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Response to anonymous Referee #2
This is a nice piece of work discussing the abundance of nitrous acid during the austral summer 2011/2012 at the Concordia site, located on the East Antarctic plateau. HONO was measured by means a long path absorption photometer (LOPAP).

These measurements led to hourly mixing ratios of HONO measured in December 2011/January 2012 of 35±5.0 pptv, similar to those measured in December 2010/January 2011. While these large concentrations suggest a local source of HONO, in addition to weak production from the oxidation of NO by the OH radical, they also point toward a possible interference from HNO4.

This paper nicely combines field data, with laboratory based measurements and modelling. All conclusions are reasonable to me and certainly discussed in detail.

However, as one of the main outcome of this study arises from the two laboratory investigations performed at BAS and PSI, I was wondering if some more information could be added. On the photochemical experiments performed on collected ice, which was used to derive somehow the yield of HONO (at least compared to NOx), I’m surprised by the use of a quite powerful lamp (1000 W) in a quite small reactor. While the wavelength dependence is clear, what about the energy dependence? The gas flow in this system is quite high, lowering certainly the residence time in the reactor and also the photolysis of HONO. But it is difficult to really assess that from the information provided. Did you assess how much HONO was photolyzed in your system? As NO2 and HONO would get degraded at different rates, this may have affected your HONO to NOx ratio. Any comment on that?

AU: OK right and in the revised version we report more details showing that under our working conditions we can neglect the photolysis of NO2 in the snow chamber. This is also true for HONO whose the photolysis rate is some 6 times slower than the one of NO2. The text has been updated as follows: “The short residence time of NO2 (~4 s) in our small chamber prevents significant photolysis of NO2 to occur during the experiments. Indeed, the JNO2 of 2 10^{-2} s^{-1} measured by Cotter et al. (2003) for a 1000 W Xenon-arc lamp, as also used in the present study, leads to a lifetime of NO2 with respect to photolysis of 50 s at the front of the snow block.”

The production of HONO via organic matter is disregarded because of the low amount of complex organic acids measured. But is this the right metric for that? Indeed, low amount of such organic material but well located in the snow pack could potentially have a big impact through some catalytic reactions (where big quantities are not required but rather the availability of the catalytic material).

AU: Thank you for this comment: We agree and we reworded the text: "If HULIS are located at the surface of snow grains, much more than 2 ppbC of HULIS would be available there to react with NO2 present in interstitial air of the snowpack to produce HONO."

The interference of HNO4 seems to be clear. However HNO4 has been discussed to be a source of HONO in the marine boundary layer via cloud chemistry (see Williams et al, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2, 39-54, 2002). Could the same type of chemistry occur in the specifically cold environment of the Antarctic? Would it then be a significant source of HONO?

AU: Williams et al. (2002) report that HONO accumulates in the presence of cloud chemistry (due to the decomposition of NO2 into NO2). The simulation of this cloud chemistry suggests that in mid-latitude marine boundary layer the mixing ratio of HONO would be enhanced by 2 to 10 pptv at night. Even though the level of NO2 of 100 pptv assumed in their study (moderately polluted marine atmosphere) is rather similar (within a factor of 2) to those observed at Concordia, the relatively warm temperatures encountered at mid latitudes leads to a fast thermal HNO4 decomposition and does not permit HNO4 to reach the high mixing ratios seen in Antarctica. On the other hand, the liquid water content in the marine atmosphere (0.4 g cm^{-3} assumed in their
study) is several orders of magnitude larger than what we can expect at -30°C at Concordia. The expected weakness of this liquid water chemistry of HNO$_2$ at Concordia is supported by the fact that during overcast conditions (see grey background area in Figure 1) no systematic enhancement of measured HONO is detectable.

Response to J. Pinto (Referee 1)
Legrand et al report measurements HONO at the Concordia field site in Antarctica, a model calculation of HONO concentrations in surface air with gas phase production from the reaction of NO with OH. They also performed experiments in the laboratory to demonstrate the potential for HONO to be generated in the snowpack. Measured HONO concentrations were found to be substantially than those inferred from their estimated flux from the snow pack and gas phase production. They performed tests in the lab to determine the potential interference caused by HNO4 in measurements of HONO made using LOPAP. However, since they did not measure HNO4 during this field campaign they could not quantify the extent of this interference. Because of thermal decomposition, HNO4 might not be as important as a potential source of interference at lower latitudes, but this begs the issue of what other atmospheric components behave in a manner similar to HONO in the sampling solution in the LOPAP instruments.

This is a very nice study integrating information obtained in the field and the laboratory. I only have few minor comments and requests for clarification:

What HNO4 levels are supported by atmospheric modelling?
AU: Slusher et al. (2002) (GRL, 29 (21)) discussed their HNO$_4$ observations (mean value of 25 pptv) from the South Pole with model simulations. They pointed out several uncertainties related to (1) the equilibrium constant of the reaction of NO$_2$ and HO$_2$ (mainly the thermal decomposition rate at -30°C) and (2) the dry deposition velocity. It has also to be emphasized that in the absence of NO$_2$ measurements at the South Pole, in their calculations Slusher et al. (2002) used calculated steady-state NO$_2$ from observed NO levels.
HNO$_4$ was not measured at Concordia but a mean value of 64 pptv (up to 150 pptv) (i.e. higher than the South Pole one) was observed between the ground and 50 m elevation over the Antarctic plateau (Slusher et al. 2010). Applying parameters (kinetic rates and dry deposition velocity) used by Slusher et al. (2002) we calculate a mean mixing ratio of 80 pptv for Concordia conditions (on the basis of observed RO$_2$ and NO$_2$ levels).

HONO might be produced by heterogeneous reactions on soot surfaces. Could the reaction of NO$_2$ with soot (either airborne or in the snow pack) perhaps emitted by the station or by vehicles be a source of HONO?
AU: In the remote atmosphere of the South Pole Bodhaine et al. (1995) (JGR, 100, 8967-8975) reported very BC values, with a mean summer concentrations of 1 ng m$^{-3}$. Certainly station activities would enhance such a very low atmospheric level (and possibly contaminate the snow). However as stated in section 2.2 “During the measurement campaign, the main wind direction was from the southeast to southwest and very rare were episodes with wind blowing from North (i.e. from the direction of the station).” Data corresponding to these events were removed from the data set.

If there was substantial interference by HNO4, what effect would it have on the diel (24-h) variability of HONO shown in Fig.3? Would interference be uniform throughout the day?
AU: Figure 3 suggests a slightly larger interference at night than at noon. That would suggest a maximum of HNO$_4$ at that time, possibly due to the accumulation of NO$_2$, the residual presence of HO$_2$ and the decreasing thermal decomposition. As discussed above, applying parameters used by Slusher et al. (2002) we simulate rather unchanged values from 70 pptv at night and 90 pptv during the day. Given the uncertainties of these simulations and in the absence of HNO$_4$ observations (including its diurnal cycle) we can only say that we don’t expect a strong change of the interference from day to night, which is consistent what we see in Figure 3.

p. 11767 l. 29 – please use a few words to describe “E-e” scheme.
AU: OK this sentence has been reworded as follows: “Parametrization of turbulence in the lowest model layer of MAR is based on the Monin-Obukhov Similarity theory (MOST). Above the surface boundary layer, turbulence is parametrized using the E - ε model that includes two prognostic equations for turbulent kinetic energy and its dissipation.”

Some mention of the extent of interference by NOz or other species in the PC/ chemiluminescence method for NO2 would be nice. I know they were mentioned in other publications but to save the reader time it might be better to say something here.

AU: Ok in the revised version we report more details on this point: “The chemiluminescence detector measured NO in one channel and the sum of NO and NO originating from the photolytic conversion of NO2 in the other channel. As discussed by Frey et al. (2013), among various nitrogen oxides able to interfere on the photolytic conversion channel only HONO has to be considered leading to an overestimation of NO2 levels by less than 5%.”

...and a few line edits.
p. 11752, l. 2 “recycling of HOX into OH”, sounds a little odd as OH is a member of the HOX family. May be, “HO2” instead of HOX?

AU: OK we replace HOx by HO2.
p. 11758, l. 5 “too weak”

AU: OK done

p. 11760, l. 7 “thermal decomposition”

AU: OK done

p.11761, l. 9-11 – sentence is not clear as written, please reword. Did you mean to say there is strong static stability, inhibiting vertical mixing? — — — —,

AU: OK this sentence has been reworded as follows: “In polar region, the strong static stability of the atmosphere often inhibits vertical mixing of surface emissions between the surface boundary layer and the rest of the atmosphere.”

l. 17 – collapse, rather than abrupt thinning?

AU: Yes done

p. 11764, l. 24 “doped” — — — —,

AU: OK done

l. 27 “act”

AU: OK done

p. 11769, l. 9 “sole”

AU: OK done
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Abstract

During the austral summer 2011/2012 atmospheric nitrous acid was investigated for the second time at the Concordia site (75°06'S, 123°33'E) located on the East Antarctic plateau by deploying a long path absorption photometer (LOPAP). Hourly mixing ratios of HONO measured in December 2011/January 2012 (35 ± 5.0 pptv) were similar to those measured in December 2010/January 2011 (30.4 ± 3.5 pptv). The large value of the HONO mixing ratio at the remote Concordia site suggests a local source of HONO in addition to weak production from oxidation of NO by the OH radical. Laboratory experiments demonstrate that surface snow removed from Concordia can produce gas phase HONO at mixing ratios half that of NO\textsubscript{x} mixing ratio produced in the same experiment at typical temperatures encountered at...
Concordia in summer. Using these lab data and the emission flux of NO\(_x\) from snow estimated from the vertical gradient of atmospheric concentrations measured during the campaign, a mean diurnal HONO snow emission ranging between 0.5 and 0.8x10\(^9\) molecules cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) is calculated. Model calculations indicate that, in addition to around 1.2 pptv of HONO produced by the NO oxidation, these HONO snow emissions can only explain 6.5 to 10.5 pptv of HONO in the atmosphere at Concordia. To explain the difference between observed and simulated HONO mixing ratios, tests were done both in the field and at lab to explore the possibility that the presence of HNO\(_4\) had biased the measurements of HONO.

1. Introduction

The existence of an oxidizing boundary layer over the Antarctic continent was first highlighted by measurements carried out at the South Pole, where a mean concentration of 2.5x10\(^6\) OH radicals cm\(^{-3}\) was observed (Mauldin et al., 2001a), making the South Pole atmospheric boundary layer as oxidative as the remote tropical marine boundary layer (Mauldin et al., 2001b). Chen et al. (2001) and Davis et al. (2001) showed that the presence of high concentrations of NO\(_x\) produced by the photolysis of nitrate present in surface snow permits the required efficient recycling of HO\(_2\) into OH. Aside from snow photochemical emission of NO\(_x\) that acts as a secondary source of OH, the role of HONO as a primary source of OH remains unclear. Using a mist chamber followed by ion chromatography analysis of nitrite, Dibb et al. (2004) reported a median HONO mixing ratio close to 30 pptv at the South Pole. However, follow-up measurements by laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) indicated lower mixing ratios (6 pptv on average) and an interference with HNO\(_4\) has been suspected (Liao et al., 2006). Furthermore, as discussed by Chen et al. (2004) the consideration of 30 pptv of HONO in the lower atmosphere over the South Pole leads to an OH over-prediction by gas-phase photochemical models by a factor of 3 to 5. The authors questioned whether the discrepancy between observed and simulated concentrations of OH at the South Pole was due to measurements of HONO suffering from overestimation due to chemical interferences or if the mechanisms of the model missed HO\(_x\) and NO\(_x\) losses.

Even at the level of a few pptv, the presence of HONO requires a source other than the gas-phase reaction of NO with OH and many studies measuring HONO in atmospheres overlying snow covered regions suspected HONO to be emitted from the surface snow in addition to NO\(_x\) (see Grannas et al. (2007) for a review). It has to be emphasized that most of the studies of HONO have concerned high (Arctic, Greenland) and mid (Colorado and Alps) northern latitudes where, in relation to the chemical composition of snow, the involved
HONO production processes would be very different compared to the case of Antarctica. Concerning Antarctic snow, following the pioneering shading experiment done by Jones et al. (2000) on snow from the coastal Antarctic site of Neumayer, numerous studies investigated the release of NO\textsubscript{x} from the snow (see references in Frey et al., this issue), but only two studies reported on HONO snow emissions and none of them examined together HONO and NO\textsubscript{x} emissions. Beine et al. (2006) reported small HONO fluxes (3\times10\textsuperscript{7} molecule cm\textsuperscript{-2} s\textsuperscript{-1}) above the Browning Pass (coastal Antarctic) snowpack. However, the snow chemical composition at that site is very atypical with a large presence of calcium (up to 4 ppm) attributed to the presence of a lot of rock out-crops at the site. As a consequence, even if nitrate is abundant (typically 200 ppb in fresh snow and more than 1 ppm in aged snow), the snow from that site appears to be weakly acidic and sometimes alkaline. Finally a few investigations of the vertical distribution of HONO were made at the South Pole (Dibb et al., 2004) but no fluxes were calculated. These previous Antarctic studies of HONO were using either mist chambers (Dibb et al., 2002) or high-performance liquid chromatography techniques (Beine et al., 2006). These “wet chemical instruments” sample HONO on humid or aqueous surfaces followed by analysis of the nitrite ion. However, it is well known that many heterogeneous reactions lead to the formation of nitrite on similar surfaces (Gutzwiller et al., 2002, Liao et al., 2006). In addition to these chemical interferences, it is also known that HONO can decompose or be formed on various surfaces (Chan et al., 1976). That may affect data when sampling lines of up to 30 m length were used for polar measurements (see, e.g., Beine et al., 2006).

Motivated by a strong need to extend investigations of the oxidation capacity of the lower atmosphere at the scale of the whole Antarctic continent, the OPALE (Oxidant Production over Antarctic Land and its Export) project was initiated at the end of 2010 in East Antarctica. The first OPALE campaign was conducted during austral summer 2010/2011 at the coastal site of Dumont D’Urville (Preunkert et al., 2012) and focused on OH and RO\textsubscript{2} measurements (Kukui et al., 2012). During this first campaign, preliminary investigations of HONO were performed at the continental site of Concordia (also denoted DC, 3233 m above sea level). In spite of the use of a long path absorption photometer (LOPAP), thought to avoid all known artefacts, high mixing ratios of HONO were observed (from 5 to 59 pptv, Kerbrat et al., 2012). In the framework of the OPALE project, a second summer campaign (2011-2012) was conducted at DC with simultaneous measurements of HONO, NO, NO\textsubscript{2}, OH and RO\textsubscript{2} that are discussed in a set of companion papers of which this is one.
The paper presented here focuses on HONO data gained during the second campaign at DC. It also reports on snow irradiation experiments conducted in the laboratory at British Antarctic Survey (BAS) on surface snow samples collected at Concordia in view to quantify a possible photochemical snow source of HONO. This was done by measuring simultaneously HONO with the LOPAP, NO and NO₂ with a 2-channel chemiluminescence detector. From these data we crudely estimate the amount of HONO released from snow within the lower atmosphere at Concordia on the basis of the NOₓ snow emissions derived from the vertical gradient of atmospheric concentrations measured during the campaign by Frey et al. (this issue). The derived values of the HONO flux were used in 1D modeling calculations to evaluate the contribution of this snow source to the large HONO mixing ratios observed at DC. Finally, to evaluate a suspected possible interference of HNO₄ on the HONO mixing ratio measured by the LOPAP, field experiments were conducted by heating sampled air prior to its introduction in the LOPAP device, heating being a convenient way to destroy HNO₄. The selectivity to HNO₄ and the response of the LOPAP during the heating events was also investigated in the laboratory by mass spectrometry at Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI).

2. Methods and Site

2.1 HONO measurement method

HONO was measured using a long path absorption photometer (LOPAP) which has been described in detail elsewhere (Heland et al., 2001; Kleffmann et al., 2002). In brief, after being sampled into a temperature controlled stripping coil containing a mixture of sulfanilamide in a 1N HCl solution, HONO is derivatized into a coloured azo dye. The light absorption by the azo dye is measured in a long path absorption tube by a spectrometer at 550 nm using an optical path length of 5 m. The LOPAP did not have long sampling lines or inlet. The stripping coil was placed directly in the atmosphere being sampled. The LOPAP has two stripping coils connected in series to correct interferences. In the first coil (channel 1), HONO is trapped quantitatively together with a small amount of the interfering substances. Assuming that these interfering species are trapped in a similar amount in the second coil (channel 2), the difference between the signals resulting from stripping in each coil provides an interference-free HONO signal (Heland et al., 2001) Air was sampled at a flow rate of 1 L min⁻¹ and the flow rate of the stripping solution was of 0.17 mL min⁻¹. Calibrations were performed every five days. Relative deviations of the calibration signal were of 3% and 9% at 3σ for channel 1 and 2, respectively. The quantification limit of the LOPAP instrument used in this study was as low as 1.5 pptv (taken as 10 σ of all zero measurements done by sampling
pure N\textsubscript{2}) with a time resolution of 9 min. More details on the set up of the LOPAP device in
the fields can be found in Kerbrat et al. (2012). Similarly to the first campaign, the amount of
interferences in the second coil was on average 9 \pm 7 \% of total signal (instead of 10 \pm 5 \% 
found by Kerbrat et al. (2012) in 2010/2011). The LOPAP was tested for numerous possible
interfering NO\textsubscript{x} and NO\textsubscript{y} species including alkynitrates. It was concluded that when
significant the two channels approach was able to well correct the HONO data (Kleffmann
and Wiesen, 2008). It has, however, to be emphasized that no tests have been conducted for
HNO\textsubscript{4}.

During the field campaign, HONO was occasionally sampled in the snow interstitial air
by pumping air through a PFA tube (5 m long, 4 mm internal diameter) at a flow rate of 1 L
min\textsuperscript{-1}. In addition, to evaluate a possible influence of HNO\textsubscript{4} on HONO measurements, field
experiments were undertaken by heating air sampled through a 9 m long PFA tube. Tests
were performed to evaluate potential loss or formation of HONO in the PFA tubes by running
the LOPAP for 30 min with and without a tube connected to the inlet of the LOPAP,
sampling air at the same height. In order to account for possible fast natural change of HONO
mixing ratios the test was repeated three times successively. The tests were carried out with
ambient mixing ratios of 20 pptv as encountered at mid-day December 23\textsuperscript{rd} and 40 pptv in the
morning December 28\textsuperscript{th}. In the two cases losses of around 4 pptv and 7 pptv were observed
when using the 5 m and 9 m long PFA tube, respectively. These losses will be considered in
discussing HONO mixing ratios in interstitial air (see Sect. 3) or the interference of HNO\textsubscript{4}
(see Sect. 6).

2.2 Field atmospheric measurements and snow samplings

The second OPALE field campaign took place at DC located over the high East
Antarctic plateau from late November 2011 to mid-January 2012. Nitrous acid was measured
1 m above ground level, about 900 m south-southwest from the main Concordia station.
Measurements that started December 4\textsuperscript{th} were interrupted from December 9\textsuperscript{th} to 15\textsuperscript{th},
December 16\textsuperscript{th} to 18\textsuperscript{th}, and December 28\textsuperscript{th} to 30\textsuperscript{th} afternoon due to problems on the LOPAP
device. January 1\textsuperscript{st}, 2\textsuperscript{nd}, and from January 10\textsuperscript{th} to 13\textsuperscript{th} air measurements were stopped to
measure HONO in snow interstitial air. During the measurement campaign, the main wind
direction was from the southeast to southwest. Several episodes with wind blowing from
North (from 10°W to 60°E sector), i.e. from the direction of the station, were encountered
(see the red points in Fig. 1). During some of these pollution events (December 31\textsuperscript{st} around
22:00 for instance), sharp peaks of HONO mixing ratios exceeding 100 pptv were observed.
These events were also detected in the NO\textsubscript{x} time series (Frey et al., this issue) with sharp peaks in the range of 100 ppbv or more (120 ppbv December 31\textsuperscript{st} around 22:00 for instance). The ratio of excess of HONO to excess of NO\textsubscript{x} during these events is close to 10\textsuperscript{-3}. The ratios of HONO/NO\textsubscript{x} reported by measurements made in traffic tunnels range from 3x10\textsuperscript{-3} (Kirchstetter et al., 1996) to 8x10\textsuperscript{-3} (Kurtenbach et al., 2001). When compared to ratios observed in tunnels, the lower ratio seen in the plume of the DC station when it reaches the sampling line is likely due the rapid photolytic destruction of HONO whose lifetime is still as short as 20 min at the high solar zenith angles prevailing at DC around 22:00 in summer. In the following the data corresponding to red points reported in Fig. 1 were removed from the HONO data set.

Concurrent measurements of chemical species that are relevant for discussion include ozone, NO, NO\textsubscript{2}, OH, and RO\textsubscript{2}. Surface ozone was monitored simultaneously to HONO using UV absorption monitors (Thermo electron Corporation model 491) deployed at DC since 2007 (Legrand et al., 2009). Nitrogen oxides were determined by deploying a 2-channel chemiluminescence detector (Bauguitte et al., 2012; Frey et al., 2013; Frey et al., this issue). The chemiluminescence detector measured NO in one channel and the sum of NO and NO\textsubscript{2} originating from the photolytic conversion of NO\textsubscript{2} in the other channel. As discussed by Frey et al. (2013), among various nitrogen oxides able to interfere on the photolytic conversion channel only HONO has to be considered leading to an overestimation of NO\textsubscript{2} levels by less than 5\%. The radicals (OH and RO\textsubscript{2}) were measured using chemical ionisation mass spectrometry (Kukui et al., 2012; Kukui et al., this issue). During the campaign the photolysis rate of HONO was documented using a Met-Con 2\pi spectral radiometer equipped with a CCD detector and a spectral range from 285 to 700 nm (see details in Kukui et al., this issue).

Different surface snow samples were collected at DC and returned to the UK to be used in irradiation experiments (see Sect. 2.3 and Sect. 4). First, the upper 12 cm of snow were collected in December 2010. Second, the upper centimetre of snow corresponding to freshly drifted snow was collected December 6\textsuperscript{th} 2011. The samples were characterized by their specific surface area (SSA). Measurements were performed using an Alpine Snowpack Specific Surface Area Profiler, an instrument similar to that one described by Arnaud et al. (2011) based on the infrared reflectance technique. Briefly, a laser diode at 1310 nm illuminates the snow sample at nadir incidence angle and the reflected hemispherical radiance is measured. The hemispherical reflectance at 1310 nm is related to the SSA using the analytical relationship proposed by Khokanovsky and Zege (2004). The SSA of the drifting snow is close to 26 m\textsuperscript{2} kg\textsuperscript{-1}, and the upper 12 cm is 17 m\textsuperscript{2} kg\textsuperscript{-1}. Such values appears to be
close to typical Dome C values reported in the literature (Gallet et al., 2011), suggesting that lab experiments conducted on these snow samples (see Sect. 4) may be relevant to discuss at least qualitatively natural processes occurring at DC.

The upper surface snow (from 0 to 1 cm, and from 0 to 12 cm) at DC were also sampled and analysed for major anions and cations following working conditions reported in Legrand et al. (2013). For cations (Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\), and NH\(_4^+\)), a Dionex 500 chromatograph equipped with a CS12 separator column was used. For anions, a Dionex 600 equipped with an AS11 separator column was run with a quaternary gradient of eluents (H\(_2\)O, NaOH at 2.5 and 100 mM, and CH\(_3\)OH) allowing the determination of inorganic species (Cl\(^-\), NO\(_3^-\), and SO\(_4^{2-}\)) as well as methanesulfonate (CH\(_3\)SO\(_3^-\)). The acidity of samples can be evaluated by the ionic balance between anions and cations with concentrations expressed in micro-equivalents per liter (\(\mu\)Eq L\(^{-1}\)):

\[
[H^+] = [Cl^-] + [NO_3^-] + [SO_4^{2-}] + [CH_3SO_3^-] - [Na^+] - [K^+] - [Mg^{2+}] - [Ca^{2+}] - [NH_4^+] \quad (1)
\]

2.3 Snow irradiation experiments conducted at BAS

As discussed in Sect. 5, model simulations indicate that the production of HONO from the reaction of OH with NO is far too weak to explain observations at Dome C and that an additional light driven HONO source is needed. To quantify a possible photochemical snow source of HONO, lab experiments were conducted at BAS by irradiating snow collected at DC and measuring gas-phase evolution of NO and NO\(_2\) with a 2-channel chemiluminescence detector (Bauguitte et al., 2012) as deployed at DC (Frey et al. 2013, Frey et al. this issue) and HONO with the LOPAP that ran at DC during the 2010/2011 and 2011/2012 campaigns. A 20 cm long cylinder (6 cm inner diameter) was filled with \(\sim 120\) g of snow inside an airtight glass reaction chamber (total length of 40 cm, 6 cm inner diameter) and put in a freezer of which the temperature was varied between -5 to -35°C. Further details on the characteristics of the reaction chamber can be found in Meusinger et al. (2014). The reaction chamber is maintained vertically in a freezer and a 1000 W Xenon-arc lamp was put above the freezer. The snow was irradiated by directing the light axially along the tube through a quartz window, which makes up the top surface of the chamber. Chemically pure air was supplied to the chamber from a pure air generator (Ecophysics, PAG003) in which air is dried at -15°C. To match the relative humidity of the snow under investigation and limit metamorphism the chemically pure (humid) air dry was passed through a cold trap at the temperature of the experiment. Note that with this system and for temperatures above -30°C, no condensation trace was observed in the tubes outflow of the chamber. The flow rate of zero air was 4.3 L
min$^{-1}$ while the detection systems sampled processed air at a rate of 2.0 L min$^{-1}$ for NO$_x$ and 1.0 L min$^{-1}$ for HONO. The overflow of 1.3 L min$^{-1}$ was diverted through a flow metre to check for potential leaks. While the inlet line between the reaction chamber and the NO$_x$ analyser was several m long, the length between the outlet of the reaction chamber and the LOPAP inlet was kept as short as possible (i.e. 25 cm). To do so the inlet of the LOPAP was arranged in the freezer. The wavelength range of the 1000 W Xenon-arc lamp (Oriel Instruments) was 200-2500 nm, modulated using filters with various cut-on points. The short residence time of NO$_2$ (∼ 4 s) in our small chamber prevents significant photolysis of NO$_2$ to occur during the experiments. Indeed, the J$_{NO2}$ of 2 $10^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ measured by Cotter et al. (2003) for a 1000 W Xenon-arc lamp, as also used in the present study, leads to a lifetime of NO$_2$ with respect to photolysis of 50 s at the front of the snow block.

2.4 Experiments performed at PSI to investigate a possible HNO$_4$ interference on HONO measurements

As will be discussed in Sect. 6, it may be difficult to reconcile typical mixing ratios of HONO measured 1 m above surface snow at Concordia with a reasonable estimate of the mixing ratio of HONO owing to emissions from snow due to snowpack photochemistry. It was suspected that HNO$_4$ was detected and measured as HONO by the LOPAP instrument. As briefly reported below, a few experiments conducted at PSI indicate that the LOPAP instrument does have an interference for HNO$_4$. Mixing ratios of HNO$_4$ were not measured at DC, so the aim of the experiments described below was not to quantify the interference to enable correction of the Concordia HONO data, but to demonstrate that such an interference exits. The result of an experiment conducted under specific conditions is reported. A full characterization of the interference on HONO at various mixing ratios of HNO$_4$ in the presence or not of other trace gases present at DC is beyond the scope of this paper.

The interference of the LOPAP device was examined at the PSI where a gas-phase synthesis of HNO$_4$ has been developed by irradiating a mixture of NO$_2$/H$_2$O/CO/O$_2$/N$_2$ at 172 nm (Bartels-Rausch et al., 2011). By-products of the synthesis are HONO, HNO$_3$, and H$_2$O$_2$. The synthesis gas was fed into the sampling unit of the LOPAP and the resulting LOPAP signals in presence and absence of HNO$_4$ were compared. Heating the synthesis gas to a temperature of 100°C prior to sampling by the LOPAP allowed selective removal of HNO$_4$ from the gas mixture. The mixing ratios of HONO, NO$_2$, H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_3$ that are present in the synthesis gas were independently monitored with a chemical ionisation mass spectrometer (CIMS), which was calibrated by using several analysers as detailed in Ulrich et al. (2012).
An example of the mixing ratios of HNO$_4$ and HONO measured by CIMS and of the corresponding LOPAP signals in channel 1 and 2 is shown in Fig. 2. The relative amount of HONO (780 pptv) and HNO$_4$ (1000 pptv) observed in the synthetized mixture (prior heating) is typical for this synthesis (Bartels-Rausch et al., 2011). The experiment shows the response of the signals when the heating trap used to decompose HNO$_4$ is applied. As seen in Fig. 2, the mixing ratios of HONO, NO$_2$, H$_2$O$_2$ or O$_3$ that may influence the response of the LOPAP instrument did not change upon the thermal decomposition of HNO$_4$. A decrease of the LOPAP signal in channel 1 is observed during the heating event, indicating that 1 ppbv of HNO$_4$ corresponds to a signal in the LOPAP of 150 pptv. Examination of the signals of the two LOPAP channels (Fig. 2) suggests that HNO$_4$ has been efficiently sampled in the first channel. It is well known that HNO$_4$ efficiently decomposes to NO$_2^-$ in acidic solutions (Regimbal and Mozurkewich, 1997), just like HONO does in the LOPAP sample unit. Based on the identical hydrolysis products, one might thus expect a rather large interference. The high sampling efficiency of HONO and potentially HNO$_4$, both of which have similar partitioning coefficients to acidic solutions, is driven by the fast reaction of their hydrolysis product (NO$_2^-$) with the reagents in the sampling solution of the LOPAP instrument. A full characterization of the interference by HNO$_4$ (its behaviour and quantification over a large range of concentrations, in the presence or absence of other gases) is needed to improve the use of the LOPAP in very cold atmospheres. We suggest a detailed investigation of LOPAP instrument response to different compositions of test gas mixture (i.e. with larger mixing ratios of H$_2$O$_2$), and with an investigation of the potentially complex (non-linear) chemistry of sampled gases. At this stage we can only exclude an oxidation of the dye used in the LOPAP instrument by HNO$_4$, as careful inspection of the absorption spectrum of the LOPAP dye reveals no significant change during heating. Assuming the interference of HONO signal by HNO$_4$ to be linear, one would expect an interference of ~15 pptv in the HONO signal due to a mixing ratio of 100 pptv of HNO$_4$. Given the absence of measurements of the mixing ratio of HNO$_4$ at Concordia, further experiments were conducted in the field at Concordia to directly estimate this interference as detailed in Sect. 6.

3. HONO observations at Concordia

Removing data suspected to have been impacted by pollution from station activities (see Sect. 2.2), one-minute average mixing ratio of 35 ± 14 pptv is observed in December 2011/January 2012 compared to 28 ± 12 pptv measured by Kerbrat et al. (2012) for December 2010/ January 2011/2012.
The mean diurnal cycles of surface ozone, HONO, air temperature and the PBL height simulated by MAR are reported and compared for the two summers in Fig. 3. In polar region, the strong static stability of the atmosphere often inhibits vertical mixing of surface emissions between the surface boundary layer and the rest of the atmosphere. At DC, the surface absorbs solar radiations during the day, heats the lower atmosphere and generates positive buoyancy that is responsible for an increase of turbulent kinetic energy and the subsequent increase of the boundary layer height seen in Fig. 3. This boundary layer is referred to as the "daytime boundary layer". The surface cooling after 17:00 generates negative buoyancy near the surface. A new boundary layer referred as the "night-time boundary layer" develops but remains less active than the previous daytime boundary layer. The collapse of the boundary layer after 17:00 seen in Fig. 3 is in fact the representation of the transition between the daytime and nighttime boundary layer.

The two mean summer ozone records indicate a drop of 1 to 2 ppbv around mid-day compared to early morning and evening values (Fig. 3). This small surface ozone change over the course of the day at DC has already been observed by Legrand et al. (2009) who attributed it to the increase of the PBL height in the afternoon that counteracts a local photochemical production of O₃ in the range of 0.2 ppbv hr⁻¹ during day-time.

Consistently with the previous 2010/2011 measurements from Kerbrat et al. (2012), the HONO mixing ratios exhibit a well-marked diurnal variation characterized by morning (around 5:00-7:00) and evening (around 20:00) maxima exceeding mid-day values by some 10 pptv. Therefore, in addition to an expected more efficient photolysis of HONO during the day, the increase of the daytime boundary layer may also accounts for the observed decreased HONO mixing ratios during the day in spite of a more active snow source (see discussions in Sect. 5). Such a diurnal variability characterized by noon minimum was also observed for NOₓ by Frey et al. (2013) and attributed to the interplay between photochemical snow source and boundary layer dynamics.

As shown in Fig. 3, the larger HONO mixing ratios calculated for 2011/2012 (diurnal mean of 35 ± 5.0 pptv) with respect to the 2010/2011 ones (diurnal mean of 30.5 ± 3.5 pptv) concern both the mid-day minimum and the morning/evening maxima. The difference between the two summers is however reduced when the first week of measurements undertaken December in 2011 is removed with a lower diurnal mean (31.7 ± 4.3 pptv instead of 35 ± 5 pptv over the entire measurement period, see the blue points in Fig. 3). The case of beginning of December 2011 with respect to the rest of the summer 2011/2012 is highlighted in Fig. 3. It can be seen that the far thinner PBL height of early December (maximum of 145...
m instead of 350 m over the entire period) may have lead to a more confined HONO production (see violet points in Fig. 3). Note also the relatively high ozone mixing ratios at that time (33 ± 4 ppbv in early December instead of 26 ± 1 ppbv over the entire period).

Conversely, at the end of the period the PBL became thicker (maximum of 570 m) and the mixing ratios of ozone (24 ± 1 ppbv) and nitrous acid (31 ± 4 pptv) were lower than on average (see red points in Fig. 3). Finally, early December 2011 the highest daily average mixing ratio of HONO was observed December 7th and 8th (56 pptv, Fig. 1) correspond not only to a thin PBL but also to lowest value of total ozone column (260 DU instead of 296 ± 20 DDU on average) measured by the SAOZ at DC. Similarly, during the 2010/2011 campaign the highest values reported at the end of the campaign (44 pptv from 15th to 18th January) by Kerbrat et al. (2012) correspond to the lowest value of total ozone column (270 DU instead of 303 ± 17 DDU on average). It therefore seems that HONO mixing ratios measured at 1 m at DC are also sensitive to the UV actinic flux reaching the surface. This link between stratospheric ozone and photochemistry of snow at the ground is discussed in more detail by Frey et al. (this issue).

It therefore seems that one of the main causes for the difference between the 2011/2012 and 2010/2011 mean summer values is mainly related to the slightly different atmospheric vertical stability conditions experienced over the different sampling times of the two summers, with an earlier HONO sampling in December 2011 than in December 2010 leading to higher HONO mixing ratios in a very thin and stable boundary layer. In conclusion, this second study of HONO confirms the abundance of this species in the lower atmosphere at DC with a typical mean mixing ratio of 30 pptv from mid-December to mid-January.

As already discussed by Kerbrat et al. (2012) (see also Sect. 5), the existence of a large photochemical source of HONO in the snow-pack is needed to explain these large mixing ratios of HONO measured above the snowpack. Measurements of the mixing ratio of HONO were therefore performed in snow interstitial air at different depths. From the top few cm of the snowpack down to 75 cm depth, mixing ratios of HONO in snowpack interstitial air tended to exceed those in the air above the snowpack, supporting the existence of a snow source of HONO (Fig. 4). However, given the interference of HNO₄ on HONO mixing ratio data as discussed in Sect. 6, it is difficult to use the observed vertical gradient of HONO mixing ratio to derive an estimate of emission of HONO from the snowpack. Indeed, typical values of HNO₄ mixing ratios are available in lower atmosphere of the Antarctic plateau (Sect. 6) but not yet in snow interstitial air. Also it remains difficult to accurately estimate the
production rate of HNO\textsubscript{4} in snow interstitial air from the reaction of NO\textsubscript{2} with HO\textsubscript{2} versus its uptake on natural ice surface.

To confirm the snowpack as a source of HONO (and as detailed in the following section) we carried out a laboratory experiment to evaluate the ratio of HONO to NO\textsubscript{x} released from natural surface snows collected at DC under controlled laboratory conditions (i.e. wavelength of light, temperature, snow specific area) to estimate the HONO snow emission flux relative to the snow emission flux of NO\textsubscript{x} for the same snowpack as derived from atmospheric concentration vertical gradient measured during the campaign by Frey et al. (this issue).

4. Lab experiments on natural snow collected at DC

Table 1 summarized the results of experiments conducted at BAS by irradiating surface snows collected at Dome C (see Sect. 2.3). NO\textsubscript{x} and HONO are produced when snow is irradiated. Several laboratory experiments were conducted to investigate the wavelength, temperature and snow chemical composition dependence of HONO release from snow. Similar to previous laboratory experiments conducted by Cotter et al. (2003) on surface snows collected in coastal Antarctica, the NO\textsubscript{x} release is found to halve when the optical filter in the front of the irradiation lamp (cut off for < 295 nm) is replaced by a cut off filter for illumination wavelength smaller than 320 nm (Table 1). Cotter et al. (2003) demonstrated no measurable emission of NO\textsubscript{x} from the snow when illuminated with a lamp with wavelengths shaded below 345 nm, being consistent with NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} photolysis. Fig. 5 illustrates the wavelength dependence of HONO release showing the effect of insertion of a filter with different cut-on points. Similarly to the NO\textsubscript{x}, the HONO release is decreased by a factor two when inserting the filter at 320 nm and become insignificant at 385 nm (Table 1).

While the observed wavelength dependency of the NO\textsubscript{x} release supports the hypothesis that the photolysis of nitrate present in snow is the major source of released NOx (via its major channel: NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} + hv \rightarrow NO\textsubscript{2} + O\textsuperscript{+}), for HONO it is still unclear if either the nitrate photolysis efficiently produces directly HONO from hydrolysis of NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-} produced by the second channel of the nitrate photolysis (NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-} + hv \rightarrow NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-} + O) or HONO is secondary produced from NO\textsubscript{2} (Villena et al., 2011). Indeed, lab experiments conducted on nitrate doped ice suggest that the first channel is a factor of 8-9 more efficient than the second one. It is suspected that the HONO production may be significantly higher than it is when considering this second channel since the NO\textsubscript{2} produced by the first channel may subsequently act as a precursor of HONO. The wavelength dependency of HONO release observed during previous
experiments does not however help to separate the primary and secondary source of HONO during irradiation since they were done with chemically pure air and when placing the cut off filter at 385 nm we suppress the primary source of HONO as well as NO₂ that is needed for secondary HONO production.

Among possible secondary productions it is generally accepted that the reduction of NO₂ on photo-sensitized organic material like humic acid (George et al., 2005; Bartels-Rausch et al., 2010) would proceed more efficiently than the disproportionation reaction of NO₂ (2 NO₂ + H₂O → HONO + HNO₃) (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 2003). As discussed by Grannas et al. (2007), the relevance of this secondary production was supported even for Antarctica by the significant presence of dissolved fulvic acid reported for Antarctic snow (26-46 ppbC) by Calace et al. (2005). However, the previously assumed ubiquitous presence of organics in polar snow that is needed to reduce NO₂ into HONO was recently reviewed by Legrand et al. (2013) who found that organics (and humic acids) are far less abundant in Antarctica compared to Greenland or mid-latitude glaciers like the Alps. For instance, the typical dissolved organic content of summer surface snow is only 10-27 ppbC at Concordia (Legrand et al., 2013) against 110 ± 45 ppbC at Summit and 300 ppbC in the Alps. Furthermore, recent HULIS measurements of surface snows collected at DC do not confirm the previously observed abundance (2 ppbC instead of 26-46 ppbC). From lab experiments conducted by irradiating ice films containing humic acid in the presence of NO₂, Bartels-Rausch et al. (2010) derived production rates of HONO from NO₂. From that the authors roughly estimated light driven HONO fluxes of 10^{13} molecule m^{-2} s^{-1} from snow covered surface area assuming the presence of 100 pptv NO₂ in the snow interstitial air and a concentration of 10 ppbC of humic acid in snow. Keeping in mind uncertainties in extrapolating lab experiments to conditions relevant to the lower atmosphere at Dome C, with typical NO₂ mixing ratios of 1 to 10 ppbv in interstitial air at 10 cm below the surface at Dome C (Frey et al., this issue), the presence of 2 ppbC of HULIS in snow may still lead to a significant HONO production from NO₂ at the site. If HULIS are located at the surface of snow grains, much more than 2 ppbC of HULIS would be available to react with NO₂ present in interstitial air of the snowpack to produce HONO.

Irradiation experiments with insertion of the filter at 295 nm were conducted at temperatures ranging from 240 to 260 K. As seen in Table 1, whereas the NOₓ release was found to be temperature independent (as previously shown by Cotter et al., 2003), a large dependence is found for HONO with an increase by a factor of 2.2 when the temperature of snow is increased from 240 to 260 K. A temperature dependence of the HONO emissions is
expected since the partition coefficient of HONO between ice and air increases by a factor of 1.58 between 240 K and 260 K (Crowley et al., 2010). As a consequence the HONO to NOx release is smaller at 240K than at 260K. For the example of the surface snow reported in Table 1, this ratio steadily increases from 0.3 at 240 K, 0.5 at 250 K to 0.8 at 260 K.

As reported in Table 1, experiments were made with DC snow containing from 160 to 1400 ppb of nitrate. As expected higher nitrate content leads to higher snow release of NOx and HONO but the increase of HONO is larger than the one of NOx. For instance, at a temperature close to -20°C, the first upper cm of surface snow releases almost twice more HONO compared NOx than the snow collected from the surface to 12 cm depth. The more acidic character of the snow collected in the upper first centimetre compared to the one collected down to 12 cm below the surface (see Table 1) may favour the release of a weak acid species like HONO.

5. Model calculations

Observed atmospheric mixing ratios were compared with steady-state calculations made by considering major gas-phase sources and sinks of HONO. The major sink of HONO is its photolysis. The photolysis rate constant ($J_{HONO}$) were measured with a 2π spectroradiometer (see Sect. 2.2). The value of $J_{HONO}$ was calculated for light from 4π steradians from the downwelling value of $J_{HONO}$ measured over 2π steradians by assuming a surface albedo of 0.95, a typical value for regions covered by dry snow and wavelength shorter than 400 nm (Hudson et al., 2006; France et al., 2011). The main gas-phase production of HONO is the reaction of NO with OH radicals. Steady-state calculations indicate that under noon conditions encountered at DC (a $J_{HONO}$ value of 3.7x10^{-3} s^{-1}, 5x10^6 OH rad. cm^{-3} (Kukui et al., this issue), and 50 pptv of NO (Frey et al., this issue)), a HONO mixing ratio of 1 pptv is expected. Steady-state calculated diurnal HONO profile (Fig. 6) suggests a HONO maximum of 2.5 pptv at 19:00 due to the presence of a maximum of 120 pptv of NO (Frey et al., this issue).

Another gas-phase source of HONO was recently proposed by Li et al. (2014) via reaction of HO$_2$(H$_2$O) complex with NO$_2$:

$$\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2\text{NO}_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$\text{HO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{HO}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$\text{HO}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O}) + \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HONO} + \text{other products}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)
Reaction of HO$_2$(H$_2$O) complex with NO$_2$ was first suggested by Sander and Peterson (1984) to explain the observation of a linear dependence of the effective rate constant of the reaction of HO$_2$ with NO$_2$ on the concentration of water vapour in the temperature range 275-298 K. Assuming reaction mechanism (2-4) Sander and Peterson (1984) derived temperature dependence for the effective third-order rate constant of the reaction HO$_2$+NO$_2$+H$_2$O, $k_{III}^4(T)$, with $k_{III}^4(T)$ representing the product $k_4^S$×$K_3$, where $k_4$ is the bimolecular rate constant for reaction HO$_2$(H$_2$O) with NO$_2$ and $K_3$ is equilibrium constant for reaction (3). The possible contribution of reaction (4) to form HONO at Concordia was evaluated by assuming a unity yield of HONO for the reaction (4). The rate constant $k_4(T)$ in the temperature range 275-298 K was estimated from the $k_{III}^4(T)$ data of Sander and Peterson (1984) using recent recommendations for $K_3(T)$ and $k_2(T)$ from Sander et al. (2011): $k_4(T) = k_{III}^4(T) / K_3(T)$ × $k_2(T)/k_2^{Sander}$, where $k_2(T)^{Sander}$ are data from Sander and Peterson (1984). The values of $k_4(T)$ at low temperatures encountered at Concordia were obtained by extrapolating the $k_4(T)/k_2(T)$ data from Sander and Peterson (1984) and assuming a logarithmic dependence of $k_4(T)/k_2(T)$ on 1/T, similar to reaction of HO$_2$(H$_2$O) with HO$_2$ (Sanders et al., 2011). The resulting dependence ($k_4(T)/k_2(T) = 10^{-1505.3/T(K)+5.4}$) predicts significantly lower water enhancement effect at low temperature ($k_4/k_2=0.12$ at 240K compared to 2.2 at 298K). Using these $k_4$ values and observations of OH, NO, HO$_2$, NO$_2$ and H$_2$O, the low temperatures encountered at Concordia make negligible the formation of HONO from the reaction (4). This hypothetical HONO source would contribute for 10-20% of the HONO production from the reaction OH+NO and would result in less than 1% of the measured HONO.

An additional source of HONO is obviously required to account for observed mixing ratios of a few tens of pptv. On the basis of laboratory experiments presented in Sect. 4, we examine to what extent the snow photochemical source of HONO accounts for atmospheric observations of HONO at Concordia. Simulations were made with a numerical 1-D box model that considers, in addition to the above-mentioned gas-phase sources and sinks of HONO, a flux from the snow and its diffusive vertical transport. The turbulent diffusion coefficients ($K_z$) were calculated by the regional atmospheric MAR model (Modèle Atmosphérique Régional). Since cloud cover is responsible for an increase of around 50% of the down-welling long-wave radiations in summer at DC, when the cloud cover is underestimated, the surface heat budget is not well simulated and this strongly impacts the turbulence simulated by the model. We therefore performed calculations only for days with clear sky conditions (see Fig. 1).
We used the MAR model with a horizontal resolution of 20 km centred at Concordia; a top level is at 1 hPa with 100 vertical levels. The vertical resolution is 0.9 m up to 23 m above the surface, and decreases upward. MAR $K_z$ values are linearly interpolated to the vertical grid used in our 1D simulation, spacing 0.1 m from the ground to 5 m, 0.2 m from 5 to 7 m, 0.5 m from 7 to 10 m, around 1 m from 10 to 20 m and then increases up to 120 m at 1200 m height, respectively. MAR data above a height of 1200 m were not used here since during investigated period the top of the PBL remained below this value. The MAR model uses primitive equations with the hydrostatic assumption. A description of the model that has been validated with respect to observations from Automatic Weather Station at DC, is given by Gallée and Gorodetskaya [2008] and references therein. Parametrization of turbulence in the lowest model layer of MAR is based on the Monin-Obukhov Similarity theory (MOST). Above the surface boundary layer, turbulence is parametrized using the E - $\epsilon$ model that includes two prognostic equations for turbulent kinetic energy and its dissipation. MAR simulations have been recently validated for winter with respect to observations from Automatic Weather Station at Concordia (Gallée and Gorodetskaya, 2008) and for summer (Gallée et al., this issue). The boundary layer (PBL) height was computed from MAR simulations by taking the height where the turbulent kinetic energy decreases below 5 % of the value of the lowest layer of the model.

In Fig. 6 we report the simulated diurnal cycle of HONO mixing ratio at 1 m above the ground at Concordia when a photochemical snow release of HONO is applied. The HONO flux used in these calculations was obtained by multiplying the values of the NO$_x$ snow emission flux derived from field observations at Concordia (Frey et al., this issue) by the temperature dependent factor reported for surface snow in Table 1. Since, as discussed in section 4, lab experiments indicate no significant change of the ratio of HONO/NO$_x$ release when replacing the filter with a 295 nm cut-on point by the one at 320 nm (Table 1), and given a maximum of the aqueous absorption cross section for nitrate centered at 300 nm (Gaffney et al., 1992), we have assumed that the ratio is similar under the two wavelength conditions and used the temperature dependency found when the filter with a cut-off point at 295 nm was inserted (Table 1). In this way under temperature conditions encountered at Dome C we have assumed a HONO/NO$_x$ ratio ranging from 0.57 during the day (at -25°C) and 0.3 at night (at -35°C). The derived HONO snow emission flux estimate would represent an upper limit since, as seen in Sect. 4, the upper 12 cm of snow emits less HONO than NO$_x$ compared to the surface snow. As seen in Fig. 6, using this upper estimate of the HONO snow emission (mean diurnal value of 0.8x10$^9$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) simulations show that, in
addition to around 1.2 pptv of HONO produced by the NO oxidation, the HONO snow emissions can account for 10.5 pptv of HONO in the atmosphere at Concordia. Assuming a lower HONO to NO\(_x\) ratio of snow emissions as suggested by the experiment conducted with the upper 12 cm of snow collected at Concordia (Table 1), mean diurnal HONO emission of 0.5x10\(^9\) molecules cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) is estimated leading to a related HONO mixing ratio of 6.5 pptv (total of 8 pptv together with NO oxidation). It has also to be emphasized that these estimated HONO snow emission fluxes were derived from values of the HONO/NO\(_x\) photochemical production ratio observed in laboratory experiments carried out by flowing zero air through the snow instead of natural interstitial air of which the chemical composition may be very different.

An upper value of the ratio of HONO to NO\(_x\) mixing ratios often serves as a reference value to discuss the consistency of HONO mixing ratios (Kleffmann and Wiesen, 2008; Villena et al., 2011). Steady-state calculations indicate that the HONO/NO\(_x\) ratio reaches a maximum value equal to the ratio of HONO to NO\(_x\) lifetimes (\(t_{\text{HONO}}/t_{\text{NO}_x}\)), when it is assumed that HONO is the sole source of NO\(_x\). The measured HONO photolysis rate constants (see Sect. 2.2) indicate an atmospheric lifetime of HONO at Concordia ranging from 4.5 min to 24 min at 12:00 and 0:00, respectively. Using OH and HO\(_2\) concentrations observed by Kukui et al. (this issue), an atmospheric lifetime of NO\(_x\) ranging from 3 hours at 12:00 to 7 hours at 0:00 can be estimated. From that, the upper limit of the HONO/NO\(_x\) ratio at Concordia would be close to 0.03 and 0.06 at 12:00 and 0:00, respectively. Using the HONO mixing ratios simulated when a mean diurnal HONO snow emission of 0.8x10\(^9\) molecules cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) is considered (Fig. 6) and NO\(_x\) mixing ratios observed at Concordia (around 200 pptv, Frey et al., this issue), we calculate a mean diurnal HONO/NO\(_x\) ratio of 0.06. This value slightly exceeds the maximum steady state HONO/NO\(_x\) ratio estimated from HONO and NO\(_x\) photochemical lifetimes. Note, however, that more accurate estimation of the upper limit of the HONO/NO\(_x\) ratio should take into account also HONO and NO\(_x\) vertical distributions determined by the vertical diffusivity and the conversion of HONO to NO\(_x\), as well as by a possibility of non steady state conditions. As the consideration of these factors may lead to a higher HONO/NO\(_x\) ratio, the higher HONO/NO\(_x\) ratio of about 0.06 cannot be considered as a strong indication of an error in the simulated HONO mixing ratios derived with an assumed HONO snow emission of 0.8x10\(^9\) molecules cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\).

6. A possible HNO\(_4\) interference on HONO measurements made with a LOPAP?
As discussed in the previous section, field measurements of boundary layer HONO mixing ratios at DC in summer (30 pptv) significantly exceed values calculated by considering a HONO snow source estimated from the observed NO$_x$ snow source and the relative abundance of HONO and NO$_x$ releases observed during snow irradiation BAS experiments (8 to 12 pptv). As reported in Sect. 2.4, lab experiments conducted with the LOPAP have shown a possible overestimation of HONO by ~15 pptv due to the presence of 100 pptv of HNO$_4$.

Although HNO$_4$ data are not available at Dome C, its presence is very likely since its atmospheric lifetime with respect to thermal decomposition becomes significant at low temperatures (lifetime close to 2 h at -20°C, Sanders et al., 2011). Whereas the first measurements of HNO$_4$ in Antarctica reported moderate mixing ratios (mean of 25 pptv observed over a few days in December 2000 at the South Pole, Slusher et al., 2002), following investigations revealed higher values. First, from 40 pptv in December to 60 pptv during the second half of November were observed in 2003 at the South Pole (Eisele et al., 2008). Second, a mean value of 64 pptv (up to 150 pptv) was observed between the ground and 50 m elevation over the Antarctic plateau (Slusher et al. 2010). These latter values of HNO$_4$ mixing ratio together with the above-discussed inconsistencies between simulations and observations stimulate efforts to investigate a possible interference of HNO$_4$ on the LOPAP instrument. Note that given the HNO$_4$ lifetime with respect to thermal decomposition of a few hours at -20°C, we don’t expect interference during snow experiments conducted at BAS since HNO$_4$ initially present in snow collected at DC would have been destroyed during its storage of a few months at -20°C. Furthermore, production of HNO$_4$ during the BAS experiments (Sect. 4) following the release of NO$_2$ under irradiation of snow is far too slow to have significantly impacted HONO measurements.

Even though laboratory experiences conducted at PSI under certain conditions clearly showed that the LOPAP instrument has interference for HNO$_4$ (see Sect. 2.4), the absence of HNO$_4$ atmospheric data at Dome C hampers any accurate attempt to correct HONO data from the presence of HNO$_4$. Instead, field experiments were conducted at Concordia heating the air sampled by the LOPAP to thermally decompose HNO$_4$. This air was heated by sucking air through a 8 m long PFA tube covered with a temperature controlled heating tape and placed in an insulated box. When heating the tube, the air temperature in the PFA tube was of 37°C leading to a lifetime of HNO$_4$ with respect to its thermal decomposition of 3.2 s (Sanders et al., 2011). The experiment was performed by running the LOPAP for ~20 min with and without heating the tube connected to the inlet of the LOPAP. In order to account for possible
fast natural change of HONO mixing ratios the test was repeated three times successively. A systematic drop of HONO values was observed. Given the applied air sampling flow rate of 1.78 L min\(^{-1}\) (1 L STP min\(^{-1}\)), the residence time of the air in the tube is 3.3 s. If attributed to the thermal decomposition of HNO\(_4\) during the heating (64% under these working conditions), the mean observed drop of 5.5 pptv of HONO would correspond to an HNO\(_4\) artefact of around 9 pptv.

This indirect estimation of an overestimation of HONO measurements due to the presence of HNO\(_4\) is consistent with experiences conducted at PSI if the presence of 50-100 pptv of HNO\(_4\) is assumed at Dome C. On the other hand, the difference between observed and simulated HONO mixing ratios presented in Sect. 5 suggests a mean diurnal overestimation close to 20 pptv (ranging from 17 pptv around noon to 22 pptv during the night). In their discussions of the observed levels of HO\(_x\) radicals, Kukui et al., (this issue) found that the consideration of 30 pptv of HONO is inconsistent with radical observations leading to about 2 times overestimation of RO\(_2\) and OH concentrations. Conversely, neglecting the OH production from HONO leads to an underestimation of radical levels by a factor of 2. Kukui et al. (this issue) showed that a quite fair agreement with OH measurements is achieved with HONO mixing ratios derived from the 1D modelling with a HONO snow emission flux equal to about 30% of that of NO\(_x\). Finally, though being slightly higher, the best guess of HONO mixing ratios derived in Sect. 5 for Concordia (8 to 12 pptv) are in the range of mixing ratios measurements made at the South Pole using laser-induced fluorescence (6 pptv, Liao et al., 2006).

7. Conclusions

This second study of HONO conducted in the atmosphere of the East Antarctic plateau by deploying a LOPAP confirms unexpectedly high mixing ratios close to 30 pptv. A mixing ratio of 8-12 pptv can be rationalized based on emissions of HONO from snow of 0.5-0.8x10\(^9\) molecules cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) derived from studies of the irradiation experiments surface snow collected from Concordia and scaled down to the NO\(_x\) emissions derived from observations made at DC by Frey et al. (this issue). Experiments conducted in the field and in the lab to identify the cause of such a discrepancy point to a possible overestimation of HONO in the range of 10 to 20 pptv due to the important presence of HNO\(_4\) in this cold atmosphere. An accurate correction of the HONO data from the presence of HNO\(_4\) is not yet possible. Further work, both in the lab to quantify the interference at different levels of HNO\(_4\) and in the presence of
various other species and in the field at Concordia to obtain mixing ratios of HONO and HNO$_4$ at the same time are needed.
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