We appreciate two anonymous reviewers’ constructive comments. Here are the line-by-line responses.

Anonymous Referee #5
This manuscript presented a detailed analysis on radical levels at a rural site around Seoul based on an observational dataset and box models. The observational data on HONO and VOCs is interesting, and gave valuable insight into the HONO concentration in Korea. The results gave lend insight into radical recycle. This manuscript should be of interest to ACP readers and would be suitable for publication with minor revisions.

1. Line 170-172. The author used a Thermo 42i TL to observe NO/NOx. I am afraid that NO2 measurements systematically overestimate the true value because of interferences of these compounds especially when sampling photochemically aged air masses. The Mo converter could other oxidized nitrogen compounds such as peroxyacetyl nitrate and nitric acid are also partly converted to NO. The author should discuss the uncertainties on results caused by NO/NO2 observations.

In the method section (2.1) and the result section (3.3), we clearly mentioned about the potential systematic overestimation as the reviewer suggested with proper referencing.

2. The author mentioned that TRF is not directly influenced by Seoul pollution plume by comparing NO2 concentrations at two sites. This is not enough. In some cases, although NO2 is not transported, other chemical compounds like PAN and nitrate are likely transported a longer distance. The author had better examine the wind direction and velocity. If the manuscript can provide TRF is not located in the downwinded site of Seoul but prevailed winds, the statement will be more evident.

It should be also noted that not all of NOX is converted to reservoir species such as peroxyacyl nitrates (PANs) or alkyl nitrates. PANs usually serve as a reservoir species for long-range transport processes involved with free tropospheric transport, as their chemical lifetime gets longer in low temperature environments. As TRF is located only 30 km away from the city center, highlighting PANs as a significant NOX sources is not appeared to be reasonable.

Previous studies found that he Heterogeneous chemistry on HONO and HNO3 is important on NOx and O3 chemistry. In particular, radicals like OH and HO2 are mostly affected by O3 and NOx. Thus, discussion on Heterogeneous chemistry should be discussed.

We added the discussion about recently found HONO sources from heterogeneous chemical processes in the revised manuscript.

Page 396. "is about 40 times" should be "are about 40 times".

We corrected as suggested in the revised manuscript.

Line 389 "the impacts to" should be "the impacts on"
Anonymous Referee #4
The manuscript has been improved mainly by employing up-to-date consensus knowledge of the HOx-isoprene chemistry. However still some revision is necessary:

1. Title: Although isoprene chemistry is heavily studied here, roles of anthropogenic hydrocarbon are almost unstudied. Therefore, "urban-rural interactions govern ... " in the current title is too much. "Impact of isoprene and HONO chemistry on ozone and OVOC formation in a semirural South Korean forest" might be better.

We corrected the title as suggested in the revised manuscript.

2. My previous request to mention observation or assumption of J values is neglected. Please include some explanation.

We included an extensive discussion about the photostationary state in the resubmitted manuscript to discuss the point the referee raised about J. The calculated J is based on the assumption that photostationary state is valid for all time but as we discussed it is not necessarily that the photostationary state is hold even in the remote region as discussed. As the referee suggested, it could be caused by the interferences on observed NO2 due to the Mo-converter. We also included the discussion in the resubmitted manuscript.

3. Now the authors conclude that impact of isoprene chemistry on OH/HO2/RO2 radicals is less important than the impact of the HONO treatment. But still, most description in Introduction is on isoprene chemistry, and HONO is almost unmentioned. More balanced Introduction section is necessary.

We added descriptions on the potential importance of HONO in radical cycle in the introduction.

4. Line 153. Maybe 2012?

It is actually 2013. However, we realized that there is some confusion in notating the observation year. We re-read the whole manuscript and made sure that the year is corrected notated as 2013.

5. How will the possible interference of PANs etc in the NO2 measurement, now mentioned in sections 2.2 and 3.1, affect the discussion on 2P(H2O2)/P(HNO3)? P(HNO3) should be largely affected. Discussion on this point is also critical.

We added discussion on uncertainty that can be caused by the systematically overestimated NO2. If we assume ~ 50% of NO2 overestimation, the ratio will be doubled. Therefore the NOX and VOC limited regime border will set on 0.5. Still, our findings would not be significantly affected.
6. line 402. four different model scenarios
   *We corrected the typo as suggested.*

7. lines 448-449. In Table 3 the authors discussed that HO2 is slightly increased and RO2 is decreased. Why the RO2+HO2 reaction rates increased here?
   *We rephrase the sentence to reflect the model calculated and analysis results.*

8. line 452. Considering relatively high NOx concentrations at TRF, this is surprising …
   *We think so too. That is why we extensively discussed about it in the manuscript. The critical fact is that NO was observed quite low in the afternoon.*

9. lines 499. For more rural condition at the top of Mt. Tai, China, Kanaya et al. (ACP 2009) mentioned that ozone production is basically NOx limited.
   *We included the reference with a proper introduction in the revised manuscript.*

10. line 519. Some more explanation is necessary for the lower P(peroxide)/P(HNO3). Previously in Figure 4, the authors mentioned that P(peroxide) is increased in Scenario 2. Here, the authors mean that P(HNO3) is MORE ENHANCED because of high OH in this scenario, resulting in the LOWER P(peroxide)/P(HNO3)?
   *As the referee commented in the line item 7, there were some miss-statements about the radical distributions from the different model scenarios. In the revised manuscript, both analysis results are consistently described.*

11. line 558. Where did the author discuss on the "factor of 2-3" missing OH reactivity for this campaign?
   *In the revised manuscript, we did not include this quantitative statement, which may cause confusion.*

12. line 560, SOA, not VOCs
   *We corrected the typo in the revised manuscript.*
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Abstract

Rapid urbanization and economic development in East Asia in past decades has led to photochemical air pollution problems such as excess photochemical ozone and aerosol formation. Asian megacities such as Seoul, Tokyo, Shanghai, Gangzhou, and Beijing are surrounded by densely forested areas and recent research has consistently demonstrated the importance of biogenic volatile organic compounds (VOCs) from vegetation in determining oxidation capacity in the suburban Asian megacity regions.

Uncertainties in constraining tropospheric oxidation capacity, dominated by hydroxyl radical, undermine our ability to assess regional photochemical air pollution problems.

We present an observational dataset of CO, NO\(_X\), SO\(_2\), ozone, HONO, and VOCs (anthropogenic and biogenic) from Taehwa Research Forest (TRF) near the Seoul Metropolitan Area (SMA) in early June 2012. The data show that TRF is influenced both by aged pollution and fresh BVOC emissions. With the dataset, we diagnose HO\(_X\) (OH, HO\(_2\), and RO\(_2\)) distributions calculated using the University of Washington Chemical Box Model (UWCM v 2.1) with near-explicit VOC oxidation mechanisms from MCM 3.2 (The Master Chemical Mechanism). Uncertainty from unconstrained HONO sources and radical recycling processes highlighted in recent studies is examined using multiple model simulations with different model constraints. The results suggest that 1) different model simulation scenarios cause systematic differences in HO\(_X\) distributions especially OH levels (up to 2.5 times) and 2) radical destruction (HO\(_2^+\)HO\(_2\) or HO\(_2^+\)RO\(_2\)) could be more efficient than radical recycling (RO\(_2^+\)NO) especially in the afternoon. Implications of the uncertainties in radical chemistry are discussed with respect to ozone-VOC-NO\(_X\) sensitivity and VOC oxidation product formation rates. Overall, the NO\(_X\) limited regime
is assessed except for the morning hours (8 am to 12 pm) but the degree of sensitivity can
significantly vary depending on the model scenarios. The model results also suggest that
RO\textsubscript{2} levels are positively correlated with oxygenated VOCs (OVOCs) production that is
not routinely constrained by observations. These unconstrained OVOCs can cause higher
than expected OH loss rates (missing OH reactivity) and secondary organic aerosol
formation. The series of modeling experiments constrained by observations strongly urge
observational constraint of the radical pool to enable precise understanding of regional
photochemical pollution problems in the East Asian megacity region.
1. Introduction

\( \text{NOx (NO+NO}_2\) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are two important precursors that drive HOx radical cycles (Levy, 1971). In the presence of NOx, VOC oxidation processes recycle OH and produce photochemical oxidation products such as ozone and oxygenated VOCs (OVOCs). This reaction cycle is highly non-linear. For example, excess NO\(_2\) may expedite nitric acid formation (R1), limiting ozone production. In the same context, excess VOCs may expedite peroxy radical production (R2), which limits OH regeneration from peroxy radicals.

\[
\text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3 + \text{M} \quad (\text{R1})
\]

\[
\text{HO}_2 + \text{RO}_2 \rightarrow \text{ROOH} \quad (\text{R2})
\]

The non-linearity in tropospheric photochemistry has been relatively well studied in the urban regions of developed countries and applied in ozone reduction policy. The Los Angeles Metropolitan Area has accomplished significant ozone reduction by implementing aggressive emission reductions of both NOx and VOC especially from mobile sources (Ryerson et al., 2013). The remarkable ozone abatement was possible due to the fact that there is no significant pollution transport from other metropolitan areas and no significant natural emission sources especially volatile organic compounds from vegetation (BVOCs; biogenic volatile organic compounds) compared with anthropogenic VOC mostly from mobile sources (Pollack et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2013). In the late 80s, Trainer et al. (1987) first demonstrated the importance of isoprene (C\(_5\)H\(_8\)) as a peroxy radical source that can contribute significant ozone production in rural areas. The
importance of isoprene in ozone production in urban areas has also been highlighted, e.g. in the Atlanta Metropolitan Area (Chameides et al., 1988).

Isoprene is a hemiterpenoid species and is the globally dominant VOC emission from vegetation (Arneth et al., 2011; Guenther, 2013). Arguably, isoprene is the most frequently studied BVOC from the perspective of atmospheric oxidation processes and their implications for ozone and aerosol formation. However, significant uncertainty hinders assessing the roles of isoprene in regional and global photochemistry in three fronts. First, there is still significant uncertainty in estimating emission rates from each individual plant species on regional scales (Guenther, 2013). Second, limited isoprene inter-comparison results (Barket et al., 2001) suggest that there are large systematic biases among different analytical techniques. Lastly, recent laboratory, theoretical and field observations suggest significant uncertainty in tropospheric isoprene oxidation processes initiated by OH. Until early 2000, it was thought that three first generation isoprene oxidation products (methyl vinyl ketone, methacrolein, and formaldehyde) from OH oxidation were enough to constrain isoprene tropospheric oxidation processes for modeling purposes (e.g. Spaulding et al. (2003) and Dreyfus et al. (2002)). This is an interesting evolution of thoughts considering that Paulson and Seinfeld (1992), one of pioneering works describing isoprene oxidation, clearly claimed that 22% of first generation isoprene oxidation products from the reaction with OH was not identified and likely included multifunctional C5 compounds. Recent advances in analytical techniques (Kim et al., 2013a) have shown that indeed significant C5-hydroxy carbonyl (e.g. isoprene hydroperxyenals, HPALD) and peroxide compounds are produced as first generation isoprene oxidation products (Crounse et al., 2011; Paulot et al., 2009; Wolfe et
The product yields appeared to be a strong function of NO concentrations (Peeters and Muller, 2010). In general, at low to intermediate NO levels (~ 100 pptv or lower), the yields of C5-hydroxy carbonyl compounds become higher. These new findings in the isoprene oxidation process are also closely related with recent findings in unexpectedly high OH concentrations (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009; Lelieveld et al., 2008) and substantial missing OH sinks also known as unexpectedly high OH reactivity in high isoprene environments (Di Carlo et al., 2004; Edwards et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2011; Lou et al., 2010).

These new findings have significant implications for regional air quality especially regarding photochemical ozone and SOA production. Despite the strong anthropogenic pollutant emissions in East Asia (China, Japan and South Korea), recent research has shown that isoprene accounts for a major OH chemical sink in suburban areas near Beijing (Ran et al., 2011), the Pearl River Delta region (Lu et al., 2012), Taipei (Chang et al., 2014) and Seoul (Kim et al., 2013d; Kim et al., 2013b). Consequently, modeling studies also clearly show that isoprene contributes significantly to ozone formation in Asian megacity regions. Kim et al. (2013d) reported that simulated ozone levels with isoprene chemistry are up to 30% higher than ozone simulation without isoprene chemistry using the WRF-Chem model, indicating an urgent need to implement improved isoprene chemistry schemes in these models in order to simulate the unexpected higher levels of OH in isoprene rich environments. This could become an especially serious issue as Hofzumahaus et al. (2009) reported significantly higher (~ 2.6 times at noon) than expected OH levels in the Pearl River Delta region in China. Therefore, the current assessments based on the conventional OH photochemistry could
significantly misdiagnose regional air-quality status and mislead policy implementation
to reduce photochemical air pollution in the East Asian region. Furthermore, as the
importance of BVOC in regional air-quality issues in ozone and SOA formation has been
also highlighted in Europe and North America, the uncertainty in isoprene
photochemistry has significant implications in urban and suburban air quality in general
(Zhang et al., 2008a; Sartelet et al., 2012). It should be also noted that HONO has been
observed in high-levels in the East Asian region even in the daytime (~ hundreds ppt,
Song et al., 2009; Hao et al., 2006; Li et al., 2012). The data analysis has consistently
indicated that well-known HONO sources cannot account the observed level. Therefore,
the implications of HONO as a radical source should also be comprehensively addressed.
We present atmospheric observations of NOx, CO, VOCs, ozone, and HONO in
the Taehwa Research Forest (TRF) in the Seoul Metropolitan Area (SMA), South Korea.
We use observed data from June, 2013 to conduct observationally constrained box model
(University of Washington Chemical Box Model; UWCM) calculations to estimate OH,
HO2 and RO2 concentrations with different sets of observational parameters. We discuss
current uncertainty in OH-isoprene photochemistry with perspectives of constraining
photochemical ozone production and OVOCs precursors of secondary organic aerosols in
addition to the roles of unconstrained HONO sources in radical distributions.

2. Methods

The Taehwa Research Forest (TRF) is located ~ 35 km from the center of Seoul, South Korea. The TRF is located at the southeastern edge of the Seoul metropolitan Area (SMA, population of ~ 23 million). TRF has a sampling tower located in the middle of a
coniferous tree plantation (200 m by 200 m) with the canopy height of 18 m (*Pinus koraiensis*) surrounded by a deciduous forest mostly composed by oak. The TRF instrumentation has previously been described by Kim et al. (2013d) along with the previous trace gas observational results. Therefore, just brief descriptions of analytical techniques are given in this paper.

2.1. CO, NO\textsubscript{X}, SO\textsubscript{2}, ozone, VOCs, and meteorological parameters

Thermo Fisher Scientific Enhanced Trace Level Gas Analyzers are used for CO, NO\textsubscript{X}, SO\textsubscript{2}, and ozone observations as summarized Table 1. A molybdenum (Mo) converter is used to convert NO\textsubscript{2} to NO for the NO\textsubscript{X} analyzer. Although Mo converters are still widely used for NO\textsubscript{2} observations, some of thermally unstable oxygenated reactive nitrogen compounds, especially peroxyacyl nitrates, could be also converted to NO\textsubscript{2} by a Mo-converter (Villena et al., 2012). VOC observations are conducted by a High-Sensitivity Proton Transfer RPANeaction-Mass Spectrometer (PTR-MS, Ionicon GmbH). The atmospheric application of this technique is thoroughly reviewed by de Gouw and Warneke (2007). In addition, the instrument suite at TRF is thoroughly described in (Kim et al., 2013d). PTR-MS can quantify atmospheric VOCs that have higher proton affinity than the proton affinity of H\textsubscript{2}O (691 kJ mol\textsuperscript{-1}). Most alkanes have lower proton affinity than water but alkene, aromatic and some OVOCs have higher proton affinity and are suitable for quantification using PTR-MS (Blake et al., 2009). These compounds are more reactive than alkane compounds so PTR-MS has capability to observe reactive atmospheric compounds. The TRF PTR-MS system was set to measure acetaldehyde, acetone, acetic acid, isoprene, methylvinylketone (MVK) + methacrolein...
(MACR), MEK, benzene, xylene (p, m, and o), and monoterpenes (MT). Each compound was set to be monitored for 1 second each resulting in a sample cycle of 15 seconds.

Lower detection limits for the observed VOCs are estimated to be 20 ppt for a 5 second integration with sensitivity of 70 counts ppb$^{-1}$ (2 $\sigma$). The uncertainty is estimated as 12 % (2 $\sigma$) for the same integration time. Meteorological parameters such as temperature and humidity are monitored by LSI LASTEM Meteorological Sensors. All the presented data is from the 15 m (the canopy height is 18 m) sampling line and meteorological sensors collocated at this height too.

PTR-MS with a quadrupole mass filter has an intrinsic limitation that isobaric compounds are all collectively quantified with the same channel (m/z) with a resolution of unit mass. This limitation particularly becomes an issue for investigating the roles of different isomers of MT and sesquiterpenes (SQTs) in photochemistry. For this reason, we also occasionally collect sorbent cartridge samples to analyze MT and SQT speciation in both ambient air and branch enclosure emissions near the sampling tower. As described in (Kim et al., 2013d), Tenax GR and Carbotrap 5TD packed sorbent cartridges (Markes Int, Llanstrisant, UK) were used for sampling. The sampled cartridges were shipped to National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), Boulder CO, USA for gas chromatography-mass spectrometer (GC-MS) analysis. An Agilent 7890 GC/5975 C Electron Impact Mass Spectrometer (GC-MS/FID) in conjunction with a MARKES Unity1/Ultra thermal desorption system optimized for terpenoid analysis quantifies speciated MT and SQT in the sorbent samples. Cartridge samples are both collected from ambient and branch enclosure air. Ambient samples were collected in the mid-day to early afternoon with a volume of 6 L. Ozone in the ambient air was removed using a
Na$_2$SO$_3$ filter. Branch enclosure samples were also collected, mostly in the mid-day time frame, with a volume of 1 L without an ozone filter as zero air was introduced to the branch enclosure. To explore the diurnal differences in BVOC emissions, branch enclosure samplings were conducted every two hours for three consecutive days in mid June of 2013. We present these analytical results from GC-MS analysis limited to the qualification purpose to examine MT and SQT speciation.

2.2 HONO quantification

HONO was measured with an ion chromatography (IC) coupled with diffusion scrubber. Air was introduced to diffusion scrubber (Lab solutions Inc., IL, USA) through a 2 m PFA tubing (1/4” i.d.) at 1.5 L m$^{-1}$ using a filtered orifice restrictor (F-950, air logic, WI, USA). Air flowing through diffusion scrubber interfaced with deionized water, into which HONO was extracted. 50 µL of solution was injected into the IC system through a PEEK loop (Rheodyne, WA, USA) and 6-way valve (EV750-100, Rheodyne, WA, USA). Eluent was a mixture of Na$_2$CO$_3$ and NaHCO$_3$, which was pumped by a HPLC pump (DX-100, Dionex, CA, USA) into a guard column (Ionpax® AG 14, 4x50mm, Dionex, CA, USA) and then analytical column (Ionpax® AS 14, 4x250mm, Dionex, CA, USA). The column effluent passed through a suppressor (ASRS 300, Dionex, CA, USA) and HONO was detected as nitrite ion in conductivity detector (550, Alltech, IL, USA). The entire measurement processes of sampling, chemical analysis, and data acquisition were controlled by a digital timer and data acquisition software (DSchrom-n, DS science, Korea), by which we obtained two measurements every hour. The system was calibrated using a NO$_3^-$ standard solution (Kanto chemical Co., Inc.,
Tokyo, Japan) whenever reagents were replaced. The detection limit was 0.15 ppb estimated from 3σ of the lowest working standard. Specific analytical characteristics are described in Simon and Dasgupta (1995) and Takeuchi et al. (2004).

2.3 UWCM box model

UWCM 2.1 is an open source box model coded by MATLAB (MathWorks®). The model platform can be downloaded from a website (http://sites.google.com/site/wolfegm/code-archive). The box model is embedded its own HOx (OH+RO2)-ROx (peroxyradical and alkoxy radical)-NOx coupling chemical mechanism. UWCM utilizes Master Chemical Mechanism version 3.2 (MCM 3.2) (Jenkins et al., 1997; Saunders et al., 2003) for near-explicit VOC photo-oxidation schemes. A more detailed model description can be found in Wolfe and Thornton (2011). To minimize uncertainty from the parameterizations of transport and emission, we constrained relatively long-lived trace gases presented in Figure 1. This box modeling technique has been commonly used for examination of OH levels that can be justified by the short chemical lifetime of OH (Kim et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2013c; Mao et al., 2012; Mao et al., 2010). Recently developed isoprene photo-oxidation mechanisms shown in Archibald et al. (2010b) are also incorporated in the model. In addition, Kim et al. (2013c) and Wolfe et al. (2013) applied the model in the identical fashion as used for this study to probe radical distributions using comprehensive observational datasets. This study used the UWCM to simulate the diurnal variations of radical pool (OH+HO2+RO2) distributions as observational parameters such as CO, NOx, ozone, and VOCs are constrained. To fully account for roles of OVOCs in the box model as radical sources, we
simulated three consecutive days and presented diurnal variations from the third day. The specific parameters (CO, NOx, ozone, HONO and VOCs), constrained by observations are described in section 2.1 and 2.2 and presented in Figure 1.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Observational Results

Diurnal averages of observed trace gases (June 1st to June 6th, 2013) are shown in Figure 1. The TRF observatory is in continuous operation and we choose this six day period because a regional high-pressure system caused a stagnant air pollution event in this period. In the center of Seoul (the real-time data available at http://www.airkorea.or.kr), carbon monoxide was observed in the similar levels during the focused period (June 1st to June 6th, 2013). On the other hand, the NO2 level observed in central Seoul was much higher (20-50 ppb) compared with observed levels at TRF. The reason can be attributed to differences between the chemical lifetime of CO (~ a month) and NO2 (~ a few hours to a day). The observations clearly indicate that the TRF is not directly influenced by fresh SMA pollution plumes although the TRF is very close to the center of Seoul (30 km away from the city center) as a regional modeling study shows most of CO and NOx sources are located in the city center (Ryu et al., 2013).

Similar observations were also reported for other East Asian megacities such as Beijing (Ma et al., 2012), where ~ 30 ppb and ~ 15 ppb of NO2 were observed at noon in the urban and the adjacent rural sites, respectively. In contrast, there were no noticeable differences in CO levels between the urban and rural sites (~ 1-2 ppm). The observed CO, NOx and SO2 levels in TRF were much lower than those observed in the suburban
regions of Chinese megacities such as Beijing (Ma et al., 2012), Shanghai (Tie et al., 2013), and the Pearl River Delta Region (Lu et al., 2012) and similar with the observed levels in Tokyo, Japan (Yoshino et al., 2012).

Previous VOC observations in the SMA consistently have shown that toluene is the dominant anthropogenic VOC followed by other aromatic compounds such as xylene and benzene (Kim et al., 2012; Na and Kim, 2001). Na and Kim (2001) reported high concentrations of propane from household fuel use. However, recent observation results from the photochemical pollution observational network managed by National Institute of Environmental Research (NIER) of South Korea in the SMA clearly indicate that propane levels have declined and are now much lower than the levels previously observed (NIER, 2010). This is probably caused by the implementation of a policy changing household fuel sources from propane to methane. Kim et al. (2012) presented detailed aromatic VOC distributions in the SMA from four different urban observational sites. In average, toluene concentrations were observed ~7 times higher than the observed levels of xylene and benzene. At the TRF, a similar anthropogenic VOC speciation distribution was observed as shown in Figure 1. The observed toluene and MEK (methyl ethyl ketone) mixing-ratios were much higher than benzene and xylene. MEK is detected in m/z of 73\(^+\) by PTR-MS. Although methyl glyoxal, an atmospheric VOC oxidation product, is also detected on the same mass, we assumed that 73\(^+\) of m/z signals are mostly from MEK, an anthropogenic VOC, since the temporal variation follows that of anthropogenic VOC such as toluene and xylene. In addition, atmospheric lifetime of methyl glyoxal is much shorter than MEK.
As the observation facility is located in the middle of a pine tree plantation (*Pinus koraiensis*), monoterpenes (MT) are consistently observed. The temporal variation of monoterpenes is affected by the planetary boundary layer evolution with a pattern of higher MT levels during night than those of mid-day as has been often reported in other forest environments (Bryan et al., 2012; Kim et al., 2010). This can be explained by interplays between boundary layer evolution and temperature dependent MT emission. It should also be noted that the continuous branch enclosure BVOC emission observations indicate that the daily maxima of MT and SQT emissions were observed in the midday (between noon to 2 pm in the local time). The observed MT and SQT speciation information in the midday is summarized in Table 2. Table 2a summarizes branch enclosure sample analysis results and ambient sample analysis results are summarized in Table 2b. In general, observed MT and SQT in the ambient air are consistent with previously observed distributions (Kim et al., 2013d). α-pinene and β-pinene were the dominant monoterpene and longifolene was the only detected SQT species. In contrast, the branch enclosure observation results, reflecting BVOC emission, indicate high emission of very reactive MT and SQT species such as β-myrcene, α-caryophyllene, and β-caryophyllene. The fast oxidation of these highly reactive terpenoid species is expected to limit the atmospheric presence of the compounds. Therefore, photochemical oxidation processes of these compounds may have been neglected. Investigating emissions and photochemistry of these reactive terpenoid compounds can constrain potential missing OH reactivity and SOA production from highly oxidized reaction products.

Isoprene is produced from carbon recently fixed through photosynthesis resulting in higher emissions and atmospheric concentrations during the daytime. The temporal
variation shown in Figure 1 reveals an isoprene concentration maximum between 17:00
and 20:00. In addition, the ratios of MVK+MACR, major isoprene oxidation products and
isoprene at this period, are significantly lower than those of late morning to early
afternoon. The enhanced isoprene levels in the late afternoon or early evening have been
also reported in previous studies (Apel et al., 2002; Bryan et al., 2012). The branch
enclosure observations demonstrate that isoprene is not emitted from the pine plantation
but rather transported from surrounding broadleaf forests as right outside of the pine
plantation (200 m × 200 m) is a forested area dominated by oak trees. Oak comprises 85 %
of broadleaf trees in South Korea (Lim et al., 2011). Lim et al. (2011) quantified isoprene
emission rates for five representative oak species in South Korea and report a wide
emission range from oaks that are negligible isoprene emitters (<0.004 µgC dw^{-1} h^{-1};
standard emission rates) to others with very high isoprene emission rates of 130 µgC dw^{-1}
h^{-1}. It is also noticeable that isoprene is observed in high levels (up to 1 ppb) even during
the night. Observational results from the Pearl River Delta region in China also show
high isoprene concentration episodes of more than 1 ppb during the night (Lu et al.,
2012). As there are some speculations on potential artifacts on isoprene measurements
using PTR-MS in environments with large oil and gas evaporative sources (Yuan et al.,
2014), the assessments of the potential artifacts should be investigated further in the
Asian megacity region.

Contributions from each trace gas species towards ambient OH reactivity are
shown in Figure 2. This is calculated as the product of the observed species concentration
and its rate constant for reaction with OH. Observed OH reactivity from VOCs are much
higher than from other trace gases such as CO, NOx, SO2, and ozone. Among the
observed VOC species, BVOCs such as isoprene, α-pinene and β-pinene accounted for significantly higher OH reactivity in comparison with the observed AVOCs such as toluene, benzene, xylene and MEK. Isoprene accounts the highest OH reactivity especially during the daytime. This analysis is consistent with reports from other suburban observations from East Asian megacities such as Beijing (Ran et al., 2011), the PRD region, China (Lou et al., 2010), and the Kinki region Japan (Bao et al., 2010).

HONO levels up to 1 ppb were observed in the early morning and were consistently higher than 0.5 ppb during the daytime. These observed levels are substantially higher than reported observations from forest environments in North America (Ren et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2011), where NOX (~ 1 ppb) is substantially lower than the level observed at TRF. Ren et al. (2011) reported 30 – 60 ppt of HONO at the Blodgett Forest Research Station in the western foothills of the Sierra Nevada Mountains in the late summer of 2007. Zhou et al. (2011) also reported the similar levels of HONO (below 100 ppt) from the PROPHET forest, a mixed hardwood forest in northern Michigan (Pellston, MI). However, significantly higher HONO levels (~ 200 ppt to ~ 2 ppb) were reported by Li et al. (2012) from a rural observational site in the Pearl River Delta region near Guangzhou, where comparable NO2 levels with TRF were observed. The high HONO levels (a few hundred ppt) especially during the daytime have been consistently reported near Eastern Asian megacities such as Beijing (Li et al., 2012), Shanghai (Hao et al., 2006), and Seoul (Song et al., 2009). Still these are limited datasets and further comprehensive analysis, especially more extensive observation is required.

However, recently proposed HONO production mechanisms may be able to explain the higher levels in the Eastern Asian megacity region. One is HONO production from NO2.
photo-excitation (Wong et al., 2012) as the region usually has high NO$_2$ concentrations. Zhou et al. (2011) claimed that significant HONO could be generated from nitrate photolysis processes on forest canopy surface by presenting observational data from a hardwood forest in Pellston, MI. Finally, HONO emission from soil bacteria is also proposed (Oswald et al., 2013). Oswald et al. (2013) found differences as much as two orders of magnitude in HONO emissions from soil samples from different environments (e.g. pH and nutrient contents). In addition, as most of observations in the East Asia regions were conducted with ion chromatography based methods, more direct HONO quantification techniques such as a chemical ionization mass spectrometry technique (Roberts et al., 2010) need to be used to characterize any potential interferences such a high NO$_X$ environment (e.g. N$_2$O$_5$).

3.2 HO$_X$ Model calculations to examine different isoprene photo-oxidation scenarios and the roles of unconstrained HONO sources.

The presented observational results are used to constrain the UWCM box model. We evaluate uncertainties in the tropospheric oxidation capacity and how it affects our ability to constrain ozone and OVOCs production. The observational results clearly indicate that isoprene is the most dominant OH sink among the observed VOCs. In addition, NO concentrations were higher in the 600 to 800 ppt range in the morning. On the other hand, afternoon levels were substantially lower in the 50 to 100 ppt range. The environment provides a unique opportunity to examine implications of isoprene photochemistry in various NO conditions.
We conducted model simulation under four different scenarios. Each scenario is described in Table 3. The quantitative assessments of the impacts on radical concentrations (OH, HO$_2$, and RO$_2$) from unknown HONO sources are evaluated by examining the outcomes of the model simulations with and without observed HONO. To evaluate the impacts of hydroperoxy-methyl-butenal (HPALD) photolysis and isoprene peroxy radical recycling in the radical pool, each chemical mechanism is selectively constrained by different scenarios. For HPALD chemistry, we adapted two different HPALD formation rate constants published by Peeters and Muller (2010) and Crounse et al. (2011). The formation rates from Peeters and Muller (2010) are about 40 times faster than those from Crounse et al. (2011) in 298 K. Although there have been speculations about other radical recycling mechanisms such as peroxy radical-peroxy radical reactions (Lelieveld et al., 2008) and unknown reducing agents showing similar chemical behaviors as NO (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009), we do not evaluate these possibilities as there are no specific chemical mechanisms.

Modeled OH, HO$_2$, and RO$_2$ from the four different model scenarios are shown in Figure 3. A summary of averaged OH, HO$_2$, and RO$_2$ concentrations in the morning (08:00 – 11:00) and the afternoon (13:00 – 16:00) from each simulation is shown in Table 4. With respect to the base run results (Scenario I), Scenario III with the lower HPALD formation rate does not cause noticeable differences in radical concentrations. Adapting higher HPALD formation rates (Scenario II) cause significant differences in radical distribution especially in RO$_2$. This difference is likely caused by the fact that significant isoprene peroxy radical is converted to HPALD. The higher levels of discrepancy is found in RO$_2$ between Scenario I and Scenario II in the afternoon when
low NO concentrations are observed, which efficiently facilitates HPALD formation.

Similarly, a larger OH discrepancy (~ 20%) between Scenario I and Scenario II is observed in the afternoon.

Striking differences can be found in the model simulation results with or without constraining observed HONO as shown in Figure 3. Model calculation results from Scenario IV indicate significantly smaller OH, HO\textsubscript{2}, and RO\textsubscript{2} concentrations than the concentrations calculated from the counterpart (Scenario I), which contains identical constraints and isoprene photochemistry except constraining observed HONO. Again, this clearly indicates that more thorough evaluations of the impacts of HONO on air quality are needed to precisely constrain photochemical processes in the region along with evaluations of the currently available analytical techniques as argued in section 3.1.

3.3 Implications of the uncertainty in HO\textsubscript{X} estimations in assessing photochemical ozone and OVOC production.

Two competing chemical reactions (R\textsubscript{3} vs. R\textsubscript{4,5,6}) determine radical distribution regimes.

\begin{align*}
\text{RO}_{2} + \text{NO} & \rightarrow \text{RO} + \text{NO}_{2} \quad (R3) \\
\text{RO}_{2} + \text{HO}_{2} & \rightarrow \text{ROOH} + \text{O}_{2} \quad (R4) \\
\text{RO}_{2} + \text{RO}_{2} & \rightarrow \text{ROOR} + \text{O}_{2} \quad (R5) \\
\text{HO}_{2} + \text{HO}_{2} & \rightarrow \text{H}_{2}\text{O}_{2} + \text{O}_{2} \quad (R6)
\end{align*}

When the rate of R\textsubscript{3} gets much faster than the sum of reaction rates of R\textsubscript{4}, R\textsubscript{5}, and R\textsubscript{6} then radical recycling processes become more efficient than radical destruction.
processes. In this radical recycling regime, OH, a universal tropospheric oxidant, is well
buffered to maintain the elevated OH levels. On the other hand, the radical destruction
regime can be defined when the radical recycling rates (R3) are slower than the radical
destruction reaction rates (R4+R5+R6). Although some recent field studies (e.g.
Lelieveld et al. (2008)) suggest that we may need to reconsider R4 as a radical recycling
process rather than a radical destruction process, in this study, we follow the conventional
classification of radical chemistry regimes since recent laboratory characterizations have
shown that OH recycling from the RO$_2$+HO$_2$ reaction should be insignificant (Liu et al.,
2013; Villena et al., 2012; Fuchs et al., 2013). The temporal variations of radical-radical
reaction rates from the model simulation scenarios are shown in Figure 4. In general, the
radical reaction rates are elevated as much as twice once observed HONO is constrained
in the model calculations (e.g. Scenario IV). This is because unaccounted HONO in the
model calculations cause significant underestimations in the radical pool (OH+HO$_2$+RO$_2$)
size with respect to the constrained HONO scenarios as shown in Figure 4. In addition, in
the afternoon when NO concentration becomes lower, the RO$_2$+HO$_2$ reaction rates get
close or slightly higher than those of RO$_2$+NO in the afternoon for the all model
scenarios, constrained by observed HONO. This is surprising, as the radical destruction
regime is usually associated with low NO$_X$ conditions. Suburban regions of megacities
including the TRF in general show high NO$_X$ conditions. However, radical recycling
rates are determined by concentrations of NO. The fraction of NO in the NO$_X$ pool is
determined by competing reactions between NO$_2$ photolysis and oxidation reactions of
NO by ozone, HO$_2$, and RO$_2$ radicals. Once we assume the pseudo-steady state of NO,
then NO in NO$_X$ pool (Leighton, 1961) can be expressed as
\[ [\text{NO}] = J_{\text{NO}_2}[\text{NO}_2]/(k_{\text{NO}-\text{O}_3}[\text{O}_3] + k_{\text{NO}-\text{HO}_2}[\text{HO}_2] + k_{\text{NO}-\text{RO}_2}[\text{RO}_2]) \] (Eq 1)

This mathematical expression clearly shows that NO levels are dependent on NO\textsubscript{X} mostly composed of NO\textsubscript{2}. At the same time, the fraction of NO in NO\textsubscript{X} is anti-correlated with ozone, HO\textsubscript{2}, and RO\textsubscript{2} concentrations. Therefore, the size of the radical pool composed of HO\textsubscript{2} and RO\textsubscript{2} is relevant for determining the fractions of NO in given NO\textsubscript{X} levels. High HO\textsubscript{2} and RO\textsubscript{2} are likely observed in high VOC regions such as forested areas. This could cause a smaller fraction of NO in the given NO\textsubscript{X} pool so radical recycling gets relatively weaker compared with radical destruction reaction pathways. More quantitative approaches are required to categorize radical reaction pathways rather than qualitative categorization such as high or low NO\textsubscript{X} regimes. One should keep in mind that the pseudo-steady state assumption requires precise NO\textsubscript{2} quantification, which may not be the case in our study as the Mo-converter used for the NO\textsubscript{2} quantification could have interferences (Table 1). The overestimation due to thermal dissociations of reactive oxygenated nitrogen species has been reported to be 20 \% to 83 \% (Ge et al., 2013; Steinbacher et al., 2007). In addition, Mannschreck et al. (2004) presented the NO-NO\textsubscript{2}-ozone photostationary state analysis using a four year dataset from a rural observational site in Hohenpeissenberg, Germany. The results indicate that the pseudo steady state assumption considering only NO-NO\textsubscript{2}-ozone deviates about a factor of two from the stationary state on average. Even with the consideration of peroxy radical chemistry the pseudo steady state assumption is only valid for 13-32 \% of the observational period. The authors speculated that local NO\textsubscript{2} sources, local NO or ozone...
sinks, or rapid changes in $J_{\text{NO}_2}$ and ozone can break the pseudo-steady state. Nonetheless, the argument that NO is a more critical parameter in determining radical distributions than NOX levels still holds.

Conventionally, efficient ozone production can be achieved by the balance between nitric acid production rates ($P_{\text{HNO}_3}$, $\text{OH} + \text{NO}_2$) and peroxide production rates ($P_{\text{ROOH}}$, $\text{HO}_2^{+}\text{RO}_2$ or $P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2}$, $\text{HO}_2^{+}\text{HO}_2$) (Sillman and He, 2002). The imbalance will cause ozone production sensitivity towards either NOx or VOCs. A comprehensive photochemical model analysis (Tonnesen and Dennis, 2000a, b) demonstrated that in a wider range of ozone concentrations, the VOC and NOx limited regimes can be determined by the ratios of $P_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2}$ and $P_{\text{HNO}_3}$. Kleinman (2000) and Sillman and He (2002) presented an observation-based ozone production regime evaluation method comparing peroxide production rates ($P(\text{peroxide})$) and nitric acid production rates ($P(\text{HNO}_3)$). This categorization has guided policy-making processes whether NOx or VOC controls will be more effective in ozone reduction. A series of modeling studies have been conducted to characterize ozone production regimes in the suburban regions of East Asian megacities and have consistently concluded that the role of isoprene is important in ozone production. However, most of these studies have concluded that East Asian megacity regions are mostly in the VOC limited regime (Tseng et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2008b; Lim et al., 2011; Cheng et al., 2010; Shao et al., 2009a; Shao et al., 2009b; Xing et al., 2011). Recently, however, a modeling study by Li et al. (2013) in the Pearl River Delta region in China demonstrated the time dependence of ozone production regimes. Specifically, with high NOx emissions in the morning, the regional ozone production regime is categorized as VOC limited. In contrast, in the afternoon when the highest
ozone concentrations are observed, a NOx limited regime is often found. In addition, a box modeling study constrained by observation on top of Mt. Tai in Central East China also reported NOx limited ozone production regime (Kanaya et al., 2009). The obvious issue to be addressed is that all of the above studies neglected how the uncertainty in hydroxyl radical chemistry would affect the ozone production regime. Moreover, HONO has been rarely constrained by observations in the previous modeling studies. Figure 5 shows the temporal variations of 2 \( \frac{P(\text{peroxide})}{P(\text{HNO}_3)} \) from all four different model scenarios. As shown in the figure, the ratio above 1 indicates the NOx limited regime and the VOC limited regime can be determined when the ratio is below 1. The NOx limited ozone formation regime occurred on most days except the morning when high NOx levels were observed regardless of the HOx simulation scenarios. This is consistent with the recent modeling study for the Pearl River Delta region by Li et al. (2013). Differences among the scenarios are not noticeable in the morning when NO is high but noticeable differences can be found in the afternoon which may cause uncertainty in assessing the optimal level of NOx and VOC emission controls from a policy perspective. In general, the model calculation results with faster HPALD formation rates indicate lower \( \frac{2P(\text{peroxide})}{P(\text{HNO}_3)} \) in the afternoon. This analysis indicates that it is difficult to determine an effective policy implementation for NOx or VOC controls to achieve ozone abatement around Asian megacities where isoprene is a significant OH sink without accurate understanding of radical-isoprene interactions (e.g. Kim et al. (2013b)). Again, it should be noted the possibility of systematic NOx overestimations from the Mo-converter use as discussed. The overestimation will directly translate into overestimated \( P(\text{HNO}_3) \).
Therefore, it is likely that the ozone production regime at TRF is shifted towards the NO\textsubscript{X} limited regime in reality.

Another unresolved uncertainty in understanding tropospheric OH is its chemical loss rates. The limited observations of OH reactivity in BVOC dominant environments show consistent unaccounted OH chemical loss with observational datasets (Di Carlo et al., 2004; Edwards et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2011; Lou et al., 2010; Nolscher et al., 2012; Nakashima et al., 2014; Sinha et al., 2010). Two different processes are speculated to cause unaccounted OH loss known as missing OH reactivity: 1) primary emissions of unmeasured or unknown compounds and 2) oxidation products of well-known BVOCs especially isoprene. Most studies conducted in coniferous forests where monoterpenes are dominant primary BVOC emissions have concluded that unmeasured or unknown primary BVOC emissions caused missing OH reactivity (Sinha et al., 2010; Nakashima et al., 2014). On the other hand, studies conducted in isoprene dominant environments in mostly broadleaf or mixed forests have concluded that the main cause of missing OH reactivity is the oxidation products of isoprene (Edwards et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2011).

Edwards et al. (2013) presented a thorough analysis on potential impacts of isoprene oxidation products that are not routinely constrained by observations. The authors found significant contributions from secondary oxidation products such as multi-functional oxygenated compounds.

Figure 6a shows the temporal variations of total OH reactivity calculated from five different model scenarios (I through IV). The highest and the lowest OH reactivity levels were predicted from model calculations of Scenario I and Scenario IV, respectively. This observation is directly correlated with calculated RO\textsubscript{2} levels as the lowest and...
highest RO$_2$ levels were calculated from Scenario I and Scenario IV, respectively. Since VOC precursors and trace gases were all constrained by observations in the model calculations, the differences in model calculated OH reactivity should be mainly caused by the oxidation products of VOCs. This can be confirmed by the comparisons of model calculated formaldehyde concentrations from Scenario I and IV as formaldehyde is a dominant oxidation product of isoprene (Figure 6b). The differences in formaldehyde levels suggest differences in OH reactivity levels from OVOCs in each model simulation. In summary, uncertainty in radical distributions especially RO$_2$ levels is directly propagated into uncertainty in OVOC formation.

These calculated results provide an upper limit of potential contributions from the oxidation products of the constrained VOC precursors considering that the box-model does not consider dry-deposition processes as Karl et al. (2010) and Edwards et al. (2013) suggested that there is significant uncertainty associated with the parameterizations of dry deposition especially OVOCs. Still, this analysis suggests that significant missing OH reactivity can be found without constraining OVOCs. OVOCs, especially multi-functional highly oxidized compounds are precursors for secondary organic aerosols (SOA). Therefore, uncertainty surrounding missing OH reactivity significantly undermines our ability to constrain SOA formation and aerosol growth.

4. Summary and conclusions

We presented trace gas observation results from the TRF near the center of Seoul, South Korea. The dataset provides important constraints to evaluate the HO$_X$ pool at the site where both anthropogenic and biogenic influences become important factors in
determining oxidation capacity. Although the site is in the vicinity of a megacity with 25 million people, isoprene accounted for most of the OH loss from observed atmospheric hydrocarbon species during the 6-day focus period in early June 2013 during a regional pollution episode. In addition, observed NOX levels were substantially lower than observed values in the center of the SMA. These observations indicate that impacts of megacity pollution on suburban BVOC photochemistry can be observed at the TRF.

Four different model scenarios are employed to investigate the radical (OH, HO2, and RO2) distributions using the UWCM box-model. The observed trace gas data were constrained and the photochemical mechanisms (MCM 3.2) of seven VOC species with high levels at the TRF were integrated. The uncertainty in isoprene peroxy radical chemistry results in a wider range of OH, HO2, and RO2 distributions. Unconstrained HONO sources also cause a quite high level of underestimation in a radical pool (OH+HO2+RO2). OH simulation from the different model scenarios indicates much larger discrepancies (up to three times) than simulations for HO2 and RO2 (up to twofold). OH is simulated in higher levels with the consideration of an additional OH recycling channel from fast HPALD formation chemistry Peeters and Muller (2010). On the other hand, the RO2 simulations result in lower levels as HPALD formation depletes the RO2 pool, which mostly composed by isoprene peroxy radicals. These results suggest that direct HO2 and RO2 observations can provide pivotal information about radical recycling and isoprene peroxy radical chemistry (Kim et al., 2013c; Wolfe et al., 2013). More studies on characterizing existing techniques to quantify HO2 (Fuchs et al., 2011) and developing new techniques (Horstjann et al., 2013) are needed. In addition, the simulations with recently developed isoprene photo-oxidation chemistry show that
radical termination processes (e.g. peroxide formation) get more efficient than radical recycling processes in the afternoon. This may come as a surprise as in general we expect the high NO\textsubscript{X} conditions in the suburban regions of a megacity to have effective radical recycling. However, the critical factor determining competing reaction channels of recycling and peroxide formation is NO concentrations. Ratios of NO to NO\textsubscript{2} are not only correlated with NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations and photolysis constants but also anti-correlated with RO\textsubscript{2}, HO\textsubscript{2} and ozone concentrations and relevant kinetic constants as shown in (Eq 1). Therefore, a semi-quantitative term such as the high ‘NO\textsubscript{X}’ regime is not a proper term to define radical recycle regimes especially in high radical environments (e.g. HO\textsubscript{2} and RO\textsubscript{2}) such as forest environments.

These uncertainties in estimating the radical pool size and distribution directly affect our ability for constraining photochemical ozone and OVOC production. The non-linear response of ozone production to NO\textsubscript{X} and VOC abundances are determined by OH, HO\textsubscript{2}, RO\textsubscript{2} and NO\textsubscript{2} concentrations. Regardless of which model calculation scenario we adapt, the TRF photochemical state appears to be a NO\textsubscript{X} limited ozone production regime, except for the morning when the VOC limited regime is observed. A noticeable range of NO\textsubscript{X} sensitivity was calculated from the four different model scenarios, especially in the afternoon. These analysis results, therefore, suggest that an accurate scientific understanding of isoprene-OH interactions should form the basis for an effective policy implementation to reduce photochemical pollution in the suburbs of Seoul and similar East Asian megacities. In addition, OVOC production is predicted to significantly vary depending on the model simulation scenarios. The fate of these OVOCs is uncertain and can include deposition, photolysis, or condensation. Our limited understanding of
OVOCs contributes substantially to the overall uncertainty in radical photochemistry and should be addressed by studies that quantify the processes controlling OVOC production and loss.
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Table 1. Analytical characteristics of trace gas analyzers at TRF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Species</th>
<th>Manufacturer and Model Number</th>
<th>Uncertainty</th>
<th>Lower Limit of Detection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific 48i TLE</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>40 ppb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOx</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific 42i-TL with a Mo-converter</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>50 ppt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₂</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific 43i-TLE</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>50 ppt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ozone</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific 49i</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>&lt;1 ppb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Terpenoid speciation analysis results from GC-MS a) branch enclosure and b) ambient air samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terpenoids</th>
<th>'Composition(%)'</th>
<th>Speciation 'Composition(%)'</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Isoprene</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>α-pinene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>camphene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monoterpenes</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>β-pinene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>β-myrcene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>α-terpinolene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>d-limonene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>β-caryophyllene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesquiterpenes</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>α-caryophyllene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Composition is calculated based on the mixing ratio scale.*
Table 3. A summary of critical differences in input parameters for four different model simulation scenarios presented in this study. The isoprene chemical scheme is based on Archibald et al. (2010a).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>HPALD chemistry</th>
<th>Observational Constraints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>‘All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario II</td>
<td>Peeters and Muller (2010)</td>
<td>‘All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario III</td>
<td>Crounse et al. (2011)</td>
<td>‘All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario IV</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>‘All but HONO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$k_{298} \approx 0.08$ for isoprene peroxy radical isomerization rate leading to produce HPALD, $k_{298} = 0.002$ for isoprene peroxy radical isomerization rate, ‘All the observed diurnal variations, appeared in Figure 1 are constrained in the model along with ambient pressure and humidity.
Table 4 A summary for radical distributions from the observationally constrained box-model simulation results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OH</th>
<th>HO₂</th>
<th>RO₂</th>
<th>Constraints</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Time</strong></td>
<td>8:00-12:00</td>
<td>13:00-16:00</td>
<td>8:00-12:00</td>
<td>13:00-16:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario I</td>
<td>3.85×10⁶</td>
<td>3.08×10⁶</td>
<td>4.10×10⁶</td>
<td>7.02×10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario II</td>
<td>3.99×10⁶</td>
<td>3.69×10⁶</td>
<td>3.99×10⁶</td>
<td>7.86×10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario III</td>
<td>3.86×10⁶</td>
<td>3.13×10⁶</td>
<td>4.09×10⁶</td>
<td>7.09×10⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario IV</td>
<td>1.61×10⁶</td>
<td>1.61×10⁶</td>
<td>1.95×10⁶</td>
<td>4.82×10⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unit: molecules cm⁻³
Figure 1. Averaged temporal variations observed trace gases and ambient temperature at TRF (June 1st to June 6th, 2012, KST stands for Korean Standard Time GMT+9). The uncertainty for each observable is listed in the main text.
Figure 2. The temporal variations of OH reactivity calculated from the observed dataset at TRF (Figure 1).
Figure 3. The temporal variations of OH (a), HO$_2$ (b), and RO$_2$ (c) calculated by four different observationally constrained UWCM box model scenarios.
Figure 4 The temporal variations of radical recycling (red) and destruction (blue, black and green) rates calculated using the UWCM box model for different model scenarios.
Figure 5. The temporal variations of $P_{H_2O_2}/P_{HNO_3}$ calculated from the UWCM box model from four different model scenarios.
Figure 6. The temporal distributions of UWCM calculated OH reactivity (a) and formaldehyde (b) from different model calculation scenarios.