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Dear Referee #1 and to whom it may concern,
we thank the anonymous Referee #1 for the very positive and careful review of our manuscript. Please find our responses to his or her comments below:

Referee #1: p11220 | 0-15: please check the tenses
Response: Thank you for spotting these inconsistencies. We have changed all verb forms describing the measurements and the simulation to past tense for consistency and use present tense for our analysis presented in the paper (p11219-p11222):

- p11219 | 9: ‘is bracketed’ -> ‘was bracketed’
- p11219 | 10: ‘is determined’ -> ‘was determined’
- p11219 | 13: ‘have not been corrected’ -> ‘were not corrected’
- p11220 | 2: ‘follows’ -> ‘followed’
- p11220 | 3: ‘is purified’ -> ‘was purified’
- p11220 | 4: ‘is filled’ -> ‘was filled’
- p11220 | 5: ‘is exposed’ -> ‘was exposed’
- p11220 | 6: ‘condense’ -> ‘condensed’
- p11220 | 7: ‘are flushed’ -> ‘were flushed’
- p11220 | 11: ‘separates’ -> ‘separated’
- p11220 | 14: ‘It is reported’ -> ‘Here, it is reported’
- p11220 | 20: ‘is preceded’ -> ‘was preceded’
- p11221 | 2: 2x ‘is’ -> ‘was’
- p11221 | 3: ‘might be’ -> ‘might have been’
- p11221 | 9: ‘do’ -> ‘did’
- p11221 | 13: ‘is’ -> ‘was’
- p11221 | 14-15: ‘if we apply […]’, there is […]’ -> ‘if we had applied […]’, there would have been […]’
- p11221 | 16: ‘has’ -> ‘had’
- p11221 | 17: ‘is’ -> ‘was’
- p11221 | 18: ‘is further motivated’ -> ‘was further motivated’
Values are commonly reported as elevations over a typical background mixing ratio, thus as $\Delta H_2 = H_2 - H_2^{bg}$ and $\Delta CO = CO - CO^{bg}$, respectively. In this study, however, we do not use the background mixing ratio to estimate the $\Delta H_2/\Delta CO$ ratio but the slope of the regression line through the measured mixing ratios of $H_2$ and $CO$. Therefore, we need to assume that any deviations from the background mixing ratio of the considered species almost solely result from biomass burning and all other source and sink terms are minor. We will later discuss to what extent this assumption is valid for our set of samples and how we can reduce potential interferences. Moreover, the assumption of a single source in the samples translates to a constant background value for both species. During the BARCA-A campaign the background mixing ratio of $CO$ is about $79 \pm 7$ ppb (cf. Andreae et al., 2012). There is no estimate for the background mixing ratio of $H_2$ for the BARCA-A period. Here, we will thus use the $CO$ background mixing ratio and our value for the $\Delta H_2/\Delta CO$ ratio to estimate the $H_2$ background concentration as well.'