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Abstract

In the absence of high resolution estimates of the components of surface energy balance for China, we developed an algorithm based on the surface energy balance system (SEBS) to generate a dataset of land-surface energy and water fluxes on a monthly time scale from 2001 to 2010 at a 0.1 × 0.1 degree spatial resolution by using multi-satellite and meteorological forcing data. A remote-sensing-based method was developed to estimate canopy height, which was used to calculate roughness length and flux dynamics. The land-surface flux dataset was validated against “ground-truth” observations from 11 flux tower stations in China. The estimated fluxes correlate well with the stations’ measurements for different vegetation types and climatic
conditions (average bias = 15.3 Wm\(^{-2}\), RMSE = 26.4 Wm\(^{-2}\)). The quality of the data product was also assessed against the GLDAS dataset. The results show that our method is efficient for producing a high-resolution dataset of surface energy flux for the Chinese landmass from satellite data. The validation results demonstrate that more accurate downward long-wave radiation datasets are needed to be able to accurately estimate turbulent fluxes and evapotranspiration when using the surface energy balance model. Trend analysis of land-surface radiation and energy exchange fluxes revealed that the Tibetan Plateau has undergone relatively stronger climatic change than other parts of China during the last 10 years. The capability of the dataset to provide spatial and temporal information on water-cycle and land-atmosphere interactions for the Chinese landmass is examined. The product is free to download for studies of the water cycle and environmental change in China.

1. Introduction

As China is one of the fastest growing and urbanizing economies in the world, changes in land cover and land use can significantly influence the environment by altering land-atmosphere energy and water exchanges (Suh and Lee, 2004; Lin et al., 2009). For instance, rapid urban expansion has substantially changed land surface heat fluxes in the Pearl River delta (PRD) (Lin et al., 2009) and has increased sensible heat fluxes in the Beijing metropolitan area (Zhang et al., 2009a). The variability of surface energy balance and its partitioning may also have an important impact on climate variability in China (Sun and Wu, 2001). Similarly, changes in surface energy fluxes have been shown to alter the intensity of the East Asian monsoon (Zhou and Huang, 2008; Qiu, 2013; Hsu and Liu, 2003). In short, understanding variation in energy fluxes is
important for the study of climate change in China (Brauman et al., 2007). Nevertheless, the spatial and temporal variability of China’s land-surface energy balance, and the magnitude of each, are still unknown.

While it is of critical importance to understand the partitioning of water and energy distribution across China’s terrestrial surface, accurate monitoring of their spatial and temporal variation is notoriously difficult (Ma et al., 2011). Several field experiments are being carried out to monitor turbulent fluxes over selected land cover in China by using ground-based eddy covariance devices (Wang et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2006; Ma et al., 2008b; Li et al., 2009). However, these measurements are only representative of small areas around the locations where the measurements are being made. For this reason, establishment of an eddy-covariance flux network cannot provide a complete land-surface heat flux picture for the entire Chinese landmass.

A number of methods can be used to derive land-surface energy fluxes. Jung et al. (2009), for example, generated global spatial flux fields by using a network up-scaling method. However their flux network included only a limited number of flux stations in China. The Global Soil Wetness Project 2 (GSWP-2) (Dirmeyer et al., 2006) produced a global land surface product on a 1 × 1 degree grid for the period 1986 to 1995. The Global Land Data Assimilation System (GLDAS) (Rodell et al., 2004) can provide a global coverage in the form of 3-hourly, 0.25-degree data. Furthermore, products from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) interim reanalysis (ERA-Interim) (Dee et al., 2011), the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) (Kalnay et al., 1996), Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications (MERRA) (Rienecker et al., 2011) and other reanalysis data can also provide temporally continuous – but coarse – spatial resolution datasets of land surface
fluxes. Jiménez et al. (2011) made an inter-comparison of different land-surface heat flux products. When these products were applied at continental scales, the different approaches resulted in large differences (Vinukollu et al., 2011a; Jiménez et al., 2011; Mueller et al., 2011).

The problems met by using currently available flux data in climate studies of China have been reported by Zhou and Huang (2010). Zhu et al. (2012) have also reported that summer sensible heat flux derived from eight datasets (including NCEP, ERA, and GLDAS) of China’s Tibetan Plateau region differ from each other in their spatial distribution. In addition, all the flux datasets mentioned above are based on model simulations, which have deficiencies for studying changes in water-cycle and land–air interactions in China (Chen et al., 2013c; Su et al., 2013; Wang and Zeng, 2012; Ma et al., 2008a).

A spatially and temporally explicit estimate of surface energy fluxes is of considerable interest for hydrological assessments and meteorological and climatological investigations (Norman et al., 2003). Satellite-sensed data of surface variables can be used to produce maps of heat and water fluxes at different scales (Wang and Liang, 2008; Li et al., 2012a; Liu et al., 2010; Vinukollu et al., 2011b). Remote sensing approaches to estimate surface heat and water fluxes have been largely used on regional scales (Fan et al., 2007; Ma et al., 2011; Jia et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2009b; Li et al., 2012b; Shu et al., 2011), but there is no analysis of satellite-derived data currently underway to produce a complete, physically-consistent, decadal land-surface heat flux dataset (Jiménez et al., 2009) for the Chinese landmass. The use of remotely-sensed data offers the potential of acquiring observations of variables such as albedo, land surface temperature, and NDVI at a continental scale for China.
Since surface fluxes cannot be directly detected by satellite-borne sensors, an alternative for estimating continental water and energy fluxes can be derived by applying the aerodynamic theory of turbulent flux transfer (Ma et al., 2011) or by establishing statistical relationships between related satellite observations and land surface fluxes (Jiménez et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2007). Most remotely-sensed latent heat flux or evapotranspiration products have null values in urban, water, snow, barren and desert areas (Mu et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2007; Jiménez et al., 2009). This is due to the lack of a uniform representation of turbulent exchange processes over different types of land cover in their method. Meanwhile, the aerodynamic turbulent transfer method can describe the flux exchange through changes in surface roughness length over different land covers. Statistical methods establish relationships between satellite-sensed observations (e.g. NDVI, LST, albedo) and land surface fluxes through various fitting techniques (Wang et al., 2007). The simple relationships established cannot give a reasonable approximation for extreme conditions such as bare soil or other types of non-canopy land cover (e.g. lakes, deserts) because land covers behave significantly differently in land-surface energy flux partitioning. Fortunately, turbulent flux transfer parameterization can overcome the shortcomings of statistical methods and produce spatially continuous distributions of land-surface energy fluxes with prepared meteorological forcing data. For this reason we chose a more physically-based method – turbulent flux parameterization – to produce the dataset.

The challenge in using turbulent flux parameterization lies in the transition from regional to continental and global scales, because meteorological data of high resolution (i.e. 1–10 km) are not easily obtained for a large region. Recently, Chinese scientists have produced high resolution meteorological forcing data that can be used in our study. Another issue is the complexity met with the method when combining different spatial and temporal sampling input variables. This is
discussed in detail in Subsection 3.1. The last difficulty that has surrounded application of turbulent flux parameterization at continental scales is the acquisition of roughness length. To address this difficulty, we have developed a remote-sensing-based mixing technique to estimate canopy heights at a continental scale and use the resulting canopy height dataset to derive, for the very first time, the dynamic variation of surface roughness length for the Chinese landmass.

Complex topography (shown by Fig. 1) and climatic conditions in China make it very difficult to obtain a clear picture of the distribution of energy and water fluxes with a high spatial resolution over a relatively long period for such a large area. In our study we estimate land-surface heat fluxes with energy balance and aerodynamic parameterization formulas in a revised model of the surface energy balance system (SEBS) (Chen et al., 2013b;Chen et al., 2013a;Su, 2002;Timmermans, 2011); Previous tests show that the revised model delivers better performance and improvements in cases where the type of land cover in China is bare soil, short canopy or snow (Chen et al., 2013b;Chen et al., 2013a). Sensible heat flux in SEBS was derived from the difference between surface temperature and air temperature by using Monin–Obukhov similarity theory and bulk atmospheric boundary layer similarity (Brutsaert, 1999), which parameterizes ground surface momentum and heat-transfer coefficient maps to take into account surface roughness, canopy height, vegetation cover, and meteorological stability (Su et al., 2001;Su, 2002;Chen et al., 2013b). The latent heat flux can then be estimated from an energy balance model, assuming surface net radiation and ground flux are known (Ma et al., 2002;Allen et al., 2011;Vinukollu et al., 2011b). We used high resolution reanalysis data, which merges model outputs, remote sensing observations, and in-situ measurements. In addition, we also assessed the accuracy of the surface energy balance terms (net radiation, sensible heat, latent heat, and ground heat fluxes) and their climatic trends in the preceding decade (2001–2010).
After defining the equations of the SEBS model (Section 2), we describe (in Section 3) the input data and ground-truth measurements used in the study. Further, we assess the capacity of the remote-sensing-based product to reproduce the range and variability of measured fluxes by comparing them with in-situ flux tower measurements, followed by trend analysis of the spatial patterns of the fluxes (Section 4). Concluding remarks are found in Section 5.

2 Model description and development

The surface energy balance system model known as SEBS (Su, 2002) uses aerodynamic resistance to create a spatially coherent estimate of land surface heat fluxes. Some model inputs can be obtained from remote sensing data, while others can be obtained from meteorological forcing data (e.g. GLDAS, ERA and NCEP reanalysis data). The model’s equations and the required forcing variables are described in the remainder of this section.

The surface energy balance equation can be expressed as:

\[ R_n = G_0 + H + LE, \]  

(1)

where \( R_n \) is the net radiation flux; \( G_0 \) is the ground heat flux, which is parameterized by its relationship with \( R_n \) (Su et al., 2001); \( H \) is the sensible heat flux; and \( LE \) is the latent heat flux. \( LE \) is computed by using the evaporative fraction after deriving the other three variables in Equation 1 and taking into consideration energy and water limits (Su, 2002). As these fluxes were produced with a monthly average temporal resolution, energy storage in vegetation is not considered.
Net radiation flux is:

\[ Rn = (1 - \alpha) \times SWD + LWD - LWU, \]  

(2)

where \( \alpha \) is broadband albedo; \( SWD \) is downward surface short-wave radiation; and \( LWD \) and \( LWU \) are downward and upward surface long-wave radiation, respectively.

Here satellite observed albedo is used. \( LWU \) is derived from land surface temperature (\( LST \)) using the Stefan–Boltzmann law. Land surface emissivity is derived as described in Chen et al. (2013a).

\( LWD \) and \( SWD \) values are obtained from meteorological forcing data.

Sensible heat flux (\( H \)) is computed according to the Monin–Obukhov similarity theory (MOST):

\[ H = k u_* \rho C_p (\theta_0 - \theta_a) \left[ \ln \left( \frac{z-d}{z_{oh}} \right) - \Psi_h \left( \frac{z-d}{L} \right) + \Psi_h \left( \frac{z_{oh}}{L} \right) \right]^{-1}, \]  

(3)

where \( k \) is the von Karman constant; \( u_* \) is friction velocity; \( \rho \) is air density; \( C_p \) is specific heat for moist air; \( \theta_0 \) is the potential temperature at the ground surface; \( \theta_a \) is the potential air temperature at height \( z \); \( d \) is the zero plane displacement height; \( \Psi_h \) is the stability correction function for sensible heat transfer (Brutsaert, 1999); and \( L \) is the Obukhov length. In our study \( \theta_a \) was obtained from meteorological forcing data and \( \theta_0 \) was derived from Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) LST data. For more detailed information about \( u_* \) and the calculation of \( L \), see Su (2002) and Chen et al. (2013b).

The roughness height for heat transfer (\( z_{0h} \)) in Equation 3 is calculated as follows:

\[ z_{0h} = \frac{z_{om}}{\exp(kB^{-1})}. \]  

(4)

Using the fractional canopy coverage, \( kB^{-1} \) at each pixel can be derived according to the following modification of the equation described by Su et al. (2001):
\[ kB^{-1} = f_c^2 \times kB_c^{-1} + f_s^2 \times kB_s^{-1} + 2 \times f_c \times f_s \times kB_m^{-1}, \]  
(5)
based canopy height method (Chen et al., 2013b) was further developed to estimate canopy height distribution for the whole China in this study. Simard et al. (2011) produced a global forest canopy-height map using data from the Geoscience Laser Altimeter System (GLAS) aboard ICESat (Ice, Cloud, and land Elevation Satellite). However, short-canopy (e.g. maize, rice, wheat) height information cannot be acquired by laser techniques. Since short-canopy height usually varies by season throughout the year – crops are planted in spring and harvested in autumn – we calculated short-canopy height using an NDVI-based equation from Chen et al. (2013b):

$$ HC = H_{C_{\text{min}}} + \frac{H_{C_{\text{max}}}-H_{C_{\text{min}}}}{(NDVI_{\text{max}}(x,y)-NDVI_{\text{min}}(x,y))} \times (NDVI(x,y) - NDVI_{\text{min}}(x,y)),$$

where $H_{C_{\text{max}}}$ and $H_{C_{\text{min}}}$ are the maximum and minimum short-canopy height; $H_{C_{\text{min}}}$ is set to 0.0012 m (Chen et al., 2013b); and $H_{C_{\text{max}}}$ is set to 2.5 m, corresponding to the greatest height of seasonal crops in China. $NDVI_{\text{min}}$ and $NDVI_{\text{max}}$ are a matrix of minimum and maximum NDVI values during our 10-year study period. Each short-canopy pixel was given an $NDVI_{\text{min}}$ and $NDVI_{\text{max}}$ value to calculate the canopy height. The NDVI-based short-canopy height method above was used to fill relevant pixels with forest canopy heights of less than 10 m. Higher canopy heights (greater than 10 m) were assumed to be constant, i.e. with no seasonal change.

By merging canopy heights greater than 10 m and variable short-canopy data, we constructed dynamic monthly maps of canopy heights for the Chinese landmass for the period of 2001–2010. These maps were then used to calculate land surface heat fluxes. Figure 2 gives an example of derived canopy height at 11 China flux stations.

3 Data and validation
Our modeling approach makes use of a variety of satellite-based sensor data and meteorological forcing data to estimate monthly energy and water fluxes across China. The forcing data can come from satellite-based or reanalysis datasets. Due to the influence of weather, satellite-sensed visible and thermal band data (e.g. NDVI, albedo, LST) often have spatial and temporal gaps in daily data. Various temporal and spatial gap-filling algorithms have been developed to produce continuous monthly data for satellite-sensed variables (Chen et al., 2004; Moody et al., 2005). In order to avoid both spatial and temporal gaps in the final product, we selected some specific satellite-sensed datasets for this study (see Table 1). Detailed information about each input variable is described in following subsections.

The longest period covered by the forcing dataset is approximately 31 years; the shortest is about 10 years. Spatial resolution of the dataset varies from 0.01 to 0.25 degrees and its sample frequency from 3 hours to 1 month. The meteorological forcing data developed by the Institute of Tibetan Plateau Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences (hereafter referred to as ITPCAS forcing data) (He, 2010) was constructed to study meteorological variation in China. ITPCAS forcing data covers the entire landmass of China and has the highest temporal resolution among the input datasets used. Other variables such as LST and albedo, for example, have coarser temporal resolutions (monthly) and global coverage. When combining data of different spatial and temporal resolutions, both spatial and temporal scaling issues need to be addressed.

Estimates of land-surface energy flux can be subject to large errors, due to bias in the meteorological forcing input data. The spatial distribution of meteorological variables is closely related to topography (Li et al., 2013). When interpolating meteorological input variables to finer
scales, these effects have to be accounted for (Sheffield et al., 2006), which goes beyond the scope of our study. Therefore we chose to resample the satellite product of high spatial resolution to a lower spatial resolution that matches the resolution of the meteorological input data. Also, the meteorological data were averaged to monthly values that have the same temporal resolution as the remotely-sensed input variables. ITPCAS forcing data provides us data of the highest spatial resolution among the meteorological forcing data currently available (e.g. ERA-interim, NCEP, GLDAS, MERRA). Taking into account of all these items, our aim was to produce a monthly product of 0.1 × 0.1 degree resolution land-surface heat fluxes that contains neither spatial nor temporal gaps and can be used to study seasonal and inter-annual variability in the hydrological and energy cycles of China.

3.1 Input datasets and their validations

3.1.1 Meteorological forcing data

In studies previous to ours, reanalysis data have been applied in many different ways, for example to construct land-surface forcing data (Sheffield et al., 2006), to detect climate trends (Taniguchi and Koike, 2008), and to investigate water and energy cycles at regional and continental scales (Roads and Betts, 2000). Reanalysis data has also been applied by the remote sensing community to derive estimates of global terrestrial evapotranspiration and gross primary production (Mu et al., 2007; Yuan et al., 2010). Few studies, however, have used reanalysis data together with remotely-sensed ground data to derive global land-energy fluxes (sensible heat flux, latent heat flux, net radiation, etc.).
Researchers have developed several kinds of reanalysis data. Comparisons and evaluations of these reanalysis products with in-situ observations have been performed for individual sites, specific regions, and the entire globe (Wang and Zeng, 2012; Decker et al., 2011). It is well known that inaccuracies existing in reanalysis forcing data may have substantial impacts on the simulation of land-surface energy partitioning. It is difficult to choose which reanalysis data is better for use as forcing data. Additionally, the spatial resolution of all of the above reanalysis/forcing datasets is not as high as that of remote sensing data. The ITPCAS forcing dataset was produced by merging a variety of data sources. This dataset benefits in particular from the merging of information from 740 weather stations operated by the China Meteorological Administration that have not been used in other forcing data. The dataset has already been used to run land surface models and has been shown to be more accurate than other forcing datasets (Chen et al., 2011; Liu and Xie, 2013). ITPCAS meteorological forcing data include variables such as instantaneous near-surface air temperature (Ta), near-surface air pressure (P), near-surface air specific humidity (Q), near-surface wind speed (Ws) at a temporal resolution of 3 hours, 3-hourly mean downward surface short-wave (SWD) and downward surface long-wave (LWD) radiation. The time period covered is from 1979 to 2010; the spatial resolution has a grid size of 0.1 × 0.1 degrees.

3.1.2 MODIS land surface temperature processing

MODIS (Moderate-resolution Imaging spectroradiometer) sensors have been used to produce several global and continental scale LST datasets. MOD11C3 V5 and MYD11C3 V5 products (Wan, 2009) are validated over a range of representative conditions with an average bias of less than 1 Kelvin (Coll et al., 2009; Wan and Li, 2008). The MODIS V5 monthly LST product,
MOD11C3 and MYD11C3, has a 0.05-degree grid size, without gaps and covers the period March 2000 to near present. It provides monthly daytime and night-time LST values. In our study we averaged the daytime and night-time values of MOD11C3 and MYD11C3 to represent monthly means.

After spatially interpolating the monthly mean LST from 0.05× 0.05 degree to 0.1 × 0.1 degree resolution, we picked out LST values of pixels that included the 11 flux tower stations from which in-situ measurements were gathered. The time series comparisons of LST with the ground measurements were shown by Fig. 2. It shows that the processed monthly LST can present the seasonal variations in LST over different land covers very well. The pixel values were validated against the in-situ LST measurements. Detailed information about each station is given in Subsection 3.2. The linear correlation (R = 1.0), RMSE (= 1.9 K) and MB (mean value of the satellite data minus in-situ observation = 0.5 K) indicate that the quality of the merged remotely-sensed monthly LST data in China is high. They also show that MOD11C3 V5 LST captures the in-situ LST variability of different elevations and land surfaces, which is described in Subsection 4.1.

3.1.3 Albedo

Land surface albedo determines the fraction of short-wave radiation absorbed by the ground, thus influencing the surface energy budget. Studies of land-surface energy balance require temporal and spatial albedo input data without gaps. Several research projects have been devoted to producing long-term time series of surface albedo from various satellite-borne sensors (Riihel et al., 2013; Muller et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013a). However most of the albedo products do not
provide gap-filled time-series albedo maps. Taking MODIS MCD43B albedo product as an example, 20 to 40% of the pixels of global landmass miss valid albedo values every year (Liu et al., 2013a). Twenty percent invalid values in albedo input data will result in the same amount of empty values in output, an issue that limits albedo data that can be used in our study. After checking several albedo products (including GlobAlbedo (Muller et al., 2012), CMSAF cLouds, Albedo and RAdiation Surface Albedo (CLARA-SAL albedo) (Riihel et al., 2013), and MCD43B), we decided to use GlobAlbedo as its data does not contain spatial or temporal gaps. This albedo dataset is based on a monthly sample and has a spatial resolution of 0.05 degrees, which we interpolated to a 0.1 degree resolution for our study.

3.1.4 NDVI

The Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) is regarded as a reliable indicator of vegetation parameters. NDVI has been widely used to explore vegetation dynamics and their relationships with environmental factors (Piao et al., 2006). NDVI data from the Systeme Pour l’Observation de la Terre (SPOT) VEGETATION sensor, distributed by Vito, have a spatial resolution of 1 km × 1 km and a temporal resolution of 10 days (synthesized on days 1, 11 and 21 of each month). In order to reduce noise resulting from clouds, the maximum NDVI value in a month for each pixel is selected to represent the canopy status of that month.

3.1.5 Canopy fraction

Canopy fraction \( f_c \) is defined as the fraction of ground surface covered by the vegetation canopy (varying from 0 to 1). \( f_c \) in SEBS is used to distinguish the contributions of vegetation
and soil to the roughness parameterization. Here $f_c$ was derived from NDVI data using the following equation:

$$f_c = \frac{NDVI - NDVl_{\text{min}}}{NDVl_{\text{max}} - NDVl_{\text{min}}}.$$  

### 3.2 Validation data

The product generated by our model needed to be validated by comparing it with an independent observational dataset. The energy balance measurement system (eddy covariance, four component radiation and ground heat flux) at flux sites is widely accepted as a method for direct measurement of energy and fluxes and is widely applied for assessing global evapotranspiration products (Zhang et al., 2010; Jung et al., 2011; Yan et al., 2012; Fisher et al., 2008).

To validate the product, we compiled a dataset from 11 flux stations in China with land cover types including bare soil, alpine meadow, forest, cropland, orchard, grassland, and wetlands. Elevations of these stations range from 5 m to 4800 m. The observational dataset includes data from Maqu (MQ) (Chen et al., 2013b; Wang et al., 2013), Wenjiang (WJ) (Zhang et al., 2012), Bijie (BJ) (Ma et al., 2006), Miyun (MY) (Liu et al., 2013b), Daxing (DX) (Liu et al., 2013b), Guantao (GT) (Liu et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2013b), Yucheng (YC) (Flerchinger et al., 2009), Dongtan (DT) (Zhao et al., 2009), SC (Semi-Arid Climate and Environment Observatory of Lanzhou University) (Huang et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2010; Guan et al., 2009), and Weishan (WS) stations (Lei and Yang, 2010b, a). Detailed information about each site is listed in Table 2.

Half-hourly fluxes were processed using standardized quality control procedures, which are described in the literature references for each station. The half-hourly $H$, $LE$, and four component radiation were then averaged to monthly values. Monthly average values derived
from less than 70% of the flux data in each month were not used in the validations. Gap filling was not used for the flux measurement data.

4 Results

4.1 Canopy height assessment

We checked the canopy height variations at the 10 flux station produced by equation 8 and GLAS forest height (Figure 3). The derived canopy height for AL is not higher than 0.2 m, which is reasonable for the local land cover. YC, GT, and WS stations located in the North China, represent a typical agricultural land, where crops mature twice per year. The highest canopy height is around 1.5 m, a similar magnitude to the height of maize in summer. The step decrease in canopy height in June at these three stations is due to that wheat/maize is harvested and new seeds are sown during this period. This step variation in the canopy height also causes similar step changes in sensible and latent heat flux (shown by Fig. 5). Although the land cover near WJ station is crop, it is more surround by forest in a 10 km diameter. The GLAS forest height reflects this ground truth. These canopy height assessments at the observation sites enable us to consider that the developed method in this work is an appropriate one for solving scarcity of canopy height information at a continental area.

4.2 Validation against flux tower measurements

The accuracy of remote-sensing-based land-surface heat fluxes is questionable without validation against ground-based measurements (Meir and Woodward, 2010). This subsection describes the validation of the SEBS model against heat flux measurements from a diverse range of climates.
In order to analyze the source of flux calculation errors, variables related to surface radiation fluxes were all validated against flux station observations. Table 3 shows that H and LE have RMSE values slightly less than 22 W/m², which is lower than the RMSE values of products of other statistical methods (see Table 7 in (Wang et al., 2007) and Table 5 in (Jiménez et al., 2009)). Indeed, Kalma et al. (2008) assessed 30 published LE validation results obtained by using ground flux measurements and reported an average RMSE value of about 50 W/m² and relative errors of 15–30%. The RMSE of our LE dataset is significantly lower than their averaged RMSE value.

We also compared our validation results with that of other, similar products produced by a previous version of SEBS. Vinukollu et al. (2011b), for instance, produced global land surface fluxes with RMSE values of 40.5 W/m² (sensible flux) and 26.1 W/m² (latent flux) (calculated from Table 4 in (Vinukollu et al., 2011b)), which are larger than those in our study. The difference could be due to the model improvement and more accurate meteorological forcing dataset used in our study. Table 3 lists the values of the statistical parameters for the validation of a data product produced by GLDAS (which has the highest spatial resolution compared with other available terrestrial energy-flux datasets) against the same measurements from the Chinese flux stations as used in our study. According to the mean values of the statistical variables, the quality of our flux dataset is comparable to GLDAS’ model and data assimilation results. These comparisons of accuracy demonstrate that our revised model is efficient for producing a high-resolution dataset of land-surface energy fluxes for China.

Net radiation has relatively higher RMSE and MB values than H, LE and G₀ in the dataset because its accuracy is dependent on the accuracy of the other variable estimates (albedo, LST,
SWD, LWD, LWU, etc.). Any errors in these variables can cause bias in net radiation. LWD, for example, has a linear-fitting slope value of 0.9, with most points located around the fitting line (Figure 4). The correlation coefficient is as high as 0.98, thus demonstrating that there is still room for improvement of the LWD algorithms. LWD in ITPCAS was calculated with algorithms developed from measurements from across the Tibetan Plateau. The LWD algorithms may not, therefore, be accurate for other parts of China (K. Yang, personal communication). This underlines the need for more accurate LWD radiation fluxes in order to improve the accuracy of turbulent fluxes and evapotranspiration.

In addition to the statistical evaluation of model results against observations, seasonal and inter-annual changes in the model results also need to be checked. Yucheng station, which is an agricultural experimental station with winter wheat and summer maize as dominant crops was taken as an example (Figure 5). Crops at Yucheng station mature twice per year, which is representative of warm temperate farming cropland, typical for the North China Plain. A two-year flux dataset was used to compare against values extracted from our model-derived product. The inter-annual and seasonal LST and LWU data closely match the in-situ observations. The SWD term also successfully captures seasonal variations. LWD is systematically lower than observations. The LE produced at Yucheng station not only captures seasonal variation, but also responds at step stages, which occur when the wheat is harvested or maize seeds have just been sown (from June to August). The increased sensible heat and decreased latent heat flux observed in July 2003 were caused by the wheat harvest, however this signal change is not captured by the model result. The simulated sensible and latent heat produced by SEBS has a one-month lag when compared to reality. This phenomenon is caused by adopting a maximum monthly NDVI value, resulting in faulty representation of canopy status changes in the month of June.
The Semi-Arid Climate and Environment Observatory of Lanzhou University (SC station) is situated on China’s Loess Plateau, at 1965.8 m above sea level. Annual mean precipitation there is 381.1 mm and annual evapotranspiration is 1528.5 mm (Huang et al., 2008). Being typical of stations operating under arid conditions, its flux measurements were compared with the grid point values extracted from the model product (Figure 6). In 2008 the land surface around the station was covered by snow from 19 January to 20 February. Consequently the GlobAlbedo value was high for February. Unexpectedly, albedo was relatively low for January, which could be caused by the coarse temporal sampling of the station pixel by the satellite sensor. The calculated monthly sensible heat and latent heat in January 2008 have biases of -11.7 (with an observed monthly mean sensible heat = 15 W/m²) and -7.6 W/m² (with an observed monthly mean latent heat = 4.8 W/m²), respectively. The relatively large bias for SC station when covered with snow may be caused by the mixed pixel around the station.

The results of other stations have been included in supplementary materials submitted with this paper. Comparison with the results of these other stations shows that model estimates of surface energy balance variables match the magnitude and seasonal variation observed at stations in several contrasting ecosystems. Comparisons between the flux-tower-measured and the modeled fluxes show that latent fluxes were more accurate than sensible fluxes. Comparisons with other studies, which are presented in Table 4, show that the accuracy of our dataset is one of the best among high-resolution datasets of land surface fluxes.

4.3 Spatial distribution of land-surface energy fluxes.
Using maps of average annual land-surface radiation and energy fluxes, we analyzed the spatial patterns of radiation and energy fluxes for the Chinese landmass and compared them with other products, such as GLDAS. The highest values of downward surface solar radiation (Figure 7a) are located in the southwest of the Tibetan Plateau, while the lowest values occur in the Sichuan Basin (SB). The highest levels of upward short-wave radiation (Figure 7c) occur around the snow-covered peaks of the Himalaya (HM), Karakorum (KRM) and Kunlun (KLM), and the Qilian (QLM) and Nyainqentanglha (NQM) mountain ranges. The strongest net solar radiation (SWD minus SWU) on the Chinese landmass occurs in the southern part of the Tibetan Plateau (see supplementary materials). The downward and upward long-wave radiation (Figures 7b and 7c) on the Tibetan Plateau are the lowest for the entire Chinese landmass. Southern China has the highest levels of upward and downward long-wave radiation. The highest values of net long-wave radiation (LWU minus LWD) occur in the southern and western parts of the Tibetan Plateau (see supplementary materials).

Figure 8 shows that northwestern China (NWC), the western Tibetan Plateau (TP), the inner Mongolian Plateau (MP) and the Loess Plateau (LP) have the highest yearly average values for surface sensible-heat flux. Croplands of the northern China Plain (NCP, including the lowlands of Shandong, Henan, and Hebei provinces) and the northeastern China Plain (NEP, including the lowlands of Liaoning, Jilin, and Heilongjiang provinces) have low average yearly values for sensible heat flux. The Pearl River delta (PRD) and Tarim (TRB) and Sichuan (SCB) basins also have low levels of sensible heat flux, as do the Yinchuan (YCB) and the inner Mongolian basins (IMB) along the Yellow River. This spatial distribution is consistent with GLDAS results (see supplementary materials).
Simulated annual latent heat fluxes (Figure 8b) exhibit a southeast to northwest decreasing gradient, which is consistent with other studies (Liu et al., 2013c). The southeastern Tibetan Plateau has high levels of annual latent heat flux. The Gobi desert, in the northwest of China (NWC), has the lowest annual latent heat flux, followed by the western Tibetan Plateau and the inner Mongolian Plateau (MP). Lake regions along the Yangtze River and the region of basins along the Yellow River have relatively high levels of latent heat flux.

The highest levels of annual average surface net radiation (Figure 8c) can be found in southwestern China and the Lhasa Basin (LB); the lowest levels occur in the Sichuan (SCB) and Junggar Basins (JB). The highest levels of annual average ground-heat flux (Figure 8c) are to be found in western China, due to large amounts of incoming solar radiation that occur under dry conditions. The monthly average of G0 is negligible when compared with other fluxes.

The role of plateau heating on Asia’s monsoons is being discussed vigorously (Qiu, 2013; Wu et al., 2012; Boos and Kuang, 2010). Figure 9 shows seasonal comparisons of H between boreal winter (DJF), spring (MAM), summer (JJA) and autumn (SON). The largest area of positive sensible heating occurs in spring. Lee et al. (2011) have shown that contrasting sensible heat fluxes between the Chinese landmass and the seas surrounding it during the pre-monsoon period (April-May) affect monsoon development in East Asia. Figure 9 (a) shows that sources of sensible heating in spring occur over the Tibetan and several other plateaus in China. During summer, the highest sensible heat fluxes are to be found on the western Tibetan Plateau, the eastern Loess Plateau (LP) and in northwestern China (NWC).

LE in summer has the largest area of high latent heating, followed by that in spring, autumn and winter (Figure 10). Latent heat in summer is highest in southeastern and southern China as a
result of abundant rainfall in these regions. Similarly on irrigated land, such as that found in Yinchuan (YB), the inner Mongolian basin (IMB) and the downstream basins of the Tianshan (TM) and Kunlun (KLM) mountains, latent heat and evapotranspiration are high due to the ample supply of water in summer. Latent heat fluxes in autumn and winter are significantly lower than those of the other two seasons. The magnitudes and spatial patterns of LE in China of our product are generally consistent with other reports (Yao et al., 2013; Mu et al., 2007; Jung et al., 2010).

Net radiation in summer has the highest values of the four seasons. Most of the Chinese landmass acts as a source of surface energy for the atmosphere (Figure 11).

### 4.4 Trend analysis

The ability to capture the inter- and intra-annual variation for each land-surface energy variable is of interest to researchers of monsoon phenomena and climate change (Zhu et al., 2012). Indeed, understanding these variations is essential for studies on climate change and water-resource-related issues. We have calculated annual average values for each flux variable. The nonparametric Mann-Kendall test (MK) is one of the most widely used methods for hydro-meteorological time series analysis (Liu et al., 2013d; Gan, 1998). The MK method was applied to the series of annual average fluxes to check variations during the period 2001–2010. The resulting slope indicates that downward surface short-wave radiation increased during that decade over the majority of the Tibetan Plateau (Figure 12).
The ground solar measurements at China Meteorological Administration (CMA) stations during 2003–2006, as shown in Figure 1b of Yang et al. (2012), confirms the increasing trend of downward surface short-wave radiation found in our study. The annual mean visibility measured at these stations also displays an increasing trend (Figure 2a of Yang et al. (2012)), while ERA-40 reanalyzed precipitable-water and station-observed specific humidity show a decreasing trend from 2000 to 2006 (Figure 3a of Yang et al. (2012)). These results indicate that the atmosphere over the plateau is becoming drier, which would explain why SWD has increased during the decade.

The upward short-wave radiation over the Himalaya (HM), the Ganges (GM), the Karakorum (KRM), and the Qilian (QLM) and Nyainqentanglha (NQM) mountain ranges has also increased over the last 10 years, which may be caused by the glacial retreat that has occurred in these areas (Scherler et al., 2011; Yao et al., 2004). Lhasa basin (LB) has the steepest rising trend in LWU, perhaps because of the relatively greater degree of anthropogenic (e.g. urbanization) activity occurring in this area. The trend analysis did not reveal any clear spatial pattern in downward long-wave radiation. Net radiation over several high mountain ranges (including the Himalaya, the Ganges, the Karakorum and the Qilian and Nyainqentanglha mountain ranges) increased by approximately 5 W/m² between 2001 and 2010 (Figure 13). The strongest increase in net radiation occurred in the central part of the Tibetan Plateau. As Matthew (2010) has pointed out, soil moisture in the central Tibetan Plateau showed an increasing trend from 1987 to 2008. Wetter soil can cause the ground surface to absorb more net radiation and thus increase latent heat flux. Moreover, wetter soil can increase soil heating capacity (Guan et al., 2009) and so further increase ground heat flux. The increases in net radiation and soil moisture may also explain a rising trend in latent heat in the central Tibetan Plateau. Clearly, the plateau is
experiencing accelerated environmental changes (Zhong et al., 2011; Salama et al., 2012). Indeed, land-surface radiation and energy trend analyses also show that the Tibetan Plateau is experiencing a relatively stronger change in land-surface radiation (verified by Tang et al. (2011) and energy exchange than other parts of China.

5 Conclusions and discussion

In view of China’s highly fragmented landscape, high-resolution land-surface heat flux maps are necessary for hydrological studies. As China includes arid, semi-arid, humid, and semi-humid regions, quantifying its water and energy budgets is a challenge. We have developed the surface energy balance system (SEBS) further to produce a land-surface heat flux dataset at a continental scale of higher resolution than datasets derived using other methods. Generally, the global surface energy flux data sets, including reanalysis data, do not have enough spatial and temporal resolution when looking at the national-level fluxes. The surface flux data sets from reanalysis data sets still contain large uncertainty, partly due to the deficiency in their land surface process model that simulate land surface temperature by solving soil thermal transport equations (Chen et al., 1996) and usually result in a large error in LST simulation (Chen et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014) if the model is not properly calibrated by measurements (Hogue et al., 2005). So the hypothesis tested in this paper is if it is possible to neglect the complex process in the soil by using satellite observed land surface temperature directly to calculate the land surface fluxes at continental scale? This study has demonstrated a benchmark on how to use satellite to derive a land surface flux dataset for a continental area on a personal laptop which is absolutely not feasible for the land surface process modeler to do in such a time and resource economic way.
We have overcome the shortages of previous remotely-sensed evapotranspiration products which have null values in barren and desert areas. We also found a solution on how to produce a dynamic surface roughness length due to variations in the canopy height, which is closer to the reality, for a continental area. Usually, the surface roughness length is given a fixed value in numerical models. In summary, using remote sensing data and surface meteorological information, an independent data product of monthly resolution has been developed for land-surface heat flux analysis. We have validated our remote-sensing-based approach with in-situ observations from 11 flux stations in China. Taking into account the limitations of available spatial data and computing resources, we applied the model to the entire Chinese landmass using a 0.1-degree resolution meteorological dataset, MODIS LST, vegetation indices and other variables to generate a climatological dataset of land-surface energy balance for a 10-year period.

The modeling results for both pixel-point and spatial distribution demonstrate that this approach meets our aims in terms of (a) being robust across a variety of land cover and climate types and (b) performing well for the temporal and spatial scales of interest. The spatial distribution maps generated for each variable of surface energy balance give important background information on the terrestrial hydrology and energy cycles. This product also demonstrates the impact of topography and climatic conditions on land–air energy and moisture exchanges in China.

The applicability of remote-sensing-based estimates of land surface fluxes is hampered by limited temporal coverage of satellite sensors (Ryu et al., 2012). Remote sensing data are snapshots of the land surface status at a particular point in space and time (Ryu et al., 2011). It is challenging to compare remote-sensing-based monthly flux data with ground measurements that are made on time scales ranging from half-hourly through to monthly. The accuracy of land surface heat fluxes is largely dependent on the remotely sensed land surface temperature. Here
we have made an assumption that the averaged Aqua and Terra sensors sensed LST in each
month can represent the monthly average LST. Terra satellite sensor passes twice a day (at about
10:30am, and 22:30pm local time), also the Aqua satellite passes twice a day (at about 01:30am,
and 13:30pm local time). So MODIS have four samples each day. The samples may not be
enough for calculating the monthly LST, also due to the cloud noise. Besides, the time period of
MODIS datasets is not longer than 15 years which may limit application of our dataset in climate
analysis. Additionally, the sensible heat flux over forest is underestimated by present turbulent
flux parameterization method in SEBS which does not take the roughness sublayer over high
canopy (Bosveld, 1999) into consideration.

The energy flux product we have developed has a spatial resolution of approximately 10 km,
while flux towers have a footprint of tens to hundreds of meters. The tower footprint may not be
representative of the larger pixel of the product, and this mismatch will result in errors if the
mean of the satellite pixel is different from that of the flux tower footprint. Remote-sensing-
based studies stress that direct comparison is a challenge because scale mismatch (Norman et al.,
2003) and heterogeneity of the land surface reduce the spatial representativeness of ground-site
measurements (Mi et al., 2006). Another challenge is validating the grid-box-based simulation
results on the scale of the Chinese landmass, since reliable observations of flux data are only
available from a few sites in the simulated region.

Potential effects of changes in land surface heat fluxes on the monsoon over East Asia (Lee et al.,
2011) as a result of China’s recent urbanization can be studied further using our product. As an
independent satellite-based product, it can also be used as a data source for evaluating land
surface models. We also produced an evapotranspiration product for China land area using the
dataset in this paper. The land surface fluxes and evapotranspiration product can be downloaded from the URL. Recent result will be shared when the forcing dataset is available:

https://drive.google.com/folderview?id=0B7yGrB1U9eDec2JFbnA5eldlVHc&usp=sharing
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Table 1. Input datasets used for calculating land surface fluxes for China (see Sections 2 and 3 for an explanation of abbreviations)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Data source</th>
<th>Temporal resolution</th>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Spatial resolution (degrees)</th>
<th>Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SWD</td>
<td>ITPCAS</td>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>1979-2010</td>
<td>China land</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Reanalysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWU</td>
<td>ITPCAS &amp; GlobAlbedo</td>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>1982-2009</td>
<td>China land</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Satellite &amp; Reanalysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWD</td>
<td>ITPCAS</td>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>1979-2010</td>
<td>China land</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Reanalysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LWU</td>
<td>MOD11C3 &amp; MYD11C3 V5 &amp; Emis of Chen et al. 2013</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>2000-2012</td>
<td>China land</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Satellite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta</td>
<td>ITPCAS</td>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>1979-2010</td>
<td>China land</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Reanalysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>ITPCAS</td>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>1979-2010</td>
<td>China land</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Reanalysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ws</td>
<td>ITPCAS</td>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>1979-2010</td>
<td>China land</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Reanalysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>ITPCAS</td>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>1979-2010</td>
<td>China land</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>Reanalysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LST</td>
<td>MOD11C3 V5 &amp; MYD11C3 V5</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>2000-2012</td>
<td>Global</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Satellite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_c$</td>
<td>GLAS &amp; SPOT VEGETATION</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>2000-2012</td>
<td>China land</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Satellite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>GlobAlbedo</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>2000-2010</td>
<td>Global</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Satellite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDVI</td>
<td>SPOT VEGETATION</td>
<td>10 days</td>
<td>1998-2012</td>
<td>Global</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Satellite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAI</td>
<td>MOD15A2 &amp; MCD15A2</td>
<td>8 days</td>
<td>Feb, 2000- Jul, 2002 Aug, 2002-2012</td>
<td>Global</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Satellite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Flux tower sites supplying measurement data for product validation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lat[deg]/ Lon[deg]</th>
<th>Land cover</th>
<th>Eddy covariance</th>
<th>Radiometer</th>
<th>Measurement period</th>
<th>Site elevation (m)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MQ</td>
<td>Alpine meadow</td>
<td>CSAT3, Licor7500</td>
<td>CNR-1</td>
<td>Apr 2009 - May 2010</td>
<td>3439</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>Bare soil</td>
<td>CSAT3, Licor7500</td>
<td>CNR-1</td>
<td>Jul 2010 - Dec 2010</td>
<td>4700</td>
<td>Ma et al. (2008b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJ</td>
<td>Alpine grass</td>
<td>CSAT3, Licor7500</td>
<td>CNR-1</td>
<td>Jan 2008 - Dec 2010</td>
<td>4520</td>
<td>Ma et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MY</td>
<td>Orchard</td>
<td>CSAT3, Licor7500</td>
<td>CNR-1</td>
<td>Jan 2008 - Dec 2010</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>Liu et al. (2013b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DX</td>
<td>Crop</td>
<td>CSAT3, Licor7500</td>
<td>CNR-1</td>
<td>Jan 2008 - Dec 2010</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Liu et al. (2013b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT</td>
<td>Crop</td>
<td>CSAT3, Licor7500</td>
<td>CNR-1</td>
<td>Jan 2008 - Dec 2010</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Liu et al. (2013b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DT</td>
<td>Wetland</td>
<td>CSAT3, Licor7500</td>
<td>CNR-1</td>
<td>Jan 2005 - Dec 2007</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS</td>
<td>Winter wheat / summer maize</td>
<td>CSAT3, Licor7500 (10 Hz)</td>
<td>CNR-1</td>
<td>Jan 2006 - Dec 2008</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Lei and Yang (2010a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Comparison of accuracy of our flux data product and GLDAS against in-situ measurements from 11 Chinese flux towers. MB is mean of observation minus model simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Energy flux</th>
<th></th>
<th>Radiation flux</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H (Wm$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>LE (Wm$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>G0 (Wm$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>Ra (Wm$^{-2}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our flux data product</td>
<td>Slope 0.39</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intercept -0.5</td>
<td>-6.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>-20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RMSE 21.5</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>36.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MB 14.7</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>-5.7</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 0.41</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample 280</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLDAS</td>
<td>Slope 0.77</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intercept 20.83</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>-1.34</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RMSE 26.6</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MB -15.8</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R 0.46</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sample 249</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Comparison of statistical values reported in similar studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Research area</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Statistical parameters</th>
<th>$H$ (Wm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$LE$ (Wm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Flux network</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This study</td>
<td>Chinese landmass</td>
<td>SEBS</td>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>23.1</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>flux towers in China</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. 2007</td>
<td>Southern Great Plains, USA</td>
<td>Regression method</td>
<td>RMSE $\times$</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>flux towers in Southern Great Plains, USA</td>
<td>calculated from Table 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MB $\times$</td>
<td>12.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R $\times$</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiménez et al. 2009</td>
<td>global</td>
<td>Statistical method</td>
<td>RMSE $\times$</td>
<td></td>
<td>AmeriFlux</td>
<td>calculated from Tables 5 and 7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>-5.23</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinukollu et al. 2011b</td>
<td>global</td>
<td>SEBS</td>
<td>RMSE</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>AmeriFlux</td>
<td>calculated from Table 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MB</td>
<td>27.98</td>
<td>-7.74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>R</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. A DEM map of the Chinese landmass. The symbols indicate major physical phenomena: Tibetan Plateau (TP), northwestern China (NWC), inner Mongolian Plateau (MP), Loess Plateau (LP), North China Plain (NP), northeastern China Plain (NEP); Pearl River delta (PRD), Sichuan (SCB), Yinchuan (YCB), the inner Mongolian (IMB), and Lhasa (LB), Tarim (TRB), Junggar (JB) basins; the Himalaya (HM), Ganges (GM), Kunlun (KL), Karakorum (KRM), Tianshan (TM), Nyainqentanglha (NQM) and Qilian mountain (QLM) ranges. The plateau and plain letter symbols are in red type. The basins letter symbols are in green type. The flux station letter symbols are in yellow type. White lines show several of the major rivers in China.
Fig. 2 Time series comparison of monthly averaged LST derived from MOD11C3 & MYD11C3 and in-situ measurements.
Fig. 3 Monthly variation of canopy height at the 10 flux stations
Figure 4 Scatter point for downward shortwave (SWD), upward shortwave (SWU), downward longwave (LWD), and upward longwave (LWU) radiation against in-situ measurement.
Figure 5. Time-series comparison of SEBS input and output variables against measurements at Yucheng station. Black lines are SEBS results; red lines are measured values.
Figure 6. Time-series comparison of SEBS input and output variables against measurements at SC station. Black lines are SEBS results; red lines are measured values.
Figure 7. Maps of annual average (a) downward short-wave radiation (SWD), (b) downward long-wave radiation (LWD), (c) upward short-wave radiation (SWU), and (d) upward long-wave radiation (LWU) from 2001 to 2010. Black lines show several major rivers in China.
Figure 8. Maps of multiyear (2001–2010) means of retrieved fluxes: (a) sensible heat flux (H), (b) latent heat flux (LE), (c) net radiation (Rn), and (d) ground heat flux (G0). White lines show several major rivers in China.
Figure 9. Maps of seasonal average sensible heat flux for (a) March-May (MAM), (b) June-August (JJA), (c) September-November (SON), and (d) December-February (DJF) from 2001 to 2010. Black lines show several major rivers in China.
Figure 10. Maps of seasonal average latent heat flux for (a) March-May (MAM), (b) June-August (JJA), (c) September-November (SON), and (d) December-February (DJF) from 2001 to 2010. White lines show several major rivers in China.
Figure 11. Maps of seasonal average net radiation for (a) March-May (MAM), (b) June-August (JJA), (c) September-November (SON), and (d) December-February (DJF) from 2001 to 2010. White lines show several major rivers in China.
Figure 12. Spatial trends of (a) SWD (downward short-wave), (b) LWD (downward long-wave), (c) SWU (upward short-wave), and (d) LWU (upward long-wave radiation) for the Chinese landmass from 2001 to 2010.
Figure 13. Spatial trends of (a) sensible heat flux (H), (b) latent heat flux (LE), (c) net radiation (Rn), and (d) ground heat flux (G0) on the Chinese landmass from 2001 to 2010.