April 17, 2015

Editor, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics
Dr. Yafang Cheng

Dear Dr. Cheng,

Please find in next pages our point-to-point responses to the further comments on our manuscript offered by the reviewer. Although there are still uncertainties for our PMF solution, particularly the differentiation of CCOA and HOA, it is the best solution we can get based on current available data. We thank all the reviewers for helping improve the manuscript and look forward to hearing back from you.

A marked-up manuscript version is also enclosed.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Yele Sun
Ph.D., Professor
State Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Boundary Layer and Atmospheric Chemistry (LAPC)
Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing 100029, China
Phone: +86-10-82021255 (Office)
Email: sunyele@mail.iap.ac.cn
We appreciate the reviewer’s further comments. We have checked carefully the several points suggested by the reviewer, and addressed them in the revised manuscript. Listed below are our point-by-point responses in blue to the reviewers’ comments

**Response to Reviewers’ comments**

1) It is good that the readers can know some details about the PMF factor determination in this paper. However, I am still not totally convinced about the HOA and CCOA recognition. HOA spectrum in this study shows clear PAHs contributions, which peak around m/z 77, 91, 105, 115 (Fig S6). The PAHs are usually more abundant in the coal combustion OA (Zhang et al., 2008). The authors argued that “Wang et al. (2013) showed a large decrease of these several m/z’s when the coal was burned with a high oxygen to coal ratio.” However, the f44 (=m44/OA) in coal combustion OA spectrum of this paper is around 0.05, indicating this CCOA is a very fresh primary OA factor.

One explanation is fragmentation (the cleavage of C–C bonds) might be a more important mechanism than functionalization (the addition of polar, oxygen-containing functional groups) when coal is burned under conditions with higher oxygen to carbon ratios. Indeed, Wang et al. (2013) also observed low f44 in OA spectrum when coal was burned with higher oxygen to carbon ratios.

The author said “Note that CCOA showed a small peak at ~8:00 pm which agrees well with that of chloride. This also supports the indentified CCOA factor.” It is questionable that if the similar small peaks at 8:00 pm in the diurnal variation of CCOA and chloride are caused by the enhanced concentrations of both species in firework period during Lantern Festival. Diurnal variations excluding three FW periods should be examined. And also it seems that the time series of CCOA and HOA are very similar.

We further checked the diurnal cycles of CCOA and chloride by excluding FW events (Figure R1). The reviewer is right that the FW events had a considerable impact on diurnal cycle of chloride between 18:00 – 24:00. The chloride peak at 20:00 is much smaller after excluding FW events. Therefore, our previous explanation without strong evidence was deleted.

The time series of CCOA and HOA was similar. Similar time series of HOA and CCOA was also observed in our previous study (Sun et al., 2013).
Figure R1. Diurnal cycles of CCOA and chloride for the entire period with and without FW events

If the PMF solution presented in this paper now is the best one that the authors can get, it is fine. However, please consider to address the questions above and avoid confusing.

We agree with the reviewer that there are still uncertainties in our PMF solution. However, it is the best solution we can get based on current available data.

2) In summary, the conclusion that the authors said in line 297 (“Considering above, the contributions estimated in this work would represent the upper limits of FW.”) is invalid and vague. The regional transport is not a reason that makes the estimation of FW contribution an upper limit, or it depends on the upwind air masses. The role of regional transport contribution to the absolute mass conc. and fraction of FW aerosol to the total OA in different FW periods should be fully considered.

We thank the reviewer for careful evaluating the conclusion and we agree with the reviewer. Because of the absence of data for accurate quantification of regional transport, we deleted this sentence in the revised manuscript to avoid misleading readers.

3) Line 319: “Therefore, our results might suggest that a large fraction of aerosol particles from the burning of fireworks was emitted in the size range of 1 – 2.5 μm.” Have the authors considered coagulations and VOCs condensation on the aerosol phase during FW period?

It’s a good point. There’s possibility that the particles in the size range of 1 – 2.5 μm were partly from the coagulations and VOCs condensation. Because the particle number size distributions and VOCs data were not available in this study, it is difficult to evaluate the role of coagulation and condensation in the formation of large particles (1 – 2.5 μm).

4) Line 390 in revised paper: “contribution of POA increased as a function of organic loadings which varied from~35% to 63% when organics was above 80 μg m^{-3} (Fig. 7c).” The Fig. 7c only show data below 60 μg m^{-3}, No data above 80 μg m^{-3} are shown.
We thank the reviewer’s carefulness. This sentence should be “when organics reached 80 μg m\(^{-3}\) (Fig. 7c)”. It was corrected in the revised manuscript.

5) One suggestion about holiday periods vs non-holiday periods in Fig.9. Have the authors calculated if the O3 increase in the holiday periods can be fully explained by NO2 deduction. If not, then the extra O3 enhancement in the holiday period may indicate the reduction of VOCs from anthropogenic sources in holiday periods as well. This is a good suggestion. The average mixing ratio of NO during HD and NHD is 19.2 and 42.0 ppb, respectively, and that of NO\(_2\) is 33.3 and 45.2 ppb, respectively. The reduction of 22.8 ppb and 11.9 ppb for NO and NO\(_2\) are much higher than 5.9 ppb of O3 that was increased during HD. This result suggests that the increase of O3 during HD can be fully explained by the deduction of NO.
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Aerosol particles were characterized by an Aerodyne Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM) along with various collocated instruments in Beijing, China to investigate the roles of fireworks (FW) and secondary aerosol in particulate pollution during the Chinese Spring Festival of 2013. Three fireworks events exerting significant and short-term impacts on fine particles (PM$_{2.5}$) were observed on the days of Lunar New Year, Lunar Fifth Day, and Lantern Festival. The FW showed large impacts on non-refractory potassium, chloride, sulfate, and organics in submicron aerosol (PM$_1$), of which the FW organics appeared to be emitted mainly in secondary with its mass spectrum resembling to that of secondary organic aerosol (SOA). Pollution events (PEs) and clean periods (CPs) alternated routinely throughout the study. Secondary particulate matter (SPM = SOA + sulfate + nitrate + ammonium) dominated the total PM$_1$ mass on average accounting for 63-82% during nine PEs in this study. The elevated contributions of secondary species during PEs resulted in a higher mass extinction efficiency of PM$_1$ (6.4 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) than that during CPs (4.4 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$). The Chinese Spring Festival also provides a unique opportunity to study the impacts of reduced anthropogenic emissions on aerosol chemistry in the city. The primary species showed ubiquitous reductions during the holiday period with the largest reduction for cooking OA (69%), nitrogen monoxide (54%), and coal combustion OA (28%). The secondary sulfate, however, remained minor change, and the SOA and the total PM$_{2.5}$ even slightly increased. Our results have significant implications that controlling local primary source emissions during PEs, e.g., cooking and traffic activities, might have limited effects on improving air quality in megacity Beijing due to the dominance of SPM from regional transport in aerosol particle composition.
1 Introduction

Air pollution caused by fine particles (PM$_{2.5}$) is of great concern in densely populated megacities because of its adverse effects on human health and regional air quality (Molina and Molina, 2004; Chan and Yao, 2008). The health risk of air pollution is greater than expected leading to around 7 million people’s death in 2012 according to the latest report by World Health Organization (http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2014/air-pollution/en/). The Beijing metropolitan area is one of the most populous megacities in the world with the population reaching 20.69 million by the end of 2012 (Beijing Municipal Bureau of Statistics). According to Beijing Municipal Environmental Protection Bureau, the annual average concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ was 89.5 µg m$^{-3}$ in 2013, which is about 2.5 times the National Ambient Air Quality Standards of China (35 µg m$^{-3}$ for annual average). This suggests severe fine particle pollution in Beijing. Extensive studies have been made recently to investigate the chemical composition and sources of PM$_{2.5}$. The results showed that secondary inorganic aerosol (SIA = sulfate + nitrate + ammonium), coal combustion, traffic emissions (gasoline and diesel), biomass burning, cooking emissions and dust are the major sources of PM$_{2.5}$ (Zheng et al., 2005; Song et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2013). However, the source contributions varied significantly among different seasons, therefore improving air quality in Beijing remains a great challenge due to the very complex sources and dynamic evolution processes of aerosol particles.

Fine particles from various sources can be either primary from direct emissions, e.g., fossil fuel combustion and biomass burning, or secondary from atmospheric oxidation of gas-phase species. The fireworks (FW) is one of the most important
primary sources that can exert significant and short-time impacts on air quality. The fireworks burning emits a large amount of gaseous pollutants, e.g., sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and nitrogen oxide (NOₓ) (Vecchi et al., 2008; Huang et al., 2012), and also fine particles comprising organic/elemental carbon, sulfate, potassium, chloride and various metals, e.g., copper (Cu), barium (Ba), strontium (Sr) and magnesium (Mg) (Moreno et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2007; Li et al., 2013). The enhanced short-term air pollution by fireworks can substantially increase health risk levels (Godri et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2014) and reduce visibility for hours (Vecchi et al., 2008). Previous studies on chemical characterization of fireworks in China were mostly based on filter measurements with a time resolution of 12 h or 24 h (Wang et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2010; Feng et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2012; Cheng et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2014). Considering that the fireworks events usually last less than 12 hours, the filter analysis may introduce large uncertainties in accurate quantification of chemical composition of FW particles due to either the interferences of non-FW (NFW) background aerosols or the difficulties to account for meteorological variations. Drewnick et al. (2006) first conducted real-time size-resolved chemical composition measurements during the New Year’s period in Mainz, Germany using an Aerodyne Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (ToF-AMS). To our knowledge, there are no such real-time measurements of chemical composition of aerosol particles during fireworks events in China yet, which limits our understanding on the rapid formation and evolution of fireworks events, and also their impacts on particulate matter (PM) pollution.

Secondary aerosol is of more concern compared to primary aerosol because it is formed over regional scales and exerts impacts on air quality over wider areas (Matsui
et al., 2009; DeCarlo et al., 2010). Therefore, extensive studies have been conducted in recent years to characterize the sources and formation mechanisms of secondary aerosol (Yao et al., 2002; Duan et al., 2006; Sun et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2006; Guo et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2013b; Zhang et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013).

SIA was observed to contribute a large fraction of PM$_{2.5}$ and played an enhanced role during haze episodes due to the faster heterogeneous reactions associated with higher humidity (Liu et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2013a; Zhao et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014). While SIA was relatively well characterized, secondary organic aerosol (SOA) is not well understood (Huang et al., 2014). The recent deployments of Aerodyne Aerosol Mass Spectrometers (AMS) greatly improved our understanding on sources and evolution processes of organic aerosol (OA) in China, and also the different roles of primary organic aerosol (POA) and SOA in PM pollution (Huang et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2010; He et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2013b; Zhang et al., 2014). While SOA is more significant in summer (Huang et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2012), POA generally plays a more important role during wintertime (Sun et al., 2013b). Recently, the role of SOA in fine particle pollution during wintertime – a season with frequent occurrences of pollution episodes in Beijing was extensively investigated and the results highlighted the similar importance of SOA to SIA (Sun et al., 2013b; Sun et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014). However, the role of SOA in particulate pollution during periods with largely reduced anthropogenic activities is not well known yet (Huang et al., 2012). This study happened to take place in a month with the most important holiday in China, i.e., the Spring Festival. The source emissions (e.g., traffic and cooking) have significant changes due to a large reduction of population and anthropogenic activities in the city. This provides a unique opportunity to investigate how source changes affect aerosol chemistry including
primary emissions and secondary formation in Beijing. Although Huang et al. (2012)
investigated such a holiday effect on aerosol composition and optical properties in
Shanghai, the data analyses were limited by daily average composition measurements
and also the significantly different meteorological conditions between holiday and
non-holiday periods.

In this study, an Aerosol Chemical Speciation Monitor (ACSM) along with
various collocated instruments was deployed in Beijing during February 2013. The
chemical composition of submicron aerosol (PM$_1$) from fireworks is quantified based
on the highly time – resolved measurements of non-refractory submicron aerosol
(NR-PM$_1$) species (organics, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, chloride, and potassium) and
black carbon. The impacts of fireworks on PM pollution during Chinese Lunar New
Year (LNY), Lunar Fifth Day (LFD), and Lantern Festival (LF) are investigated, and
the roles of secondary formation in PM pollution are elucidated. Further, the effects of
reduced anthropogenic emissions on primary and secondary aerosols in the city are
illustrated, which has significant implications for making air pollution control
strategies in Beijing.

2 Experimental

2.1 Sampling site

The measurements in this study were conducted at the Institute of Atmospheric
Physics (IAP), Chinese Academy of Sciences (39°58’28”N, 116°22’16”E), an urban
site located between the north third and fourth ring road in Beijing (Sun et al., 2012).
Aerosol measurements were performed from 1 February to 1 March 2013 when three
episodes with significant influences of fireworks, i.e., Lunar New Year (LNY), Lunar
Fifth Day (LFD), and Lantern Festival (LF), were observed (Fig. 1). The
meteorological conditions during the measurement period are reported in Fig. 1. Winds at the ground surface were generally below 2 m s\(^{-1}\) and temperature averaged 0.6 °C. Relative humidity (RH) varied periodically with higher values generally associated with higher PM pollution.

### 2.2 Aerosol sampling

The chemical composition of NR-PM\(_1\) including organics, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and chloride were measured \textit{in situ} by the ACSM at an approximate 15-min time intervals (Ng et al., 2011b). The ACSM has been widely used for long-term and routine aerosol particle composition measurements due to its robustness (Sun et al., 2012; Budisulistiorini et al., 2014; Petit et al., 2014) despite its lower sensitivity and mass resolution compared to previous versions of research-grade AMS (Jayne et al., 2000; DeCarlo et al., 2006). In this study, the ambient air was drawn inside the sampling room at a flow rate of 3 L min\(^{-1}\), of which \(~0.1\) L min\(^{-1}\) was sub-sampled into the ACSM and 0.85 L min\(^{-1}\) into a Cavity Attenuated Phase Shift Spectrometer (CAPS) particle extinction monitor (Massoli et al., 2010). A PM\(_{2.5}\) cyclone (Model: URG-2000-30ED) was supplied in front of the sampling line to remove coarse particles with aerodynamic diameters larger than 2.5 µm. The aerosol particles were dried by a silica gel dryer (RH < 40%) before entering the ACSM and the CAPS. The ACSM was operated at a scan rate of 500 ms amu\(^{-1}\) for the mass spectrometer from \(m/z\) 10 – 150. Because ACSM cannot detect refractory components, e.g., BC and mineral dust, a two-wavelength Aethalometer (Model AE22, Magee Scientific Corp.) was therefore used to measure refractory BC in PM\(_{2.5}\). The light extinction of dry fine particles (\(b_{\text{ext}}, 630\) nm) was measured at 1 s time resolution with a precision (3 \(\sigma\)) of 1 M m\(^{-1}\) by the CAPS monitor. In addition, the mass concentration
of PM$_{2.5}$ was determined by a heated Tapered Element Oscillating Microbalance, TEOM, and the collocated gaseous species (including CO, SO$_2$, NO, NO$_x$ and O$_3$) were measured by various gas analyzers (Thermo Scientific) at 1 min time resolution. A more detailed descriptions of aerosol and gas measurements were given in Sun et al. (2013b).

2.3 ACSM data analysis

The ACSM data were analyzed for the mass concentrations and chemical composition of NR-PM$_1$ using standard ACSM software (v 1.5.3.2) written within Igor Pro (WaveMetrics, Inc., Oregon USA). A composition-dependent collection efficiency (CE) recommended by Middlebrook et al. (2012), CE = max (0.45, 0.0833 + 0.9167 × ANMF), was used to account for the incomplete detection due to the particle bouncing effects (Matthew et al., 2008) and the influences caused by high mass fraction of ammonium nitrate (ANMF). Because aerosol particles were overall neutralized (NH$_4^+$ measured/NH$_4^+$ predicted = 1.01, $r^2 = 0.99$) and also dried before entering the ACSM, the effects of particle acidity and RH would be minor (Matthew et al., 2008; Middlebrook et al., 2012). The default relative ionization efficiencies (RIEs) except ammonium (RIE = 6.5) that was determined from the IE calibration were used in this study. Quantification of K$^+$ is challenging for ACSM because of a large interference of organic C$_3$H$_3$++ at $m/z$ 39 and also uncertainties caused by surface ionization (Slowik et al., 2010). In this work, we found that $m/z$ 39 was tightly correlated with $m/z$ 43 that is completely organics during non-fireworks (NFW) periods ($r^2 = 0.87$, slope = 0.45, Fig. S1). However, higher ratios of $m/z$ 39/43 during FW periods were observed due to the elevated K$^+$ signal from burning of fireworks. Assuming that $m/z$ 39 was primarily contributed by organics during NFW periods, the
excess m/z 39 signal, i.e., K+, can then be estimated as m/z 39 – m/z 43 × 0.45. The
41K+ at m/z 41 was calculated using an isotopic ratio of 0.0722, i.e., 41K+ =0.0722 ×
K+. The K+ signal was converted to mass concentration with a RIE of 2.9 that was
reported by Drewnick et al. (2006). It should be noted that the quantification of K+ in
this study might have a large uncertainty because of the unknown RIE of K+ (RIEK).
The RIEK can vary a lot depending on the tuning of the spectrometer and the
temperature of the vaporizer. For example, Slowik et al. (2010) reported a RIEK = 10
based on the calibration of pure KNO3 particles using a ToF-AMS, which is much
higher than the RIEK = 2.9 obtained from the comparisons of K/S from fireworks and
AMS measurements (Drewnick et al., 2006). In addition, the stability of surface
ionization (SI) and electron impact (EI) also affects RIEK. We then checked the
variations of the ratio of m/z 39/m/z 23 (two m/z’s with similar surface ionization
issues). The average ratio of m/z 39/23 during LFD and LF is 8.7 and 11.1,
respectively, which is close to 9.0 during the NFW periods. The results suggest that
the SI/EI ratio was relatively stable throughout the study. Because we didn’t have
collocated K measurement, RIEK = 2.9 that was estimated from fireworks was used in
this study. The quantified K+ during LFD and LF on average contributed 4.5% and
4.7% of PM1, respectively, which is close to ~5% (PM2.5) reported by Cheng et al.
(2014). Also, the large contribution of K+ to PM1 (20.5%) during LNY, likely due to
the intensified firework emissions (mainly firecrackers), is consistent with that (17.3%)
observed during LNY 2014 in megacity Tianjin (Tian et al., 2014). Using RIEK=10
will decrease the K+ concentration by a factor of more than 3, which appears to
underestimate K+ a lot. Therefore, RIEK = 2.9 for the quantification of K+ in our study
appear to be reasonable. The KCl+ (m/z 74) and 41KCl+/K37Cl+ (m/z 76) were
estimated by the differences between the measured and PMF modeled m/z 74 (Fig.
S2). Not surprisingly, the quantified KCl$^+$ highly correlates with K$^+$ ($r^2 = 0.82$, Fig. S2c). The chloride concentration was also biased at $m/z$ 35 during some periods (e.g., LNY, Fig. S3), which is likely due to the interferences of NaCl from fireworks. Therefore, Cl$^+$ ($m/z$ 35) was recalculated based on its correlation with $m/z$ 36 (mainly HCl$^+$ with negligible C$_3$ and $^{36}$Ar), i.e., $m/z$ 35 = 0.15 × $m/z$ 36. The $^{37}$Cl$^+$ was calculated using an isotopic ratio of 0.323, i.e., $^{37}$Cl$^+ = 0.323 \times ^{35}$Cl$^+$. The comparison of the reconstructed chloride from the default values is shown in Fig. S3b.

The positive matrix factorization (PMF) with the algorithm of PMF2.exe in robust mode (Paatero and Tapper, 1994) was performed on organic aerosol (OA) mass spectra ($m/z$ 12 – 120) to resolve distinct OA components from different sources. The PMF results were evaluated with an Igor Pro-based PMF Evaluation Tool (PET, v 2.04) (Ulbrich et al., 2009) following the procedures detailed in Zhang et al. (2011). After a careful evaluation of the spectral profiles, diurnal variations and correlations with external tracers, a 6-factor solution ($Q/Q_{exp} = 4.3$) was chosen, yielding a hydrocarbon-like OA (HOA), a cooking OA (COA), a coal combustion OA (CCOA), and three oxygenated OA (OOA) components. Because of the absence of collocated measurements to validate the different OOA components, the three OOA components were recombined into one OOA component. The contributions of four OA factors were relatively stable across different $f_{peak}$ values (average ± 1σ; min – max, Fig. S4): HOA (14±1.6%; 12 – 16%), COA (14±2.8%; 11 – 17%), CCOA (19±2.7%; 15 – 22%), and OOA (51±1.7; 49 – 55%). However, considering the mass spectra of OA factors at $f_{peak} = -1$ presented the best correlation with those identified in winter 2011-2012 ($r^2 = 0.86 – 0.99$, Fig. S5) (Sun et al., 2013b), the four OA factors with $f_{peak} = -1$ was chosen in this study. The HOA spectrum resembles to that identified...
by PMF analysis of high resolution OA mass spectra in Beijing in January 2013 (Zhang et al., 2014) which are both characterized pronounced \( m/z \) 91 and 115. Although the CCOA spectrum doesn’t present similar pronounced \( m/z \)’s (e.g., 77, 91, 105, and 115) as that resolved at a rural site in Central Eastern China (Hu et al., 2013), it shows more similarity to that resolved in Beijing (Zhang et al., 2014). Also, CCOA correlates better with chloride with an importance source from coal combustion (Zhang et al., 2012) than HOA (\( r^2 = 0.41 \) vs. 0.24), and also correlates well with \( m/z \) 60 (\( r^2 = 0.77 \), Fig. S6) a tracer \( m/z \) for biomass burning (Cubison et al., 2011). Note that better correlations between HOA+CCOA and BC (\( r^2 = 0.88 \)), NO\(_x\) (\( r^2 = 0.77 \)), and CO (\( r^2 = 0.63 \)) than HOA (\( r^2 = 0.36 \) – 0.47) were observed in this study, which might suggest that coal combustion emissions are also important sources of CO, BC and NO\(_x\) during wintertime (Tian et al., 2008; Zhi et al., 2008). Although COA didn’t have external tracers to validate, it is very distinct as suggested by its unique diurnal patterns (two peaks corresponding to meal time) and high \( m/z \) 55/57 ratio. Similar to our previous study (Sun et al., 2013b), the OOA shows a tight correlation with NO\(_3\) (\( r^2 = 0.90 \)) and also a good correlation with SO\(_4^{2-}\)+NO\(_3^-\) (\( r^2 = 0.87 \)). The mass spectral profiles and time series of four OA factors are shown in Fig. S6.

No biomass burning OA (BBOA) was resolved in this study. One of the reasons is that BBOA was likely not an important component of OA (e.g., < 5%), which is unlikely to be resolved accurately by PMF (Ulbrich et al., 2009). Indeed, we didn’t observe strong biomass burning influences throughout the study by checking the scatter plot of \( f_{60} \) vs. \( f_{44} \) (Fig. S7). We found that \( f_{60} \) vs. \( f_{44} \) in Fig. S7 is outside of the typical biomass burning region (Cubison et al., 2011) for most of the time during this study. Although the average \( f_{60} \) (0.42%) is slightly higher than the typical value of \( f_{60} \)
(~0.3%) in the absence of biomass burning impact (DeCarlo et al., 2008; Ulbrich et al., 2009), it is also likely due to the short range of \( m/z \) (12 – 120) used for the calculation of \( f_{60} \). A summary of other key diagnostic plots of the PMF solution are given in Fig. S8 and Fig. S9.

3  Results and discussion

3.1 Identification and quantification of fireworks events

Burning of fireworks has been found to emit a large amount of \( K^+ \), which can be used to identify the FW events (Drewnick et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2007). As shown in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, three FW events with significantly elevated \( K^+ \) were observed on the days of Lunar New Year (LNY, 9-10 February), Lunar Fifth Day (LFD, 14 February), and Lantern Festival (LF, 24 February), respectively. All three FW events started approximately at 18:00 and ended at midnight except LNY with a continuous FW impact until 4:00 on the second day (Fig. 2). Fig. 1 shows that the relative humidity was generally below 30% during LNY and LFD. While the wind speed at the ground surface remained consistently below 2 m s\(^{-1}\), it was increased to ~ 4 m s\(^{-1}\) at the height of 100 m. Also note that there was a wind direction change in the middle of the two events. The meteorological conditions during LF were stagnant with wind speed generally below 2 m s\(^{-1}\) across different heights. The relative humidity was ~50% and the temperature averaged 3.5\(^{\circ}\)C.

To estimate the contributions of fireworks, we first assume that the background concentration of each species has a linear variation during FW period. A linear fit was then performed on the 6 h data before and after FW events. The difference between the measured and the fitted value is assumed as the contribution from FW. The typical examples for estimating FW contributions are shown in Fig. S10. It should be noted
that this approach might significantly overestimate the FW contributions of primary species (e.g., HOA, COA, CCOA, and BC) that were largely enhanced during the typical FW periods (18:00 – 24:00) due to the increased local emissions (see Fig. S11 for diurnal variations of aerosol species). However, it should have a minor impact on secondary species (e.g., SO₄, NO₃, and OOA) because of their relatively stable variations between 18:00-24:00. As shown in Fig.2, all aerosol species showed substantial increases from 15:00 to 21:00 on the day of LNY which coincidently corresponded to a gradual change of wind direction. Therefore, regional transport might have played dominant roles for the evolution of chemical species during this period. For these reasons, only the FW contributions between 23:30, 9 February and 3:30, 10 February when the meteorological conditions were stable were estimated. The FW contributions during LFD might also be overestimated due to the influences of regional transport as suggested by the wind direction change in the middle.

**3.2 Mass concentration and chemical composition of FW aerosols**

Figure 1 shows the time series of mass concentrations of PM₁, PM₂.₅, and submicron aerosol species from 1 February to 1 March 2013. Because ACSM cannot measure the metals (e.g., Sr, Ba, Mg, etc.) that were significantly enhanced during FW periods (Wang et al., 2007; Vecchi et al., 2008), the PM₁ in this study refer to NR-PM₁ (= Org + SO₄ + NO₃ + NH₄ + Chl+ K + KCl) + BC. The PM₂.₅ showed three prominent FW peaks with the maximum concentration occurring at ~00:30 during LNY and ~21:30 during LFD and LF, respectively. The peak concentration of PM₂.₅ during LNY (775 µg m⁻³) is more than 10 times higher than the China National...
Ambient Air Quality Standard (75 µg m$^{-3}$, 24 h average). The average FW-PM$_{2.5}$ mass concentrations during three FW events all exceeded 100 µg m$^{-3}$. These results suggest that fireworks have large impacts on fine particle pollution, yet generally less than half day (approximately 10 h for LNY, and 6 h for LFD and LF). The PM$_1$ also showed increases during the FW periods, yet not as significant as PM$_{2.5}$. In fact the correlation of PM$_1$ versus PM$_{2.5}$ shows much lower PM$_1$/PM$_{2.5}$ (0.08 – 0.19) ratios during three FW events than that observed during NFW periods (0.90) (Fig. 3). One of the reasons is likely due to the mineral dust component and metals from fireworks that ACSM did not measure. However, the ACSM un-detected metals (e.g., Mg, Sr, and Ba) that are largely enhanced during FW periods generally contribute a small fraction of PM (<2%) (Wang et al., 2007; Vecchi et al., 2008; Kong et al., 2014). Therefore, our results might suggest that a large fraction of aerosol particles from the burning of fireworks was emitted in the size range of 1 – 2.5 µm. Consistently, Vecchi et al. (2008) found the best correlation between the fireworks tracer, Sr, and the particles between 700-800 nm (mobility diameter, $D_m$) which is approximately equivalent to 1.9 – 2.2 µm in $D_{va}$ (vacuum aerodynamic diameter, $D_{va}$) with a density of 2.7 g cm$^{-3}$ (Zhang et al., 2010).

Figure 4 shows the average chemical composition of PM$_1$ and OA from fireworks and also the background composition during LNY, LFD and LF. The background PM$_1$ during LNY and LFD showed typical characteristics of clean periods with high fraction of organics (> ~50%) (Sun et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2013b), whereas that during LF was dominated by SIA (52%). As a comparison, organics constituted the major fraction of FW-PM$_1$, contributing 44 – 55% on average. During LNY, FW exerted large impacts on potassium and chloride whose contributions were
elevated to 21% and 15% of PM$_1$, respectively, from less than 7% (Chl) in the background aerosols. The large increases of potassium and chloride were also observed during LFD and LF, and previous studies in Beijing (Wang et al., 2007; Cheng et al., 2014). As shown in Fig. 4, FW also emitted a considerable amount of sulfate, accounting for 7% - 14% of PM$_1$. Sulfate correlated strongly with SO$_2$ during all three FW events ($r^2 = 0.49 -0.92$). Given that the relative humidity was low, < 30% during LNY and LFD, and ~ 50% during LF, aqueous-phase oxidation of SO$_2$ depending on liquid water content could not play significant roles for the sulfate formation (Sun et al., 2013a). Therefore, sulfate in FW-PM$_1$ was mainly from the direction emissions of FW. Compared to sulfate, FW appeared to show minor impacts on nitrate, for example, 4% and 2% during LNY and LF, respectively. Although nitrate contributed 12% of FW-PM$_1$ during LFD, most of it was likely from regional transport as supported by synchronous increases of all aerosol species associated with a wind direction change in the middle (Fig. 2).

The OOA contributed dominantly to OA during LNY, which is 79% on average (Fig. 4a). As shown in Fig. 5, the mass spectrum of FW-organics is highly similar to that of low-volatility OOA (LV-OOA, $r^2 = 0.94$; $r^2 = 0.89$ by excluding $m/z$ 18 and $m/z$ 44) (Ng et al., 2011a) indicating that the FW-organics is likely emitted in secondary. Consistently, Drewnick et al. (2006) also found large enhancements of the OOA-related $m/z$’s (e.g., $m/z$ 44) during New Year’s fireworks, but the HOA-related $m/z$’s (e.g., $m/z$ 57) are not significant contributors to FW organics. OOA accounted for a much smaller fraction of OA during LF (28%) due to the large contributions of POA components (72%). Although the OOA contributions varied during three FW events, their absolute concentrations were relatively close ranging from 5.8 to 7.9 µg
It should be noted that our approach might overestimate the POA components in FW-OA because of the influences of NFW sources, in particular during the FW period of LF when the local HOA, COA, and CCOA happened to have large increases. By excluding the POA components in FW-OA, FW on average contributed 15 – 19 µg m^{-3} PM_{1} during three FW events.

### 3.3 Secondary aerosol and PM pollution

The PM_{1} (NR-PM_{1} + BC) varied largely across the entire study with daily average mass concentration ranging from 9.1 to 169 µg m^{-3}. The average PM_{1} mass concentration was 80 (±68) µg m^{-3}, which is approximately 20% higher than that observed during winter 2011-2012 (Sun et al., 2013b). Organics composed the major fraction of PM_{1} accounting for 43%, followed by nitrate (22%), sulfate (14%), ammonium (13%), BC (5%) and chloride (3%). The OA composition was dominated by OOA (53%) with the rest being POA. Compared to winter 2011-2012 (Sun et al., 2013b), this study showed significantly enhanced OOA (53% vs. 31%) and secondary nitrate (22% vs. 16%), indicating that secondary formation have played important roles in the formation of pollution episodes.

Figure 1d shows that submicron aerosol species alternated routinely between pollution events (PEs) and clean periods (CPs) throughout the entire study. The PEs generally lasted ~1 – 2 days except the one on 23 – 28 February that lasted more than 5 days, whereas the CPs were shorter, generally less than 1 day. In total, 9 PEs and 9 CPs were identified in this study (Fig. 1). A statistics of the mass concentrations and mass fractions of aerosol species during 9 PEs is presented in Fig. 6. The average PM_{1} mass concentration ranged 68 – 179 µg m^{-3} during PEs with the total secondary particulate matter (SPM = OOA + SO_{4} + NO_{3} +NH_{4}) accounting for 63 – 82%. The
The average mass concentration of SPM for the 9 PEs was 86 (±32) µg m\(^{-3}\), which is nearly 3 times that of primary PM (PPM = HOA + COA + CCOA + BC + Chl) (30 ±9.5 µg m\(^{-3}\)). SPM consistently dominated PM\(_1\) across different PM levels (69 – 75%), but generally with higher contributions (up to 81%) during daytime (Fig. 7b). The diurnal cycle of SPM presented a gradual increase from 50 to 70 µg m\(^{-3}\) between 10:00 – 20:00, indicating evident photochemical production of secondary species during daytime. It should be also noted that all secondary species showed ubiquitously higher mass concentrations than those of primary species (Fig. 6a).

The SOA generally contributed more than 50% to OA with an average of 55% during PEs except the episode on 3 February (35%). It’s interesting to note that the contribution of POA increased as a function of organic loadings which varied from ~35% to 63% when organics was above reached 80 µg m\(^{-3}\) (Fig. 7c). Such behavior is mainly caused by the enhanced CCOA at high organic mass loadings, which was also observed during winter 2011 – 2012 (Sun et al., 2013b). These results suggest that POA played more important roles than SOA in PM pollution during periods with high organic mass loadings (e.g., > 60 µg m\(^{-3}\)). In fact, POA showed even higher mass concentration than OOA at nighttime (0:00 – 8:00) due to the intensified local emissions, e.g., coal combustion for heating. Despite this, the role of POA in PM pollution was compensated by the elevated secondary inorganic species as a function of PM loadings (Fig. 7a) leading to the consistently dominant SPM across different pollution levels. Figure 8a shows an evidently lower contribution of organics to PM\(_1\) during PEs than CPs. The elevated secondary inorganic species during PEs were closely related to the increase of RH (Fig. 1). For example, during the pollution episode on 3 February, the sulfate concentration increased rapidly and became the
major inorganic species when RH was increased from ~60% to > 90%. The gaseous
SO₂ showed a corresponding decrease indicating aqueous-phase processing of SO₂ to
form sulfate, consistent with our previous conclusion that aqueous-phase processing
could contribute more than 50% of sulfate production during winter 2011-2012 (Sun
et al., 2013a).

The compositional differences between PEs and CPs also led to different mass
extinction efficiency (MEE, 630 nm) of PM₁ (Fig. 8b). The higher MEE (6.4 m² g⁻¹)
during PEs than CPs (4.4 m² g⁻¹) is primarily due to the enhanced secondary species,
and also likely the increases of aerosol particle sizes although we don’t have size data
to support it. Similar increases of mass scattering efficiency from clean periods to
relatively polluted conditions were also observed previously in Beijing and Shanghai
(Jung et al., 2009; Huang et al., 2013). It should be noted that the MEE of PM₁ in this
study refers to PM₂.₅ \( \frac{b_{ext}}{PM₁} \). Considering that PM₁ on average contributes ~60-70%
of PM₂.₅ in Beijing (Sun et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2013b), the real MEE of PM₁ during
PEs and CPs would be ~3.8 - 4.5 and ~2.6 - 3.1 m² g⁻¹, respectively.

3.4 Holiday Effects on PM Pollution

Figure 9 shows a comparison of aerosol species, gaseous species, and
meteorological parameters between holiday (HD) and non-holiday (NHD) periods.
The official holiday for the Spring Festival was 9 – 15 February. However, we noted a
large decrease of cooking aerosols from 7 February until 19 February (Fig. S6b),
whose emissions were expected to be stable under similar meteorological conditions.
The decrease of COA was likely due to the reduction of the number of population in
Beijing, which agreed with the fact that most migrants from outside Beijing were
leaving for hometown before the official holiday. Therefore, 7 – 19 February was
used as a longer holiday for a comparison. It was estimated that approximately half of
population (9 million) left Beijing before the Spring Festival
(http://news.xinhuanet.com/yzyd/local/20130208/c_114658765.htm). Such a great
reduction in human activities would exert a large impact on aerosol composition and
sources in the city during holidays. To better investigate the HD effects on PM
pollution, the data shown in Fig. 9 excluded the CPs marked in Fig. 1. The data with
the CPs included are presented in Fig. S12.

The differences between HD and NHD for primary species varied largely among
different species. COA showed the largest reduction (69%) among aerosol species
with the average concentration decreasing from 5.8 µg m⁻³ during NHD to 1.8 µg m⁻³
during HD. The contribution of COA to OA showed a corresponding decrease from
12% to 4%. Given the similar meteorological conditions between HD and NHD, e.g.,
RH (46% vs. 52%) and wind speed (1.3 m s⁻¹ vs. 1.2 m s⁻¹), the reduction of COA
clearly indicated a large decrease of population and the number of restaurants opened
during HD. The CCOA showed approximately 30% reduction during HD, and its
collection of OA decreased from 23% to 18%. Not surprisingly, chloride showed a
similar reduction as CCOA because it was primarily from coal combustion emissions
during wintertime (Sun et al., 2013b). Figure 9 also shows a significant reduction
(54%) for NO indicating much less traffic emissions in the city during HD. The HOA,
however, even showed a slight increase during HD, which appeared to contradict with
the reduction of two combustion-related tracers, BC and CO (~20%). This can be
explained by the fact that coal combustion is a large source of BC and CO during
heating season (Tian et al., 2008;Zhi et al., 2008). Consistently, BC and CO showed
relatively similar reductions to CCOA. Therefore, the minor variation of HOA might
indicate that the number of heavy-duty vehicles and diesel trucks that dominated HOA emissions (Massoli et al., 2012; Hayes et al., 2013) remained little change during HD period although that of gasoline vehicles was largely decreased. It should be noted that HOA showed a large peak on 9 February – the first day of the official holiday (Fig. S6b) when more traffic emissions were expected due to many people leaving for hometown. After that, HOA showed slightly lower concentration during 11 – 17 February than other periods. In fact, the average HOA showed a slight reduction (~5%) during the long holiday period (7 – 19 February) suggesting a small holiday effect on HOA reduction. Together, the total primary aerosol species (PPM) showed an average reduction of 22% because of holiday effects.

Nitrate showed the largest reduction among secondary species by 22% during HD, primarily due to a reduction of its precursors NO and NO₂. The results suggest that reducing traffic emissions would help mitigate the nitrate pollution in the city. Compared to nitrate, sulfate showed minor changes (2%) between HD and NHD, and OOA even showed a slight increase (6%) during HD. One of the reasons is that secondary sulfate and OOA were mainly formed over regional scale and less affected by local production, consistent with their relatively flat diurnal cycles (Fig. S11). Ammonium showed a reduction between nitrate and sulfate because ammonium mainly existed in the form of (NH₄)₂SO₄ and NH₄NO₃. Overall, secondary species showed generally lower reductions than primary species with the total secondary species (SPM) showing an average reduction of 9% during HD. The joint reductions of PPM and SPM led to an average reduction of 13% for PM₁ during HD. However, these reductions did not help alleviate the fine particle pollution during HD. The PM₂.₅ excluding FW impacts even showed 27% increase from 96 µg m⁻³ during NHD
to 122 µg m$^{-3}$ during HD. One possible reason is likely due to the increases of aerosol
species in the size range of 1 – 2.5 µm during HD period. The longer holiday (LHD, 7
– 19 February) showed similar influences on both primary and secondary species as
the official holiday (9 – 15 February). COA, CCOA, and NO are the three species
with the largest reductions during LHD (> 50%). However, HOA, SO$_4$, OOA, and
PM$_{2.5}$ showed rather small changes (< ±7%). Therefore, results in this study suggest
that controlling the primary source emissions, e.g., cooking and traffic emissions in
the city can reduce the primary particles largely, yet has limited effects on secondary
species and the total fine particle mass. One of the reasons is because the severe PM
pollution in Beijing is predominantly contributed by secondary species (see
discussions in section 3.3) that are formed over regional scales. Reducing the primary
source emissions in local areas would have limited impacts on mitigation of air
pollution in the city. Similarly, Guo et al. (2013) reported a large reduction of primary
organic carbon (OC) from traffic emissions and coal combustion during the 2008
Olympic Summer Games when traffic restrictions and temporary closure of factories
were implemented. However, secondary OC was not statistically different between
controlled and non-controlled periods. Our results highlight the importance of
implementing joint efforts over regional scales for air pollution control in north
China.

4 Conclusions

We have characterized the aerosol particle composition and sources during the
Chinese Spring Festival in 2013. The average PM$_1$ mass concentration was 80 (±68)
µg m$^{-3}$ for the entire study with organics being the major fraction (43%). Nine
pollution events and nine clean periods with substantial compositional differences
were observed. The secondary particulate matter (= SOA + sulfate + nitrate + ammonium) played a dominant role for the PM pollution during nine PEs. The contributions of SPM to PM$_1$ varied from 63% to 82% with SOA on average accounting for ~55% of OA. As a result, the average mass extinction efficiency of PM$_1$ during PEs (6.4 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$) was higher than that during CPs (4.4 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$). Three FW events, i.e., LNY, LFD, and LF, were identified, which showed significant and short-term impacts on fine particles, and non-refractory potassium, chloride, and sulfate in PM$_1$. The FW also exerted a large impact on organics that presented mainly in secondary as indicated by its similar mass spectrum to that of oxygenated OA. The holiday effects on aerosol composition and sources were also investigated by comparing the differences between holiday and non-holiday periods. The changes of anthropogenic source emissions during the holiday showed large impacts on reduction of cooking OA (69%), nitrogen monoxide (54%), and coal combustion OA (28%) in the city, yet presented much smaller influences on secondary species. The average SOA and the total PM$_{2.5}$ even increased slightly during the holiday period. Results here have significant implications that controlling the local primary source emissions, e.g., cooking and traffic activities, might have limited effects on improving air quality during polluted days when SPM from regional transport dominated aerosol composition for most of time. Our results also highlight the importance of implementing joint measures over regional scales for mitigation of air pollution in megacity Beijing.
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**Figure Captions:**

**Fig. 1.** Time series of meteorological parameters (a) relative humidity (RH) and temperature (T); (b) wind direction (WD) and wind speed (WS) at the height of 8m and 100 m; mass concentrations of (c) PM$_{2.5}$ and NR-PM$_{1}$ + BC and (d) submicron aerosol species. The extinction coefficient ($b_{ext}$) at 630 nm is shown in (c). Three events, i.e., Lunar New Year (LNY), Lunar Fifth Day (LFD) and Lantern Festival (LF) with significant influences of fireworks are marked in (c). In addition, the classified clean periods (CPs) and polluted events (PEs) are marked as shaded light blue and pink areas, respectively.

**Fig. 2.** Time series of PM$_{1}$ species (Org, SO$_4$, NO$_3$, NH$_4$, Chl, K, KCl, and BC) and meteorological variables (wind direction (100 m) and wind speed (8 m)) during three firework events, i.e., (a) Lunar New Year, (b) Lunar Fifth Day, and (c) Lantern Festival. The two blue arrow lines represent the starting and ending times of fireworks events.

**Fig. 3.** Correlation of PM$_{1}$ vs. PM$_{2.5}$ with the data segregated into three fireworks events (LNY, LFD, and LF) and non-fireworks periods (NFW). The blank circles represent FW data between 18:00 – 23:30 on 9 February which had large influences from NFW sources.

**Fig. 4.** Average chemical composition of PM$_{1}$ and OA from fireworks and background during three FW events.

**Fig. 5.** (a) Average mass spectra (MS) of OA during the firework period of Lunar New Year (23:30, 9 February – 3:30, 10 February) and the period of background (BG, 4:30 – 11:00, 10 February). (b) Comparison of the difference spectrum from (a), i.e., MS$_{FW+BG}$ – MS$_{BG}$, with the average LV-OOA spectrum in Ng et al. (2011a). Note that five m/z’s, 37 ($^{37}$Cl$^+$), 58 (NaCl$^+$), 60 (Na$^{37}$Cl$^+$), 74 (KCl$^+$), and 76 (K$^{37}$Cl$^+$/ $^{41}$KCl$^+$) marked in the figure were dominantly from fragmentation of inorganic salts during fireworks.

**Fig. 6.** Box plots of (a) mass concentrations and (b) mass fractions of aerosol species for 9 pollution events marked in Fig. 1. The mean (cross), median (horizontal line), 25th and 75th percentiles (lower and upper box), and 10th and 90th percentiles (lower and upper whiskers) are shown for each box.

**Fig. 7.** Left panel: variations of chemical composition of (a) organics, SNA (=sulfate + nitrate + ammonium), and others (the rest species in PM$_{1}$); (b) SPM and PPM; and (c) SOA and POA as a function of PM$_{1}$ and organics loadings, respectively. The
middle and right panels show the diurnal profiles of composition and mass concentrations, respectively.

**Fig. 8.** (a) Average mass fraction of organics ($f_{\text{org}}$) as a function of PM$_1$ mass, and (b) correlations of extinction coefficients (PM$_{2.5}$) vs. PM$_1$ for 9 pollution events (PEs) and 9 clean periods (CPs) marked in Fig. 1. The error bar represents one standard deviations of the average for each event.

**Fig. 9.** The average ratios of aerosol species, gaseous species, PM mass concentrations, extinction coefficient, and meteorological parameters between holiday (HD) and non-holiday (NHD) periods. Two different holidays, i.e., the official holiday of 9 – 15 February and the longer holiday of 7 – 20 February were used for averages. Also note that the averages were made by excluding clean periods and firework events during both HD and NHD days. The error bars are the standard errors of the ratios.
Fig. 1. Time series of meteorological parameters (a) relative humidity (RH) and temperature (T); (b) wind direction (WD) and wind speed (WS) at the height of 8m and 100 m; mass concentrations of (c) PM$_{2.5}$ and NR-PM$_{1} + $ BC and (d) submicron aerosol species. The extinction coefficient ($b_{\text{ext}}$) at 630 nm is shown in (c). Three events, i.e., Lunar New Year (LNY), Lunar Fifth Day (LFD) and Lantern Festival (LF) with significant influences of fireworks are marked in (c). In addition, the classified clean periods (CPs) and polluted events (PEs) are marked as shaded light blue and pink areas, respectively.
Fig. 2. Time series of PM$_1$ species (Org, SO$_4$, NO$_3$, NH$_4$, Chl, K, KCl, and BC) and meteorological variables (wind direction (100 m) and wind speed (8 m)) during three firework events, i.e., (a) Lunar New Year, (b) Lunar Fifth Day, and (c) Lantern Festival. The two blue arrow lines represent the starting and ending times of fireworks events.

Fig. 3. Correlation of PM$_1$ vs. PM$_{2.5}$ with the data segregated into three fireworks events (LNY, LFD, and LF) and non-fireworks periods (NFW). The blank circles represent FW data between 18:00 – 23:30 on 9 February which had large influences from NFW sources.
Fig. 4. Average chemical composition of PM$_1$ and OA from fireworks and background during three FW events.
Fig. 5. (a) Average mass spectra (MS) of OA during the firework period of Lunar New Year (23:30, 9 February – 3:30, 10 February) and the period of background (BG, 4:30 – 11:00, 10 February). (b) Comparison of the difference spectrum from (a), i.e., $\text{MS}_{\text{FW+BG}} - \text{MS}_{\text{BG}}$, with the average LV-OOA spectrum in Ng et al. (2011a). Note that five $m/z$’s, 37 ($^{37}\text{Cl}^-$), 58 (NaCl$^-$), 60 (Na$^{37}\text{Cl}^-$), 74 (KCl$^-$), and 76 (K$^{37}\text{Cl}^-$/$^{41}\text{KCl}^-$) marked in the figure were dominantly from fragmentation of inorganic salts during fireworks.
Fig. 6. Box plots of (a) mass concentrations and (b) mass fractions of aerosol species for 9 pollution events marked in Fig. 1. The mean (cross), median (horizontal line), 25th and 75th percentiles (lower and upper box), and 10th and 90th percentiles (lower and upper whiskers) are shown for each box.
Fig. 7. Left panel: variations of chemical composition of (a) organics, SNA (=sulfate + nitrate + ammonium), and others (the rest species in PM$_1$); (b) SPM and PPM; and (c) SOA and POA as a function of PM$_1$ and organics loadings, respectively. The middle and right panels show the diurnal profiles of composition and mass concentrations, respectively.
Fig. 8. (a) Average mass fraction of organics ($f_{\text{Org}}$) as a function of PM$_1$ mass, and (b) correlations of extinction coefficients (PM$_{2.5}$) vs. PM$_1$ for 9 pollution events (PEs) and 9 clean periods (CPs) marked in Fig. 1. The error bar represents one standard deviations of the average for each event.
Fig. 9. The average ratios of aerosol species, gaseous species, PM mass concentrations, extinction coefficient, and meteorological parameters between holiday (HD) and non-holiday (NHD) periods. Two different holidays, i.e., the official holiday of 9 – 15 February and the longer holiday of 7 – 20 February were used for averages. Also note that the averages were made by excluding clean periods and firework events during both HD and NHD days. The error bars are the standard errors of the ratios.