Modeling of the Very Low Frequency (VLF) radio wave signal profile due to solar flares using the GEANT4 Monte Carlo simulation coupled with ionospheric chemistry
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Abstract

X-ray photons emitted during solar flares cause ionization in the lower ionosphere (~60 to 100 km) in excess of what is expected from a quiet sun. Very Low Frequency (VLF) radio wave signals reflected from the D region are affected by this excess ionization. In this paper, we reproduce the deviation in VLF signal strength during solar flares by numerical modeling. We use GEANT4 Monte Carlo simulation code to compute the rate of ionization due to a M-class and a X-class flare. The output of the simulation is then used in a simplified ionospheric chemistry model to calculate the time variation of electron density at different altitudes in the lower ionosphere. The resulting electron density variation profile is then self-consistently used in the LWPC code to obtain the time variation of the VLF signal change. We did the modeling of the VLF signal along the NWC (Australia) to IERC/ICSP (India) propagation path and compared the results with observations. The agreement is found to be very satisfactory.

1 Introduction

The most prominent sources of day time ionospheric perturbations are the solar flares. The lowest part of the ionosphere, namely, the D-region, formed in the day time mainly due to the solar UV radiation, is significantly affected by these flares. The X-ray and γ-ray from the solar flares penetrate down to the lower ionosphere causing enhancements of the electron and the ion densities (Mitra, 1974), though the part of the photon spectrum ranging from ~2 to 12 keV is responsible for the perturbation at the reflection heights of Very Low Frequency (VLF) radio waves. The VLF signal (3–30 kHz) reflected mainly from the lower ionosphere carries information of such enhancements. By analysing these signals between a pair of transmitter and receiver one could obtain enhancements in the electron-ion densities during such events (McRae et al., 2004).

In general, the entire radiation range of EUV, solar Lyman-α, β, solar X-ray and γ-rays coming from compact cosmic objects, act as perturbative sources and their
presence leads to the evolution of the entire atmospheric chemistry (Madronich and Flocke, 1999). The amount of energy deposited and the altitudes of deposition depend on the incoming radiation energy. Thus the chemical changes in different layers vary. Many workers have studied these changes theoretically as well as using laboratory experiments. In F$_2$ region, the chemistry is simpler with N$^+$, H$^+$, and O$^+$ as the dominant ion (Mitra, 1974). Abundance of O$^+$ depends on solar UV rays (Wayne, 2000). Similarly O$^+$ and N$^+$ are dominant in F1 region and their density is governed by EUV of 10–100 nm range (Mitra, 1974). E-region is mostly filled up by O$_2$, N$_2$ and NO molecules. During higher energetic X-ray emission (1–10 nm) O$_2^+$ and N$_2^+$ are produced. Here the molecular NO and O are formed mainly through dissociative recombination process. Mariska and Oran (1981) has measured the evolution of E and F region during solar flares using EUV data of SOLRAD satellite system. The response of the D-region is dominated for hard X-ray and γ-ray injection from flares and Gamma Ray Bursts (Mitra, 1974; Wayne, 2000; Thomson et al., 2001; Holler et al., 2009; Tripathi et al., 2011; Mondal et al., 2012). It has nearly similar ion constituents as in the E-region along with hydrated ions like O$_2^+$(H$_2$O)$_n$, H$^+$(H$_2$O)$_n$ etc. Several authors such as Mitra (1974), Mcrae (2004), Pal et al. (2012a, b) studied D-region electron density in detail. Beside this radiation events, regular Solar Proton Events (SPE) having energies 1–500 MeV affect the atmospheric chemistry drastically as one such proton can ionize millions of molecules. Joeckel et al. (2003) reported interesting observation of $^{14}$CO during SPE. 35 % reduction of NO$_2$ has been observed overall during a SPE (Holloway and Wayne, 2010). Jackman et al. (2001) reported a simultaneous measurement of NO, NO$_2$ and O$_3$ during same SPE of 2000 and reported that mesospheric ozone is reduced by 70% where stratospheric ozone is reduced by only 9% (but, see, Verronen et al. (2005) who reported the reduction by 95% and 20% respectively).

Because the Earth’s ionosphere (and atmosphere in general) is a gigantic, noisy yet free of cost detector of cosmic radiation, study of the evolution of its chemical composition in presence of high energy phenomena (both photons and charged particles) is of utmost important not only to interpret the results of VLF and other radio waves
through it, but also to understand long term stability of this sensitive system. In the present paper, we apply the GEANT4 simulation (applicable to any high energy radiation detector) only to understand the changes in the D-region electron density ($N_e$) during flares as the ion-chemistry leaves negligible impression on VLF propagation characteristics. For that reason knowledge of only the dominant chemical processes in the ionosphere would be enough to study the perturbations due to events like solar flares (Rowe et al., 1974; Mitra, 1981; Chamberlain, 1978). One such simplified model, namely, the Glukhov-Pasko-Inan (GPI) model (Glukhov et al., 1992) can be applied for the events which cause excess ionization in the D region. Inan et al. (2006) used this model (though modified for lower altitudes) to find the effects of Gamma Ray Bursts on the state of ionization. The model has also been adopted successfully by Haldoupis (Haldoupis et al., 2009) for his work on the lightning induced electron precipitations or LEPs.

In the present paper, we model the VLF signal variation due to ionospheric perturbation during solar flares by combining a Monte Carlo simulation (GEANT4) for ion production with the GPI model for the D-region to find the rate of free electron production at different altitudes by X-rays and $\gamma$-rays emitted from flares. Finally, the Long Wave Propagation Capability (LWPC) code (Ferguson, 1998) has been used to simulate the changes in the VLF amplitude. The LWPC code computes the amplitude and phase of the VLF signal for any arbitrary propagation path and ionospheric conditions along the path, including the effects of the earth magnetic field. It uses the exponential ionosphere defined by Wait and Spies (1964) and wave guide mode formulations developed by Budden (1951). This program has been used by many workers and is quiet successful for modeling of long-range propagation of VLF signals even in presence of ionospheric anomalies (Grubor et al., 2008; Rodger et al., 1999; Chakrabarti et al., 2012; Pal et al., 2012a,b). Instead of Wait’s model for the ionosphere, we used our own result of the D-region ionosphere, by incorporating the results from the GEANT4 Monte-Carlo simulation and the GPI scheme in the LWPC program.
We compared the simulated VLF signal amplitude deviations due to the effect of solar flares with those of the observational data detected by the ground based VLF receiver of the Ionospheric and Earthquake Research Centre (IERC) under Indian Centre for Space Physics (ICSP). To illustrate the success of our method, we use one X2.2 type and one M3.5 type solar flare data which occurred on 15 February 2011 and 24 February 2011 respectively and compare with the predicted VLF output from our model. Our interest is to reproduce the change in the VLF signal during the solar event and examine the decay of the peak due to long recovery time.

The organization of the paper is as follows: in Sect. 2, we present the observational data. In Sect. 3, we present the detailed procedures to model the data. This includes successive usage of the GEANT4 simulation, GPI scheme and the LWPC code. In Sect. 4, we present the results of our modeling which we compared with our observed data. Finally, in Sect. 5, we make concluding remarks.

2 Observational data

The VLF receivers at Indian Centre for Space Physics are continuously monitoring several worldwide VLF transmitter signals including NWC (19.8 kHz), VTX (18.2 kHz) and JJI (22.2 kHz). We use the VLF data for the NWC to IERC/ICSP propagation path (distance 5691 km) to compare with our simulation results. In Fig. 1a we present an example of variations of the NWC signal at 19.8 kHz for a solar active day (solid curve) and a normal day (dashed curve) with quiet Sun. There is a sudden rise of wave amplitude followed by a slow decay associated with each of the flares. SoftPAL instrument was used in obtaining the data. In the present paper, we analyse stronger flares (one X2.2 type and one M3.5 type) to begin with. In future, we shall target weaker flares as they require more careful subtraction of the background. While analysing flares in question, we use the GOES and RHESSI satellite data (Sui et al., 2002) to obtain the light curve and spectrum of those flares.
3 The model

3.1 GEANT4 Monte Carlo simulation

GEANT4 is a well-known detector simulation program (Agostinelli et al., 2003) which includes all the required physics for the production of electron-ion pairs in the atmosphere by energetic photon interactions. Most of the ionization occurs due to the collision of molecules with secondary electrons produced by initial photo ionization. The Earth's ionosphere is a giant detector and as such, the software used to analyse detectors in high energy physics could be used here as well. We simulated the rate of ionization at different altitudes due to solar X-ray photons during flares using GEANT4 Monte Carlo simulation toolkit.

The Earth’s atmosphere, constructed in the detector construction class consists of concentric spherical shells, so that the atmosphere is divided into several layers. The distribution of layers is as follows, 100 layers above the earth surface, 1 km each followed by 20 layer above this, 5 km each and 12 more layers, 25 km each. They are formed with average molecular densities and other parameters at those heights. The data is obtained from NASA-MSISE-90 atmospheric model (Hedin, 1991) of the atmosphere.

Initially, the Monte Carlo process is triggered by primary particle generation class with photons of random energy from a spectrum extending from 1–100 keV and with equal number of photons per keV bin. This gives an altitude distribution of electron production rate, which can be normalized for a given spectrum. This method helps us saving a significant amount of computation time. We do not approximate a value (∼ 35 eV as assumed by Glukhov et al., 1992 and Haldoupis et al., 2009) of the average energy for production of an electron-ion pair and calculate the ionization rate from the total energy deposition. Instead, we follow the electron ionization interactions down to the lowest possible level. For this the lower energy threshold for electron and photon processes is extended down to 10 eV. From simulations we found the average energy required per electron-ion pair production to be ∼ 31 eV.
The produced electron density distribution obtained from the uniform spectrum is then normalized according to the actual spectrum (see, Fig. 2a, b) of the flares. For example, in Fig. 3 we show the altitude wise distribution of the rate of electron density produced by those spectra (at the peak flare time) assuming the Sun to be at the zenith.

From Fig. 3a, b it is evident that the ionization effect due to the solar X-ray is dominant in the D region (\(\sim 60–100\) km) only. The simulation also shows that the altitude of maximum ionization is somewhat lower for X-class flare (\(\sim 81\) km) than that of (\(\sim 88\) km) M-class. This is consistent with the fact that the relative abundance of high energy photons is larger in X-class spectra.

The effects of the zenithal variation of the Sun is also included in the simulation to account for the variation of the flux in long duration flares. Figure 4 shows the simulated electron density (\(\text{cm}^{-3}\)) at various altitudes for the M-class spectrum (Fig. 2a) at the flare peak if it had occurred at different times of the day. In finding the rate of production of electron density over the whole period of the flares, we convolve the normalized electron density distribution profiles with the light curves of the flares, taking into account the dependency of the electron density on the angular positions.

We simulate the condition at the middle of the VLF propagation path (Fig. 1b) and assume that the resulting electron density distribution apply for the whole path. Along the propagation path in question, the solar zenith angle variation is at the most \(\sim 30^\circ\). Figure 4 shows that the approximation is justifiable, especially if the solar zenithal angle is close to 0° at the mid-propagation path.

Using this method, we compute the free electron production rates per unit volume for both of the flares we wish to model. Figure 5a, b show the GOES light curve of the M-class and the X-class flares respectively. The corresponding free electron production rates per unit volume, at different altitudes as time proceeds during the flares, obtained from the Monte Carlo simulation are shown in Fig. 5c, d respectively. The latter curves generally follow the variation of X-rays.
3.2 The GPI model

Our next goal is to compute the changes in atmospheric chemistry due to the flares. These could be obtained in a simplified model, called the GPI model (Glukhov et al., 1992). First we present some details of this model. In this model the number density evolution of four types of ion species are taken into account for the estimation of the ion density changes in D regions. These are: electrons, positive ions, negative ions and positive cluster ions. The positive ions $N^+$ comprise of mainly $O_2^+$ and $NO_2^+$. The negative ions $N^-$ include $O_2^-$, $CO_3^-$, $NO_2^-$, $NO_3^-$ etc. The positive cluster ions $N_x^+$ are usually of the form $H^+(H_2O)_n$. The GPI model is meant for the night time lower ionosphere.

Modified set of equations exists (Lehtinen and Inan, 2007), that are applicable at lower heights ($\sim 50$ km), where the inclusion of negative ion clusters $N_x^-$ is important and the scheme has been used for the day time lower ionosphere also (Inan et al., 2007). However, for the altitudes (above 60 km) of our interest in the model, where the VLF is reflected, the simplified GPI model is sufficient. We concentrate only on the ionization produced by the X-ray from solar flares, not from the regular UV and Lyman alpha lines etc. Though it is a mere simplification, this will produce good results as long as the ion production rates due to X-ray dominates over the regular production rates. The model is suitable for the strong flares (at least higher C-class and above) and is valid up to the time of recovery when the above approximation holds.

According to the model, the time evolutions of the ion densities follow ordinary differential equations. the right hand sides of which are production ($+\nu_e$) and loss ($-\nu_e$) terms.
\[
\frac{dN_e}{dt} = I + \gamma N^- - \beta N_e - \alpha_d N_e N^+ - \alpha_i^c N_e N_x^+ ,
\]
\[
\frac{dN^-}{dt} = \beta N_e - \gamma N^- - \alpha_i N^-(N^+ + N_x^+),
\]
\[
\frac{dN^+}{dt} = I - B N^+ - \alpha_d N_e N^+ - \alpha_i N^- N^+ ,
\]
\[
\frac{dN_x^+}{dt} = B N^+ - \alpha_d^c N_e N_x^+ - \alpha_i N^- N_x^+.
\]

The neutrality of the plasma requires,

\[
N^- + N_e = N^+ + N_x^+.
\]

Here \( \beta \) is the electron attachment rate (Rowe et al., 1974), the value of which is given by,

\[
\beta = 10^{-31} N_{O_2} N_{N_2} + 1.4 \times 10^{29} \left( \frac{300}{T} \right) e^{\left( \frac{-600}{T} \right)} N_{O_2}^2.
\]

\( N_{O_2} \) and \( N_{N_2} \) represent the number densities of molecular Oxygen and Nitrogen respectively, and \( T \) is the electron temperature. The neutral atom concentrations at different altitudes, required for the calculations of the coefficients were obtained from NASA-MSISE atmospheric model. The speculated value of \( \gamma \) varies widely from \( 10^{-23} N \text{ s}^{-1} \) to \( 10^{-16} N \text{ s}^{-1} \) (Lehtinen and Inan, 2007; Pasko and Inan, 1994). Following Glukhov et al. (1992) the value of \( \gamma \) is taken to be \( 3 \times 10^{-18} N \text{ s}^{-1} \), where \( N \) is the total number density of neutrals.

Previous studies (Lehtinen and Inan, 2007; Rowe et al., 1974) predict that the effective coefficient of dissociative recombination \( \alpha_d \) may have values from \( 10^{-7} \) to \( 3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \). We have used \( 3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \) for \( \alpha_d \).
The effective recombination coefficient of electrons with positive cluster ions $\alpha_d$ has the value $\sim 10^{-6} - 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Here the value of $10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is adopted as suggested by Glukhov et al. (1992). The value of the effective coefficient of ion–ion recombination processes for all types of positive ions with negative ions is taken to be $10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Mitra, 1968; Rowe et al., 1974). $B$ is the effective rate of conversion from the positive ion ($N^+$) to the positive cluster ions ($N_x^+$) and has the value $10^{-30} N^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Rowe et al., 1974; Mitra, 1968), in agreement with Glukhov et al. (1992).

The term $I_x$, which corresponds to the ion production rate, can be written as $I = I_0 + I_x$. Here $I_0$ is from the constant source of ionization which are responsible for the unperturbed distributions of ions. In our case $I_0$ corresponds grossly to the background ultraviolet ray and Lyman alpha lines etc. from Sun, producing the D region. The term $I_x$ is the perturbation component of the ionization rate. Here $I_x$ is generated by the X-ray photons (in the energy range from 1 to 12 keV, important for the D-region) coming from the solar coronal region during solar flares only.

To account for the time variation of the number density of the ion species, we divide each term into an unperturbed and a time dependent parts, i.e. $N_e$ is replaced with $N_{0e} + N_e(t)$, $N^+$ with $N_0^+ + N^+(t)$, $N^-$ with $N_0^- + N^-(t)$ and $N_x^+$ with $N_{0x}^+ + N_x^+(t)$. A set of equations governing the equilibrium conditions can be obtained from Eqs. (1)–(4), by replacing the ion density terms with their unperturbed values, $I$ by $I_0$ and equating the rates to zero (Glukhov et al., 1992).

Then utilizing the conditions of equilibrium and the above replacements in Eqs. (1)–(4), we get the following set of equations

$$\frac{dN_e}{dt} = I_x + \gamma N^- - \beta N_e - \alpha_d(N_{0e}N^+ + N_eN_0^+ + N_eN^+) - \alpha_d(N_{0e}N_x^+ + N_eN_{0x}^+ + N_eN_x^+)$$ (7)

$$\frac{dN^-}{dt} = \beta N_e - \gamma N^- - \alpha_i[N_0^- (N^+ + N_x^+) + N^- (N_0^+ + N^+ + N_{0x}^+ + N_x^+)]$$ (8)

$$\frac{dN^+}{dt} = I_x + BN^+ - \alpha_d(N_{0e}N^+ + N_eN_0^+ + N_eN^+) - \alpha_i(N_0^- N^+ + N^- N_0^+ + N^- N^+)$$ (9)
The unperturbed values of the electron and ion densities are obtained from IRI model (Rawer et al., 1978). Unperturbed negative ion density $N_0^-$ is obtained from the relationship $N_0^- = \lambda N_{0e}$, where $\lambda$ is the relative composition of the negative ions. The values of positive ion densities are calculated from the charge neutrality condition, i.e.

$$N_{0e} + N_0^- = N_{0x}^+ + N_{0}^+.$$  

Using the ionization rate per unit volume ($I_x$), obtained from GEANT4 simulation and the coefficients of the ODEs, calculated from MSIS data we solve the ODEs (Eqs. 7–10) by Runge-Kutta method to find the residual electron density at various altitudes during the course of the flare.

Figure 6a, b show the electron density variations at different altitude throughout the flares. The enhancement in electron density from ambient values can be clearly seen.

We follow the same procedure to obtain the quiet background electron density profiles ($N_e$) during the entire time of M & X class flares. Examples of these profiles are shown in Fig. 7a, b where the altitude variations of the electron densities are obtained from the GPI model. These are plotted at three different times including the peak time. The times are shown by arrows in Fig. 6a, b. The ambient electron density during X-class flare was higher than that of M-class flare. This is because the background X-ray flux during the X-class flare was stronger.

Though we do not expect drastic changes in VLF signal due to the changes in the chemical composition at the altitudes of our interests, for the sake of completeness, we show in Fig. 8, how the altitude profile of three types of ion densities at three different times evaluated numerically from the GPI scheme. We show this for X-class flares only. It is to be noted that they are the outcome of simplified chemical model devoid of physical processes like transport of the ions through the layers of ionosphere etc. These processes are being studied and would be reported elsewhere.
3.3 Modeling VLF response with LWPC

Now that we have the electron densities ($N_e$) at various altitudes, we can use them to obtain the time variation of the deviation of the VLF signal amplitude during a flare event. We use the Long Wave Propagation Capability (LWPC) code (Ferguson, 1998) to accomplish this. LWPC is a very versatile and well-known code and the current LWPC version has the scope to include arbitrary ionospheric variations as the input parameters for the upper waveguide. The electron density profiles (Fig. 6) represent the perturbed ionosphere due to the solar flares and can be used as the inputs of the LWPC code to simulate the VLF signal behavior. The electron-neutral collision frequency in the lower ionosphere plays an important role for the propagation of VLF signals. We used the collision frequency profiles between electrons and neutrals as described by Kelley (2009). This is given by the following equation,

$$\nu_e(h) = 5.4 \times 10^{-10} n_n T^{1/2},$$

where $n_n$ and $T$ are the neutral density in cubic centimetre and electron temperature in Kelvin respectively. Assuming the temperature of the electrons and the ions are the same and using the ideal gas equation the above equation can be expressed as (Schmitter, 2011),

$$\nu_e(h) = 3.95 \times 10^{12} T^{-1/2} \exp(-0.145h).$$

4 Results

So far, we used various ways to obtain the altitude variations of the electron densities before and during flares. We now use the LWPC model to compute the resulting VLF amplitudes. To find the observational deviation in the VLF signal due to flares, we subtract the flare day data from VLF data of nearest quiet day. For the signal generated by the LWPC code also the subtraction is made, but with a flat VLF profile obtained from the LWPC code.
the ambient electron density shown in Fig. 6a, b. The variation of ambient ion densities during the course of the day affects the recombination process of the perturbed ionosphere due to prolonged flares. This is evident from Eqs. (7–10) that the rate of change of the electron density depends on the unperturbed concentration. If it was not the case the subtraction would have made no differences in results.

The response of the VLF signal to this electron distribution as obtained from LWPC code after appropriate subtraction of the background is shown in Fig. 9a, b. We see that the variations in VLF signal strengths resemble with those recorded for NWC to IERC/ICSP propagation path by IERC monitors. For the M-class flare, the resulting VLF signals from model matches with the observation up to a certain point of time beyond which modeled slope becomes gradually smaller than that of the observation. For the X-class flare the modeled value of slope becomes larger than that of the observation. The M-class flare occurred at the local afternoon when the diurnal ambient electron densities at various altitudes are decreasing. During the decay of the perturbation when the effect of ambient ion densities start to dominate, observed slope of decay become higher in value (than that from the Model, where this effect is absent) following the trend of variation of VLF amplitude at that time. The X-class flare, on the other hand, occurred in the morning when ambient electron densities are increasing with time due to diurnal variation. In this case, the slope of observed decay is a bit smaller.

It can also be seen (comparing the Fig. 9 with Fig. 6) that the differences in slopes of decay between the models and the observations start to occur when the electron densities fall below a certain level for both the flares (∼ 2000 cm$^{-3}$ at 80 km). Furthermore, for the X-class flare as the abundance of higher energy photon is greater than that in the M-class flare, the dominance of the ambient electron density in determining the VLF amplitude starts somewhat later.
5 Concluding remarks

In this paper, we have used a three step process to compute the deviation of VLF signal amplitude during a solar flare. The steps are (i) GEANT4-Monte Carlo simulation for ionization rate estimation, (ii) GPI-scheme of ionospheric chemistry analysis and finally (iii) LWPC modeling of sub-ionospheric VLF signal propagation. This combined model is self-consistent, realistic with a few justified assumptions and is capable of estimating VLF signal behavior directly using the corresponding incident solar flux variation on lower ionosphere during a solar flare.

In our model computation, we have ignored the effects of the variation of ambient concentrations, i.e. the diurnal variations (unperturbed) of $N_{0e}$, $N_0^+$ etc. are not taken into account while solving the ODEs. So as long as the electron densities produced by the X-rays from the flares dominate over the ambient electron densities due to regular ionization sources, such as, the ultraviolet and Lyman alpha photons etc., the model should follow observed variations in VLF data. We can see in Fig. 9 that it is in fact the case. In future, we shall study the effects of the occurrence times of the flare on the modeling and also the effects of radiation on chemical changes in other altitudes using our method.

We are interested on further modeling of the ionization effect of EUV and UV photons so that we can remove the discrepancies between the modeled and observed decay of the perturbations and extend the modeling throughout the duration of the flare. This will require the knowledge of some more details of ionospheric chemistry and fluid dynamics. Also the inclusion of inhomogeneous distribution of ionospheric dynamics during flares and adoption of more realistic values of ionospheric parameters will lead the results in better agreement with observations. In future these modifications will be made in the time analysis of the flare decay and the sluggishness of ionosphere.
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sudden rise of wave amplitude followed by a slow decay associated with each of the flares. SoftPAL instrument was used in obtaining the data. In the present paper, we analyse stronger flares (one X2.2 type and one M3.5 type) to begin with. In future, we shall target weaker flares as they require more careful subtraction of the background. While analysing flares in question, we use the GOES and RHESSI satellite data (Sui, L., Holman, G.D. et al., 2002) to obtain the light curve and spectrum of those flares.

**Fig. 1.** (a) The amplitude of VLF signal transmitted from the NWC (19.8 kHz) station, as a function of time (in IST = UT + 5.5 h) as recorded at IERC (ICSP), Sitapur on the 18 February 2011. Six solar flares of different classes (C2.04 at 09:04 h, C4.03 at 10:21 h, C8.57 at 12:03 h, C7.67 at 12:54 h, C4.26 at 14:41 h and M6.61 at 15:42 h) could be seen. A solar-quiet day data is also presented (dotted line), which was recorded on 12 February 2011. (b) The propagation path from NWC/Australia to IERC(ICSP)/Sitapur, India.
Fig. 2. Spectra at three phases (dotted: ascent phase; solid: peak; dash-dotted: descent phase) of the (a) M-class and (b) X-class flares modeled in this paper. RHESSI satellite data and OSPEX software is used to obtain the spectra.
Electron production rates per unit volume at peak times for the (a) M-class and (b) X-class flares assuming the Sun at zenith. The effects of the zenithal variation of the Sun is also included in the simulation to account for the variation of the flux in long duration flares. Figure 4 shows the simulated electron density (cm\(^{-3}\)) at various altitudes for the M-class spectrum (Fig. 2a) at the flare peak if it had occurred at different times of the day. In finding the rate of production of electron density over the whole period of the flares, we convolve the normalized electron density distribution profiles with the light curves of the flares, taking into account the dependency of the electron density on the angular positions. We simulate the condition at the middle of the VLF propagation path (Fig. 1b) and assume that the resulting electron density distribution apply for the whole path. Along the propagation path in question, the solar zenith angle variation is at the most \(\sim 30^\circ\). Figure 4 shows that the approximation is justifiable, especially if the solar zenithal angle is close to 0 at the mid-propagation path.

Using this method, we compute the free electron production rates per unit volume for both of the flares we wish to model. Figures 5a and 5b show the GOES light curve of the M-class and the X-class flares respectively. The corresponding free electron production rates per unit volume, at different altitudes as time proceeds during the flares, obtained from the Monte Carlo simulation are shown in Figs. 5c and 5d respectively. The latter curves generally follow the variation of X-rays.

3.2 The GPI model

Our next goal is to compute the changes in atmospheric chemistry due to the flares. These could be obtained in a simplified model, called the GPI model (Glukhov et al., 1992). First we present some details of this model. In this model the number density evolution of four types of ion species are taken into account for the estimation of the ion density changes in D regions. These are: electrons, positive ions, negative ions and positive cluster ions. The positive ions \(\text{N}_+\) comprise of mainly \(\text{O}_2^+\) and \(\text{NO}_2^+\). The negative ions \(\text{N}^-\) include \(\text{O}_2^-\), \(\text{CO}_3^-\), \(\text{NO}_2^-\), \(\text{NO}_3^-\) etc. The positive cluster ions \(\text{N}_+^x\).
Fig. 4. Variation of electron production rates with the angular position of the Sun at different heights assuming an M-class spectrum.
Fig. 4. Variation of electron production rates with the angular position of the Sun at different heights assuming an M-class spectrum.

Fig. 5. Light curves of the flares from GOES satellite of an (a) M-class and an (b) X-class flare. The time variation of the electron production rates as obtained from GEANT4 simulation for these flare respectively are shown in (c) and (d).

Fig. 5. Light curves of the flares from GOES satellite of an (a) M-class and an (b) X-class flare. The time variation of the electron production rates as obtained from GEANT4 simulation for these flare respectively are shown in (c) and (d).

**Fig. 5.** Light curves of the flares from GOES satellite of an (a) M-class and an (b) X-class flare. The time variation of the electron production rates as obtained from GEANT4 simulation for these flare respectively are shown in (c) and (d).
Fig. 6. Electron density at different layers during the (a) M-class and (b) X-class flares.
Fig. 7. Altitude profiles of electron density as obtained from GPI model at three different times for a (a) M-class and an (b) X-class flares. Solid line shows the ambient profile before the beginning of the flare while the dashed and the dot-dashed lines represent the profile during the peak and at a time during recovery.
Fig. 8. Altitude profile of three types of ion densities as obtained from GPI scheme, namely (a) negative ions (b) positive ions and (c) positive cluster ions. The solid, dashed and dot-dashed lines represent respectively the ambient profile, that at the peak and at a time during recovery of the X-type flares discussed in the text.
Results
So far, we used various ways to obtain the altitude variation of the electron densities before and during flares. We now use the LWPC model to compute the resulting VLF amplitudes. To find the observational deviation in the VLF signal due to flares, we subtract the flare day data from VLF data of nearest quiet day. For the signal generated by the LWPC code also the subtraction is made, but with a flat VLF profile obtained from the ambient electron density shown in Fig. 6(a-b). The variation of ambient ion densities during the course of the day affects the recombination process of the perturbed ionosphere due to prolonged flares. This is evident from Eqs. (7-10) that the rate of change of the electron density depends on the unperturbed concentration. If it was not the case the subtraction would have made no differences in results.

Fig. 9. Simulation results of VLF amplitude perturbations (dotted line) and corresponding observed VLF data (solid line) are plotted with UT for (a) M-class and (b) X-class solar flares respectively.

It can also be seen (comparing the Fig. 9 with Fig. 6) that the differences in slopes of decay between the models and the observations start to occur when the electron densities fall below a certain level for both the flares (≈ 2000 cm$^{-1}$ at 80 km). Furthermore, for the X-class flare as the...