{Cl}]$ is larger since a fraction of the Cl atoms reacts with $\text{CH}_4$ (R9) and never shows up as $\text{ClO}_y$ (possible reaction of Cl with other hydrocarbons is likely to be unimportant and therefore neglected here):

$$
\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\text{[Cl]}}{[\text{ClO}_y]} \approx \left( \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\text{[Cl]}}{[\text{ClO}_y]} \right)_{\text{obs}} \cdot \frac{1}{K}
$$

(4)

with

$$
K = \frac{[\text{O}_3] \cdot k_{11}}{[\text{O}_3] \cdot k_{11} + [\text{CH}_4] \cdot k_{10}}.
$$

(5)

The corresponding Cl-atom concentration is then given by:

$$
[\text{Cl}] = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\text{[Cl]}}{[\text{ClO}_y]} \cdot \tau_{\text{Cl}} \approx \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\text{[ClO}_y]}{[\text{ClO}_y]} \cdot \frac{1}{K} \cdot \tau_{\text{Cl}}
$$

(6)

whereas the lifetime of Cl ($\tau_{\text{Cl}}$) was estimated

$$
\tau_{\text{Cl}} = \frac{1}{[\text{O}_3] \cdot k_{11} + [\text{CH}_4] \cdot k_{10}}.
$$

(7)

Introducing the expression for $\tau_{\text{Cl}}$ in Eq. (6) and using Eq. (3), an estimate of the Cl atom concentration can be obtained:

$$
[\text{Cl}] = \frac{\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\text{[ClO}_y]}{[\text{ClO}_y]}}{[\text{O}_3] \cdot k_{11}} \approx \frac{[\text{ClO}_y]}{\tau_0 \cdot [\text{O}_3] \cdot k_{11}}
$$

(8)

Based on this the $\text{CH}_4$-lifetime in the plume (due to reaction with Cl (R9)) can be derived:

$$
\tau_{\text{CH}_4} \approx \frac{1}{[\text{Cl}] \cdot k_{10}} \approx \frac{\tau_0 \cdot [\text{O}_3] \cdot k_{11}}{[\text{ClO}_y] \cdot k_{10}}.
$$

(9)
Note that $\tau_0$ denotes the formation time of OClO as introduced in Sect. 3.1.3 whereas $\tau_{CH_4}$ corresponds to the methane lifetime in the plume.

For the estimation of $ClO_y$, we determined mean ClO and OClO concentrations from our retrieval considering only plume ages between 120 and 240 s (see also Sect. 3.1.5). We retrieved values of $\overline{ClO} = 2.0 \times 10^9 \text{cm}^{-3}$ and $\overline{OClO} = 3.7 \times 10^9 \text{cm}^{-3}$, respectively and hence $\overline{ClO}_y = 5.7 \times 10^9 \text{cm}^{-3}$. Based on our findings discussed in Sect. 3.1.3 we estimated the $ClO_y$ formation duration to $\tau_0 = 142 \text{s}$. The OClO concentrations (used to estimate $ClO_y$) might carry potential uncertainties due to RTE or non-circular plumes. However, as discussed in Sect. 3.1.5, these deviations should be small (i.e. $\lesssim 2$) for the majority of data points recorded in the young plume and should not significantly influence the outcome of this analysis.

The typical tropospheric O$_3$-background is 60–80 ppb for this (relatively polluted) region and altitude (Kalabokas et al., 2013). The expected CH$_4$-lifetime in the plume is directly proportional to the prevailing O$_3$-concentration (Eq. 9). Since O$_3$ is most likely depleted in the plume (von Glasow, 2010; Kelly et al., 2013) we determined [Cl] and $\tau_{CH_4}$ as a function of the O$_3$-concentration (assuming O$_3$ mixing ratios between 1–80 ppb). Our results are summarised in table 4 showing relatively small Cl-atom concentrations (i.e. $10^6$–$10^8 \text{cm}^{-3}$) and CH$_4$-lifetimes between 14h up to 47 days. These lifetimes are more than two orders of magnitude shorter than the average atmospheric lifetime of CH$_4$. However, if O$_3$ is not strongly depleted, CH$_4$ destruction by Cl-atoms will probably not lead to a detectable loss of CH$_4$ in the plume since the Cl-levels derived from our measurements (at plume age $\tau = 142 \text{s}$) should decrease rapidly as the plume disperses. Even if these Cl-levels would prevail for a few hours downwind, only a small fraction (less than 1%) of the CH$_4$ would be destroyed (assuming that the mean O$_3$-levels in the plume exceed 10 ppb). However, in regions of very low O$_3$ concentrations (i.e. possibly in the plume centre, von Glasow, 2010) a significant loss of CH$_4$ could be present but the atmospheric impact would probably still be negligible since the effective volume of this potential methane depleting environment would be very small. Nevertheless, we want to remark that our calculations are based on the volcanic conditions at Mt. Etna in September 2012 and we therefore want to stress,
that it is absolutely possible, that CH$_4$ depletion may become detectable in plumes of other volcanoes or at different conditions (e.g. due to varying volcanic activity, stronger chlorine emissions, larger Cl$^-$/Br$^-$-ratios, low NMHC (nonmethane hydrocarbons) concentrations or the presence of volcanic particles favouring the chloride oxidation).

Appendix A: Details regarding the data evaluation

A1 Determination of the $R4$ spectrum

In addition to the standard Ring spectrum (calculated using the software DOASIS, Kraus, 2006), a second ring spectrum ($R4$) was determined as follows (for details see Wagner et al., 2009, Appendix B therein):

$$R4(j) = R(j) \cdot \left( \frac{\lambda(j)}{\lambda_0} \right)^4 - R(j) \quad (A1)$$

Here, $j$ denotes the pixel on the detector, $\lambda(j)$ the appropriate wavelength and $\lambda_0$ the central wavelength of the evaluation range. $R(j)$ corresponds to the intensity of the Ring spectrum at position $j$. The $R4$-spectrum is orthonormalised with respect to $\lambda_0$. It accounts for influences due to multiple scattering and/or scattering on aerosols and cloud particles which are not considered in the determination of $R$.

A2 DOAS error treatment

According to Stutz and Platt, 1996, the error of atmospheric trace gas measurements with the DOAS technique does not purely arise from pure photon (shot) noise and is thus not entirely statistical (Poisson statistics). The fit residuals often show distinct structures which are mainly a result of the limited optical resolution of the instrument or due to uncertainties in the absorption spectra of the fitted species. In this case, the DOAS fit yields underestimated measures of the true fit uncertainty since it is based on the assumption of individual radiance measurements in each pixel on the detector. Thus, in case of structured fit residuals,
this underestimation has to be accounted for. This can be done by multiplying the retrieved DOAS fit errors with a certain factor (here denoted with $U$) which can reach values of up to six according to Stutz and Platt, 1996. The choice of $U$ for a given measurement is mainly dependent on the spectral width of the fitted absorption lines and on the width of potentially abundant residual structures (in channels on the detector, see Fig. 10 in Stutz and Platt, 1996).

Since we could observe such residual structures in some of our measurements (see e.g. Fig. A2), we followed Stutz and Platt, 1996 and corrected our retrieved DOAS fit errors with a factor of $U = 4$. We remark, that this constitutes a conservative estimation of the measurement uncertainty. Therefore, in case of good fit results (i.e. low peak-to-peak value of the fit residual, $\Delta_{\text{res}}$), this factor was reduced as follows:

(i) $\Delta_{\text{res}} > 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ $\Rightarrow$ $U = 4$ (see e.g. Fig. A2)

(ii) $1.5 \times 10^{-3} \geq \Delta_{\text{res}} > 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ $\Rightarrow$ $U = 3.5$

(iii) $1.2 \times 10^{-3} \geq \Delta_{\text{res}}$ $\Rightarrow$ $U = 3$ (see e.g. Fig. 4)

We found a good correlation between $\Delta_{\text{res}}$ and the width of structures in the residual. However, we want to point out, that this approach constitutes only a rough – but easy to implement and still conservative – implementation of the interpretation of the retrieved DOAS fit errors (e.g. Donovan et al. (2014) used a fixed correction factor of $U = 3$ for their OClO and BrO evaluation).

In Fig. A2 such an example of a structured residual is shown (one residual structure is marked and has a width of $W \approx 20–30$ channels on the detector). Typical absorption lines of the fitted species cover between 15–30 channels on the detector of our spectrograph. Considering these typical widths, we decided to use fit correction factors between three and four, based on the findings of Stutz and Platt, 1996 (see especially Fig. 10 therein).
A3 Alternative OCIO evaluation routine (OCIO\textsuperscript{uwr})

OCIO was additionally evaluated in a second range between 363.6 and 391.3 nm covering three OCIO absorption bands. Besides the two Ring spectra and the FRS, reference spectra of SO\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{3}, O\textsubscript{4}, NO\textsubscript{2} were additionally included. In principle, an advantage of this “upper” wavelength range should be, that it is less influenced by potential cross correlations with BrO, O\textsubscript{3} or CH\textsubscript{2}O in the DOAS fit. However, it was found that the fits often showed distinct residual structures in this wavelength range, resulting in relatively large fit uncertainties compared to the standard evaluation range for OCIO (section 2.4.1). These structures are most likely caused by distinct solar Fraunhofer lines in this wavelength region which can cause a strong Ring effect. Nevertheless, the retrieved OCIO-SCDs showed a good correlation with slightly larger SCDs ($\approx 8\%$) in the upper wavelength range (see Fig. A1).

A4 Details regarding the SO\textsubscript{2} evaluation

SO\textsubscript{2} was evaluated in two wavelength ranges in order to account for radiative transfer effects due to strong absorption at large SO\textsubscript{2} column amounts in the wavelength regime below 320 nm. An exemplary fit result of the evaluation in the alternative "upper" SO\textsubscript{2}-range (SO\textsubscript{2,uwr}) is shown in Fig. A2 showing a comparatively large SO\textsubscript{2}-SCD of $S_{\text{SO}_2,uwr} = 5.57 \times 10^{18}$ molecules cm\textsuperscript{−2}. The corresponding SO\textsubscript{2}-SCD in the lower evaluation range was found to be smaller (as expected) and amounts to $S_{\text{SO}_2,lwr} = 4.89 \times 10^{18}$ molecules cm\textsuperscript{−2}. The corresponding plume spectrum was recorded during the early morning point measurement discussed in Sect. 3.1.4 at 06:20 UTC on 13 September 2012. The FRS was recorded subsequently at 06:25 UTC, explaining the low O\textsubscript{3}-SCD. This example clearly shows the significance of the $\lambda^{-4}$ dependency of the Ring effect, accounted for by fitting the $R4$-spectrum as discussed previously (Sect. A1). It furthermore clearly shows the necessity of our conservative approach for the fit error estimation: In contrast to the BrO and OCIO fit example (given in Sect. 2.4, Fig. 4) this fit example shows a rather structured fit residual (most likely due to the strong Ring effect in this spectrum). This even causes a "false" detection of CH\textsubscript{2}O in this spectrum, showing a negative (but significant) SCD of
$S_{\text{CH}_2\text{O}} = -1.89 \pm 0.67 \times 10^{16} \text{molecules cm}^{-2}$ (i.e. CH$_2$O could not be detected in the standard evaluation range in this spectrum). Hence, using only the uncorrected DOAS fit error would yield a significant detection of CH$_2$O in this case (even within 3$\sigma$ confidence). This demonstrates the tremendous importance of applying appropriate fit correction factors to account for these effects (as discussed in Sect. A2).

Figure A3 shows a scatter-plot of the retrieved SO$_2$-SCDs in both evaluation ranges. The retrieved values show good coincidence within their errors up to SO$_2$-SCDs around $4 \times 10^{18}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$. For larger SCDs the trend starts to flatten due to the underestimated SCDs in the lower evaluation range.

A5 Uncertainties and simplifications in the plume age determination

The main uncertainties related to the plume age determination using Eq. (2) are due to uncertainties in the wind velocity and the determination of $l$ (i.e. mainly due to uncertainties in $\delta$ and $\alpha$, see Fig. 5). We thus subdivided our error-representation of the plume age $\tau$ into two contributions:

1. The first (in the following denoted as $\Delta \tau_l(\alpha, \delta)$) is determined from the uncertainties in $\alpha$ and $\delta$ (mainly geometrical uncertainties). $\Delta \tau_l(\alpha, \delta)$ can vary strongly between different spectra from plume evolution scans due to the nature of the trigonometric functions involved in the calculation of $l$. $\Delta \tau_l(\alpha, \delta)$ is therefore plotted for each spectrum separately in form of $x$ axis error bars (see e.g. Fig. 7).

2. The second contribution (in the following denoted as $\Delta \tau$) to the plume age error is caused by uncertainties in the wind velocity $\Delta v_{\text{wind}}$ which have a linear effect on the plume age uncertainty ($\partial \tau / \partial v_{\text{wind}} \propto \Delta v_{\text{wind}}$). Since $\Delta \tau$ is independent of the measurement and plume angles, its relative impact on each spectrum ($\Delta \tau / \tau$) is constant. The corresponding contribution is therefore given as a percentage value in the plot header (see e.g. Fig. 7).

For simplicity, we reduced the determination of $\tau$ to a 2-D problem in the horizontal plane, because for typical scanning geometries (and a wind driven, horizontal plume propagation),
differences in the altitude between plume and DOAS instrument have only a small influence on the determination of $\tau$.

Furthermore, by reducing the volcanic plume and the telescopes viewing direction to a line (dotted lines in Fig. 5) we did not consider any effects caused by plume dispersion or the telescopes field of view for our estimation of $\tau$. These effects are usually negligible for typical scan geometries ($\varphi \approx 90^\circ$, see Fig. 5) and may be considered when the measurements are performed at small angles $\varphi$. In this case the analysed light has penetrated a multiple of different plume ages which essentially causes a smoothing of the signal with respect to $\tau$. The corresponding impact with regard to the data interpretation depends on the desired temporal resolution of the respective scan (i.e. $\Delta \tau$ between individual scan spectra) and on the chemical variability of the analysed species in the analysed plume age range.

A further simplification in our algorithm is the reduction of the four main craters (BN, VOR, NE and SE) to a single emission source point $P_0$ (i.e. $\tau = 0$ point) located at $37^\circ 45' 6.7''$ N, $14^\circ 59' 49.6''$ E between the central craters (BN, VOR) and NE.

Furthermore, chemical processes which may have taken place already within the craters are also not considered in our routine. The latter effect can cause a plume age offset with respect to $P_0$. Both effects are strongest for viewing directions close to the vent were the plumes are still separated. However, in most cases the corresponding error was assessed to be relatively small considering the uncertainties in the meteorological data.

### A6 Correction algorithm for stratospheric BrO

The BrO-SCDs ($S_{\text{meas}}$) derived from the DOAS evaluation (see Sect. 2.4) are composed of a volcanic ($S_{\text{plume}}$) and a stratospheric contribution ($dS_{\text{str}}$). The latter is due to changes in the zenith angle ($\Theta$) between plume spectrum and FRS.

$$S_{\text{plume}} = S_{\text{meas}} - dS_{\text{str}} \tag{A2}$$

In order to examine $dS_{\text{str}}$, we used a simple geometrical approach assuming, that the stratospheric air-mass-factor (AMF) $X$ is given by $X = \cos(\Theta)^{-1}$ (see also Hönninger et al.,
For our purposes, this assumption was assessed to be sufficient, since plume spectra and FRS were recorded close in time and the SZAs were in most cases (99.1%) smaller than 80°. For a more accurate estimation of the AMF, radiative transfer calculations are necessary.

The AMF relates the stratospheric slant column $S_{\text{str},i}$ of a given spectrum $i$ to the corresponding vertical column $V_{\text{str}}$: $S_{\text{str},i} = V_{\text{str}} / \cos(\Theta_i)$. Based on this, the corresponding stratospheric contribution $dS_{\text{str},ij}$ between two spectra $i,j$ can be determined from the vertical column and the difference in the AMF:

$$dS_{\text{str},ij} = V_{\text{str}} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\cos(\Theta_i)} - \frac{1}{\cos(\Theta_j)} \right) \overset{\text{def}}{=} V_{\text{str}} \cdot \gamma_{ij}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (A3)

Inserting Eq. (A3) into Eq. (A2) yields the following relation for the corresponding volcanic BrO column:

$$S_{\text{plume}} = S_{\text{meas}} - V_{\text{str},\text{BrO}} \cdot \gamma_{ij}.$$ \hspace{1cm} (A4)

Following the results published by Sinnhuber et al. (2005) and Schofield et al. (2004) we assumed a constant stratospheric BrO column of $V_{\text{str},\text{BrO}} = 4.0 \times 10^{13}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ for our correction. Large diurnal variations in the stratospheric BrO-column are unlikely for the SZA range covered by our dataset ($\Theta < 83^\circ$). Thus, all retrieved BrO columns were corrected using Eq. (A4). The relative percentage impact (i.e. $dS_{\text{str}}/S_{\text{meas}}$) of stratospheric signals on our BrO retrieval is visualised in Fig. A4.

Of course, the relative impact of the stratospheric contribution increases for smaller (measured) BrO-SCDs (compare e.g. dark blue with green colours in Fig. A4). For BrO-SCDs of the order of $6 \times 10^{14}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ (green colours) we found that the impact of $dS_{\text{str}}$ on the measured signal amounts to $a = 6.6\%/\gamma_{ij}$ (linear regression in Fig. A4).

In order to estimate the influence of potential variations in the total stratospheric BrO load ($V_{\text{str},\text{BrO}}$), we additionally determined this slope for two different stratospheric BrO-VCDs of $V_{\text{str},\text{BrO}} = 2 \times 10^{13}$ and $V_{\text{str},\text{BrO}} = 7 \times 10^{13}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$.

The corresponding impacts were $a = 3.4\%/\gamma_{ij}$ and $a = 11.8\%/\gamma_{ij}$ respectively. This shows, that influences due to stratospheric BrO are in most cases relatively small (i.e. for
small $\gamma_{ij}$-values), even for considerably large stratospheric VCDs. Nonetheless, one has to keep in mind, that these slopes were determined at considerably large BrO-levels of the order of $S_{\text{meas}} = 6 \times 10^{14}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$. For lower measured BrO-SCDs (blue colours in Fig. A4) the impact of stratospheric BrO increases and can significantly influence the volcanic signal, especially at large differences in the SZA between plume spectrum and FRS (i.e. at large $\gamma_{ij}$-values).
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Table 1. Evaluation routines of the different species and the corresponding wavelength ranges ($\Delta \lambda$). Furthermore included are additional absorbers and the used correction factors ($U$) for the DOAS fit error. In case of $O_4$ two different literature cross sections were used (labelled with (1) and (2), see also table \[2\]). The acronyms “uwr”, “lwr” indicate “upper” and “lower” evaluation wavelength ranges. Note: the two included Ring spectra ($R$, $R4$) and the FRS are not mentioned here (for details see Sect. 2.4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>$\Delta \lambda$ [nm]</th>
<th>Additional absorbers</th>
<th>$U$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$SO_2$,lwr</td>
<td>314.8–326.8</td>
<td>$O_3$</td>
<td>3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$SO_2$,uwr</td>
<td>349.8–372.8</td>
<td>BrO, OCIO, $O_3$, $O_4$,</td>
<td>3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NO$_2$, CH$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BrO and OCIO</td>
<td>330.6–356.3</td>
<td>$SO_2$, $O_3$, (1)$O_4$, NO$_2$, CH$_2$O</td>
<td>3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCIO$_{uwr}$</td>
<td>363.6–391.3</td>
<td>$SO_2$, $O_3$, (1)$O_4$, NO$_2$</td>
<td>3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO</td>
<td>416.6–441.3</td>
<td>H$_2$O, NO$_2$, (2)$O_4$</td>
<td>3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBrO</td>
<td>493.2–537.3</td>
<td>H$_2$O, NO$_2$, $O_3$, (2)$O_4$</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIO</td>
<td>547.2–566.5</td>
<td>H$_2$O, NO$_2$, $O_3$, (2)$O_4$, OBrO</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Literature cross sections which were used in this study. Note that two different cross sections were used for O$_4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trace gas</th>
<th>Literature cross section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO$_2$</td>
<td>Hermans et al. (2009) (298 K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BrO</td>
<td>Wilmouth et al. (1999) (298 K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBrO</td>
<td>Fleischmann et al. (2005) (298 K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OClO</td>
<td>Bogumil et al. (2003) (293 K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O$_3$</td>
<td>Burrows et al. (1999) (221 K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1)O$_4$</td>
<td>Hermans et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2)O$_4$</td>
<td>Greenblatt et al. (1990) (vacuum, modified by J. Burkholder)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO</td>
<td>Spietz et al. (2005) (298 K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIO</td>
<td>Spietz et al. (2005) (298 K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_2$</td>
<td>Voigt et al. (2002) (293 K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>Hitran database 2009 (273 K, 1020 hPa, 300–600 nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH$_2$O</td>
<td>Meller and Moortgat (2000) (298 K)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Upper limits of IO, OIO and OBrO for the retrieved SCDs, the $X_mO_n/\text{SO}_2$-ratios and the mixing ratios ($r_i$). Note that the values do not correspond to the same plume spectrum but were determined individually.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>SCD [cm$^{-2}$]</th>
<th>$X_mO_n/\text{SO}_2$</th>
<th>$r_i$ [ppt]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plume age $\tau &lt; 3\text{ min}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO</td>
<td>$8.6 \times 10^{12}$</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIO</td>
<td>$7.8 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBrO</td>
<td>$4.5 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plume age $\tau &gt; 3\text{ min}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO</td>
<td>$7.6 \times 10^{12}$</td>
<td>$5.2 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIO</td>
<td>$7.5 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>$2.8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBrO</td>
<td>$3.6 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Retrieved Cl-atom concentrations and corresponding CH$_4$ lifetimes ($\tau_{\text{CH}_4}$) according to Eq. 9. The values were determined for different background O$_3$ concentrations to account for potentially depleted O$_3$ in the plume.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cl [cm$^{-3}$]</th>
<th>$\tau_{\text{CH}_4}$</th>
<th>O$_3$ [ppb]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>47 d</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>23 d</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>6 d</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>14 h</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Photo of the volcanic plume on 13 September, 07:24 UTC (09:24 LT) from the Etna observatory. It shows a slightly condensed plume as it could be observed in most cases during the campaign.
Figure 2. Measurement locations of the Etna campaign, indicated by blue triangles. The summit region with the four active craters (NE, BN, VOR and SE) is indicated by a green star.
Here, \( j \) denotes the pixel on the detector, \( \lambda(j) \) the appropriate wavelength and \( \lambda_0 \) the central wavelength of the evaluation range. \( R(j) \) corresponds to the intensity of the Ring spectrum at position \( j \).

All potential absorbers were included into the fitting routine using high resolution literature cross sections (\( \sigma_i \), see also table 2). The \( \sigma_i \) were convolved with the line-spread-function of the respective spectrograph and furthermore corrected for saturation effects and the solar \( I_0 \) effect (Platt and Stutz, 2008) using the provided functions of the software package DOASIS. In order to correct for any misalignment of the spectrograph, a slight shift (\( \pm 0.1 \) nm) and squeeze (\( \pm 5\% \)) were allowed for all species included in the DOASIS fit process (FRS, \( R_R \), \( R_4 \), \( \sigma_i \)). In order to minimize the degrees of freedom in the fitting-routine, shift and squeeze of all \( \sigma_i \) were linked to the strongest absorber in the respective fit range. Details on the different evaluation ranges can be found in table 1. In case of the \( \text{SO}_2 \), \( \text{BrO} \) and \( \text{OClO} \) evaluation-routines, shift and squeeze were linked to the \( \text{SO}_2 \) cross section. In case of \( \text{IO} \), \( \text{OBrO} \) and \( \text{OIO} \) shift and squeeze were linked to the \( \text{NO}_2 \)-cross section since \( \text{SO}_2 \) shows no considerable absorption features in the corresponding wavelength regime. Shift and squeeze of the two Ring spectra were linked to the corresponding \( \text{FRS}_3 \). A 3rd order polynomial was applied to each fitting-routine to remove broad band extinction and to reduce for stray light effects within the spectrograph. We furthermore included an additional (0th-order) polynomial to account for any broadband features in the intensity space (e.g. stray light in the instruments housing, for details see Kraus, 2006).

**Figure 3.** Sketch of the scanning routines: plume evolution scans (A, blue) scan stepwise along the plume propagation axis whereas plume cross section scans (B, green) scan perpendicular to it. Point measurements (C, red) are performed at one spot in the plume without changing the telescopes viewing direction.
Figure 4. Exemplary fit result for the evaluation of BrO and OCIO in the wavelength range between 330.6–356.3 nm. This plume spectrum was recorded at the Etna observatory on 11 September 2012, 11:26 UTC, the corresponding FRS was recorded directly after the plume scan at 11:36 UTC. The BrO and OCIO-SCDs are $S_{\text{BrO}} = 2.84 \times 10^{14}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ and $S_{\text{OCIO}} = 7.51 \times 10^{13}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$, respectively. In addition, the fit results of the two ring spectra ($R$, $R4$) and the additionally included absorbers (SO$_2$, O$_3$, O$_4$, NO$_2$, CH$_2$O) are shown as well as the corresponding residual (peak-to-peak value: $\Delta_{\text{res}} = 9.65 \times 10^{-4}$).
Figure 5. Typical scan geometry for Mt. Etna: the emission source is located at $P_0$, the DOAS instrument is located at $P_D$. The intersection point of plume and telescope $P$ is determined from the telescopes viewing direction ($\alpha$, gray dotted line) and the plume direction ($\delta$, orange dotted line). Typical uncertainties of $\alpha$ and $\delta$ are indicated by the gray and yellow shaded area respectively. The plume age $\tau$ is determined by dividing $l(P_0, P_D, \delta, \alpha)$ by the wind velocity $v_{\text{wind}}$. 
(A) Fit: \( S_{\text{BrO}} = 1.65 \times 10^{-4} \times S_{\text{SO}_2} - 7.5 \times 10^{12} \)
\( R^2 = 0.7993 \)

(B) Fit: \( S_{\text{OCIO}} = 3.17 \times 10^{-5} \times S_{\text{SO}_2} - 5.3 \times 10^{12} \)
\( R^2 = 0.8825 \)

(C) Fit: \( S_{\text{OCIO}} = 0.16 \times S_{\text{BrO}} + 2.1 \times 10^{13} \)
\( R^2 = 0.9447 \)
Figure 6. Retrieved slant column densities (SCDs) of BrO (A) and OCIO (B) as a function of the retrieved SO$_2$-SCDs and (C), OCIO vs. BrO-SCDs. The measurements were subdivided by their plume age $\tau$ (i.e. $\tau < 3 \text{ min}:$ green stars, $\tau > 3 \text{ min}:$ blue dots) due to smaller BrO/SO$_2$ and OCIO/SO$_2$-ratios in the young plume (see also Sect. 3.1.3). Measurements below the detection limit of BrO (A) and OCIO (B) are indicated by red dots. We determined mean ratios in the $\tau > 3 \text{ min}$ range (blue dots) by applying a linear fit and found values of $\text{BrO/SO}_2 = 1.65 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\text{OCIO/SO}_2 = 3.17 \times 10^{-5}$ respectively. The OCIO/BrO-ratio (C) was found to be $0.16$ for $\tau > 3 \text{ min}$ and approximately $0.22$ in the young plume.
Figure 7. Plume evolution scans of the BrO/SO$_2$-ratio (a–c) and the OCIO/SO$_2$-ratio (d–e). A sample plume cross section scan of BrO/SO$_2$ is shown in (f). The BrO/SO$_2$ ratio (green circles) and the OCIO/SO$_2$-ratio (green triangles) are plotted with their corresponding detection limits (green dotted line). Red error bars indicate measurements below the detection limit. The SO$_2$-SCDs are plotted as grey shaded areas (right axis). The BrO/SO$_2$ increases in the young plume and a levelling off at larger plume ages (τ ≲ 3 min, a,b). The corresponding OCIO/SO$_2$-ratios (d,e) show a similar trend but are technically below the detection limit in the young plume (d). In (c), the BrO/SO$_2$-ratio in the aged plume (8-22 min downwind) is shown. A rather stable trend is observable with indications of a slight decrease between eight and ten minutes downwind. In (f), a cross section scan of BrO is plotted showing indications of enhanced BrO/SO$_2$-ratios at low SO$_2$-SCDs.
Figure 8. The young plume evolution of the BrO/SO\(_2\)-ratio (top) and the OClO/SO\(_2\)-ratio (bottom): the colour code indicates the number of averaged individual measurements. The errors of the ratios were determined from the uncertainties of the individual measurements using Gaussian error propagation (for details see text). The horizontal errors denote the respective plume age interval, which was used for averaging. The position of the averaged ratios for each plume age interval represents the mean plume age of the individual spectra included in this range. For both species, we observed an increase in the young plume levelling off at \( \tau = 142 \text{ s} \). For larger plume ages, the BrO/SO\(_2\)-ratio stays rather constant (at \( \sim 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \)) whereas the OClO/SO\(_2\)-ratio slightly decreases, which might be due to plume dilution.
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\[ \tau = 55.1 \pm 11.4 \text{ s} \mid \Delta \tau = 23.5 \% \]
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Figure 9. Early morning point measurement of BrO and OCIO: the BrO/SO$_2$-ratio increases between 05:17 and 05:32 UTC (top) reaching a plateau afterwards. The corresponding OCIO/SO$_2$-ratios also shows an increase which is delayed by approximately 30–40 min with respect to BrO (note that the OCIO/SO$_2$-ratios of this scan were averaged to increase the detection sensitivity, see also Sect. 3.1.3).
ClO = 139 ppt
BrO = 1

3.1.5 Retrieved BrO, OClO and ClO Mixing Ratios

We retrieved BrO concentrations at plume ages between zero and 17 min, see fig. 11) rather indicates an increase of ClO (sect. 3.1.3). For the estimation we included the calculated ClO concentrations (see sect. 2.6). The retrieved values are between 70-235 ppt (ClO), 37-597 ppt (OClO) and 24–440 ppt (BrO) covering plume ages up to six minutes downwind.

Due to plume dispersion, the concentrations decrease with increasing plume age. The only available data point for OClO and ClO at larger plume age (e.g. due to plume dispersion). However, not too much attention should be paid to this since it is only a single measurement showing such a comparatively low OClO/ClO-ratio (possible reaction of Cl with CH4 (R8)) they can react with CH4 forming HCl: HCl + CH4 → H2 + CH3Cl. Due to deviations from the assumed circular shape, see sect. 3.1.3) was produced from Cl atoms via reaction at 298K) and has a strong temperature dependence. In plume diameter (BrO and OClO). Values between 70–235 (±44–121) ppt (ClO), 11–2700 (±7–1200) ppt (BrO) and 37–597 (±24–440) ppt (OClO) were found covering plume ages between zero and 17 min. Mean abundances in the young plume (i.e. τ < 4 min) were ClO = 139 ppt, BrO = 1.35 ppb and OClO = 300 ppt. Due to plume dispersion, the concentrations decrease with increasing plume age.

Figure 10. Mixing ratios of ClO, BrO and OClO as a function of the plume age τ. BrO and OClO mixing ratios were determined directly from the retrieved SCDs assuming a circular plume cross section. ClO mixing ratios were determined from the BrO and OClO-SCDs assuming chemical equilibrium between the formation and destruction of OClO. Y-axis error-bars were derived using gaussian error propagation of the DOAS fit-errors and the uncertainties in the estimation of the plume diameter (BrO and OClO).
Figure A1. Retrieved OCIO-SCDs from the standard ("Std") evaluation range OCIO\textsubscript{std}: 330.6–356.3 nm and the second “upper” wavelength range for the evaluation of OCIO\textsubscript{uwr}: 363.6–391.3 nm. The values show good coincidence within their uncertainties with slightly larger SCDs (~8\%) in the upper wavelength range.
Figure A2. Exemplary fit result for the \( \text{SO}_2 \) upper evaluation range between 349.8–372.8 nm. The corresponding plume spectrum was recorded at the Etna observatory on 13 September 2012, 06:20 UTC showing a \( \text{SO}_2 \)-SCD of \( \nabla_{\text{SO}_2} = 5.57 \times 10^{18} \) molecules cm\(^{-2}\). In addition, the fit results of the two ring spectra \( (R, R4) \) and the additionally included absorbers \( (\text{SO}_2, \text{O}_3, \text{O}_4, \text{NO}_2, \text{H}_2\text{CO}) \) are shown as well as the corresponding residual (peak-to-peak value: \( \Delta_{\text{res}} = 1.60 \times 10^{-3} \)). In this example, the residual is rather structured. One typical structure was marked showing a width \( W \) between 20–30 channels on the detector. Please note, that \( \text{CH}_2\text{O} \) was falsely detected in this fit (considering the fit error) due to the relatively structured residual. This shows the importance of the used fit correction factors \( U \). Please also note the improvements due to the fitted \( R4 \)-spectrum.
Figure A3. Retrieved SO$_2$-SCDs from the two SO$_2$ evaluation ranges. The evaluation scheme centred around 360 nm (SO$_2$,uwr) is plotted on the $x$ axis, the scheme centred around 320 nm (SO$_2$,lwr) on the $y$ axis. The red line indicates perfect correlation between both ranges. In case of large SO$_2$-SCDs (i.e. $S_{SO_2} > 3 \times 10^{18}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$), the retrieved SCDs in the lwr-range are more and more underestimated.
Figure A4. Relative deviation ($dS_{str}/S_{meas}$) of volcanic BrO from the measured SCDs ($S_{meas}$, colour coded) due to stratospheric BrO dSCDs. We assumed a vertical stratospheric BrO column of $V_{str,BrO} = 4.0 \times 10^{13}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$. The results are plotted as a function of $\gamma_{ij}(\Theta_i, \Theta_j)$ (i.e. $\Delta$SZA, see Eq. [A4]). We included all spectra from our dataset with significant BrO-SCDs corresponding to the respective detection limit. For 8\% of the data, the stratospheric contribution ($dS_{str}$) exceeded the corresponding fit error (marked with red circles). All of these cases were observed at $\gamma_{ij}$ values exceeding 0.86 the corresponding measurements were performed before 08:15 LT or after 16:45 LT respectively.