Air–surface exchange of Hg\(^0\) measured by collocated micrometeorological and enclosure methods – Part 1: Data comparability and method characteristics
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Abstract

Reliable quantification of air-biosphere exchange flux of elemental mercury vapor (Hg⁰) is crucial for understanding global biogeochemical cycle of mercury. However, there has not been a standard analytical protocol for flux quantification, and little attention has been devoted to characterize the temporal variability and comparability of fluxes measured by different methods. In this study, we deployed a collocated set of micrometeorological (MM) and enclosure measurement systems to quantify Hg⁰ flux over bare soil and low standing crop in an agricultural field. The techniques include relaxed eddy accumulation (REA), modified Bowen-ratio (MBR), aerodynamic gradient (AGM) as well as dynamic flux chambers of traditional (TDFC) and novel (NDFC) designs. The five systems and their measured fluxes were cross-examined with respect to magnitude, temporal trend and sensitivity to environmental variables.

Fluxes measured by the MM and DFC methods showed distinct temporal trends. The former exhibited a highly dynamic temporal variability while the latter had much gradual temporal features. The diurnal characteristics reflected the difference in the fundamental processes driving the measurements. The correlations between NDFC and TDFC fluxes and between MBR and AGM fluxes were significant ($R > 0.8$, $p < 0.05$), but the correlation between DFC and MM instantaneous fluxes were from weak to moderate ($R = 0.1–0.5$). Statistical analysis indicated that the median of turbulent fluxes estimated by the three independent MM-techniques were not significantly different. Cumulative flux measured by TDFC is considerably lower (42% of AGM and 31% of MBR fluxes) while those measured by NDFC, AGM and MBR were similar (<10% difference). This implicates that the NDFC technique, which accounts for internal friction velocity, effectively bridged the gap in measured Hg⁰ flux compared to MM techniques. Cumulated flux measured by REA was ~60% higher than the gradient-based fluxes. Environmental factors have different degrees of impacts on the fluxes observed by different techniques, possibly caused by the underlying assumptions specific to each technique.
individual method. Recommendations regarding the application of flux quantification methods were made based on the data obtained in this study.

1 Introduction

Mercury (Hg) is a ubiquitously distributed neurotoxin in the environment. The bulk of atmospheric Hg is made up of gaseous elemental Hg (Hg\textsuperscript{0}, \textasciitilde 95% of the total mass) with minor contribution from the analytically defined fractions of gaseous oxidized Hg (GOM) and particulate bounded Hg (PBM) (Gustin, 2011). Being chemically inactive and partitioning less favorably into aqueous phase, Hg\textsuperscript{0} is prone to undergo hemispherical-scale tropospheric transport (Durnford et al., 2010). Hg\textsuperscript{0} is subject to bi-directional exchange between atmosphere and natural surfaces through complex and yet not well understood processes, re-emitting previously deposited Hg back to the atmosphere (Bash, 2010; Gustin and Jaffe, 2010). Recent estimation indicates that annual natural emission accounts for two-thirds of global release of atmospheric Hg (Pirrone et al., 2010). However, current estimates of natural exchange quantity remain highly uncertain due to the limitations in accuracy and representativeness of measurement techniques (Gustin and Jaffe, 2010; Pirrone et al., 2010).

There exist multiple experimental approaches to gauge Hg\textsuperscript{0} air-surface exchange, which can be grouped into enclosure and micrometeorological (MM) methods (Sommar et al., 2013a). Dynamic flux chambers (DFCs), representing the smallest scale (< 0.1 m\textsuperscript{2}), are the most extensively applied enclosure method for quantifying Hg evasion from and deposition to soil (Poisant and Casimir, 1998; Stamenkovic and Gustin, 2007; Xiao et al., 1991; Carpi and Lindberg, 1998). For measuring Hg\textsuperscript{0} flux over larger area and vegetative convergence, MM techniques represent an attractive alternative to DFCs. They allow spatially averaged measurements over a large area without disturbing ambient environmental conditions. For trace gases such as CO\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{4}, O\textsubscript{3}, NH\textsubscript{3}, HNO\textsubscript{3}, and selected VOCs, eddy covariance (EC) is the preferred MM technique for quantifying air–landscape gas exchange (Aubinet et al., 2012; Farmer et al., 2006; Park...
et al., 2013; Whitehead et al., 2008). However, due to the lack of a sufficiently fast and sensitive sensor for the ultra-trace levels of Hg\(^0\) in air, true EC measurement of background Hg\(^0\) flux has not yet been realized. MM techniques applied in Hg\(^0\) flux (also called turbulent flux) quantification including the relaxed eddy accumulation method (REA, also known as conditional sampling, CS) (Bash and Miller, 2008; Cobos and Baker, 2002; Olofsson et al., 2005; Sommar et al., 2013b), the aerodynamic gradient methods (AGM) (Baya and Van Heyst, 2010; Cobbett and Van Heyst, 2007; Converse et al., 2010; Edwards et al., 2005; Fritsche et al., 2008a, b; Marsik et al., 2005), and the modified Bowen ratio method (MBR) (Converse et al., 2010; Fritsche et al., 2008a, b; Lindberg et al., 1995; Poissant et al., 2004). MM methods estimate turbulent transport with the assumptions of fetch homogeneity and the measurements are made within the constant flux layer (Wesely and Hicks, 2000). For example, REA-derived flux relying on accurate measurement of the concentration differential between upward and downward air parcels while gradient-derived flux is estimated from the vertical concentration gradient and the associated turbulent exchange parameters. For the traditional DFC (TDFC) methods, flux is derived from a steady-state mass balance over the chamber. More recently, Lin et al. (Lin et al., 2012) proposed a novel designed DFC (NDFC) based on surface wind shear condition (friction velocity) rather than on artificial fixed flow to account for natural shear conditions.

Limited efforts have been devoted to Hg\(^0\) flux measurement comparison. In the Nevada STORMS campaign (4 day duration), TDFCs and MM gradient methods were deployed to measure Hg\(^0\) flux over a heterogeneously Hg-enriched geothermal fetch. The TDFC- and MM-derived fluxes differed by one order of magnitude (Gustin et al., 1999; Gustin and Lindberg, 2000; Poissant et al., 1999; Wallschlager et al., 1999). Subsequent investigations have suggested that TDFCs of different sizes, shapes and operation flow rates yield different fluxes (Eckley et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2002; Wallschlager et al., 1999). Gradient methods were deployed to measure seasonal Hg\(^0\) fluxes over grasslands in the Alps (Fritsche et al., 2008b) and over a meadow in the Appalachians (Converse et al., 2010), the observed flux means varied by up to
one order of magnitude. Collocated flux measurement using both MM and DFCs techniques for method evaluation and data synthesis remains scarce (Gustin, 2011). This limits a thorough comparison of flux data obtained by different techniques.

Real fluxes are per se unknown under field conditions and it is impossible to validate flux measurements by any (reference) technique. The fact is that each available technique has its specific share of benefits and drawbacks and its applicability to obtain representative fluxes is limited under particular atmospheric conditions and site characteristics. It is therefore essential to compare and review uncertainties of the major techniques deployed for measuring air-ecosystem Hg\(^0\) exchange. The objective of this study is to investigate the method characteristics, data comparability and measurement uncertainty of Hg\(^0\) exchange fluxes as measured by five collocated MM and DFC methods including REA, MBR, AGM, TDFC, and NDFC. We improved a number of measurement platforms (Lin et al., 2012; Sommar et al., 2013b) and performed two intensive field campaigns over both bare and vegetated landscapes. The results of this integrated assessment are presented in part by two companion papers. In Part 1, we evaluate the technical merits of the examined flux quantification methods, assess the flux variability and data comparability, and address the method applicability under a given set of environmental conditions. In Part 2, we quantify the bias and uncertainty of the examined flux measurement methods using sophisticated statistical analyses.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Flux measurement methods

2.1.1 Dynamic flux chamber techniques

Two types of DFCs were compared in this study: one quartz chamber of traditional design (TDFC) of a hemi-cylindrical shape with an open bottom area of 0.06 m\(^2\), which has been used extensively in our group and elsewhere (Feng et al., 2005; Fu et al.,
2008, 2012, 2010; Li et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2005, 2007; Zhu et al., 2013a). The other was a novel DFC (NDFC) design capable of controlling the internal shear flow over measurement surface (Lin et al., 2012). The NDFC internal flow condition was precisely controlled to relate to the applied flushing flow rate to the atmospheric boundary shear condition (therefore wind condition) and the calculated flux was re-scaled to boundary shear condition (Eq. (2) below). The NDFC was fabricated with thin polycarbonate sheet yielding a footprint of 0.09 m$^2$. A relatively high flushing flow rate of 15 L min$^{-1}$ was applied for both DFCs to generate turn-over times (TOTs) of 0.32 min and 0.47 min for TDFC and NDFC, respectively. The flux from TDFC and NDFC were calculated following Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), respectively (Xiao et al., 1991; Lin et al., 2012):

$$F_{\text{TDFC}}^{\text{Hg}^0} = \frac{Q(C_o - C_i)}{A} \quad (1)$$

$$F_{\text{NDFC}}^{\text{Hg}^0} = \frac{Q(\Delta C)}{A} \frac{k_{\text{mass(a)}}}{k_{\text{mass(m)}}} = \frac{Q(C_o - C_i)}{A} \left( 4.86 + \frac{0.03(h/l)[hu_*/(6kz_0)](D_H/D)}{1+0.016((h/l)[hu_*/(6kz_0)](D_H/D))^{2/3}} \right) \quad (2)$$

where $F_{\text{TDFC}}^{\text{Hg}^0}$ is Hg$^0$ flux measured from TDFC method, $F_{\text{NDFC}}^{\text{Hg}^0}$ is Hg$^0$ flux from NDFC method, $Q$ is applied flow rate (0.9 m$^3$ h$^{-1}$), $A$ is footprint (0.06 m$^2$ for TDFC, 0.09 m$^2$ for NDFC), $C_o$ and $C_i$ are the DFC outlet and inlet air Hg$^0$ concentration, $k_{\text{mass(a)}}$ and $k_{\text{mass(m)}}$ are the overall mass transfer coefficient (m s$^{-1}$) in the near-surface boundary layer and in the internal layer within NDFC, respectively. $A_c$ is the NDFC flow cross-sectional area (0.009 m$^2$), $l$ is the distance measured from the starting point of the measurement zone (0.15 m), $h$ is the height of NDFC (0.03 m), $u_*$ is friction velocity, and $z_0$ is surface roughness height (m). $D_H$ and $D$ are the NDFC hydraulic radius (0.0545 m) and diffusivity of Hg$^0$ (1.194 x 10$^{-5}$ m$^2$ s$^{-1}$), respectively.
2.1.2 Micrometeorological techniques

Relaxed eddy accumulation (REA) method

A REA system of whole-air type was deployed with the design and operation parameters described elsewhere (Sommar et al., 2013b; Zhu et al., 2013b). The REA apparatus constitutes of open path EC (OPEC) and conditional gas sampling system. The OPEC part included a 3-D fast-response anemometer, an open path CO$_2$/H$_2$O analyzer, and a micro-logger with processing and control capabilities. MM data collected at 10 Hz is acquired and processed by the latter, which also control the execution of conditional sampling valves from its 12 V terminal following the implemented dynamic wind dead-band algorithm to accurately isolate up- and down-drafts present in sampled turbulent air parcels. Turbulent REA flux was computed according to:

$$F_{\text{REA}}^{\text{Hg}^0} = \frac{\beta_s \sigma_w (\overline{C^\uparrow} - \overline{C^\downarrow})}{\Delta C_{\text{REA}}} = \beta_s \sigma_w \left\{ \sum_i \frac{m_i^\uparrow}{t_i \cdot Q_i^\uparrow \cdot \alpha_i^\uparrow} - \sum_i \frac{m_i^\downarrow}{t_i \cdot Q_i^\downarrow \cdot \alpha_i^\downarrow} \right\} \quad (3)$$

where $\sigma_w$ (m s$^{-1}$) is the standard deviation of vertical wind speed (m s$^{-1}$) and $C_{\text{up}/\text{down}}$ is the concentration of Hg$^0$ (at standard temperature and pressure) for the up- and down-moving eddies corrected for dilution of zero air injection, respectively (ng m$^{-3}$). The operational form of Eq. (3) is given on the right-hand side, in which, for sample $i$, $m_i^\uparrow/\downarrow$ is the mass of Hg$^0$ derived for the up- or down-draft channels (pg), $t_i$ is the total duration (min), $Q_i^\uparrow/\downarrow$ is the continuous flow rate through the up- or down-draft channels (L dry air min$^{-1}$), and $\alpha_i^\uparrow/\downarrow$ is the fraction of time the up- or down-draft conditional sample valves are activated. $\beta_s$ is a dimensionless relaxation coefficient (calculated from scalar $s$) which for each averaging period (20 min) was calculated on-line from suitable scalar $s$ those fluxes ($F_{s}^{\text{EC}} = \rho_d \cdot w' \chi'_s$) can be measured by the OPEC system (in addition to
CO₂ flux, buoyancy flux $C_P \cdot \overline{w'T_z}$ and for latent heat flux $\lambda \cdot \overline{w'q'}$, symbol definitions see appendix in Sommar et al. (2013b)) as well as by REA according to:

$$\beta_s = \overline{w'}\chi'_s / \left[ \sigma_w \left( \chi_{s}^{\uparrow} - \chi_{s}^{\downarrow} \right) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\chi_{s}^{\uparrow/\downarrow}$ is the mixing ratio of the specific scalar quantity for the up- and downdraft (kg kg⁻¹).

**Aerodynamic gradient micrometeorological (AGM) method**

The AGM method is based on an analogy application of Fick’s first law stating that turbulent bi-directional flux of a scalar from surface ($F_{s}^{\text{AGM}}$) is proportional to its local vertical concentration gradient ($\partial C / \partial z$) and eddy diffusivity of sensible heat ($K_H$), which is a function of friction velocity ($u_*$) and the dimensionless stability parameter $\zeta_m = (z_m - d)/L$ ($z_m$ is the sampling height above ground, $d$ is the zero plane displacement height and $L$ is the Monin–Obukhov length (Monin and Obukhov, 1954). Assuming measurements are made within a vertical layer of constant flux that forms over homogeneous terrain, after integration between two heights, the flux can be expressed as:

$$F_{Hg^0}^{\text{AGM}} = -K_H(u_*, \zeta) \frac{\partial C}{\partial z} = - \frac{\kappa u_*}{\ln \left( \frac{z_2 - d}{z_1 - d} \right) - \psi_H(\zeta_2) + \psi_H(\zeta_1)} \cdot \left( C_{Z_2} - C_{Z_1} \right)$$ \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\kappa$ is von Kármán constant ($\sim 0.41$), $u_*$ is the friction velocity (m s⁻¹), $u_{tr}$ term is the transfer velocity (m s⁻¹), $z_2$ and $z_1$ are the heights of the upper and lower sampling inlet (m), $\psi_H$ is the integrated universal function for sensible heat to correct for deviations from the ideal logarithmic profile. $\psi_H$ is parameterized as a function of $\zeta_m$ ($\zeta_1$ and $\zeta_2$).
\( \zeta_2 \) represents the parameter at \( z_1 \) and \( z_2 \) respectively, and furthermore \( C_{z_2} \) and \( C_{z_1} \) are the Hg\(^0\) concentration (ng m\(^{-3}\)) at \( z_2 \) and \( z_1 \), respectively.

**Modified Bowen-ratio (MBR) method**

MBR method assumes that the flux of a trace gas can be related to that of a surrogate scalar determined from OPEC measurements (e.g., sensible and latent heat, CO\(_2\) flux, and H\(_2\)O flux) (Converse et al., 2010; Lindberg et al., 1995). In this study, temperature was used as the proxy scalar, which was monitored at the heights coinciding with measurement of Hg\(^0\) concentration. The Hg\(^0\) flux is calculated following (Walker et al., 2006):

\[
F_{MBR}^{Hg^0} = \frac{w' \tau'}{C(z_2) - C(z_1)} \cdot \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta T} (6)
\]

where \( F_{Hg^0}^{MBR} \) is the Hg\(^0\) flux (ng m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\)) measured with MBR method, \( w' \tau' \) is kinematic heat flux (K m s\(^{-1}\)) measured by EC, while \( \Delta C \) and \( \Delta T \) are the vertical gradients of Hg\(^0\) concentration (ng m\(^{-3}\)) and air temperature (K), respectively. The ratio \( w' \tau' / \Delta T \) is known as eddy diffusivity for heat.

**2.2 Site description and sampling**

The flux measurement experiments were conducted at Yucheng Comprehensive Experimental Station of Chinese Academy of Sciences, North China Plain (36°57' N, 116°36' E), which is a semi-rural agricultural station approximately 350 km from Beijing. The station has recurring planting rotation for winter wheat (Triticum aestivum Linn., November–May) and summer maize (Zea mays, June–October) within a radius of \( \sim 5 \) km. The surface soil texture in this area is silt loam consists of 12 % sand, 22 % clay and 66 % silt with moderate salinity and alkalinity (pH = 8.6) (Hou et al., 2012). The agricultural fields adjacent to the sampling site are relatively flat (level differences
< 1.5 m within 1 km) and the total Hg content in surface soil is spatial homogeneously distributed \((45 \pm 3.9 \, \mu g \, kg^{-1}, n = 27)\) (Zhu et al., 2014b). Two intensive field campaigns were performed: one in late autumn 2012 (IC #1, 4–24 November, DOY 309–329) and the other in spring 2013 (IC #2, 16–25 April, DOY 106–115) using the five techniques described in Sect. 2.1. IC #1 was carried out over the ploughed bare soil surface for three weeks. Soil–atmosphere fluxes were measured in tandem with collocated four techniques including gradients and DFCs techniques (AGM, MBR, TDFC, and NDFC). IC #2 was carried out over wheat (height \(\sim 0.36\, m\), leaf area index of 3.4) for one week. The field represented a soil-canopy-atmosphere system that constrained the application of DFC method. Only MM techniques including REA, AGM, and MBR were deployed.

### 2.3 Instrumentation

A 6.5 m MM flux tower was installed at the same location for both campaigns (Fig. 1). The instrumentation system constitutes of the tower based MM systems and ground based DFCs. The OPEC system consisted of a Campbell CSAT-3 sonic anemometer-thermometer, Licor LI-COR 7500A open-path CO\(_2/\)H\(_2\)O analyzer and HMP155A humidity-temperature sensors, a standard instrumentation combination used in long-term ecosystem instrumentation networks (Mauder et al., 2013). REA sampling inlet was positioned at 2.96 m above ground. By using a set of 2/3-way automated magnetic switching unit (Tekran\(^{®}\) 1110) coupled with an automated Tekran\(^{®}\) 2537B Hg vapor analyzer operated at a flow rate of 0.75 L min\(^{-1}\), up- and down-draft conditional samples was sequentially routed into the analyzer at 10 min intervals (two 5 min samples). For gradient measurements, the temperature and relative humidity sensor (HMP155A, Vaisala Oy, Finland) housed in radiation shields and corresponding Hg\(^0\) intake was assembled at two heights of 2.96 m and 0.76 m. The two-level Hg\(^0\) vertical gradient profiling system consisted of two separate inlet lines (PFA Teflon), each with an inlet filter (0.2 \(\mu m\) PFA Teflon), were routed to another sampling manifold (Model 1110). Another Hg\(^0\) gas analyzer (Model 2537B) is connected to the outlet of the manifold and
the profile inlets are opened one at a time synchronized with 2537B’s sampling cycles. The manifold was configured to allow the inlet not in use to be continually flushed by a bypass pump. Both the pump and 2537B are operated at a flow rate of 1.0 L min\(^{-1}\). An estimate of the vertical Hg\(^0\) concentration gradient was derived every 20 min from measurements of the two heights sequentially, 5 min integrated samples.

The TDFC and NDFC were operated in tandem using one 2537B Hg\(^0\) gas analyzer. A 4-port automated magnetic dual switching unit (Tekran\(^\text{®}\) 1115) was utilized to sequentially sample the two DFCs inlet and outlet twice at 2.5 min intervals in sequential order, whereby 2.5 L samples were collected (flow rate = 1.0 L min\(^{-1}\)) for Hg\(^0\) analysis. 20 min Hg\(^0\) flux was calculated using Eqs. (1) and (2) for TDFC and NDFC. Prior to sampling, the internal clocks of all instrumentation were synchronized (UTC + 8 h) and therefore the reported fluxes resembled identical integration periods.

2.4 **Quality assurance/control (QA/QC), data evaluation and EC flux corrections**

The three Tekran\(^\text{®}\) 2537B analyzers (Fig. 1) were operated and maintained following the standard operation procedures (SOP) of NADP, 2011. The analyzers were regularly calibrated in the laboratory by manual injection of known amount of Hg\(^0\). The yielded recovery was 98–101 %. In the field, instruments were manually calibrated every 48 h using internal Hg\(^0\) permeation source. A soda-lime trap and a 0.2 µm Teflon membrane filter were located upstream the inlet of all analyzers. The analyzers are sensitive to limited power and were therefore always supplied with grid power passing a 10 kW voltage stabilizer to ensure proper operation in the field. All the tubing and system valve blanks were checked before and after the campaigns by flushing with zero air obtained from a zero-air generator (Tekran\(^\text{®}\) 1100). Before the field measurement, the accuracy of two HMP 155A sensors was evaluated after periods of side-by-side measurements. The two DFCs were cleaned in the lab by 10 % HNO\(_3\) and Milli-Q water prior to field deployment. Consistently low blank (TDFC: 0.2 ± 0.1 ng m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\), \(n = 19\); NDFC: 0.3 ± 0.2 ng m\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\), \(n = 32\)) were observed for both DFCs.
The REA-system enabled a mode during which air is sampled synchronously with both conditional inlets. This reference mode provides an automated QC-measure to regularly check for gas sampling path bias, while the gradient-based MM techniques require manual testing by collocating gas sampling inlets and sensors. Such side-by-side tests were performed before or after a campaign. Post processing of 10 Hz raw data was conducted using Eddypro™ 5.0 flux analysis software package (LI-COR Biobiosciences Inc.) (Sommar et al., 2013b). A series of obligatory corrections including time lag compensation, planar-fit coordinate rotation, frequency response correction, and Webb–Pearman–Leuning (WPL) correction were applied to OPEC-MM flux for the data processing. Tests were applied on each 20 min fast time (10 Hz) series raw data derived from the OPEC-system instrumentation to qualitatively assess turbulence for the MM assumptions required of applying OPEC and related methods (steady-state conditions and the fulfillment of similarity conditions). The basic flag system of Mauder and Foken (2004) was utilized to indicate limitation in turbulence mixing, quality indices of 0, 1, and 2 denoted high, moderate and low quality. Principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted to investigate the potential effect of environmental variables on observed Hg⁰ fluxes following Huang et al. (2010).

### 2.5 Meteorological data

The meteorological data, including relative humidity (RH, %), canopy leaf wetness (%), air temperature (°), rainfall (mm), wind speed (m s⁻¹), wind direction (°), solar radiation (W m⁻²), and soil moisture (m⁻³ m⁻³) were acquired using a portable weather station (HOBO U30, Onset Corp., USA) equipped with a suite of sensors positioned on a mast of 3 m height every 20 min.
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Meteorological conditions

Meteorological observations and ambient Hg\textsuperscript{0} concentration are presented in Fig. 2 and summarized in Table 1. The weather during both campaigns were predominantly sunny and temperate (−3.5 ∼ 15.1° during IC #1 and 0.8 ∼ 17.4° during IC #2). A shower yielding 3.4 mm precipitation occurred during IC #1. No precipitation was recorded during IC #2 (Fig. 2 upper panel). Leaf wetness and RH displayed clear diurnal variation (RH dropped to 40% and leaf wetness to 0% during daytime) except during the precipitation event when both were near saturation. Due to the high RH and sometimes sub-zero temperature at night, the ground and wheat possessed intermittently a light frost cover in early morning time. The wind speed was relatively high during daytime and turned moderate/calm at night. The wind direction was more variable from south to northeast with an average wind speed at 1.52 m s\textsuperscript{−1} (daytime mean: 1.98 m s\textsuperscript{−1}, nighttime mean: 1.05 m s\textsuperscript{−1}) in IC #1, and changed to southwest and northeast with a mean of 2.69 m s\textsuperscript{−1} (daytime mean: 3.34 m s\textsuperscript{−1}, nighttime mean: 1.97 m s\textsuperscript{−1}) in IC #2. The wind directions in IC #2 were more consistent than in IC #1, ∼60% of 20 min wind observations were of southwesterly directions (Fig. 3a and c). The integral turbulence characteristics are indicated by \(\sigma_w/u_\ast\) (Panofsky and Dutton, 1984). For neutral stratification, this ratio is approximately constant at 1.13–1.35 (Nemitz et al., 2009). The median \(\sigma_w/u_\ast\) was 1.28 and 1.24 during IC #1 and IC #2. However, the variability introduced by diabatic condition is comparatively more pronounced during IC #1. Hg\textsuperscript{0} observations at the sampling site showed a wide range of 1.20 to 8.17 ng m\textsuperscript{−3} (medians 3.12 ng m\textsuperscript{−3} and 3.50 ng m\textsuperscript{−3} during IC #1 and #2, respectively). The medians were elevated compared to the hemispheric background, but nevertheless appeared representative of a semi-rural area of North China plain (Zhang et al., 2013). The angular distribution of Hg\textsuperscript{0} observations (Fig. 3b and d) indicated a weak Hg\textsuperscript{0} concentration dependence on wind direction during IC #1 but a more manifest dependence appeared during IC #2, with elevated concentrations associated with
southerly and south-westerly winds (4.04–4.88 ng m\(^{-3}\), 45–130 % higher than those associated with easterlies, 2.12–2.79 ng m\(^{-3}\)). The angular dependence of the ambient Hg\(^0\) level indicates the relative impact of regional anthropogenic Hg sources in mainland China (Zhang et al., 2013).

3.2 Hg\(^0\) fluxes observed by the DFC techniques

3.2.1 Characteristics of DFCs Hg\(^0\) fluxes

The Hg\(^0\) flux measured by DFC techniques at soil surface ranged from \(-23.4\) to \(43.4 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}\) (mean: \(2.2 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}\)) for the TDFC, and \(-21.0\) to \(108.9 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}\) (mean: \(7.6 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}\)) for the NDFC, (Table 1). Figure 4a presents the temporal variation of the observed Hg\(^0\) flux by the two DFC methods. The fluxes were positively correlated with solar irradiation and soil temperature. Both showed similar diurnal features with daytime evasion (maximum occurred at midday) and relatively weaker nighttime bi-directional exchange. These were consistent with previous findings (Gustin et al., 2011 and the references therein). The temporal variation of Hg\(^0\) flux was gradual and similar to irradiation and soil temperature. This is in contrast to the temporal variation captured by MM methods. DFCs flux was derived from Hg\(^0\) mass balance calculation every 20 min, different from the MM flux that relied on atmospheric turbulence processes.

The median ± MAD (median absolute deviation) of Hg\(^0\) flux were \(-0.9 \pm 3.2\) and \(-1.7 \pm 4.3 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}\) for TDFC and NDFC, respectively. Probability plots of both DFC datasets showed positive kurtosis (3.0 and 4.1) and skewness (1.6 and 2.1) (Fig. 5). As a consequence, the average flux is slightly positive and the soil substrate acts a net source of Hg\(^0\). The substantial fraction of NDFC data points elevated in magnitude outlying 1.5·IQR bound of the whisker is associated to periods of high wind speed (i.e. the dependence of friction velocity in. Eq. 2). Moreover, as indicated in Fig. 5, the shortest half (50 %) of the chamber flux data is positioned more towards dry deposition for the novel compared to the traditional chamber technique. Nevertheless, the intrinsic
divergence of the microenvironment inside enclosures in relation to that of near-surface air layer tended to promote efflux. The foundation of this statement is elaborated in details in Part 2 paper (Zhu et al., 2014a).

### 3.2.2 Comparison of Hg$^0$ fluxes obtained from DFCs measurement

In the Nevada STORMS campaign, seven flow-through enclosures with different operational parameters and designs were located in an arid area with naturally Hg-enriched substrate. The observed DFC Hg$^0$ fluxes showed similar diurnal profiles but diverged in magnitude by an order of magnitude (Gustin et al., 1999; Wallschlager et al., 1999; Gustin and Lindberg, 2000). The observed difference was partially attributed to the substrate heterogeneity with respect to Hg content. In this study, the surface soil Hg content within the methodological footprint range is at large homogeneous and therefore not pose an interfering factor. Figure 6 shows a scatter plot of chamber-determined flux by the NDFC and TDFC approach. In addition, NDFC measured flux calculated from Eq. (1) was presented in gray squares. The data were significantly positive correlated ($R = 0.93$, $R = 0.95$ for NDFC fluxes calculated with Eq. (2) and Eq. (1); $p < 0.01$). Quantitatively, direct measured flux was consistent from the two chambers (slope=1.01), the well developed turbulence (higher friction velocity, Fig. 2) during daytime caused the corrected Hg$^0$ flux from NDFC flux to be approximately 3.5 times higher than the TDFC flux.

Eckley et al. (2010) examined experimentally a series of operational and instrumental factors that may influence DFC-derived flux. The DFC flushing flow rate and related turn-over time (TOT) were identified to have substantial positive influence. In the present study, TOT of TDFC is 50% lower than that of the NDFC. Moreover, the footprint of the traditional type is in square measure merely two thirds of the NDFC and the mass transfer, an elevation in fluxes derived by this type is expected (Eckley et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2012). However, flux calculated from Eq. (1) was comparable for two DFCs, which may resulted from direct air flow from the entrance toward the outlet in the TDFC (Lin et al., 2012). After accounting for the atmospheric boundary shear condition...
by Eq. (2), the NDFC-estimated flux was however 3.5 times higher than that by TDFC. Given that DFC of conventional types cannot reproduce atmospheric turbulence, they are prone to underestimate the soil Hg emission, particularly when operated at low enclosure air exchange rates. Their insensitivity to atmospheric conditions suggests that an approach as NDFC is more preferable for the determination of net Hg$^0$ gas exchange over soils.

### 3.3 Hg$^0$ fluxes inferred from MM methods

#### 3.3.1 Characteristics of turbulent Hg$^0$ fluxes observed by micrometeorological methods

Figure 4a and b shows the time-series of normalized vertical Hg$^0$ concentration gradient (ng m$^{-4}$) and Hg$^0$ flux (ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) derived from the turbulent diffusion (MBR and AGM). The individual turbulent flux data were indexed for turbulence quality by the markers’ color. The corresponding measurement uncertainty is given as symmetrical error bars detailed in Part 2 (Zhu et al., 2014a). Hg$^0$ concentration gradients were observed in the similar ranges of −0.49–0.33 and −0.48–0.25 ng m$^{-4}$ in both campaigns (Table 1 and Fig. 4), though the more occasionally shifting conditions of weak and developed turbulence in IC #1 tended towards promoting an higher scale of gradient variability. Our gradient observations are in alignment with measurement over temperate grasslands (−0.40–0.27 ng m$^{-4}$) (Fritsche et al., 2008b).

Hg$^0$ flux observed from MM methods ranged from −124.8 to 202.2 ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ (mean: 5.3 ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) for AGM method, and −151.1 to 181.6 ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ (mean: 7.2 ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) for MBR method in IC #1. During IC #2, the observed fluxes varied from −155.0 to 289.7 ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ (mean: 10.8 ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$), −148.7 to 269.1 ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ (mean: 9.3 ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$), and −283.5 to 611.6 ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ (mean: 17.3 ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$) for AGM, MBR, and REA methods, respectively. These ranges are similar with other MM-Hg$^0$ flux observations over uncontaminated croplands (corn, soybean and rice paddy fields) (Baya and Van Heyst, 2010; Cobos and Baker, 2002; Kim et al., 2003; Cobbett and Van Heyst,
The MM fluxes exhibited strong temporal variability during daytime and much weaker variability under low quality turbulence during nighttime. In a typical campaign day, the turbulent flux datasets included both periods of emission and dry deposition. The median of nighttime flux were much smaller than the daytime flux for all MM methods (Mann–Whitney U test, MBR and AGM $p < 0.001$, $p < 0.10$ for REA). Integrating the flux in Fig. 4, a net emission was obtained for all MM measurements in both campaigns.

The distribution of the turbulent fluxes and Hg$^0$ concentration gradient in Fig. 4 deviated significantly from Gaussian distribution in Hg$^0$ concentration gradient and in the derived MBR and AGM fluxes (Shapiro–Wilk’s test rejected the hypothesis of normality of the distributions, $p < 0.01$). The measured turbulent fluxes using the MM techniques in IC #1 (Fig. 7a) were $-0.5 \pm 8.9$ and $0.1 \pm 3.2$ ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ for AGM and MBR measurement, while $2.8 \pm 29.0$, $1.4 \pm 15.2$, and $8.8 \pm 45.3$ ng m$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ for AGM, MBR and REA in IC #2 (Fig. 7b), respectively. All the distributions of MM turbulent flux were associated with a positive kurtosis (3.8–16.2) and a slightly positive skewness (0.8–1.5). It is obvious that the observed flux frequency distributions for AGM and MBR peaked more strongly than that of REA (Fig. 7), with the MBR method giving the most confined distribution. Broader flux distribution measured by REA sampling has been reported in the measurements of turbulent fluxes for other gases (Fowler et al., 1995; Beverland et al., 1996; Nemitz et al., 2001). Previous studies suggest that vegetation canopies in growing stage acts as an Hg$^0$ sink by net uptake of Hg$^0$ into foliage and therefore contribute to dry depositional flux (Bash and Miller, 2009; Stamenkovic and Gustin, 2007). However, the three MM-techniques in this study derived significant higher average Hg$^0$ emission fluxes in IC #2 compared to IC #1, indicating the vegetation sink strength was not sufficient to offset the efflux from underlying soil surface for croplands. Even though not measured, it is credible to assume that the soil Hg$^0$ efflux was higher during the warmer IC#2 (Baya and Van Heyst, 2010; Gustin, 2011).
3.3.2 Comparison of Hg\(^0\) fluxes derived from micrometeorological methods

The larger variability in REA- compared to the gradient-derived fluxes is associated with a combination of methodological, instrumental and site-specific constraints influencing primarily the resolution of \(\Delta C_{\text{REA}}\) (Eq. 3) as identified and discussed in Part 2 of this paper series (Zhu et al., 2014a). Nevertheless, a Friedman two-way analysis of variance by ranks (a non-parametric method) showed that the median fluxes by the three MM methods were not significantly different (\(\chi^2 = 1.29 < \chi^2_{p=0.05} = 5.99\)). This indicated that AGM, MBR and REA methods produced comparable results with respect to the median location of Hg\(^0\) turbulent flux during the inter-comparison.

The MBR method rely on scalar similarity (similarity in the scalar time series throughout the scalar spectra, Kaimal et al., 1972) between Hg\(^0\) and temperature used as the proxy in this study. Since we have no means of explicitly characterizing Hg\(^0\) scalar spectra, it is important to address the distribution of sources and sinks within the footprint area (Foken, 2008). By choosing a large flat and uniform fetch with confined Hg content in the soil substrate, significant divergence from scalar similarity between Hg\(^0\) and temperature is less likely to occur. Nevertheless, non-stationary effects (e.g. advection and changes in concentration with time) bias the measured turbulent flux in relation to the actual air-surface exchange process (See Sect. 3.4). The MBR method becomes uncertain and may significantly overestimate flux when the numerator and denominator in the formula of eddy diffusivity approaching small numbers, which typically occur in periods at dawn, dusk and during nighttime (Eq. (6), c.f. Converse et al., 2012). As shown in Fig. 8, the 20 min averaged AGM- and MBR-derived fluxes were well correlated during both campaigns (slope = 0.76 and 0.86). However, when the sensible heat flux becomes small approximately at \(|H| < 20 \text{ W m}^{-2}\), the correlation coefficient diminishes drastically and the fall-off in slope (\(F_{\text{AGM}} / F_{\text{MBR}} = 0.35–0.36\)) implying that these MBR flux data are of questionable quality and should be considered for omission.

AGM fluxes were on an average 26.1 % lower than MBR fluxes during IC #1, but 13.8 % higher during IC #2. The disparate results may largely stem from methodologi-
cal issues (Fritsche et al., 2008b). In previous studies using the AGM method to gauge various trace gas fluxes including Hg$^0$ (Edwards et al., 2001, 2005; Simpson et al., 1997), normalization of Eq. (5) was introduced to mitigate for systematical failure of obtaining energy budget closures (Twine et al., 2000) by a factor of 1.3–1.35. The AGM method involves momentum flux, and an atmospheric stability parameterization in the flux calculation. For conditions of weak developed turbulence to a greater extent prevailing under nocturnal stable stratification, where $u_*$ is very low, the AGM and MBR methods are prone to large uncertainties and corresponding fluxes are suggested to be flagged by applying wind or friction velocity thresholds (viz. $u_* < 0.07–0.1$ m s$^{-1}$) (Fritsche et al., 2008b; Foken, 2008). During IC#2, when the REA-system was included, the agreement between REA and the gradient-based methods was worst for small fluxes, which is inherently connected with the lower precision of the former system. As to be discussed in Zhu et al., 2014a, the non-constant (i.e. concentration and time dependent) sampling channel bias, which is difficult to entirely account for, is relatively more aggravating for the REA approach. Other gases (e.g. NH$_3$, CH$_4$) that have been studied with this triad of MM-techniques, higher variability in REA flux is generically observed (Nemitz et al., 2001; Fowler et al., 1995; Moncrieff et al., 1998) and mutual differences between a suite of NH$_3$-REA systems inter-compared have been reported in addition to that these systems collectively derived fluxes systematically different from AGM fluxes (Hensen et al., 2009).

### 3.4 Comparison of chamber and micrometeorological techniques

#### 3.4.1 Footprint of flux measurement

While the footprint of the chamber methods is fixed and very small (0.06 m$^2$ for TDFC and 0.09 m$^2$ for NDFC), MM methods derive fluxes from a footprint with a comparatively large areal coverage upwind the sampling tower. The areal dimension is a complex function of the sensor height, surface roughness length and canopy structure together with meteorological conditions. The predicted source area (Kljun et al., 2004; Kormann
and Meixner, 2001) tends for upper sampling level \(z_2 = z_{\text{REA}}\) to be extensive for flux periods associated with weakly developed turbulence (Flag 2), while in contrast \(\sim 70\%\) and \(\sim 86\%\) of the data cleared for good quality, \(\hat{x}_{70\%}\) (along-wind distance providing 70\% cumulative contribution to turbulent flux) fall within the unbroken field (150 m) for IC #1 and IC #2 respectively. For the lower sampling height \((z_1)\), the footprint falls almost entirely within the primary fetch. Nevertheless, heterogeneous structures (roads, streams, tree stands and low buildings) existing outside the primary fetch (> 150 m) are of minor spatial extent and within a radius of \(\sim 2\) km, the sampling tower can be regarded to be surrounded by unbroken farmlands.

### 3.4.2 Diel variations

Figure 9 shows boxplots of the diurnal variation of \(\text{Hg}^0\) flux obtained by the five examined methods. Consistent in both campaigns, the MM methods exhibited highly variable fluxes, especially during daytime, where the magnitude in a single 20 min turbulent flux can exceed the flux derived by the chamber methods by many times. DFCs fluxes followed a well-defined diurnal pattern with consistent daytime emission and slight nighttime deposition. To facilitate a comparison between the DFC and MM data set on a diurnal basis, a Savitzky–Golay filter was applied on hourly-averaged turbulent \(\text{Hg}^0\) flux data to smooth out the short-term variability. In Fig. 10, where the diurnal courses of flux are given by smoothing spline fits, there is an obvious lag in the time of the day when turbulent and chamber-derived flux peaked (IC #1). For the DFCs, the observed \(\text{Hg}^0\) flux peaked within the period P2 (Fig. 10, IC #1) in concert with soil temperature, which is consistent with diurnal cycles reported for chamber measurements in the literatures (Fu et al., 2008, 2012; Gustin, 2011; Zhu et al., 2013a).

The smoothed mean diurnal cycle derived by the gradient-based methods over the same period exhibits peaking \(\text{Hg}^0\) fluxes shortly before mid-day (P1 in Fig. 10, IC #1) but also include a subsequent shoulder in the flux profile in the early afternoon (within P2 in Fig. 10, IC #1). The pattern resembles to extent that of latent heat flux (evapotranspiration) and may be interpreted as an effect of photo-reduction of previously...
deposited Hg\textsuperscript{II} to Hg\textsuperscript{0} into soil in conjunction with the presence of a water film and emerging incoming solar radiation and temperature-driven air-surface exchange of soil Hg\textsuperscript{0} pool (Fritsche et al., 2008b). Nevertheless, measurement of air-surface Hg\textsuperscript{0} fluxes under the marked varying Hg\textsuperscript{0} concentrations in air is challenging. Under such conditions, the measured turbulent fluxes are altered by non-stationary bias so they not represent actual fluxes to surface. The rates of change in Hg\textsuperscript{0} concentration (up to \(\pm 1.1 \, \text{ng m}^{-3} \text{h}^{-1}\)) at the storage height of nearly 3 m relevant to this study imply vertical Hg\textsuperscript{0} flux divergence in the range \(\pm 3 \, \text{ng m}^{-2} \text{h}^{-1}\). At low turbulence, advection in addition may as well gain some importance. However, to fully quantify the advection term for Hg\textsuperscript{0} requires an array of instrumentation and such an investigation was unfeasible to accomplish in this study.

The mean diurnal cycles calculated for the three coevally examined MM methods (Fig. 10, IC #2) are based on a significantly smaller set of input data (\(\sim 30\% \) of IC #1) and therefore plausibly less robust to provide adequate representativeness after smoothing. Moreover, the campaign is a composite of periods where near-neutral conditions prevailed on daytime as well as adjacent nights and periods with weakly developed turbulence during night-time respectively. Accordingly, the MM methods unanimously gauged maximum fluxes slightly after noon-time (P2, IC #2). However, there are features (P1 & P3) in the constructed cycles that are difficult to fully couple to environmental responses.

### 3.4.3 Comparison of Hg\textsuperscript{0} flux and deposition velocity derived from different methods

The overall correlation matrix between Hg\textsuperscript{0} flux, ambient Hg\textsuperscript{0} concentration and other measured parameters (hourly averages) are displayed in Table 2. The fluxes derived from the two types of chambers were highly positively correlated (\(R = 0.95, p < 0.01\)). Among the MM methods, MBR and AGM fluxes were temporarily well correlated (\(R = 0.81, 0.92, p < 0.01 \) for IC #1 and IC #2), while REA fluxes were only weak cor-
related with fluxes derived by other techniques ($R < 0.2$, $p > 0.05$). A significant correlation was observed between DFCs and gradient fluxes ($R \sim 0.5$ for DFCs and AGM). Using the dry deposition velocity ($V_d$) calculation in Poissant et al. (2004), the median Hg$^0$ deposition velocity (dry deposition events) inferred from different measurement methods were $0.01 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ (MBR, 47 %) $< 0.03 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ (TDFC, 56 %) $< 0.04 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ (NDFC, 59 %) $< 0.06 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ (AGM, 56 %) and $0.09 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ (AGM, 34 %) $< 0.13 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ (MBR, 36 %) $< 0.20 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ (REA, 36 %) for IC #1 and IC #2, respectively. The observed Hg$^0$ dry deposition velocities from the two campaigns are in good agreement with the $V_d$ of previous measurements over background soil (DFCs methods, generally $< 0.05 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$) and agricultural canopies (MM methods, 0.05–0.28 cm s$^{-1}$) (Zhang et al., 2009 and references there in).

The cumulative flux derived by the examined methods is presented in Fig. 11a and b. During IC #1, the cumulative fluxes measured by MBR and AGM fell between the fluxes measured by the two DFC methods. On 17 November, periods of sustained emission signals was detected by MBR, yielding a large increase to the cumulative flux. After that it stayed beyond the AGM flux for the rest of the campaign. This was likely due to the presence of high eddy diffusivity of heat. The cumulative flux gauged by the TDFC method was the lowest (approximately 1/3 of MBR flux). Over the duration of IC #1, the net Hg$^0$ flux estimated by MBR and NDFC method was in good agreement (2.90 vs. 3.02 µg m$^{-2}$) while the AGM method had $\sim 25$ % lower Hg$^0$ net evasion. This indicated that the flux correction with synchronized surface shear properties in NDFC partially bridges frequently observed disparities in magnitude between the MM- and conventional chamber-derived fluxes (e.g. Gustin et al., 1999). Figure 11a and b shows scatterplots of hourly and cumulative flux specifically for MBR vs. NDFC, though the correlation between individual hourly data points is weak, the fluxes integrated over time show strong agreement. The significant scattering in Fig. 12a stems substantially from the inherent high variability in MBR flux prevalent during daytime. The difference between chamber and MBR flux depends to certain degree on the diurnal variation of the atmospheric conditions. During day-time, the chamber produces a delay in the
day-time flux evolution and fluxes become sustained in the late afternoon due to an artificial reduction in surface cooling within the chamber (Fig. 10).

During IC #2, the gradient-based MM techniques were evaluated together with the REA technique. The temporally features of the convoluted MBR and AGM cumulative fluxes are by large concordant albeit the latter technique gauged ∼20% higher Hg\(^0\) net flux (1.78 vs. 1.43 µg m\(^{-2}\)). The relative magnitude of MBR and AGM flux shown inverse order during the two campaigns, possibly caused by the different turbulences and sensible heat conditions related uncertainties (Zhu et al., 2014a). For an extended period, the cumulative flux of REA given in Fig. 11b evolved in a similar way to those of the gradient-based methods (18–21 April). However, considerably different fluxes, occasionally in reverse directions occurred after 21 April. In particularly during 16–17 April, a large net emission event was observed by all three techniques but at different magnitude.

3.5 Sensitivity of Hg\(^0\) flux observation to environmental factors

It has been shown that the air-surface exchange of Hg can be influenced by solar irradiation, temperature, humidity, moisture, and wind shear condition (Eckley et al., 2010; Fu et al., 2008; Gustin, 2011; Zhu et al., 2013a; Lin et al., 2010), as also observed in our field (Figs. 9 and 10). Table 2 shows the statistical correlations between Hg\(^0\) fluxes measured by different methods and meteorological variables. DFC Hg\(^0\) fluxes were positively correlated with solar radiation, soil temperature, soil moisture, friction velocity (\(R \sim 0.4–0.9, p < 0.05\)), and negatively correlated with air Hg\(^0\) concentration and air humidity (\(p < 0.05\)). The correlations between the MM fluxes and environmental variables were generally weaker (\(|R| < 0.5\)) in both campaigns. It is evident that flux measured by DFCs are driven by the parameters representing the environmental condition enclosed within the chamber. The relationship between the MM fluxes and the environmental parameters are not as apparent due to incorporating of simplified turbulent diffusion processes.
The rotated component matrices of the PCA for the flux quantified by the examined methods with the environmental variables are presented in Table 3. Overall, five factors were resolved for each campaign dataset. A strong synergistic interaction was observed among global radiation, soil temperature, and friction velocity that contributed to the flux measured by DFCs, consistent with earlier laboratory simulations (Lin et al., 2010). The environmental variables also significantly modified the gradient-MM fluxes (factor loading > 0.3). Air Hg\(^0\) concentration appeared to be negatively correlated with the measured flux, supporting the existence of compensation point (Bash and Miller, 2009). Due to the small data variation in soil moisture in the campaigns, it did not show a strong effect on the measured flux. Two separate PCA was resolved for gradient fluxes variance (factor 2) and REA flux variance (factor 4). The two factors are not contributed from the environmental variables (factor loading < 0.1), suggesting that the MM fluxes and their temporal characteristics are likely influenced by turbulent transport processes that are excluded in DFCs methods. This indicates that DFCs method is preferred in exploring the effects of directly measurable environmental variables.

4 Conclusions and implications

In this study, we performed a comprehensive inter-comparison of five contemporary Hg\(^0\) flux quantification techniques through collocated measurements over an agricultural field. The flat terrain and homogeneous soil Hg content at the experimental site are ideal for the inter-comparison of the DFCs and MM techniques. MM- and DFC-derived Hg\(^0\) fluxes showed distinct temporal characteristics. The former exhibited a highly dynamic variability while the latter had gradual temporal features. The three MM methods (REA, AGM and MBR) observed statistically significant, inseparable median Hg\(^0\) fluxes (\(p<0.05\)) albeit REA flux was distributed over a much broader scale. Gradient and DFCs methods inter-compared favorably with respect to the confined location of median fluxes. Diurnal trends showed that MM- and DFC-measurement diagnosed a similar daytime emission peak with different peak time. In addition, the diurnal variation of...
MM fluxes were biased under the low turbulence condition. Instantaneous fluxes measured by NDFC and TDFC and by MBR and AGM methods respectively were highly correlated ($R > 0.8$, $p < 0.05$) as the pairwise techniques are based on same theoretical concept. However, the comparability between individual DFC and MM fluxes was poor to moderate ($R \approx 0.1–0.5$) indicating the risk of utilizing sporadic (non-diurnally resolved) flux measurements as representative of an ecosystem.

The five techniques gauged unanimously positive net Hg$^0$ fluxes cumulated over the campaign periods. For the investigated triad of MM-techniques, Hg$^0$-REA system has a general tendency to derive fluxes largest in magnitude. Over most of the campaign time, REA reported 20–60 % higher cumulative flux compared to the AGM method next to REA in scale. Intriguingly, the Hg$^0$ flux budget magnitude examined by AGM and MBR methods was reversed during the two campaigns with a difference of $\sim 20\%$, which may result from the atmospheric conditions and proxy scalar behavior. In turn, the traditional DFC method systematically measured the lowest Hg$^0$ net emission (42 % and 31 % of AGM- and MBR-derived net emission, respectively). The NDFC technique measured averaged fluxes similar to turbulent Hg$^0$ fluxes obtained by the MBR method (5.3 % difference). Although not entirely coupled and sensitive to atmospheric conditions that control the flux, the NDFC technique nevertheless represents a significant progress and improvement in contemporary enclosure-based Hg$^0$ flux measurement.

It was feasible to obtain a gradient measurement height ratio at the recommended bound (Foken, 2008) above the roughness sublayer. Given the lower precision of REA, gradient-based methods is consequently to recommend for atmosphere-ecosystem Hg$^0$ flux measurements over low vegetation. REA has its niche over tall canopy, where gradient methods have frequently been found impracticable. In future applications, concerning foremost MM flux measurement technique, where the capacity to resolve small concentration differences is critical, it is recommended to implement synchronous collection of samples for various heights (AGM, MBR) and vertical wind conditions (REA) to avoid uncertainties induced by non-uniform ambient air Hg$^0$ concentration during the flux averaging period. It has recently been argued that direct measurement of Hg$^0$
ecosystem air-canopy gas exchange is difficult and potential subject to larger uncertainties (Zhang et al., 2012). Nevertheless, it is practicable for Hg\(^0\) as it is for other trace gases and aerosols for which continuous MM-flux measurement systems are key tools in ecosystem sciences. Our results show that improvement in resolving small Hg\(^0\) concentration differences for the MM-systems is required to further reduce uncertainties in the flux estimation.
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Table 1. Summary of observed meteorological variables, Hg\(^0\) concentrations, vertical Hg\(^0\) concentration gradients and Hg\(^0\) fluxes for two campaigns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Bare surface (IC #1)</th>
<th>Canopy surface (IC #2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGM flux</td>
<td>ng m(^{-2}) h(^{-1})</td>
<td>124.8–220.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBR flux</td>
<td>ng m(^{-2}) h(^{-1})</td>
<td>151.1–181.6</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REA flux</td>
<td>ng m(^{-2}) h(^{-1})</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>[-]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDFC flux</td>
<td>ng m(^{-2}) h(^{-1})</td>
<td>21.0–108.9</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDFC flux</td>
<td>ng m(^{-2}) h(^{-1})</td>
<td>23.4–43.4</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensible heat flux</td>
<td>W m(^{-2})</td>
<td>740.8–158.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg(^0) concentration</td>
<td>ng m(^{-3})</td>
<td>1.34–8.17</td>
<td>3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalized vertical Hg(^0) conc. gradients</td>
<td>ng m(^{-4})</td>
<td>0.49–0.33</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friction velocity (u(_{*}))</td>
<td>m s(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.008–0.519</td>
<td>0.124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind speed</td>
<td>m s(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.03–6.25</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global radiation (daytime)</td>
<td>W m(^{-2})</td>
<td>1.9–591.9</td>
<td>261.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>–3.54–15.14</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil temperature</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>–0.23–13.48</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative humidity</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>27.6–98.7</td>
<td>65.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil moisture</td>
<td>m(^{-3}) m(^{-3})</td>
<td>0.04–0.17</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Pearson correlation analysis of hourly Hg\textsuperscript{0} flux from various field measurement techniques and environmental parameters for two campaigns. Part above the parting line on the right and top denote date from IC #2. Bold font denotes a statistically significant correlation coefficient ($p < 0.05$).

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{lcccccccccc}
\hline
Variables & MBR flux & AGM flux & TDFC flux & NDFC flux & GEM & $u_*$ & Soil temp. & Global radiation & Air Humi. & Soil moisture & Wind speed \\
\hline
REA flux & 0.15 & 0.09 & [-] & [-] & -0.11 & 0.12 & 0.10 & 0.08 & -0.15 & -0.16 & 0.12 \\
MBR flux & 0.92 & [-] & [-] & 0.10 & -0.08 & 0.13 & 0.08 & -0.14 & -0.13 & -0.11 & \\
AGM flux & 0.81 & [-] & [-] & 0.11 & -0.10 & 0.15 & 0.12 & -0.14 & -0.16 & -0.14 & \\
TDFC flux & 0.23 & 0.41 & [-] & [-] & [-] & [-] & [-] & [-] & [-] & [-] & \\
NDFC flux & 0.27 & 0.47 & 0.95 & [-] & [-] & [-] & [-] & [-] & [-] & [-] & \\
GEM & 0.07 & 0.03 & -0.20 & -0.16 & -0.41 & 0.39 & 0.24 & 0.32 & 0.24 & -0.45 & \\
$u_*$ & 0.28 & 0.37 & 0.50 & 0.62 & 0.10 & -0.41 & 0.39 & 0.24 & 0.32 & 0.24 & -0.45 \\
Soil temp. & 0.15 & 0.26 & 0.56 & 0.54 & 0.44 & 0.45 & 0.43 & -0.42 & -0.17 & 0.26 & \\
Global radiation & 0.38 & 0.48 & 0.74 & 0.89 & 0.13 & 0.57 & 0.44 & -0.31 & -0.03 & 0.36 & \\
Air humidity & -0.17 & -0.35 & -0.70 & -0.69 & -0.20 & -0.46 & -0.46 & -0.63 & 0.49 & -0.61 & \\
Soil moisture & 0.06 & 0.14 & 0.46 & 0.38 & 0.06 & 0.19 & 0.29 & 0.24 & -0.22 & -0.33 & \\
Wind speed & 0.27 & 0.35 & 0.50 & 0.61 & 0.15 & 0.95 & 0.49 & 0.56 & -0.50 & 0.19 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
Table 3. PCA factors loading (the rotated component matrixes) for Hg$^0$ fluxes in two campaigns, and the bold indicate important variables of each factor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Campaigns</th>
<th>REA flux</th>
<th>MBR flux</th>
<th>AGM flux</th>
<th>NDFC flux</th>
<th>TDFC flux</th>
<th>Global</th>
<th>Soil temp.</th>
<th>$u_*$</th>
<th>Hg$^0$ concentration</th>
<th>Soil moisture</th>
<th>Precipitation</th>
<th>RH</th>
<th>% of variance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 IC#1</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 IC#1</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>22.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 IC#1</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>11.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 IC#1</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>11.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 IC#1</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>[-]</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. Schematic illustrating the collocated MM and DFCs instrumentation set-ups. P, MFC and FM indicates a pressure transmitter, mass flow controller and flow meter of rotameter type respectively.
Figure 2. General meteorological parameters and ambient GEM concentration in two campaigns. Upper panel: relative humidity (blue open circles), canopy leaf wetness (light blue line filled down), air temperature (red filled diamonds) and rainfall (black bar), middle panel: wind speed (green line) and wind direction (dark green open circles filled down), and lower panel: ambient GEM concentration (dark purple open circles), global radiation (orange squares filled down) and $\sigma_w / u_*$ (magenta line).
Figure 3. Polar histograms of 20 min averaged wind speed and Hg$^{0}$ concentration: (A) Wind rose during IC #1; (B) directional frequency of Hg$^{0}$ concentration during IC #1; (C) wind rose during IC #2; (D) directional frequency of Hg$^{0}$ concentration during IC #2.
Figure 4. Time series of GEM gradients, GEM fluxes measured in: (A) IC #1 using MM and DFCs techniques; (B) IC #2 using MM techniques. The color code (green-yellow-red) denotes the quality (high-moderate-low) of turbulent flux data derived from general tests and black bars given in corresponding plots represent absolute flux uncertainties.
Figure 5. Distributions of Hg\textsuperscript{0} flux derived from DFC measurements (Upper panel: TDFC, Lower panel: NDFC). The tripartite panels consists from left to right of a shadowgram (a suite of overlaid histograms with different bin widths), a Box & Whiskers plot (the ends of the box represent Q1 and Q3 and the whiskers denote $\pm 1.5$ times the interquartile range, $\text{IQR} = Q3 - Q1$. Sample points further away are given as individual markers) and the corresponding normal quantile plot (the unbroken diagonal line signifies the expected normal cumulative distribution and the dashed intervals the Lilliefors confidence bounds. The scale of the upper and lower abscissa indicates normal quantile and probability). Furthermore, in the Box & Whiskers plot, mean is indicated by a filled diamond while the median is the line within the box. The bracket outside of the box identifies the shortest half, which is the most dense 50\% of the observations.
Figure 6. Scatter plot of Hg⁰ flux obtained from TDFC and NDFC measurement (green open circles), and the NDFC calculated using Eq. (1) vs. TDFC flux (grey filled squares).
Figure 7. Overview of the distributions of turbulent Hg\(^0\) flux measured by the MM techniques (A: IC #1, B: IC #2). See Fig. 5 for a detailed description of the composite plots.
Figure 8. Scatter plots of 20 min instaneous MBR flux vs. AGM flux during entire two campaigns (empty circles), and those plots under sensible heat flux W m$^{-2}$ (filled circles).
Figure 9. Box and whisker plots of diurnal Hg\(^0\) flux patterns measured with various techniques. The two box horizontal border lines represent 5th, and 95th percentiles from bottom to top, and whiskers indicate 10th and 90th percentiles of Hg\(^0\) flux. Bold line and fine line in the box indicate mean and median flux.
**Figure 10.** Smoothed diurnal cycles of Hg\(^0\) flux and Hg\(^0\) concentration derived hourly integrated input data.
Figure 11. Time series of the cumulative Hg\textsuperscript{0} flux observed by using various techniques for two campaigns: (A) IC #1 over bare soil; (B) IC #2 over wheat canopy.
Figure 12. Scatter plots of: (A) NDFC and MBR method observed Hg\(_0\) flux; (B) cumulative NDFC and cumulative MBR Hg\(_0\) flux.