Study on the atmospheric boundary layer and its influence on regional air quality over the Pearl River delta
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Abstract

To study the structure of atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) and its influence on regional air quality over the Pearl River delta (PRD), two ABL intensive observations were conducted at Panyu (urban station) and Xinken (non-urban station, near estuary) of PRD during October 2004 and July 2006, respectively. Based on the ABL intensive observation data analysis, the typical weather condition type associated with poor air quality over PRD could be summarized into two kinds: the warmed period before cold front (WPBCF) and the subsidence period controlled by tropical cyclone (SPCTC). Two typical polluted cases (affected by WPBCF and SPCTC, respectively) and one clean (not-polluted) case were chosen for detail analysis. It was found that the continuously low or calm ground wind would lead to pollutant accumulation. The local circulation, such as sea–land breezes and heat–island circulation, played an important role in these polluted cases. The recirculation was significant in polluted cases; steady transport occurred in the clean case. Ventilation index (VI) was quite different between polluted cases and the clean case: in WPBCF cases, the peak VI was from 184 to 3555 m$^2$s$^{-1}$; on SPCTC days, the peak VI was from 1066 to 4363 m$^2$s$^{-1}$; on the clean day, the peak VI was 10 885 m$^2$s$^{-1}$ and much larger than all polluted cases. The 24-h average VI on polluted days was from 169 to 2858 m$^2$s$^{-1}$ and also much smaller than that of the clean day. VI is a good reference index for pollution judgment. The peak mixing heights were smaller than 700 m in WPBCF cases, and were smaller than 800 m in SPCTC cases. During WPBCF polluted case, only surface inversion layer appeared. In the period of land breeze, surface inversion layer height was about 50 m, but in the period of sea breeze, surface inversion layer height would increase, and reach the maximum height, which was about 600 m. During SPCTC polluted case, there were several inversion layers that appeared at different heights. The lowest was about 50 m, the highest about 800 m, with the vertical temperature profile quite complex.
1 Introduction

As an important impact factor of air quality, many studies have paid attention to the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) and its influence on air quality. Air quality also was largely controlled by meteorological processes, which control the time and spatial scales of their dispersion (Carreras and Pignata, 2001; Cogliani, 2001; Khedairia and Khadir, 2012; Tan et al., 2009; Menut et al., 2005; Grinn-Gofron et al., 2011). In these studies, wind speed and boundary layer height were key variables of boundary layer analysis (Krautstrunk et al., 2000; Davies et al., 2007); the influence on air quality by transport from the surrounding regions to big cities had been estimated (Lin et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2010), and regional emission control become more important than local emission control in some cities (Gao et al., 2011; Schleicher et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012).

The detections of boundary layer structures were carried by sodar, RASS (radar acoustic sounding system), and so on, and tethered balloon observations also were carried in some places (Neff et al., 2008; Hanna et al., 2006; Emeis et al., 2004; Alappattu and Kunhikrishnan, 2010). Through these observations, it was found that light wind and lower inversion at nighttime in urban areas were very important (Kolev et al., 2000; Sang et al., 2000); the turbulence in nocturnal boundary layer was shown to be weak and intermittent (Pournazeri et al., 2012). The temporary turbulent coupling of the residual layer to the surface layer facilitated the transport of pollutants stored aloft to the surface (Salmond and McKendry, 2002).

Air pollution in urban areas has become a major issue in the Pearl River delta (PRD), as the pioneer of the reform and opening-up and an important economic hub of China (Chan et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2007). Facing the South China Sea in south, and surrounded by mountains from north, east and west (Fig. 1a), the air pollution meteorological condition over PRD is quite different to other areas of China. In PRD, the local circulation, such as sea–land breezes and heat–island circulation, played a crucial role in pollution episodes (Ding et al., 2004; Fan et al., 2006). In summer and autumn, a
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A tropical cyclone is a very important weather system that influences PRD. The subsidence will reduce the ABL height and produce stagnation of surface flow limiting the advection or diffusion of local emitted pollutants (Feng et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2005). The long-range transport from regional pollution source also had an important effect on air pollution in PRD (Ding et al., 2009; Xiao et al., 2006). The synoptic situations and ABL structure over PRD in summer and autumn have been discussed, respectively (Fan et al., 2008, 2011). An atmospheric boundary layer concept model of PRD has been built; this concept model shows the relationship between regional air quality and boundary layer meteorological conditions (Fan et al., 2007). Tropical cyclone and continental cold high were typical types of weather conditions responsible for poor air quality of PRD (Chen et al., 2008).

Based on two ABL intensive observations from the Program of Regional Integrated Experiments on Air Quality over Pearl River Delta of China (PRIDE-PRD), this paper studies the structure of ABL and its influence on regional air quality over PRD. First, we analyse these intensive observation data and summarize the weather conditions associated with poor air quality over PRD grouping them into different kinds; second, we chose typical polluted cases and the clean case for a comparative study, focusing on the differences of these typical cases in ground wind, recirculation factor (RF), ventilation index (VI), mixing height and the vertical profile. At the end, according to the vertical profile investigation of ABL and local circulation studied, an improved typical model about the structure of ABL associated with poor air quality over PRD was built.

2 Experimental setup and methods

2.1 Experimental setup

The aims of the Program of Regional Integrated Experiments on Air Quality over Pearl River Delta of China (PRIDE-PRD) were to characterize in depth the pollution, and to improve the understanding of the chemical and radioactive processes in the
atmosphere of PRD in south-eastern China (Zhang et al., 2008). The intensive observations were promoted in October 2004 (PRIDE-PRD2004) and July 2006 (PRIDE-PRD2006), respectively. These two months were selected, because October is in the middle of autumn (September–November) and July in the middle of summer (June–August). It was found that some serious pollution episodes often occurred over PRD during these seasons (Wu et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008).

During the two intensive observations, the ABL observation used double-theodolite anemometry and radio soundings, and three observational sites were selected: Qingyuan, Panyu and Xinken (Fig. 1b). Panyu is located at about 20 km south of central Guangzhou, while Qingyuan and Xinken are in a low urbanized environment. In addition to this campaign setup, the surface measurements of nine automatic weather stations were collected, including wind speed, wind direction, temperature and relative humidity.

Qingyuan observation station is located at Qingyuan Meteorological Bureau. Vertical measurements were made with meteorological radar. Mean wind speed and direction, temperature and relative humidity were automatically derived from radio sounding. These parameters were given at 800, 1400 and 2000 BJT between 0 and 2000 m with a vertical resolution of 100 m.

Panyu observation station is located at Panyu Meteorological Bureau. The radio soundings were performed to obtain mean velocity, wind direction and temperature. The position finding system of two optical theodolites was adopted. Radiosondes were launched seven times (06:00, 08:00, 10:00, 14:00, 18:00, 20:00, 23:00 LST) or eleven times (intensive observation, 02:00, 06:00, 07:00, 08:00, 10:00, 14:00, 17:00, 18:00, 19:00, 20:00, 23:00 LST) per day. Mean wind speed and direction were given between 0 and 2000 m with a vertical resolution of 100 m, while mean temperature with a vertical resolution of 10 m. Xinken is located at observation station at 14th Bridge, Xinken Town. The observational methods are the same as those of Panyu.
2.2 Methods

In order to describe the feature of ventilation and recirculation in PRD, we adopted two useful methods: ventilation index (VI) and recirculation factor (RF). A brief description of these methods has been given in the following:

Ventilation index (VI), the product of wind speed and ABL height, is a useful tool for air pollution management (Pasch et al., 2011), as shown in Eq. (1).

\[
VI = \sum_{i=10}^{i=MH} (h_i - h_{i-1}) \cdot v_i, \quad (1)
\]

where \(i\) = level at which winds were available, \(h_i\) = the height of the level \((i)\), and \(v_i\) = the wind speed of the level \((i)\).

In this paper, VI was computed for each case by using wind data at multiple levels within the mixing layer, along with the ABL height in every observing time. The height of ABL was estimated by temperature profile obtained from Xinken radio sounding measurements, the convective ABL height taken as the bottom of the potential temperature increase, and the stable ABL height as the height of the first important variation in the vertical gradient of potential temperature (Dupont et al., 1999).

Recirculation factor (RF), the ratio of resultant transport distance to scalar transport distance (wind run), which can be used to infer air parcel movement and the dispersive characteristics of a given air flow, such as the ventilation, stagnation, or potential recirculation of air (Allwine and Whiteman, 1994). When RF is equal to 1, a straight line, steady transport occurred; when RF is equal to zero, no net transport occurred, as shown in Eq. (2).
\[
RF = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta T \sum_{i_s} u_i}{\Delta T} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta T \sum_{i_s} v_i}{\Delta T} \right)^2}
\]

where \( i = \) the time of each data point, \( i_s = \) the time of start data point, \( i_e = \) the time of end data point, \( \Delta T = \) the averaging time interval of the data, \( u_i = \) X-velocity component by defining the positive x-axis to be north, and \( v_i = \) Y-velocity component by defining the positive y-axis to be east.

Recirculation is an event in which polluted air is initially carried away from the source region but later returns to produce a high pollution episode. In this paper, RF was also calculated for each case by using the vertical observation data of Xinken station. Before obtaining RF, the vertical wind data of different observing times had been interpolated into different hours.

3 Weather conditions

To help interpret the influence of weather conditions on regional air quality over PRD, we used surface meteorological data on precipitation, temperature, and wind speed and direction obtained from the Central Meteorological Station of Guangdong province. The air quality conditions during the time of campaigns were evaluated by obtaining daily air pollution index (API) values from the official website of China’s Ministry of Environmental Protection. In China, API is the official index to describe city air quality conditions – the larger the API is, the worse the air quality.

Figure 2a, c, and e give the time series of temperature, wind vectors, precipitation and API at Guangzhou station during October 2004 campaign. During this campaign, there were two cold fronts that affected PRD on 13 October and 28 October, caused a
change in wind and the decrease of temperature successively (Fig. 2a, c). And it is also found that there were two periods with high API (API > 100) from 10–17 October and 29–31 October (Fig. 2a). During these high API periods, the wind speed was lower than 1.5 m s\(^{-1}\), and the wind direction reversed frequently, with no prevailing wind direction. During the other low API days, the wind speed was higher than 3 m s\(^{-1}\) most of the time, and the prevailing wind direction was quite clear. Moreover, there was only one precipitation day at Guangzhou station during the whole of October (Fig. 2e).

Figure 2b, d, and f give the time series of temperature, wind vectors, precipitation and API at Guangzhou station during the July 2006 campaign. During this campaign, there were two tropical cyclones that affected PRD (tropical cyclone Bilis landed in Fujian province on 14 July; tropical cyclone Kaemi landed in Fujian province on 25 July). With the subsidence influence of these tropical cyclones, API and temperature increased dramatically (Fig. 2b, d). It is found that there were two peak API areas in 11–15 July and 21–27 July (Fig. 2b). Similar to the October 2004 campaign, during the high API days, the wind speed decreased and was lower than 1.5 m s\(^{-1}\) most of the time, and no prevailing wind direction existed in July 2006 campaign. During the other days, with the influence after tropical cyclone landed, wind speed increased rapidly, the rain was heavy, API decreased dramatically and air quality got better.

In sum, during the campaign 2004 and campaign 2006, four pollution episodes had been observed as shown in Table 1. Two episodes in autumn corresponded to a cold front, and two episodes in summer corresponded to a tropical cyclone.

According to the weather analysis of four pollution episodes, the main weather type associated with poor air quality over PRD could be summarized into two types: the warmed period before cold front (WPBCF) and the subsidence period controlled by tropical cyclone (SPCTC). In the first type, when PRD was in the warmed period before cold front, the cold air was very weak. PRD would be dominated by calm wind as a result of the cold air and warm air confronting each other, and the regional air quality deteriorated fast (Fan et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2011). In the second type, when tropical cyclone was close to PRD, the subsidence was very strong, and helped the pollutants
accumulate constantly (Feng et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2005). As shown in Table 1, polluted days in WPBCF appeared frequently in autumn, and polluted days in SPCTC appeared frequently in summer.

Based on the API and meteorological element characteristic data during the two campaigns, two polluted cases and one clean case were chosen for a detail analysis: 16 October 2004 was the typical polluted case associated with WPBCF; 23 July 2006 was the typical polluted case associated with SPCTC; and 26 October 2004 was the clean case for contrast. In the next section, the feature of ABL in these three cases will analysed in detail by observing data obtained from the intensive campaigns.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Wind fields in lower layers of the troposphere

Figure 3 gives the surface wind fields from the main meteorological stations to provide overviews about the features of air flowing conditions in these three cases.

During the WPBCF case (16 October 2004) (Fig. 3a), it is clear the eastern part of PRD was controlled by northeast wind and the west part of PRD was controlled by southwest wind. The predominant wind direction of east PRD was opposite to west PRD. Confrontation of these two air streams gave rise to the calm wind which controlled middle PRD.

During the SPCTC case (23 July 2006) (Fig. 3b), it is clear that north PRD was dominated by west wind, and south PRD was dominated by east wind, respectively, which caused the calm wind region to emerge in middle PRD with poor diffusion conditions.

During the clean case (26 October 2004) (Fig. 3c), it is clear that almost the whole PRD was controlled by northeast wind, and the wind speed was very strong.

In conclusion, during these two polluted cases, the calm wind region that occurred in middle PRD had an important effect on pollutant accumulation and poor air quality;
but during the clean case, the strong wind could transmit and eliminate air pollutants effectively. Therefore, the air quality became better.

4.2 Vertical wind profile and balloon trajectory distribution features

Figure 4 gives the vertical wind profile and balloon trajectory on 16 October 2004 while PRD was affected by WPBCF. It is found that there were two wind shears that appeared on the height 500 m and 1000 m at Xinken station and Panyu station, respectively, that divided the vertical wind profiles into three different levels: 0–500 m, 500–1000 m and above 1000 m (Fig. 4a, b). At each level, the vertical wind profile had remarkable discrepancy between daytime and nighttime.

At Xinken station, the sea–land breezes were significant (Fig. 4a). At low level (0–500 m), land breeze emerged at 06:00 LST, and the wind direction was northerly. The wind speed was low for the land breeze opposite to the system wind, and land breeze was maintained at about 9 h. At 17:00 LST, sea breeze emerged gradually, while the wind speed increased and the wind direction veered to southeast. Due to the sea breeze front, a vertical wind shear appeared and reached up to 500 m height at 23:00 LST. At middle level (500–1000 m) (Fig. 4a), the diurnal variation of wind profile was quite opposite to low level. From 06:00 LST to 14:00 LST, the wind speed increased at this level, which corresponded to the sea breeze circumfluence, and the dominant wind direction was northeast. After 17:00 LST, influenced by the land breeze circumfluence, the wind speed decreased and the wind direction veered to the northwest. At high level (above 1000 m) (Fig. 4a), wind was very strong at all the observation times, and the wind direction was northeast most of the time, sometimes veered to north.

At Panyu station (Fig. 4b), the influence of heat–island circulation was relatively significant, while the sea–land breezes also cannot be ignored. At low level, the wind direction was northeast at 07:00 LST, while the wind speed was lower than 3 m s$^{-1}$, and it was maintained to 14:00 LST. At 17:00 LST and 18:00 LST, the wind speed was quite low as well, while the wind direction veered to the southeast with the influence of sea breeze. At middle and high level (Fig. 4b), the wind speed was higher than 5 m s$^{-1}$.
before 14:00 LST, and it reached maximum value at the height of about 600 m. This may be due to the fact that the direction of country breeze was offset to system wind at low level, but, veered to the same direction at middle level, the wind direction was northeast till 14:00 LST. After 17:00 LST, the wind speed was low at both middle and high level, while wind direction turned to the northwest.

At Xinken station (Fig. 4c), the balloon trajectories showed remarkable bent-back at 17:00, 18:00, 19:00 and 20:00 LST, which means the existence of sea–land breezes. Furthermore, at Panyu station (Fig. 4d), the balloon trajectories curved at 17:00 and 18:00 LST with the impact of sea–land breezes.

Figure 5 gives the vertical wind profile and balloon trajectory on 23 July 2006 while PRD was affected by SPCTC. It is clear that the vertical wind profile also could be distinguished by three levels.

At Xinken station (Fig. 5a), with the strong impact of tropical cyclone subsidence flow, the wind speed was lower than 3 m s\(^{-1}\) at low level from 06:00 LST to 14:00 LST, and the wind direction changed frequently with no prevailing wind direction. After 17:00 LST, the wind speed increased observably and wind direction veered to the west with the influence of sea breeze. At the middle level (Fig. 5a), the wind speed increased along with the height before 08:00 LST, and the wind direction turned from south to southeast simultaneously. After 17:00 LST, the wind speed increased, and the wind direction turned to northeast. At high level (Fig. 5a), the wind speed was high at all observation times. The prevailing wind direction was southeast before 10:00 LST, while after 14:00 LST the prevailing wind direction turned to the northeast.

At Panyu station (Fig. 5b), the system wind was very weak at low level before 10:00 LST, and the wind speed was lower than 3 m s\(^{-1}\). A wind shear appeared at the height of 200 m at 06:00 LST, which may be caused by heat–island circulation, and then the height of wind shear increased to about 500 m at 08:00 LST. Below the wind shear, the wind direction was westerly, and above the wind shear the wind direction was northerly. After 14:00 LST, the wind speed was still very low, and the wind direction veered to southeast gradually with the influence of sea breeze. At 23:00 LST, the
wind speed reached maximum since the influence of sea breeze was most remarkable. At middle level, the wind direction was easterly from 06:00 LST to 08:00 LST. After 10:00 LST, the wind speed increased and the wind direction veered to west. At high level, the wind speed was higher than 5 ms\(^{-1}\) at most observation times, but the wind direction was southeast before 10:00 LST, while veered to northeast after 10:00 LST.

At Xinken station (Fig. 5c), it clearly reveals that the balloon trajectories were quite straight at 06:00, 07:00 and 08:00 LST on 23 July 2006, but at 17:00, 18:00, 19:00 and 20:00 LST the balloon trajectories bent-back along with the change of wind direction respectively. At Panyu station (Fig. 5d), the balloon trajectories were westward at daytime, while eastward at nighttime.

Figure 6 shows the vertical wind profile and balloon trajectories on 26 October 2004 which had good air quality. It is obvious that the wind profiles were exactly similar most of the times, and the three-level structure of wind profile was not in existence any more (Fig. 6a, b). The wind speed was far higher than 5 ms\(^{-1}\) at both two stations, while the wind direction was very stable, and the dominate wind direction northeast. Furthermore, there was no local circulation that could be distinguished.

During the clean case (Fig. 6c, d), the balloon trajectories were very straight and moved southwestward, which was consistent with the vertical wind condition. The feature of balloon trajectories in the clean case (shown in Fig. 6) is completely different to the polluted cases (shown in Figs. 4 and 5).

Table 2 shows the features of sea–land breezes at Xinken station that were summarized from all the sea–land breeze days during the campaigns. It is clear that the sea breeze started at 17:00 until 18:00 LST while the wind direction was southeast. The peak influence height was 600 m to 800 m, which emerged at about 23:00 LST. And the land breeze started at 06:00 until 07:00 LST while the wind direction was northwest. The peak influence height was 500 m to 600 m, which emerged at about 10:00 LST.

Comparing with the vertical wind characteristics of the three typical cases, it is found that, during the polluted cases, the wind speed in ABL was lower than 3 ms\(^{-1}\) most of the time, and the wind direction changed frequently. At Panyu station, with the influence
of heat–island circulation, updraft could make the pollutants accumulate over the city, and country breeze could carry the pollutants back to urban areas from the suburbs. At Xinken station, with the influence of sea–land breezes, the pollutants would be transported back to inland from coastal areas. In addition, during the polluted days that PRD was affected by SPCTC, the subsidence flow of a tropical cyclone played an important role in the pollutant accumulation. It is also found that when the wind speed was high and the wind direction was stable in ABL, the pollutants could be transmitted and diffused effectively.

4.3 Recirculation factor and ventilation index

Figure 7 gives the vertical RF of these three typical cases. It is found that all the polluted cases had a minimum value in RF profiles. During 16 October 2004, the minimum RF was just 0.15, and occurred at about 400 m. During 23 July 2006, the minimum RF was also just 0.15, and occurred at about 700 m. Moreover, the RFs during the clean case (26 October 2004) were larger than 0.95 at each height, which means that the wind direction had little change throughout the case. The difference of RF in different cases indicates that recirculation was significant in polluted cases. The pollutants were initially carried away from Xinken but later returns, and the steady transport occurred in the clean case.

Table 3 shows the peak mixing height, peak VI and 24-h average VI of polluted days and the clean day. Peak mixing height and VI were key ABL parameters associated with the dispersion of air pollutants.

First, the peak mixing heights were smaller than 700 m in WPBCF cases, and in SPCTC cases they were smaller than 800 m. The peak mixing heights of polluted days were much lower than that of clean day, with lower mixing heights resulting in higher pollutant concentration. Second, during WPBCF cases, the peak VI was from 184 to 3555 m$^2$s$^{-1}$; during SPCTC days, the peak VI was from 1066 to 4363 m$^2$s$^{-1}$; during clean day, the peak VI was 10885 m$^2$s$^{-1}$ – this was far larger than on all polluted days.
Third, the 24-h average VI on polluted days was from 169 to 2858 m$^2$ s$^{-1}$, and was also much smaller than that of the clean day.

Low VI of polluted days always associated with light winds, low mixing height and weak transport. The poor diffusion condition which it implied was beneficial to the accumulation of pollutants, and caused the deterioration of air quality in PRD.

### 4.4 Vertical temperature profile

Figure 8 clearly reveals the variations of vertical temperature profile in these different typical cases. Figure 8a gives the vertical temperature profiles on 16 October 2004, which was a polluted case affected by WPBCF. At 02:00 LST, it is found that the temperature of Xinken station was 3$^\circ$ lower than that of Panyu station at most heights, and there was an inversion layer at about 50 m at both two stations. At 06:00 LST, the surface inversion layer was only in existence at Panyu station. With the influence of land breeze, the Xinken station temperature increased and the temperature difference between two stations also decreased. After 18:00 LST, the inversion layer at Panyu station was still near surface, but at Xinken station, under the influence of sea breeze, the inversion layer upraised to the height of 400 m. At 20:00 LST, for the influence of the sea breeze reached inland, the inversion layer was up to 300 m at Panyu station, and at Xinken station it was up to 600 m. At 23:00 LST, the height of inversion layer reached maximum, but the intensity of inversion layer weakened at Xinken station, a new surface inversion layer occurred again.

Figure 8b gives the vertical temperature profiles on 23 July 2006, which was a polluted case affected by SPCTC. At 02:00 LST, it is found that the characteristics of vertical temperature profile at Xinken station and Panyu station were very complex. There were three inversion layers that appeared at the height of about 500 m, 700 m and 900 m at Xinken station, respectively. A surface inversion layer appeared at 50 m at Panyu station. At 06:00 LST, a observed inversion layer emerged at 400 m height at Xinken station, and the height of inversion layer increased to 1000 m at Panyu station.
At 10:00 LST, a super adiabatic phenomenon was remarkable at Panyu station, and inversion layer was remarkable at Xinken station at about 500 m. After 19:00 LST, the vertical temperature profile shows two inversion layers at 400 m and 800 m. After 20:00 LST, several inversion layers emerged at Panyu station, and Xinken station also had an inversion layer at 200 m.

Figure 8c gives the vertical temperature profiles on 26 October 2004, which was a clean day. It is clear that the temperature of Xinken station was always lower than at Panyu station. The temperature profiles were quite smooth, and no inversion layer existed. In addition, the super adiabatic phenomenon was significant sometimes.

According to above analysis, inversion layer was a very important influence factor on high pollutant concentration. During the polluted case in which PRD was controlled by WPBCF, only one surface inversion layer appeared. When in the period of land breeze, its height was about 50 m at both Panyu station and Xinken station. When affected by sea breeze, the inversion layer height of Panyu station was up to 400 m, and that of Xinken station was up to 600 m. During the polluted case in which PRD was controlled by SPCTC, there were several inversion layers that appeared. The maximum height of the inversion layers was about 800 m, and vertical temperature profile was quite complex with the influence of subsidence flow. Furthermore, during the clean case, PRD was controlled by strong wind, and the inversion layer was nonexistent. The super adiabatic phenomenon was significant most of the time at Panyu station and Xinken station.

5 **Summary and conclusions**

According to the intensive observations, the typical weather conditions associated with poor air quality over PRD could be summarized into two types: the warmed period before cold front (WPBCF) and the subsidence period controlled by tropical cyclone (SPCTC) (Fig. 9a, b). The differences of ABL between polluted cases and clean case were obvious.
During the polluted cases, a calm wind region appeared in PRD, while local circulations grew and held an important role for pollutant concentration. At an urban station (Panyu), heat-island circulation made the pollutants accumulate over the city, and country breeze carried pollutants back to urban areas from the suburbs. At a near-estuary station (Xinken), sea breeze transported the pollutants back inland.

Recirculation factor is a very good reference index for the judgment of wind transmission capacity. The recirculation was significant in polluted cases. Pollutants were initially carried away from Xinken, but later return, and steady transport occurred in the clean case.

The peak mixing heights were smaller than 700 m in WPBCF cases, and in SPCTC cases they were smaller than 800 m. The peak VI was lower in polluted cases (WPBCF and SPCTC), while the peak VI on the clean day was much larger than all types of polluted cases.

Inversion layer was a very important influence factor on poor air quality. Only surface inversion layer appeared during WPBCF cases, but there were several inversion layers that appeared during the SPCTC cases, and the vertical temperature profile was very complex with the influence of subsidence flow.

The regional air quality over PRD is strongly dependent on weather conditions. It is still necessary to undergo many more observation studies and numerical simulations.
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Table 1. Weather types of pollution episodes during the campaigns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Episode data</th>
<th>API feature</th>
<th>Weather type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 Oct 2004–17 Oct 2004</td>
<td>API &gt; 100</td>
<td>In the warmed period before cold front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Oct 2004–31 Oct 2004</td>
<td>API &gt; 100</td>
<td>In the warmed period before cold front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Jul 2006</td>
<td>Peak API</td>
<td>In the subsidence period controlled by tropical cyclone Bilis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Jul 2006–25 Jul 2006</td>
<td>Peak API</td>
<td>In the subsidence period controlled by tropical cyclone Kaemi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 2.** The features of sea–land breezes at Xinken station during the campaigns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Start time (LST)</th>
<th>Peak height (m)</th>
<th>Time of peak height (LST)</th>
<th>Wind direction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sea breeze</td>
<td>17:00–18:00</td>
<td>600–800</td>
<td>23:00</td>
<td>Southeast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land breeze</td>
<td>06:00–07:00</td>
<td>500–600</td>
<td>10:00</td>
<td>Northwest</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Peak mixing height, peak ventilation index and 24-h average ventilation index values for polluted and non-polluted days during the campaigns.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weather type</th>
<th>Polluted days</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peak mixing</td>
<td>Peak</td>
<td>24-h average</td>
<td>Weather type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>height(m)</td>
<td>ventilation index (m² s⁻¹)</td>
<td>ventilation index (m² s⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polluted days</td>
<td>10 Oct 2004</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>1255</td>
<td>930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11 Oct 2004</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12 Oct 2004</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>3555</td>
<td>877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13 Oct 2004</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14 Oct 2004</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15 Oct 2004</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>1752</td>
<td>1303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16 Oct 2004</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>3390</td>
<td>1425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17 Oct 2004</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29 Oct 2004</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23 Jul 2006</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>1066</td>
<td>771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24 Jul 2006</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>2232</td>
<td>1109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25 Jul 2006</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>4363</td>
<td>2858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clean day</td>
<td>26 Oct 2004</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>10885</td>
<td>4084</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"--" = The invalid data in observations.
Fig. 1. Map of the surface and altitude measurements performed during the PRD ABL experiment. Qingyuan, Panyu and Xinken are the three specific sites of measurements.
Fig. 2. Time series of (a) air pollution index during 1–31 October 2004 at Guangzhou station, (b) air pollution index during 1–31 July 2006, (c) six-hourly wind vectors during 1–31 October 2004, (d) six-hourly wind vectors during 1–31 July 2006, (e) daily precipitation amount during 1–31 October 2004, and (f) daily precipitation amount during 1–31 July 2006.
Fig. 3. Wind fields from the main meteorological stations over the PRD region. (a) 02:00 LST on 16 October 2004, (b) 02:00 LST on 23 July 2006, and (c) 02:00 LST on 26 October 2004.
Fig. 4. Vertical wind profile by radio soundings and balloon trajectory on 16 October 2004. **(a)** Vertical wind profile at Xinken station. **(b)** Vertical wind profile at Panyu station. **(c)** Balloon trajectory at Xinken station. **(d)** Balloon trajectory at Panyu station.
Fig. 5. Vertical wind profile by radio soundings and balloon trajectory on 23 July 2006. (a) Vertical wind profile at Xinken station. (b) Vertical wind profile at Panyu station. (c) Balloon trajectory at Xinken station. (d) Balloon trajectory at Panyu station.
**Fig. 6.** Vertical wind profile by radio soundings and balloon trajectory on 26 October 2004. (a) Vertical wind profile at Xinken station. (b) Vertical wind profile at Panyu station. (c) Balloon trajectory at Xinken station. (d) Balloon trajectory at Panyu station.
Fig. 7. The recirculation in each height of Xinken station during (a) 16 October 2004, (b) 23 July 2006, and (c) 26 October 2004.
Fig. 8. Time series of vertical temperature profile during (a) 16 October 2004, (b) 23 July 2006, and (c) 26 October 2004 at Panyu station and Xinken station.
Fig. 9. The typical structure of ABL causing high air pollution over PRD in different weather conditions.