Simulation of aromatic SOA formation using the lumping model integrated with explicit gas-phase kinetic mechanisms and aerosol-phase reactions
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Abstract

The Unified Partitioning-Aerosol phase Reaction (UNIPAR) model has been developed to predict the secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation through multiphase reactions. An explicit gas-kinetic model was employed to express gas-phase oxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons. Gas-phase products are grouped based on volatility (6 levels) and reactivity (5 levels) and used to construct the stoichiometric coefficients ($\alpha_{i,j}$) matrix, the set of parameters used to describe the concentrations of organic compounds in multiphase. Weighting of the $\alpha_{i,j}$ matrix as a function of NO$_x$ improved the evaluation of NO$_x$ effects on SOA. The total amount of organic matter (OM$_T$) is predicted by two modules in the UNIPAR model: OM$_P$ by a partitioning process and OM$_{AR}$ by aerosol-phase reactions. OM$_P$ is estimated using the SOA partitioning model that has been used in a regional air quality model (CMAQ 5.0.1). OM$_{AR}$ predicts multiphase reactions of organic compounds, such as oligomerization, acid-catalyzed reactions, and organosulfate (OS) formation. The model was evaluated with the SOA data produced from the photooxidation of toluene and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene using an outdoor reactor (UF-APHOR chamber). The model reasonably simulates SOA formation under various aerosol acidities, NO$_x$ concentrations, humidities and temperatures. Furthermore, the OS fraction in the SOA predicted by the model was in good agreement with the experimentally measured OS fraction.

1 Introduction

Organic aerosols have attracted the interest of many researchers because of the significant role they play in climate forcing (IPCC, 2001), human health (Schwartz et al., 1996), and visibility (Bäumer et al., 2008). The oxidation of reactive volatile organic hydrocarbons (VOCs) produces semivolatile multifunctional products that can form secondary organic aerosols (SOA) through either gas-particle partitioning or aerosol-phase reactions. Although SOA make up a major fraction of atmospheric aerosol, the
development of a model to predict the formation of SOA remains difficult, due to the complexity of formation mechanisms in both the gas and aerosol phases as well as the diversity of compounds (a large fraction of which remain unidentified).

Previously, the complex task of predicting SOA formation was facilitated by the gas-particle partitioning model, which uses a few semivolatile surrogate products (Odum et al., 1996) derived from the photooxidation of VOCs. These products are semiempirically fit to chamber produced SOA yields using mass-based stoichiometric parameters and equilibrium partitioning coefficients. Although this model advanced the prediction of SOA formation, its simple parameterization is limited to a wide range of volatility of atmospheric organic products and multi-generational oxidation processes (particle aging) in both gas and particle phases (Donahue et al., 2006). It also does not account for aerosol-phase chemistry, which can significantly increase SOA mass, forming nonvolatile high molecular weight (MW) compounds. In particular, many studies have recently reported that SOA formation is accelerated by acid-catalyzed reactions (e.g. hydration, polymerization, formation of hemiacetal/acetal/trioxane, aldol condensation, and cationic rearrangement) (Jang and Kamens, 2001; Garland et al., 2006) in the presence of inorganic aerosol for both the oxidation products of biogenic (Czoschke et al., 2003; Iinuma et al., 2004; Kleindienst et al., 2006; Surratt et al., 2007) and aromatic hydrocarbons (Cao and Jang, 2007, 2010). Furthermore, it also has been found that a new class of products, organosulfate (OS), can be formed through the aerosol-phase reaction of organic species either with sulfate, bisulfate (Betterton and Hoffmann, 1987; Liggio et al., 2005) or their radicals (Galloway et al., 2009; Olson et al., 2011; Darer et al., 2011).

There have been many efforts to integrate recent discoveries in aerosol phase chemistry into SOA models. For example, Donahue et al. (2006) have attempted to add the particle-aging process to a SOA model using the volatility basis set (VBS) approach. In their model, the volatility distribution of products was represented using a group of volatility bins, and the multigenerational oxidations of semivolatile organic compounds (SVOCs) was illustrated by remapping the products in the volatility bins. Cappa and
Willson (2012) have recently simulated SOA mass and bulk aerosol O:C atomic ratios employing tunable parameters to describe the kinetic evolution of SOA mass due to precursor VOC oxidation and further oxidation of gas phase products. Some models have integrated the explicit gas-phase kinetic mechanisms of oxidation of VOCs with gas-particle partitioning. For example, Kamens et al. (1999) predicted the SOA formation through the kinetically represented gas-particle partitioning of SVOCs by the ratio of rate constants of condensation to evaporation in the gas-kinetic mechanism. However, these models are limited in their ability to represent the details of complex aerosol-phase chemistry including the interaction between organic and inorganic compounds.

The latest version of the regional air quality model (CMAQ 5.0.1) has attempted to incorporate aerosol chemistries by including simplified oligomerization reactions and acid-catalyzed uptake of isoprene SOA. Although such efforts have been devoted to improving the understanding of aerosol phase reactions, current air quality models still significantly underestimate ambient organic matter (OM) measured in the field (Simpson et al., 2007; Kleinman et al., 2008).

Jang et al. (2006) have recently developed a SOA model that includes acid-catalyzed reactions of organic compounds in the presence of inorganic seed aerosol. In this model, the SVOCs predicted by explicit gas-phase kinetic mechanisms are classified using 20 lumping species based on the volatility and reactivity for aerosol-phase reactions. The SOA mass from the aerosol-phase reaction is predicted using the aerosol phase rate constants, which incorporate acidity and molecular structures. This approach was applied to SOA formation from the ozonolysis of α-pinene (Jang et al., 2006) and oxidation of toluene with NOx (Cao and Jang, 2010). While this model advanced the prediction of SOA by considering the effect of acidity on SOA formation, some limitations remained. For example, the gas-phase aging of organic compounds and organosulfate formation were not considered. In terms of expression of aerosol chemistry, this model was also limited in handling dynamically changing aerosol acidity (e.g. ammonia titration of acidic aerosol and acidity change by OS formation).
In the present study, the SOA model developed using indoor chamber studies by Cao and Jang et al. (2010) was advanced in order to include multiphase reactions of organic compounds and recently discovered aerosol-phase chemistry such as the formation of OS using outdoor chamber data. The Unified Partitioning-Aerosol phase Reaction (UNIPAR) model of this study has revised the previous model to allow for simulation of SOA formation under dynamically changing atmospheric conditions (NO$_x$, temperature, humidity, sunlight and particle acidity). In the UNIPAR model, oligomerization is described in both organic and inorganic aerosol phases, and the formation of OS is predicted in various experimental conditions. To provide more flexibility with various oxygenated products, the lumping group structure has been extended from 20 groups to 30 groups in this study. The model was evaluated using outdoor chamber data for the SOA produced from the photooxidation of toluene or 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (135-TMB) in the presence and absence of SO$_2$ under varying NO$_x$ conditions.

2 Experimental section

SOA formation from the photo-oxidation of aromatic volatile organic compounds (AV-OCs) (toluene or 135-TMB) with and without SO$_2$ under two different NO$_x$ conditions were studied using the University of Florida Atmospheric PHotochemical Outdoor Reactor (UF-APHOR) dual chambers located on the roof of Black Hall (latitude/longitude: 29.64185°/−82.347883°) at the University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida. The air volume of the two half-cylinder shaped, dual chambers is 104 m$^3$ (52 m$^3$ + 52 m$^3$) (Fig. S1). The dual, Teflon film chambers allow us to simultaneously conduct two experiments under the same meteorological conditions, e.g. sunlight, temperature and relative humidity. A detailed description of the chamber, experimental procedures, and instrumentation are shown in the Supplement (Sect. S1).

SOA formation was determined by measuring the organic carbon (OC) concentration (semi-continuous OC/EC carbon aerosol analyzer, Sunset Laboratory, Model 4). The OC is converted to OM by multiplying by the [OM]/[OC] ratio (2.0), which is the
The SOA yield ($Y$) is calculated as the ratio of the formed OM to the reacted hydrocarbon concentration ($\Delta$HC): $Y = \Delta$OM/$\Delta$HC (Odum et al., 1996). In this study, the proton concentration ([H$^+$], mol L$^{-1}$ in the inorganic aerosol) of aerosols was measured by two methods: Particle into Liquid Sampler-Ion Chromatography (PILS-IC) with the inorganic thermodynamic model (E-AIM II) (Clegg et al., 1998) and the Colorimetry Integrated with a Reflectance UV-Visible Spectrometer (C-RUV) method (Jang et al., 2008). A detailed description of the acidity measurement using the C-RUV method is shown in the Supplement (Sect. S1). The [H$^+$] estimated from PILS-IC data does not include the acidity change by OS formation, while that from C-RUV data includes OS formation. The difference in [H$^+$] between two methods is used to estimate OS (a detailed calculation of OS is described in Sect. S4 in Supplement).

### 3 Description of the UNIPAR model

Gas-phase chemistry treated by the Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM V3.2) (Jenkin et al., 2003; Bloss et al., 2005), an explicit gas-phase kinetic mechanism, provides the concentrations and chemical structures (functional groups) of oxidized products in the gas phase. To effectively account for wide ranges of complex atmospheric oxidized products, the model employs a lumping frame based on volatility of chemical species and reactivity for aerosol phase reaction. The aerosol phase concentrations of each lumping group are estimated by a thermodynamic model (partitioning of lumping species between the gas (g), organic aerosol (or) and inorganic aerosol (in) phases) and then applied to aerosol phase reactions. The total organic matter ($OM_T$), SOA mass in the UNIPAR model is predicted by two modules: the module for organic matter ($OM_P$) by a partitioning process and the module for the OM ($OM_{AR}$) produced by aerosol-phase reactions of organic compounds. Figure 1 illustrates how major processes in both the gas and aerosol phases are connected to SOA formation. In the following sections, the model components are described in detail in order of computation.
in the UNIPAR model (from oxidation of AVOC to OM formation). The UNIPAR model was coded using Fortran.

3.1 Gas-phase chemistry

The oxidation of AVOC and the resulting oxygenated products are predicted using an explicit gas-kinetic mechanism (MCM V3.2) integrated with Morpho, a gas kinetic chemical solver (Jeffries and Kessler, 1998). The products predicted from the explicit gas-kinetic mechanisms provide the information required for both partitioning (product volatility distribution) and aerosol phase reactions (product reactivity) through the oxidized product molecular structures.

3.2 Product lumping structure

The oxygenated products produced from the MCM simulation are lumped into 30 groups based on their vapor pressures (6 levels: $10^{-8}$, $10^{-6}$, $10^{-5}$, $10^{-4}$, $10^{-3}$, $10^{-2}$ mmHg) at 298 K and aerosol phase reaction reactivities (5 levels: fast F, medium M, slow S, non-reactive P and multi-alcohol MA). The lumped products are used to construct the mass based stoichiometric coefficients ($\alpha_{i,j}$) matrix, the major set of parameters used to describe the concentrations of organic compounds in multiphase as shown in Eq. (1) below.

$$\text{ROG} + \text{oxidant} \rightarrow \sum_{\substack{i=1-6 \\ j=F-MA}} \alpha_{i,j} L_{i,j}$$

where $L_{i,j}$ are lumping species. The detailed description of product lumping is shown in the Supplement (Sect. S5). The list of products for each lumping group for toluene and 135-TMB are shown in Figs. S6 and S7 in Supplement.

In the UNIPAR model, the dependence of aromatic SOA formation on NO$_x$ was treated by parameterizing various product distributions under different NO$_x$ conditions.
The photooxidations of toluene or 135-TMB were simulated over a range of VOC/NO\textsubscript{x} ratios (ppbC/ppb, 4.5–90) using the MCM V3.2 (details in Sect. S6). Then, regression equations of $\alpha_{i,j}$ as functions of the VOC/NO\textsubscript{x} ratio were obtained from the simulation results (Tables S5 and S6 in Supplement).

3.3 Product concentrations among gas, organic and inorganic aerosol phases

As shown in Fig. 1, the aerosol is assumed to have two separated liquid phases: the organic phase and the inorganic aqueous phase. Both laboratory and modeling studies (Clegg et al., 2001; Chang and Pankow, 2006; Ciobanu et al., 2009; Bertram et al., 2011; Song et al., 2012; Zuend and Seinfeld, 2012) have suggested that a liquid-liquid phase separation (the organic and electrolyte aqueous phases) in the ambient aerosols is favored rather than a homogeneously mixed single phase at moderate RH conditions.

The estimation of concentrations ($\mu$g m\textsuperscript{-3} of air) of lumping species, $i$ in the gas phase ($C_{g,i}$), organic phase ($C_{or,i}$) and inorganic phase ($C_{in,i}$) is derived from two gas-particle partitioning coefficients, $K_{or,i}$ ($m^3$ $\mu$g$^{-1}$, g $\leftrightarrow$ or) and $K_{or,i}$ ($m^3$ $\mu$g$^{-1}$, g $\leftrightarrow$ in).

\begin{align*}
K_{or,i} &= \frac{C_{or,i}}{C_{g,i} \cdot OM_T} \\
K_{in,i} &= \frac{C_{in,i}}{C_{g,i} \cdot M_{in}}
\end{align*}

where OM\textsubscript{T} (SOA mass) is the total organic aerosol mass concentration ($\mu$g m\textsuperscript{-3} of air) and $M_{in}$ is the inorganic aerosol mass concentration ($\mu$g m\textsuperscript{-3} of air). Both $K_{or,i}$ and $K_{in,i}$ are estimated using the Pankow’s gas-particle absorption partition model (Eq. S1) (Pankow, 1994). In calculation of $K_{or,i}$, the activity coefficients ($\gamma_{or,i}$) of lumping species in the organic phase are assumed as unity (Jang and Kamens, 1998). Modeling efforts have been made to estimate activity coefficients ($\gamma_{in,i}$) of organic compounds in the inorganic aqueous phase (Zuend and Seinfeld, 2012), but the predictions are limited due
to the complexity of organic compounds and the lack of data base. So, in this study, $\gamma_{in,i}$ was semiempirically estimated. First, $\gamma_{in,i}$ was estimated under the saturated condition in pure water using Hansen’s solubility parameter method (Barton, 1991) and was then corrected to the one in a salted aqueous phase at 70 % RH using an empirically obtained relationship between solubility of organic compounds in pure water and that in aqueous inorganic aerosol. For the solubility of organic compounds in inorganic aerosol, literature solubility data for NaCl aqueous solution were used because of the lack of literature data for $SO_4^{-} - NH_4^{+}$-water. Detailed estimation of the activity coefficient of lumping species in the inorganic aqueous phase is described in Supplement (Sect. S2).

The concentrations of each lumping species in the gas, organic aerosol, and inorganic aerosol-phases are derived from the Eqs. (2) and (3).

\[
C_{g,i} = \frac{1}{1 + K_{or,i}OM_T + K_{in,i}M_{in}} C_{T,i} \quad (4)
\]

\[
C_{or,i} = \frac{K_{or,i}OM_T}{1 + K_{or,i}OM_T + K_{in,i}M_{in}} C_{T,i} \quad (5)
\]

\[
C_{in,i} = \frac{K_{in,i}M_{in}}{1 + K_{or,i}OM_T + K_{in,i}M_{in}} C_{T,i} \quad (6)
\]

where $C_{T,i}$ ($\mu g m^{-3}$ of air, $C_{T,i} = C_{g,i} + C_{or,i} + C_{in,i}$) is the total concentration of lumping species $i$. The resulting $C_{or,i}$ and $C_{in,i}$ are applied to the calculation of $OM_{AR}$ through aerosol phase reactions as shown in the next section.

### 3.4 SOA formation ($OM_{AR}$) by aerosol-phase reactions

$OM_{AR}$ is described by two processes: (1) the oligomerization reaction in the organic-phase and (2) the acid-catalyzed reaction in the inorganic-phase. The reactions in both the organic phase (Eq. 7) and inorganic phase (Eq. 8) are derived based on a second
order kinetic self-dimerization reaction.

\[
\frac{dC'_{or,i}}{dt} = -k_{o,i}C'_{or,i}^2 \quad \text{(In the organic phase)} \tag{7}
\]

\[
\frac{dC'_{in,i}}{dt} = -k_{AC,i}C'_{in,i}^2 \quad \text{(In the inorganic phase)} \tag{8}
\]

where \( C'_{or,i} \) and \( C'_{in,i} \) are the aerosol based concentrations (molL\(^{-1}\) of medium) of lumping species, \( i \), in the organic and inorganic aerosol phases, respectively. The acid-catalyzed-reaction rate constants \( (k_{AC,i}) \) (Lmol\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) are calculated by the following equation (Jang et al., 2006).

\[
k_{AC} = 10^{0.12pK_{BH^+} + 0.64X + 1.61/\log(a_{in,w}[H^+]) - 5.036} M_{in} + k_{AC,o} \tag{9}
\]

where \( pK_{BH^+} \) is the protonation equilibrium constant of the base, \( X \) is excess acidity, \( l \) is the heterogeneous reactivity parameter, and \( a_{in,w} \) and \( [H^+] \) are the activity of water and proton concentration (molL\(^{-1}\) of inorganic aerosol). Equation (9) is described in detail by Jang et al. (2006). The \([H^+]\) of inorganic aerosol, and aerosol water concentrations, which are major factors in acid-catalyzed reactions, were estimated using the Extended Inorganic Aerosol thermodynamic Model (E-AIM II) (Clegg et al., 1998). Similar to \( k_{AC,i} \), the oligomerization reaction rate constants \( (k_{o,i}) \) (Lmol\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) are determined at three levels based on reactivity of \( i \). \( k_{o,i} \) is determined with multiplying \( k_{AC,o} \) by a factor (1.6), which was determined by fitting the simulated OM to the measured OM using SOA data in the absence of the inorganic aerosol (Table 1).

When the RH is lower than the efflorescence relative humidity (ERH) of the aerosol, the aerosol becomes crystalized and SOA cannot form by acid-catalyzed reactions in the inorganic phase. Therefore, the ERHs of NH\(_4\)/SO\(_4\)/water aerosols were estimated from the model study of Colberg et al. (2002), and the inorganic aerosol phase reaction was restricted to the dry condition (RH < ERH).
The amount of OM (ΔOM_{AR}) formed by aerosol-phase reactions is same as the consumption of the total concentration of compound (−ΔC_T) based on a mass balance. Therefore, the ΔOM_{AR} can be expressed as

$$\Delta \text{OM}_{AR} = - \sum_i \Delta \text{C}_{T,i} = \sum_i \int \text{dC}_{T,i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

By combining Eqs. (2)–(8), the kinetic equation of C_{T,i} can be expressed as follows. (The detailed derivation is shown in Supplement, Sect. S3).

$$\frac{\text{dC}_{T,i}}{\text{dt}} = -k_{o,i}C_{or,i}^2 \left( \frac{\text{MW}_{i}\text{OM}_{T}}{\rho_{or}10^3} \right) - k_{AC,i}C_{in,i}^2 \left( \frac{\text{MW}_{i}\text{M}_{in}}{\rho_{in}10^3} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where \(\rho_{or}\) and \(\rho_{in}\) are the densities of the organic and inorganic aerosol phases, respectively. OM_{AR} is estimated from the analytical solution of Eq. (11) in the UNIPAR model.

### 3.5 Change of aerosol acidity by OS formation

The reaction of organic species with sulfuric acid to form OS decreases aerosol acidity. This change can affect the acid-catalyzed reaction for SOA formation, requiring an estimation of the acidity change by OS formation. In the model, the removal of a sulfate ion by OS formation (OS conversion factor based on available free sulfate, \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{free}}\)) is estimated using Eq. (12) at each time step (Fig. 2).

$$\text{OS conversion factor} = \frac{[\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{old}} - [\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{new}}}{[\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{old}}} = \frac{\Delta \text{OS}}{[\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{old}}} = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + f_{\text{OS}} \frac{N_{\text{OS}}}{[\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{free}}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{old}}\) and \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{new}}\) are sulfate concentrations (molL\(^{-1}\) of inorganic aerosol) before and after sulfate consumption by OS formation, respectively. Before the formation of new OS at each time step, \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{free}}\) (molL\(^{-1}\) of inorganic aerosol), which can
form OS, is calculated by excluding a sulfate ion in ammonium sulfate from the total ionic sulfate \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{ion}}\) that is not associated with OS: \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{free}} = [\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{ion}} - 0.5[\text{NH}_4^+]\). \(N_{OS}\) is the parameter to count the functional groups that can react with sulfate to form OS. Aldehyde, epoxide and alcohol groups are counted as the OS-formable functional groups because of their reactivity with sulfate. The semiempirical parameter \(f_{OS}\) is obtained by comparison of acidity measurements and model simulations. A detailed calculation of \(N_{OS}\) and a description of \(f_{OS}\) are shown in the Supplement (Sect. S4). When \(N_{OS}\) is very high, \(\Delta OS\) becomes high at a given \([\text{SO}_4^{2-}]_{\text{free}}\). When \(N_{OS}\) is very small, the OS conversion factor is nearly zero. Based on the inorganic species from the previous time step (except OS sulfates) and the new ionic species added into the system, both \(a_{\text{in},w}\) and \([H^+]\) are calculated at each time step using the inorganic thermodynamic model. The new \(a_{\text{in},w}\) and \([H^+]\) are applied to Eq. (9) for estimating \(k_{AC,i}\).

3.6 SOA formation by partitioning (\(\text{OM}_P\))

The \(\text{OM}_P\) is estimated using the SOA partitioning module derived by Schell et al. (2001) that has been employed in CMAQ. In the UNIPAR model, the \(\text{OM}_P\) module has been modified by inclusion of \(\text{OM}_{AR}\) (Cao and Jang, 2010) based on a mass balance of organic compounds between the gas and particle phases. After calculation of \(\text{OM}_{AR}\), \(C_{g,i}\) and \(C_{or,i}\) are recalculated numerically using Eq. (13) (Press et al., 1992)

\[
\text{OM}_P = \sum_i C_{or,i} = \sum_i \left[ C_{T,i} - \text{OM}_{AR,i} - C_{g,i}^* \frac{C_{or,i}}{\sum_k \left( \frac{C_{or,k}}{\text{MW}_k} + \frac{\text{OM}_{AR}}{\text{MW}_{oli}} + \text{OM}_0 \right) \text{MW}_i} \right]
\]

where \(C_{g,i}^*\) is the saturated gas-phase concentration of lumping species, \(i\), \(\text{MW}_k\) is the molecular weight of lumping species, \(k\) and \(\text{MW}_{\text{oli}}\) is the average molecular weight of oligomers formed from aerosol-phase reactions. \(\text{OM}_0\) is the mass concentration of preexisting organic particular matter (molm\(^{-3}\)). The estimations of \(C_{g,i}\) and \(C_{or,i}\) by
Eqs. (4)–(6) are approached those by the OM\textsubscript{P} module since $K_{\text{in},i}M_{\text{in}}$ in the denominators of Eqs. (4)–(6) is relatively very small compared to $K_{\text{or},i}\text{OM}_\text{T}$.

### 3.7 Model simulation

To estimate SOA formation over time under dynamically changing environmental conditions such as temperature, RH, and inorganic aerosol composition and mass, the model calculates SOA mass at each time interval ($\Delta t = 3\text{ min}$) by updating the input parameters. In this study, five input parameters were used: decay of precursor AVOC ($\Delta \text{ROG}$) (from MCM simulation), increments of sulfate ($\Delta \text{SO}_4$) and ammonium ($\Delta \text{NH}_4$) ion concentration (from PILS-IC), temperature and RH.

### 4 Result and discussion

#### 4.1 Gas-phase model simulation vs. experimental data

According to previous studies (Wagner et al., 2003; Johnson et al., 2004; Bloss et al., 2005), the kinetic mechanisms of aromatic hydrocarbons in MCM overestimate ozone peaks and underestimate precursor decay due to the low production of OH radicals. Hence, an artificial OH radical was added to the toluene mechanism in this study. The artificial OH radical production rate was determined by comparing the simulated toluene decay to the measured data. The OH radical production rate for the toluene mechanism of this study was approximately $2.0 \times 10^8$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$, which is smaller than previously reported values ($\sim 4.0 \times 10^8$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) (Bloss et al., 2005; Cao and Jang, 2010). The discrepancy between the artificial OH radical production rate of this study and those previously published may be attributed to different experimental conditions such as initial VOC (200 ppb vs. 700 ppb) and NO\textsubscript{x} concentrations as well as meteorological conditions. In the same manner, the production rate of artificial OH radicals for the 135-TMB mechanism was $1.7 \times 10^8$ molecules cm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$. 
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Toluene experiments were conducted for 12 h (07:00–17:00 EST), but 135-TMB experiments could only be conducted for 5 h (07:00–12:00 EST) because of the fast reactivity of 135-TMB (all 135-TMB was consumed before 12:00 EST). Overall, VOC decay, NO/NO₂ conversion and O₃ production are reasonably predicted. The comparison of gas-phase experimental data with simulation results for toluene and 135-TMB in two different NOₓ conditions was shown in Fig. S2 (Supplement).

It is well known that SO₂ can be oxidized through both gas-phase reactions with OH radicals and heterogeneous chemistry on the aqueous surface (aerosol and chamber wall). Due to the lack of the heterogeneous reaction mechanisms for the oxidation of SO₂, sulfuric acid was not predicted by an explicit mechanism. The sulfate concentrations measured by PILS-IC were directly applied to the UNIPAR model to simulate the effect of inorganic species on SOA formation.

4.2 Effect of NOₓ on aromatic SOA formation

Fig. 3 shows model simulations of both toluene SOA (Fig. 3a, b) and 135-TMB SOA (Fig. 3c, d) against experimental data with and without SO₂ under two different NOₓ levels. The yields of toluene and 135-TMB SOA were determined at 14:00 EST and 11:00 EST, respectively. For toluene, SOA yields under the lower NOₓ condition (Fig. 3a, 18.9 % with and 13.3 % without SO₂) were higher than those under the higher NOₓ condition (Fig. 3b, 15.3 % with and 9.3 % without SO₂). This trend is consistent with other studies (Ng et al., 2007; Kroll et al., 2007). Overall, the UNIPAR model reasonably predicted measured OM concentrations of both high and low NOₓ conditions. However, during the later part of the study (after 15:00 EST), the model tends to overestimate the measured OM concentrations. This may be due to the hypersensitivity to temperature in the model and the adsorption of SVOCs to chamber walls at low temperatures. When the toluene chamber experiments were conducted (January–February), chamber temperature decreased sharply after 15:00 EST (Fig. S8). Furthermore, to evaluate the model performance in a wider range of NOₓ levels, toluene SOA was
simulated in various NO\textsubscript{x} levels (VOC/NO\textsubscript{x} ratio: 2–84, ppbC/ppb) and the simulation results showed a consistent trend with other laboratory studies (Fig. S9).

The trend of 135-TMB SOA yields is opposite of the toluene SOA yields, showing higher SOA yields under higher NO\textsubscript{x} conditions (7.3% with SO\textsubscript{2}, 4.9% without SO\textsubscript{2}) than those under lower NO\textsubscript{x} conditions (5.7% with SO\textsubscript{2}, 3.4% without SO\textsubscript{2}). This result appears inconsistent with previous studies (Wyche et al., 2008). This discrepancy may be partially explained by differences in environmental conditions among different chambers and aerosol aging. Overall, the OM model accorded well with 135-TMB experiments in the presence of SO\textsubscript{2}, but without SO\textsubscript{2} the UNIPAR model underestimates OM compared to experimental data (Fig. 3c, d). The deviation of model prediction from observation can be caused by the uncertainty of the $\alpha_{i,j}$ matrix, which is affected by the reaction time. The actual 135-TMB SOA might be produced from more aged products than that used in the model, where the $\alpha_{i,j}$ matrix is determined at the half of 135-TMB consumption.

4.3 Organosulfate formation

The UNIPAR model estimates the formation of OS using the amount of consumed sulfate (SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}) estimated by Eq. (12) and the average molecular weight of OS products. The average molecular weight of OS, which changes dynamically depending on the change of the OM\textsubscript{AR} matrix over the course of the experiment, was predicted by the model. The predicted OS fraction of the total OM (OS/OM\textsubscript{T}) from the model is illustrated in Fig. 5a for both toluene SOA and 135-TMB SOA under two different NO\textsubscript{x} conditions. In this study, the fractions of OS of the total OM (OS/OM\textsubscript{T}) were 0.095 for toluene SOA under the low NO\textsubscript{x} condition and 0.052 under the high NO\textsubscript{x} condition. For 135-TMB SOA, the fraction of OS (OS/OM\textsubscript{T}) was 0.076 with the low NO\textsubscript{x} condition and 0.077 with the high NO\textsubscript{x} condition. The predicted OS fractions of the total sulfates ([SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}]\textsubscript{OS}/[SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-}]\textsubscript{total}) from the model reasonably agreed with experimentally measured values using the C-RUV and PILS-IC data (Fig. 5b).
4.4 Effect of inorganic aerosol acidity on aromatic SOA formation

Sulfuric acid produced from the oxidation of SO$_2$ is neutralized by ammonia gas present in the background chamber air, forming an inorganic aerosol consisting of sulfate, ammonia and water. Hence, the mass concentrations and the compositions of inorganic aerosols dynamically changed over the course of the chamber experiments.

SOA yields were higher in the presence of SO$_2$ than without SO$_2$ for all toluene and 135-TMB experiments, because the acidic inorganic aerosol is attributed to an increased OM through acid-catalyzed reactions. For example, with SO$_2$, toluene SOA yields increased by 42% under the low NO$_x$ condition and by 65% under the high NO$_x$ condition (Table 1 and Fig. 3). 135-TMB increased by 68% under the low NO$_x$ conditions and by 49% under the high NO$_x$ condition. As shown in Fig. 3, the model also reasonably predicted acidity effects on both toluene and 135-TMB SOA. Particularly, SOA mass predictions were improved by the inclusion of aerosol acidity reduction (due to the OS formation) in the model.

The sensitivity of toluene SOA to aerosol acidity was also simulated using the UNIPAR model. For model simulation, toluene was oxidized under the two different %RH (30 and 60) in the presence of four different inorganic aerosols: sulfuric acid (SA), ammonium bisulfate (ABS), ammonium sulfate (AS), and neutralization of sulfuric acid aerosol with ammonia (SA to AS) as shown in Fig. 4. At the lower humidity (%RH = 30), the SOA production is the highest with SA, followed by ABS and the lowest with AS. At the higher humidity (%RH = 60, RH > ERH of AS), the acidity effect on SOA production becomes weak compared to that in the lower humidity. There is almost no difference in SOA production between the ABS system and the AS system. The OS products formed in both SA and ABS systems are hydrophobic and influence the hygroscopic properties of inorganic aerosols. The reduction of water content due to OS formation can suppress the formation of SOA through acid-catalyzed reactions in aqueous phase.
5 Model uncertainty and implications

The major parameters of the UNIPAR model, such as product stoichiometric coefficient ($\alpha_{i,j}$), vapor pressure, and aerosol phase reaction reactivity, were determined from the simulation results of the explicit gas-phase mechanisms (e.g. MCM). Thus, the capability for accurate predictions of oxygenated products using the explicit kinetic mechanisms directly influences the performance of the UNIPAR model. Most kinetic mechanisms of photooxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons have not been tested due to the lack of authentic standards. For example, in a recent study by Sato et al. (2007), the toluene oxygenated products are identified as only 1 wt% of total organic mass. In this study, the addition of artificial OH radicals in the mechanisms suggests that the explicit gas-kinetic mechanisms for aromatic VOCs need further development. Although the $\alpha_{i,j}$ matrix was described considering a VOC/NO$_x$ ratio and applied to dynamically express aerosol phase reactions, the $\alpha_{i,j}$ matrix was not determined as a function of time at a given NO$_x$ condition. Thus, the $\alpha_{i,j}$ matrix is limited in expressing the dynamically changing gas-phase composition as gas-phase products photochemically age. The estimation of the activity coefficients of organic compounds in the salted aqueous phase is also uncertain due to the lack of data sets of solubility of organic compounds in various inorganic salt solutions.

In current study, the UNIPAR model included new aerosol phase reactions such as OS formation and improved the prediction of aromatic SOA formation under various aerosol acidities, NO$_x$ concentrations, humidities and temperatures. Particularly, the UNIPAR model would improve the prediction of SOA in the urban atmosphere, where acidic inorganic aerosols are abundant (through the oxidation of SO$_2$). Furthermore, the product lumping structure ($\alpha_{i,j}$), based on volatility and reactivity, and universal aerosol-phase reaction rate constants in the model can be applied to various SOA systems produced from different VOCs. In order to apply the UNIPAR model to the regional scale air quality model, the model parameters need to be tested for various SOAs that
originate from different anthropogenic and biogenic VOCs as well as a mixture of several VOCs under ambient relevant conditions.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/13/5843/2013/acpd-13-5843-2013-supplement.pdf.
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Table 1. SOA chamber experimental conditions and resulting SOA data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO.</th>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>AVOC</th>
<th>Initial AVOC (ppb)</th>
<th>Initial NO (ppb)</th>
<th>Initial NO$_2$(HONO) (ppb)</th>
<th>VOC/NO$_x$ (ppbC/ppb$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Initial SO$_2$ (ppb)</th>
<th>SOA yield$^b$ (%)</th>
<th>RH (%)</th>
<th>Temp. (K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>01/06/12 E Toluene</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35(40)</td>
<td>12.09</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>18.9 ± 1.7</td>
<td>81–18</td>
<td>280–306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>01/06/12 W Toluene</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>20(35)</td>
<td>14.78</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>81–18</td>
<td>280–306</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>02/09/12 E Toluene</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>30(35)</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>15.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>83–21</td>
<td>280–307</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>02/09/12 W Toluene</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>31(35)</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>9.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>83–21</td>
<td>280–307</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05/18/12 E 135-TMB</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>48(75)</td>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>95–14</td>
<td>290–317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>05/18/12 W 135-TMB</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>16(75)</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.7</td>
<td>95–14</td>
<td>290–317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>05/20/12 E 135-TMB</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>8(20)</td>
<td>11.12</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>90–13</td>
<td>290–317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>05/20/12 W 135-TMB</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7(15)</td>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>90–13</td>
<td>290–317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>06/20/12 E Toluene</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>5(15)</td>
<td>10.50</td>
<td>35$^c$</td>
<td>15.6 ± 1.4</td>
<td>83–27</td>
<td>295–317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ E and W denote the east and west chamber.

$^b$ SOA yields are determined at 14:00 EST for toluene, 11:00 EST for 135-TMB and the uncertainties of SOA yields are estimated from the uncertainties of OM and ∆ROG by the propagation of uncertainty (Ku, 1966).

$^c$ Mass concentration of inorganic seed (sulfuric acid) ($\mu$g m$^{-3}$).
Fig. 1. Conceptual structure of the UNIPAR model.
Fig. 2. Computational flow of the UNIPAR model. ΔROG is the reacted organic parent compound during Δt and $\alpha_{i,j}$ is the mass based stoichiometric coefficient. $C$ and $K$ represent the concentration of lumping species and partitioning coefficient, respectively, and the subscription of g, or, and in denote gas, organic, and inorganic aerosol phases, respectively. $OM_p$ and $OM_{AR}$ are the concentrations of organic matter produced by partitioning and aerosol phase reactions, respectively. $M_{in}$ is the concentration of inorganic aerosol.
Fig. 3. Time profiles of measured (symbols) and simulated SOA mass concentrations for toluene SOA and 135-TMB SOA under low and high NOx conditions. The black and gray colors indicate experiments with and without SO2, respectively. Solid, dashed, dotted lines represent total OM (OM_T), the OM from partitioning (OM_P), and the OM from the aerosol-phase reaction (OM_AR), respectively. The uncertainty associated with OM (about 9%) is estimated from the uncertainties of OC measurements and particle wall loss correction.
Fig. 4. Time profile of the simulated OM and aerosol acidity ([H$^+$], mol L$^{-1}$ in inorganic aerosol) in four different inorganic aerosols: sulfuric acid (black solid line), ammonium bisulfate (black dot), ammonium sulfate (gray solid line), and sulfuric acid with ammonia neutralization (black dash). To mimic atmospheric conditions in the model simulation, inorganic aerosol (e.g. sulfuric acid, ammonium bisulfate, and ammonium sulfate) is added into the chamber at the constant rate (2.1 µgm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$). The total amount of inorganic aerosol introduced into the chamber is 20 µgm$^{-3}$. For the case of the neutralization of sulfuric acid with ammonia, sulfuric acid is added for the first 3.5 h (07:30 EST to 11:00 EST), and ammonia is added to the chamber between 11:00 EST to 17:30 EST. For the model simulation, both the sunlight data and the consumption of toluene employ data on Exp 01/06/12 at a constant temperature (298.15 K) at 30 % and 60 %RH.
Fig. 5. Model predicted fractions of $OM_P$, $OM_{AR}$, and OS of the total SOA for toluene SOA and 135-TMB SOA under two NO$_x$ levels (low and high) (Experiments 1–8 in Table 1) (A). Comparison of the OS fraction of the total sulfate between model prediction and measured using the C-RUV method and PILS-IC (B). The uncertainty associated with the ratio of OS to total sulfate is determined based on uncertainties of C-RUV (about 10 %) and PILS-IC measurements (about 10 %) by the propagation of uncertainty (Ku, 1966). * No experimental data is available.