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Abstract:

We measured N$_2$O$_5$ reactive uptake onto mixed organic/inorganic submicron particles using organic compounds with a variety of oxidation states (using mainly atomic O:C ratios as a proxy) and molecular weights. The organic mass fraction, organic molecular composition, and relative humidity (RH) were varied to separately assess their effect on the N$_2$O$_5$ uptake coefficient, $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$). At a constant RH, mixtures of organic components having an O:C < 0.5 with ammonium bisulfate significantly suppressed the uptake of N$_2$O$_5$(g) compared to pure ammonium bisulfate, even at small organic mass fractions (e.g., $\leq$ 15%). The effect of the organic component became less pronounced at higher RH. In general, highly oxygenated organic components (O:C > 0.8) had a smaller or even negligible impact on N$_2$O$_5$(g) uptake at all RHs probed; however, a few exceptions were observed. Notably, $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) for mixtures of ammonium bisulfate with polyethylene glycol (PEG), PEG-300 (O:C = 0.56), decreased nearly linearly as the PEG mass fraction increased at constant RH until leveling off at the value measured on pure PEG. The response of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) to increasing PEG mass fraction was similar to that measured on ambient atmospheric particles as a function of organic mass fraction. The effects of the organic mass fraction on $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$), for mixtures having an O:C < ~0.8, were best described using a standard resistor model of reactive uptake assuming the particles had a RH dependent inorganic core - organic shell morphology. This model suggests that the N$_2$O$_5$ diffusivity and/or solubility in the organic layer is up to a factor of 20 lower compared to aqueous solution particles, and that the diffusivity, solubility, and reactivity of N$_2$O$_5$ within organic coatings and particles depend upon both RH and the molecular composition of the organic medium. We use these dependencies and ambient measurements of organic aerosol from the global aerosol mass spectrometry (AMS) database to show that the typical impact of organic aerosol components is
to both uniformly decrease $\gamma(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)$, by up to an order of magnitude depending on the RH, organic mass fraction, and O:C ratio, and to induce a stronger dependence of $\gamma(\text{N}_2\text{O}_5)$ upon RH compared to purely inorganic aqueous solutions.
1. **Introduction**

Heterogeneous reactions between gases and atmospheric particles play an important role in air quality and global climate (Abbatt et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2011; Liao and Seinfeld, 2005; Poschl, 2005; Solomon, 1999). The reactive uptake of N$_2$O$_5$, a major nighttime NO$_x$ ($\equiv$NO + NO$_2$) reservoir species, onto particles is one such reaction that is known to be potentially important on regional and global scales (Dentener and Crutzen, 1993), but remains poorly described in atmospheric models, in part due to a lack of detailed understanding of the reaction rate and mechanism in atmospheric particles. The reactive uptake of N$_2$O$_5$ to aerosol particles is a terminal sink of NO$_x$ and source of photolabile Cl-atoms (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 1989), and thus impacts ozone formation and the lifetime of greenhouse gases such as methane (Alexander et al., 2009; Dentener and Crutzen, 1993; Osthoff et al., 2008; Shindell et al., 2009; Thornton et al., 2010). Laboratory measurements have probed the reactive uptake coefficient, $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$), defined as the probability that a colliding gas molecule (N$_2$O$_5$ in this case) will react with a particle. Measurements focused on purely inorganic aerosols tend to find relatively high values of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) ranging from 0.015-0.2 so long as the particles are deliquesced (Hallquist et al., 2003; Hu and Abbatt, 1997; Kane et al., 2001; Mentel et al., 1999; Mozurkewich and Calvert, 1988; Thornton and Abbatt, 2005). However, measurements of ambient aerosols have consistently shown the presence of internally mixed inorganic and organic components (Murphy et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2007) highlighting the need to assess N$_2$O$_5$ reactivity on these mixtures.

Studies probing the effect of pure organic aerosol as well as inorganic particle seeds coated with organics have shown that even a monolayer coating can suppress the heterogeneous uptake of N$_2$O$_5$. However, the magnitude of this surface effect is highly dependent on molecular structure and likely not broadly relevant for the majority of submicron atmospheric particles,
which tend to have large organic mass fractions ($\chi_{OA}$) (Badger et al., 2006; Cosman and Bertram, 2008; Folkers et al., 2003; McNeill et al., 2006; Riemer et al., 2009; Thornton and Abbatt, 2005; Thornton et al., 2003). Chamber-derived secondary organic aerosol (SOA) coatings on sulfate seed particles produced from the ozonolysis of $\alpha$-pinene, with minimal photochemical aging, showed strong suppression of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) even with small mass loadings of biogenic SOA (Anttila et al., 2006; Escorcia et al., 2010; Folkers et al., 2003). In spite of these studies, laboratory measurements of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) have not been able to match ambient observations, even when organic aerosol is taken into account (Abbatt et al., 2012; Bertram et al., 2009; Brown et al., 2009; Riedel et al., 2012). As suggested in a recent modeling study by Riemer et al. [2009], these discrepancies are likely due to the formation of organic coatings that can affect $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$); however, the magnitude of this effect will likely depend on organic aerosol composition, particle phase, and/or morphology. As such, a systematic study of the effect of organic molecular composition on N$_2$O$_5$ uptake, though necessary, has been lacking.

In the absence of halides, hydrolysis is expected to be the dominant driver of N$_2$O$_5$ reactive uptake, and the rate of N$_2$O$_5$ reactive uptake even on halide salt particles depends on whether the particle is crystalline or deliquesced (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 1989; Griffiths et al., 2009; Thornton and Abbatt, 2005; Thornton et al., 2003). As such, properties of organic compounds thought to affect particle water content and thus $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) include the polarity and molecular weight (Griffiths et al., 2009; Jimenez et al., 2009; Thornton et al., 2003). These properties are also thought to determine particle phase and morphology as some organics when mixed with inorganic compounds can undergo RH-dependent liquid-liquid phase separations due to a “salting-out” effect wherein the lower polarity organic components partition into an organic coating (Bertram et al., 2011; Ciobanu et al., 2009; Erdakos and Pankow, 2004; Marcolli and
At < 70% RH, humidity-induced liquid-liquid phase separations are predicted to occur for particles containing organics with a low oxidation state (e.g., low O:C) (Bertram et al., 2011; You et al., 2013). Additionally, certain organics facilitate the formation of amorphous phases comprised of liquid, semi-solid, and solid (glassy) states (Koop et al., 2011; Renbaum-Wolff et al., 2013; Saukko et al., 2012; Virtanen et al., 2010; Zobrist et al., 2008). The average carbon oxidation state, which scales with the O:C ratio, and molecular weight of organic components are proposed to be predictors for these phase transitions (Bertram et al., 2011; Saukko et al., 2012).

Here we present measurements of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) on laboratory generated particles that are mixtures of organics with ammonium bisulfate. The organic compounds, used in mixtures or as single components, spanned a range in molecular weights, water solubility, and O:C ratios, which we use as a proxy for the average carbon oxidation state and thus organic aerosol age (Kroll et al., 2011). We show the response of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) to variations in the mass fraction, the chemical composition of different organics, and relative humidity (RH). We discuss the results in terms of: (i) which properties of the particles’ organic composition are most important for accurately predicting $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$); (ii) the role of phase separations and morphology; and (iii) the extent to which these laboratory measurements on synthetic mixed organic/inorganic particles are comparable to ambient measurements.

2. Methods

Measurements of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) were made using an entrained aerosol flow tube coupled to a chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS) and a combination of experimental approaches similar to those described previously (Bertram and Thornton, 2009; McNeill et al., 2006; Thornton et al., 2003). Both standard decays of N$_2$O$_5$ as a function of interaction time by moving
the position of the injector containing N$_2$O$_5$ (Thornton et al., 2003) and modulation of particle concentration at constant interaction time by turning the aerosol flow on and off (Bertram and Thornton, 2009) were used in this experiment. Mixed organic/inorganic aerosol were generated using a constant output atomizer using dilute solutions similar to the approach of McNeill et al. [2006]. Figure 1 shows the experimental set-up used for this work.

2.1 Aerosol Generation and Characterization

The solutions used in this manuscript are summarized in Table 1 along with the corresponding $\chi_{OA}$ (in the aerosol) and $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) values. Three types of aqueous solutions were used to generate aerosol: (1) single component solutions (e.g., ammonium bisulfate or polyethylene glycol (PEG)); (2) solutions of ammonium bisulfate and a single organic component (e.g., ammonium bisulfate/azelaic acid); (3) and ammonium bisulfate solutions containing a mix of organic components with either a high average O:C atomic ratio of 1.13 or a low average O:C atomic ratio of 0.48. Ammonium bisulfate was chosen as the inorganic component because it does not crystallize at RHs used in this work (e.g., 30-70% RH) ensuring a deliquesced inorganic solution (Martin, 2000; Tang and Munkelwitz, 1977; Tang and Munkelwitz, 1994). Ammonium bisulfate (Alfa Aesar, 99.9% purity), azelaic acid (Acros Organics, 98% purity), (1,2,9)-nonanetriol (Sigma, purity unknown), poly (ethylene glycol) with an average molecular weight of 300 g/mol (chemical formula H(OCH$_2$CH$_2$)$_n$OH) (Aldrich), citric acid, (2,5)-dihydroxy benzoic acid (gentisic acid), D-(+)-glucose, malonic acid, and succinic acid (all Sigma-Aldrich with a purity of 98% or higher) were used as the aerosol components. Citric acid, glucose, malonic acid, and succinic acid were used to create the high O:C mixture while azelaic acid, gentisic acid, 1,2,9-nonanetriol, and PEG were used to generate the low O:C mixture, as denoted in Table 1.
Particles were generated using a constant output atomizer (TSI Inc., Model 3076). The atomizer output was diluted and conditioned for approximately 1 minute by mixing 3.3 to 4 standard liters per minute (slpm) of humidified ultra-high purity (UHP) N\textsubscript{2} with the atomizer output. The humidity of the dilution flow was adjusted so that the aerosol flow was at the desired RH determined with a Vaisala humidity probe (accuracy ±2\%) just upstream of the flow reactor.

Approximately 2.3-3 slpm of the conditioned aerosol flow was continuously drawn through the flow reactor via a side-arm at the top of flow tube by means of a critical orifice on the CIMS inlet. Particle size distributions and total surface area concentrations (S\textsubscript{a}) at the flow tube exit were measured using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) consisting of a differential mobility analyzer and condensation particle counter (TSI Inc. or Grimm Technologies; both instruments gave similar distributions). S\textsubscript{a} typically ranged from 0.8 – 7.0 x 10\textsuperscript{-4} cm\textsuperscript{2}/cm\textsuperscript{3}. Example surface area-weighted size distributions resulting from atomizing an ammonium bisulfate solution containing various amounts of PEG (i.e., mixture type 2 above) are shown in Figure 2. The single mode, and a mean diameter that increases monotonically with increasing PEG content at constant ammonium bisulfate, suggests particles are internal mixtures of PEG and ammonium bisulfate. To ensure the measured S\textsubscript{a} was representative of the flow tube conditions, the DMA sheath flow was conditioned to the appropriate RH by sampling from the flow reactor for ~ 1 hour prior to the start of the experiment.

2.2 \textbf{N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} Generation and Detection}

The method used to generated N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} has been discussed previously (Bertram and Thornton, 2009; Bertram et al., 2009; Lopez-Hilfiker et al., 2012; Riedel et al., 2012). As shown in Figure 1, NO\textsubscript{3} is generated by reaction of NO\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{3}. NO\textsubscript{3} is then allowed to react further
with excess NO₂ to produce N₂O₅ in equilibrium with NO₂ and NO₃ at room temperature (298 K). The N₂O₅ is introduced axially down the center of the flow reactor in a 0.1 slpm UHP N₂ carrier flow through a Teflon-lined movable stainless steel injector (Bertram and Thornton, 2009). N₂O₅ was detected using Iodide adduct CIMS (Kercher et al., 2009) and the identical instrument described in Lopez-Hilfiker et al. [2012].

2.3 Determination of γ(N₂O₅)

N₂O₅ and the conditioned aerosol interacted within a pyrex, halocarbon wax coated flow tube with an inner diameter (ID) of 3 or 6 cm and a length of 90 cm. Measurements were limited to the central 60 cm to maintain well-mixed, laminar flow conditions (Reynolds number = 106 or 53 depending on the flow tube diameter). The injector was moved to the top and bottom of the flow tube, altering the interaction time between N₂O₅ and the generated particles. The first-order rate loss (kₜₚₑₜ) was determined using a pseudo-particle modulation technique (Bertram and Thornton, 2009):

\[
k_{\text{het}} = -\left(\frac{1}{t_{\text{res}}}\right)\ln \left(\frac{[N_2O_5]_{\text{top}}}{[N_2O_5]_{\text{bottom}}}\right)
\]  

(1)

t_{\text{res}} is the resulting interaction time between the gases and particles. Wall losses of N₂O₅ to the flow tube (kₜₚₑₜ) were determined for each experiment in the same manner as kₜₚₑₜ except in the absence of particles. The uptake efficiency γ(N₂O₅) was then determined from the equation:

\[
\gamma(N_2O_5) \approx \frac{4(k_{\text{het}} - k_{\text{wall}})}{\omega S_a}
\]  

(2)

\(\omega\) represents the mean molecular velocity of N₂O₅ (Bertram and Thornton, 2009; McNeill et al., 2006; Riedel et al., 2012). Representative decays using this method are shown in Figure S1 of
the Supporting Information (SI). This equation neglects gas-phase diffusion limitations to reactive uptake, which are small (<10%) for the typical $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) and particle sizes used here (Fuchs and Sutugin, 1971). The $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) reported here are the mean of 5 independent determinations and the quoted uncertainties are the respective 95% confidence intervals. Most $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) measurements are shown normalized to that measured on ammonium bisulfate at 50% RH, which varied between 0.036 and 0.030, for comparison to other ambient and chamber data sets (Anttila et al., 2006; Bertram et al., 2009; Escorcia et al., 2010), and to account for drifts in sources of systematic errors related to flow rates, SMPS transmission and humidification, and CIMS detection efficiency. Moreover, the relative trends in $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) as a function of RH, $\chi_{OA}$, and oxidation state are the primary focus of these measurements. Normalized measured values of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) for all experiments are summarized in Table 1; absolute values can be obtained by multiplying the normalized values by the absolute $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) for ammonium bisulfate.

3. **Results and Discussion:**

3.1 **Ammonium Bisulfate and PEG Experiments: Evidence for the Role of Liquid-Liquid Phase Separations**

Figure 3a shows $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) measured on pure PEG particles *versus* RH. The $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) increased with particle water content from 0.003 ± 0.003 at 30% RH, 0.007 ± 0.003 at 50% RH, to 0.017 ± 0.011 at 70% RH. These values start ~ a factor of 10 lower than that for ammonium bisulfate particles and with increasing RH reach a value that is a factor of 2 lower than ammonium bisulfate suggesting an additional limitation to reactive uptake of N$_2$O$_5$ on PEG compared to ammonium bisulfate. Also shown in Figure 3a are predicted values of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) derived from the model of *Bertram and Thornton* [2009] (see black dashed line), which uses the
particulate water, nitrate, and chloride content in addition to the total particle volume and surface
area to predict $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$); the model assumes that N$_2$O$_5$ reacts throughout the bulk of the particle
without any additional solubility or diffusion limitations compared to pure water. The water
content of the PEG particles was calculated with the AIM II model and using the ethylene oxide
(CH$_2$OCH$_2$) and the hydroxyl (OH) functional groups in UNIFAC, which has been previously
shown to accurately predict the water activity of PEG solutions (Marcoli and Peter, 2005; Ninni
et al., 1999; Ninni et al., 2000). The predicted $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) values are within error of the measured
values despite the fact that we assume the solubility and diffusivity of N$_2$O$_5$ in PEG is the same
as that for aqueous inorganic solutions.

In Figure 3b, we show normalized $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) measured on particles that are mixtures of
ammonium bisulfate and PEG (labeled Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG from here). At 50% RH, the
$\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) decreased nearly linearly from the ammonium bisulfate value as the PEG mass fraction
increased until a PEG mass fraction of $\sim$0.6, beyond which the normalized $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) plateaued at
$\sim$0.20. As shown in Figure 4, using particles with a PEG mass fraction of 0.2, where only
minimal suppression of reactivity was observed at RH = 50%, and decreasing the RH from 50 to
30% resulted in a 70% decrease in $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$). In contrast, using particles with a PEG mass fraction
of 0.56, where significant suppression of reactivity was observed at RH = 50%, and increasing
the RH from 50 to 70% led to a factor of 5 increase in $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$). Both of these sensitivities to RH
are far stronger than that of pure ammonium bisulfate (Bertram and Thornton, 2009). Also
shown in Figure 3b are the predicted values of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) obtained from the Bertram and Thornton
[2009] parameterization (black dashed line), assuming the particles are homogeneous (a single
phase) internal mixtures, and allowing the PEG to partition between aqueous and hydrophobic
phases in the AIM II model. The predicted values capture the measured $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) well for the two
extremes, where the particles are composed of either 100% ammonium bisulfate or 100% PEG. However, the model fails to capture the observed behavior of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG particles, likely because the model does not account for organic coatings formed due to liquid-liquid phase separations, which have been shown to occur in mixtures of PEG and ammonium sulfate (Ciobanu et al., 2009; Marcolli and Krieger, 2006).

To investigate the role of particle morphology on $N_2O_5$ uptake, a slightly modified version of the core-shell resistivity model of Anttila et al. [2006] was also used to predict experimental results presented herein with the following equation:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma} = \frac{\omega R_p}{4D_{gas}} + \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{\omega R_p}{4RTH_{org}D_{org}(q_{org}F-1)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)$$

$R_p$ is the particle radius (m), $D_{gas}$ is the gas phase diffusion coefficient for $N_2O_5$ taken to be $1 \times 10^{-5}$ m$^2$/s (Anttila et al., 2006), $\alpha$ is the dimensionless mass accommodation coefficient, $H_{org}$ is the Henry’s law coefficient for $N_2O_5$ in the organic layer (mol/m$^3$ atm), $D_{org}$ is the diffusion coefficient of $N_2O_5$ in the organic layer (m$^2$/s), and $q_{org}$ is the dimensionless diffuso-reactive parameter, which describes the competition between diffusion and reaction in the organic layer:

$$q_{org} = R_p \sqrt{\frac{k_{org}}{D_{org}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where $k_{org}$ is the pseudo first-order reaction rate constant of $N_2O_5$ in the organic layer (s$^{-1}$). The equation for parameter $F$ is given in equation 5:

$$F = \frac{\coth(q_{org}) + h(q_{aq}q_{org})}{1 + \coth(q_{aq})h(q_{aq}q_{org})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

The parameter $F$ also contains the dimensionless diffuso-reactive parameter in the aqueous core of the particle ($q_{aq}$) similar to $q_{org}$, however, the reaction rate constant of $N_2O_5$ in the aqueous
layer ($k_{aq}$) and the diffusion coefficient in the aqueous core ($D_{aq}$) are used instead. The model of Anttila et al. [2006] considers $k_{aq}$ a tunable parameter; however, the model of Bertram and Thornton [2009] explicitly parameterizes the equivalent rate constant ($k_{2f}'$) as a function of liquid water, nitrate, and chloride content using the RH and inorganic composition of the particle in the AIM II model. We therefore use the Bertram and Thornton parameterization for $k_{aq}$ to better capture the dependence of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) on the liquid water. Further information regarding the model of Anttila et al. [2006] and equations used can be found in the SI. We note that this parameterization does not factor in temperature dependencies of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$), which may also be important (Abbatt et al., 2012; Wagner et al., 2013).

The water activity and diffusivity of PEG solutions are reasonably well-constrained by independent data, and thus the Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG particles provide a useful test case for the reactive uptake model. Table 2 shows the values of each variable used in the model of Anttila et al. [2006] for PEG and mixtures of Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG. The N$_2$O$_5$ rate constant in the organic coating ($k_{org}$) for pure PEG particles was determined to be 2.0 x 10$^5$ s$^{-1}$ at 50% RH, increasing by a factor of ~1.6 to 3.2 x 10$^5$ s$^{-1}$ as the RH was increased to 70%, and decreasing by a factor of ~3 to 7.0 x 10$^4$ s$^{-1}$ as the RH was decreased to 30%. Values of $k_{org}$ can be used to probe the particle liquid water content assuming that $k_{org}$ is equivalent to the rate constant of N$_2$O$_5$ in water derived from Bertram and Thornton [2009] ($k_{2f}'$) multiplied by the liquid water content in the PEG. The liquid water content of PEG predicted using the values of $k_{org}$ at each RH matched the predicted liquid water content using the AIM II model to within 40% or better at all RHs suggesting that N$_2$O$_5$ uptake kinetics can provide an additional metric to assess the liquid water content of both inorganic and organic aerosol. In addition to $k_{org}$, $\varepsilon$, the factor used to scale the product of $D_{aq}$ and $H_{aq}$ to account for the decrease in diffusion and solubility of N$_2$O$_5$ in the
organic layer compared to the aqueous core, was also found to be important for achieving agreement between experimental and predicted values. The best agreement was found by setting \( \varepsilon = 0.06 \) for Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG at 30\% RH, \( \varepsilon = 0.3 \) at 50\% RH, and \( \varepsilon = 1 \) at 70\% RH. Using the constraints from the observed dependence of \( \gamma(N_2O_5) \) on the PEG mass fraction, and the model sensitivity to \( q_{\text{org}} \), we conclude that the changes in \( \varepsilon \) represent mostly changes in \( D_{\text{org}} \) relative to \( D_{\text{aq}} \) and less so changes in \( H_{\text{org}} \) compared to \( H_{\text{aq}} \) (see SI), a finding similar to Antilla et al. [2006]. Assuming that the largest changes in \( \gamma(N_2O_5) \) Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG particles compared to ammonium bisulfate particles are driven by changes in diffusivity and reactivity, we find the best model-measurement agreement with \( D_{\text{org}} = 3 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \) at 50\% RH. For comparison, assuming the PEG mass fraction in pure PEG particles is \( \sim 0.85 \) at 50\% RH (Ninni et al., 1999) and using an estimated dynamic viscosity of \( \sim 14.7 \text{ mPa} \cdot \text{s} \) (Rahbari-Sisakht et al., 2003) for PEG-300, yields a value of \( D_{\text{org}} \) of \( \sim 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \) using the Stokes-Einstein equation. At 70\% RH, best agreement between the observed \( \gamma(N_2O_5) \) and that predicted by the resistor model was obtained when \( D_{\text{org}} \) was equal to \( D_{\text{aq}} \left( 1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2/\text{s} \right) \) and \( H_{\text{org}} = H_{\text{aq}} \) for both pure PEG and Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG particles, suggesting the presence of internally mixed systems at this higher RH and the lack of phase separations.

The modified Antilla et al. [2006] resistor model predictions of \( \gamma(N_2O_5) \) generally match measured values for both pure PEG (assuming a single-phase) and mixtures of Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG (assuming a 2-phase system), see Figures 3a and 3b. As noted above, the parameterization of Bertram and Thornton [2009] also achieved good agreement for pure PEG particles despite the fact that it does not account for diffusion limitations of \( N_2O_5 \) in PEG. Bertram and Thornton [2009] use a lower value for \( H_{\text{aq}} \) than Antilla et al. [2006], while Antilla et al. [2006] use a lower value for \( D_{\text{org}} \) than that used by Bertram and Thornton [2009] who
conclude that the diffusion limitation in aqueous solution particles was negligible. These differing values of $H_{aq}$ likely cancel the effects of the different approaches to diffusion limitations. Thus, while there is good agreement between both models and measured values of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ for pure PEG, the two models are not directly comparable as they are based on different assumptions. In contrast, for Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG mixtures, the model of Anttila et al. [2006] clearly predicts values of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ with much higher accuracy than that of Bertram and Thornton [2009] likely due to the fact that only modest decreases in the overall liquid water content of mixed Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG particles are predicted for an internally mixed system; however, these modest decreases in liquid water content cannot fully explain the behavior of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$. Instead, the formation of organic coatings of PEG through liquid-liquid phase separations likely further decrease the liquid water content in the coating and additional decreases in $N_2O_5$ solubility and diffusivity in a coating of PEG likely impact $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ as well; these decreases are more accurately represented by the core-shell resistivity model of Anttila et al. [2006].

We conclude this section by noting that $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG particles show strikingly similar dependencies on $\chi_{OA}$ to $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ measured on ambient atmospheric particles (Bertram et al., 2009), as shown in Figure 4. We note that the $\chi_{OA}$ in the ambient particles is mostly secondary in nature (e.g., most of the organic aerosol is oxidized organic aerosol (OOA)) (Bertram et al., 2009). In both the laboratory and field measurements, a similar nearly linear decrease in $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ with increasing $\chi_{OA}$ was observed, with linear fits to the normalized $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ versus $\chi_{OA}$ having slopes of -1.6 and -1.7, respectively (see Figure S2 in the SI). Due to the similar response of the normalized $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ to increases in $\chi_{OA}$, a comparison of
these two systems was made. The O:C of PEG-300 is 0.56, while the average O:C of the \( \chi_{OA} \) in the ambient particles was estimated to be \( \sim 0.45 \) as measured by an Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS) with unit-mass resolution (see Figure S3 and SI for this calculation). However, this latter value may be an under-estimate as recent measurements have shown the AMS can under-predict O:C by up to \( \sim 25\% \); this observation holds for both the unit-mass resolution and high resolution AMS (Canagaratna, 2013). Further, the average RH during ambient sampling conditions was 65\%, which is higher than most of the Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG experiments that were primarily conducted at 50\% RH. At 70\% RH, \( \gamma(N_2O_5) \) on Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG particles were found to roughly match \( \gamma(N_2O_5) \) on ambient particles with similar \( \chi_{OA} \).

There are several possible ways to explain why laboratory measurements of \( N_2O_5 \) uptake onto particles composed of ammonium bisulfate and PEG mimic uptake experiments on ambient aerosol so well. First, the polymeric nature of PEG may be similar to SOA found in ambient aerosols, which have been found to contain oligomers in certain environments (Denkenberger et al., 2007; Kalberer et al., 2004; Surratt et al., 2006); however, the presence of liquid-liquid phase separations is an additional possibility. While speculative, the similar behavior of \( \gamma(N_2O_5) \) observed on Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG mixtures, which are known to exhibit liquid-liquid phase separations (Ciobanu et al., 2009; Marcolli and Krieger, 2006), and on ambient aerosol is certainly consistent with the idea that ambient organic aerosol constituents undergo humidity-dependent liquid-liquid phase separations, thereby inhibiting heterogeneous losses of \( N_2O_5 \).

3.2 Ammonium Bisulfate and Organic Compounds with Low O:C
N₂O₅ uptake onto mixtures of ammonium bisulfate and organic compounds with low O:C ratios (mean O:C ratio = 0.48 for the mixture) as a function of χ₉OA is shown in Figure 5; a mixture of several organic components, including organic acids and polyols, was used to minimize the chance of crystallization (Marcolli et al., 2004). In contrast to the experiments using organics with a high O:C ratio described below, γ(N₂O₅) decreased by ~70-80% with an χ₉OA of as little as ~15%, beyond which γ(N₂O₅) remained at a nearly constant suppressed value. Similar trends in γ(N₂O₅) were found for mixtures of ammonium bisulfate and azelaic acid only (see Figure S4 and SI). Azelaic acid, one of the components used in the low O:C mixture that has the same O:C ratio as the mixture, has been shown to exhibit a salting out effect in the presence of inorganic salts (Reid et al., 2011). Observations of a rapid decrease in γ(N₂O₅) with small amounts of organics are in agreement with previous laboratory studies using surface-active compounds (McNeill et al., 2006; Thornton and Abbatt, 2005), humic acids (Badger et al., 2006), and secondary organic aerosol (SOA) from α-pinene ozonolysis (Anttila et al., 2006; Escorcia et al., 2010; Folkers et al., 2003), which independent measurements by an AMS suggest has an O:C ratio similar to that of semi-volatile oxygenated organic aerosol (SV-OOA, O:C = 0.35 ± 0.14) (Ng et al., 2010). As noted previously, this O:C ratio may be biased low by up to ~25% (Canagaratna, 2013). As shown in Figure 5, the change in γ(N₂O₅) we observe with the low O:C mixture were similar to, though somewhat smaller than, that measured using α-pinene ozonolysis SOA deposited on ammonium sulfate seed particles (Anttila et al., 2006; Escorcia et al., 2010; Folkers et al., 2003) suggesting our low O:C ratio mixture mimics the properties of α-pinene ozonolysis SOA that affect N₂O₅ reactive uptake. The similarity between the two systems could be due to similarities in the O:C ratios for the two systems, particularly if the correction to the AMS-derived O:C ratio is taken into account, or due to other similarities.
between the two systems, such as the properties of the polyols and organic acids found in our low O:C mixture.

Also shown in Figure 5 are normalized $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) measurements at 30% RH and 70% RH with $\chi_{OA}$ of ~15.6% and ~63.5%, respectively. Normalized values of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) are nearly a factor of 3 lower at 30% RH, and coincidentally match N$_2$O$_5$ uptake onto chamber-derived biogenic SOA. This sensitivity to lower RH is far larger than for pure ammonium bisulfate (Bertram and Thornton, 2009), and, given the relatively small $\chi_{OA}$ (15%), again suggests the presence of an organic coating having a different hygroscopicity (and thus water content) and viscosity than pure ammonium bisulfate. Increasing RH from 50% to 70% leads to more than a doubling of the $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$), though it remains suppressed compared to pure ammonium bisulfate particles by more than a factor of 2.

### 3.3 Ammonium Bisulfate and Organic Compounds with High O:C

The uptake of N$_2$O$_5$ onto mixtures of ammonium bisulfate and organic compounds with high O:C ratios (mean O:C ratio = 1.13 for the mixture) as a function of RH and $\chi_{OA}$ is shown in Figure 6. The normalized $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) decreased nearly linearly as the $\chi_{OA}$ increased, but this decrease (slope of -0.78 see Figure S5 in the SI) was modest compared to the other systems investigated (e.g., Ammonium Bisulfate/low O:C mixtures and Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG). As shown in Figure 6, at an $\chi_{OA}$ of 10%, decreasing the RH from 50 to 30% leads to a 40% decrease in $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$), less of an RH dependence compared to the ammonium bisulfate-low O:C mixture, but stronger than that for pure ammonium bisulfate (Bertram and Thornton, 2009). At an $\chi_{OA}$ of 56%, increasing RH from 50% to 70% leads to a 33% increase in $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$), again less than for the ammonium bisulfate - low O:C mixture.
Previous measurements have shown that water soluble organic carbon is likely to have a high O:C ratio (Duong et al., 2011), and that higher O:C organic aerosol has higher hygroscopicity (Jimenez et al., 2009). Thus, these more modest decreases in $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ with increasing organic mass fraction likely indicate that appreciable water content and/or fewer diffusion limitations are associated with organics with a higher O:C ratio compared to those with lower O:C ratios. Further, these modest decreases in $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ compared to those measured on ammonium bisulfate particles are in line with previous measurements of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on particles composed of dicarboxylic acids having O:C ratios of 0.8-2 (Griffiths et al., 2009; Thornton et al., 2003). We found significant differences in $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ when using mixtures of ammonium bisulfate and individual high O:C organic components. For example, $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on particles composed of ammonium bisulfate and succinic acid alone showed little change with increasing succinic acid mass fraction (see Figure S6). In contrast, decreases in $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ were observed for mixtures of ammonium bisulfate and citric acid alone that were similar to the decrease observed using the high O:C mixture (see Figure S7). Citric acid was one of the components used in the high O:C mixture, representing 32% of the organic mass, that has the same O:C ratio as the mixture, and may be responsible for the decrease in $N_2O_5$ uptake with an increasing mass fraction of the high O:C mixture used here. Both citric acid and glucose can form amorphous phases, which may also play a role in the observed dependence of normalized $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on the $\chi_{OA}$ (Koop et al., 2011). These results illustrate the challenge associated with faithfully reproducing the properties of atmospheric organic aerosol using individual compounds.

3.4 Role of Organic O:C Ratio on $\gamma(N_2O_5)$
Figure 7 shows normalized $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) versus the O:C ratio of particles that are mixtures of organic components and ammonium bisulfate. The response at a single organic mole fraction of 0.5 is shown; more data points were taken at this mole fraction, and the effect of the O:C ratio on $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) at different mole fractions was similar, albeit weaker. Because the carbon oxidation state followed the same trend as the organic O:C ratio, only the dependence of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) on the O:C ratio is shown. In addition to PEG, low O:C and high O:C mixtures, $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) on particles that are two-component mixtures of ammonium bisulfate and azelaic, glutaric, succinic, citric, or malonic acids are also shown. For organics with an O:C of ~0.45, significant suppression in N$_2$O$_5$ uptake occurs at low organic mole fractions (e.g., $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) drops by 70-80% relative to pure ammonium bisulfate at organic mole fractions of only 0.1) with little changes observed after the initial suppression. In general, for organics with high O:C ratios (e.g., O:C > 0.7), relatively little suppression of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) was observed. An unexpected result was the observation that mixtures of ammonium bisulfate with citric acid and with the high O:C mixture showed more suppression than mixtures of ammonium bisulfate with other organics having O:C > 0.7. As shown in Figure 7, $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) increases as the O:C ratio increases above 0.56. Together with the different responses to RH exhibited by the lower O:C mixtures compared to the higher O:C, the dependence upon O:C observed here is consistent with the observation that liquid-liquid phase separations most readily occur for organic compounds with O:C ratios of ≤ 0.7 (Bertram et al., 2011; You et al., 2013) and RH < 70%. However, our results also show that the O:C ratio is not the only controlling factor for the effect of organic components on $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$). Moreover, even if phase separations occurred for all systems studied here, a dependence upon O:C is still expected due to its correlation with higher hygroscopicity and thus a greater water content within an organic phase that would promote N$_2$O$_5$ reactivity.
3.5 Model Predictions of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ for Low and High O:C Mixtures with Ammonium Bisulfate

In addition to the pure PEG and Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG mixtures described above, mixtures of ammonium bisulfate and other organics were also modeled using the modified version of the Anttila et al. [2006] resistor model of reactive uptake described above. Figure 8 shows a comparison of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ measured from mixtures of ammonium bisulfate and organic compounds with different oxidation states versus predicted values. Although we cannot rule out the possibility of organic lenses (e.g., incomplete organic coatings) (Reid et al., 2011), for simplicity, we assume each particle is completely coated. This assumption is likely valid since predicted values of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ obtained from the assumption of an organic lens were found to consistently over-predict the measured $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ with the possible exception of the high O:C mixture. For the core-shell model, excellent agreement (slope = 0.98, $R^2 = 0.91$) is found for all systems at all RH values used in this work. Table 2 shows the values of each model variable used. Predicted values of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ were less sensitive to values of $\alpha$, the mass accommodation coefficient, than for other parameters in agreement with the findings of Anttila et al. [2006] and Riemer et al. [2009]. The $k_{org}$ required to bring observed and predicted $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ into agreement differed by more than an order of magnitude, ranging from $3.5 \times 10^4$ to $2 \times 10^5$ s$^{-1}$ for the low O:C and high O:C mixtures, respectively, at 50% RH.

For the high O:C mixture at both 50% and 70% RH, reasonable agreement was achieved by simply lowering $k_{org}$ compared to $k_{aq}$ and lowering $\varepsilon$ from 1 to 0.3 without necessarily invoking any changes in the diffusivity of $N_2O_5$ in the organic layer compared suggesting that solubility limitations and differences in the liquid water content between the aqueous and organic.
components are primarily responsible for the behavior of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ as a function of the organic content for the high O:C mixture. At 30% RH, best agreement is achieved when $D_{org}$ is lowered to half the value of $D_{aq}$ for the high O:C mixture.

In contrast, poor agreement was observed for the low O:C mixture when only differences in the liquid water content ($k_{org}$) and solubility of $N_2O_5$ in the organic layer are accounted for. Instead, better agreement is achieved when $D_{org}$ is lowered to $5 \times 10^{-11}$ m$^2$/s, a value approaching a viscous liquid (Koop et al., 2011; Shiraiwa et al., 2011). At 70% RH, best agreement was obtained when $D_{org}$ was equal or close to $D_{aq}$ ($8 \times 10^{-10}$ to $1 \times 10^{-9}$ m$^2$/s) suggesting the presence of internally mixed systems at this higher RH. At 50% RH, the best agreement was found by setting $\varepsilon = 0.05$ for ammonium bisulfate/low O:C mixture, which is similar to the value of $\varepsilon = 0.03$ used to achieve agreement between measured and predicted values of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ onto biogenic SOA derived from $\alpha$-pinene ozonolysis (Anttila et al., 2006; Riemer et al., 2009). Our results further support findings that suggest that changes in diffusion and/or solubility can result in large reductions in the $N_2O_5$ uptake efficiency, even for thin coatings, without having to invoke changes in $\alpha$. The fact that $\varepsilon$ is the similar for the low O:C mixture used in this work and biogenic SOA suggests that similar diffusion limitations exist for these two systems.

4. **Atmospheric Implications and Conclusions**

Measured values of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ were well-predicted using a model that accounts for liquid-liquid phase separations into a core-shell morphology given suitable adjustments to the water content and $N_2O_5$ diffusivity and solubility within the organic shell. As expected, these parameters were dependent upon organic composition and humidity. Moreover, the dependence of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on $\chi_{OA}$ observed in these laboratory studies is remarkably similar to that observed on
ambient aerosol particles, indicating that similar parameterizations could be used to predict
$\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on ambient aerosol at least in some cases. Below, we use these insights into the effects
of organic aerosol mass fraction and composition on $N_2O_5$ developed in this work and field
measurements of these quantities to illustrate the impact of organic aerosol on $N_2O_5$ reactivity.

4.1 Model Predictions of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ Applied to Ambient Aerosol

We extend our predictions of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ to ambient aerosol using global measurements of
submicron, non-refractory aerosol composition using AMS ambient data sets. The O:C ratio was
determined for 25 data sets ranging from urban to remote environments from $f$ (fraction of $m/z$
44 to total organics in the mass spectrum) (Aiken et al., 2008; Ng et al., 2010); details of the
data sets can be found in Jimenez et al. [2009] and the SI. To parameterize the impact of RH, the
organic aerosol mass fraction ($\chi_{OA}$), and the O:C ratio, we first model the impact of RH (e.g.,
liquid water content) on the $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ for pure ammonium bisulfate using the model of Bertram
and Thornton [2009] (see Figure 9, dashed black line). We then factor in the effect of organic
aerosol using the model of Anttila et al., [2006], the average $\chi_{OA}$ (0.48 ± 0.12), and the average
O:C ratio (O:C = 0.46 ± 0.14) determined from all 25 data sets. The average O:C ratio is low
enough that liquid-liquid phase separations can potentially form, particularly at RH ≤ 70%
(Bertram et al., 2011; Renbaum-Wolff et al., 2013; Saukko et al., 2012). PEG was used as a
model organic compound, which is appropriate when considering that the dependence of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$
on the mass fraction of PEG for Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG mixtures was found to resemble the
dependence of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on the $\chi_{OA}$ exhibited by ambient aerosol that had a similar average O:C
ratio (O:C = 0.45). The dotted orange line in Figure 9 shows the impact of factoring in organic
coatings using PEG as the model compound and the database average $\chi_{OA}$ (0.48). Compared to
pure ammonium bisulfate, the normalized value of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ was found to be lower by 40% at 70% RH, by ~60% at 50% RH, and by 85% at 30% RH.

We also use 95% confidence intervals, taken as 2 standard deviations, for the organic aerosol mass fraction and use organics that exhibit a wide-range of influence on $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ (e.g., from near complete suppression to almost no impact) to predict the range of different possible effects organic coatings will have on $\gamma(N_2O_5)$. For the case where the organic coating would have the largest impact on $\gamma(N_2O_5)$, we use the upper limit of the 95% confidence interval for the typical $\chi_{OA}$, which is 0.72, and an organic composition similar to the low O:C mixture, which suppressed $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ the most. The corresponding prediction for $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ is shown in Figure 9 as a dotted red line; $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ decreases by an order of magnitude or more at 30 and 50% RH. For the case where the organic coating would have a minimal effect on $\gamma(N_2O_5)$, we use the lower limit of the 95% confidence interval for the typical $\chi_{OA}$, which is 0.24 from the database, and the ammonium bisulfate/succinic acid mixture. We choose succinic acid because, as shown in Figure 7 and Table 1, even at a high $\chi_{OA}$, succinic acid has a minimal impact on $\gamma(N_2O_5)$. The corresponding predictions of $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ is shown in Figure 9 as a dotted blue line; $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ only decreases by ~33% at 30% RH and only by ~15% at 50 and 70% RH.

While the estimates of the impact of organics on $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ will depend on phase (e.g., whether an organic coating forms) in addition to coating thicknesses, particle surface area, and humidity, the predictions presented in Figure 9 capture a uniformly suppressing effect of organic aerosol on N_2O_5 reactivity observed in previous studies (Bertram et al., 2009; Brown et al., 2009; Riedel et al., 2012) and illustrate that significant variability in the degree of suppression is expected. To the extent which averaging over multiple data sets from different regions and
seasons yields a reasonable estimate of the “typical” organic aerosol, these calculations suggest that N$_2$O$_5$ reactivity on ambient particles should be significantly suppressed (by factors of 2 to 10) with a stronger dependence on RH compared to that expected for aqueous inorganic solution particles. While outside the scope of this paper, including the “nitrate effect”, the well-known suppression of N$_2$O$_5$ reactivity by particle nitrate aerosol (Bertram and Thornton, 2009; Brown et al., 2009; Mentel et al., 1999; Riedel et al., 2012), only enhances the effect of RH.

4.2 Conclusions

Failing to account for organic components has consistently over-predicted uptake rates of N$_2$O$_5$ compared to measured values, even when the nitrate effect is taken into account (Abbatt et al., 2012; Brown et al., 2009; Riedel et al., 2012). Previous studies have shown that organic aerosol has varied effects on N$_2$O$_5$ uptake, from near complete suppression of reactive uptake at small mass fractions (Badger et al., 2006; Cosman and Bertram, 2008; Escorcia et al., 2010; Folkers et al., 2003; Knopf et al., 2007; McNeill et al., 2006; Thornton and Abbatt, 2005), to allowing the same reactivity as inorganic aqueous solutions (Bertram and Thornton, 2009; Griffiths et al., 2009; Thornton et al., 2003). Our results suggest differences in organic composition, such as oxidation state and molecular weight, and the related responses of particle phase, morphology and liquid water content to RH, can explain the different effects of the bulk organic aerosol on N$_2$O$_5$ reactivity, and should be factored into parameterizations that account for the presence of organic coatings or variations in particle hygroscopicity.

The key variables for predicting N$_2$O$_5$ uptake in the organic coating or pure organic particles are the organic mass fraction in the particles, the diffusivity of N$_2$O$_5$ and the liquid water content in the organic layer, the latter two correspond to the viscosity and hygroscopicity
of the organic content (Renbaum-Wolff et al., 2013; Shiraiwa et al., 2011; Virtanen et al., 2010).

We show that for constraining the effects of organic aerosol on N₂O₅ reactivity, the degree of oxidation of the organic aerosol is a useful, but not complete, indicator of these properties, and it is now widely measured during field campaigns and even as part of long-term monitoring activities. We anticipate that our results presented herein together with a growing understanding of organic aerosol properties will help better quantify N₂O₅ reactive uptake onto mixtures of organic and inorganic aerosol in and downwind of polluted regions, thereby, further improving predictions made by air quality and climate models. Moreover, N₂O₅ hydrolysis is likely just one of many possible heterogeneous and multiphase processes that may depend similarly on the viscosity, hygroscopicity and mixing state of organic aerosol.
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Table 1: Relative humidity (RH), solution types and weight percentages, organic mass fractions ($\chi_{OA}$) and organic molar ratios, and $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) values (both absolute and normalized). The stated uncertainty in both the absolute and normalized values of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) are 95% confidence intervals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RH (%)</th>
<th>Solution Type</th>
<th>Wt %</th>
<th>Components</th>
<th>$\chi_{OA}$</th>
<th>Organic O:C Ratio</th>
<th>$\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$)</th>
<th>Normalized $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.036 ± 0.002</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.011</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + PEG</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.009 ± 6.5e-4</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + PEG</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.028 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.129</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + PEG</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + PEG</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + PEG</td>
<td>0.460</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.011 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + PEG</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.004 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + PEG</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.023 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.306</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + PEG</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.007 ± 8.0e-4</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Azelaic Acid</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.023 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Azelaic Acid</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.004 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Azelaic Acid</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.019 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Azelaic Acid</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Azelaic Acid</td>
<td>0.350</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Azelaic Acid</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Azelaic Acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Azelaic Acid</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Azelaic Acid</td>
<td>0.760</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.04</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Succinic Acid</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.019 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Succinic Acid</td>
<td>0.093</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.045 ± 0.016</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Succinic Acid</td>
<td>0.170</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.035 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Succinic Acid</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.037 ± 0.018</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Succinic Acid</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.036 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Succinic Acid</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.040 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.89 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Succinic Acid</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.036 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Succinic Acid</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.026 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Citric Acid</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.011 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Citric Acid</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.025 ± 0.011</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Citric Acid</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.024 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Citric Acid</td>
<td>0.360</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.019 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.51 ± 0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Citric Acid</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Citric Acid</td>
<td>0.450</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.035 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp</td>
<td>pH</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Reaction</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate</td>
<td>Mass</td>
<td>Molar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>Bisulfate + Citric Acid</td>
<td>0.620</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.005</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>Bisulfate + Citric Acid</td>
<td>0.770</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.030 ± 0.005</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>Bisulfate + Glutaric Acid</td>
<td>0.530</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.018 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.162</td>
<td>Bisulfate + Malonic Acid</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.021 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + High O/C (Glucose, Malonic Acid, Citric Acid, Succinic Acid)</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + High O/C</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.021 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + High O/C</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + High O/C</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + High O/C</td>
<td>0.559</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.015 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.110</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + High O/C</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Low O/C (Azelaic Acid, PEG, Gentisic Acid, (1,2,9)-Nonanetriol)</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.003 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Low O/C</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Low O/C</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Low O/C</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.006 ± 0.001</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Concentration</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Low O/C</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Low O/C</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.002 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate + Low O/C</td>
<td>0.635</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Input parameters for the model of Anttila et al. [2006] used to predict $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RH</th>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$[\text{H}<em>2\text{O}]</em>{\text{ABS}}$</th>
<th>$k_{\text{aq}}$ ($k_{2f}$)</th>
<th>$k_{\text{org}}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon$</th>
<th>$D_{\text{org}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>1.11E+06</td>
<td>0.00E+00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>9.50E+05</td>
<td>7.00E+04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6.00E-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>1.11E+06</td>
<td>2.00E+05</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>5.00E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>1.14E+06</td>
<td>3.20E+05</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate /PEG</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>9.50E+05</td>
<td>7.00E+04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6.00E-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate /PEG</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>1.11E+06</td>
<td>2.00E+05</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>5.00E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate /PEG</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>1.14E+06</td>
<td>3.20E+05</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate /Low OC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>9.50E+05</td>
<td>1.23E+04</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>8.00E-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate /Low OC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>1.11E+06</td>
<td>3.50E+04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.00E-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate /Low OC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>1.14E+06</td>
<td>5.60E+04</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30%</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate /High OC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>9.50E+05</td>
<td>7.00E+04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>5.00E-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate /High OC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>1.11E+06</td>
<td>2.00E+05</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.00E-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70%</td>
<td>Ammonium Bisulfate /High OC</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>1.14E+06</td>
<td>3.20E+05</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>1.00E-09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Schematic of the laboratory set up used to measure $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ on laboratory generated aerosols. A movable injector is used to change the amount of exposure time between $N_2O_5$ (represented by small green dots) and the generated particles (large red dots). The $N_2O_5$ decay is monitored using a chemical ionization mass spectrometer (CIMS) while total particle surface area ($S_a$) is monitored using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS).
Figure 2: Representative surface area-weighted size distributions for ammonium bisulfate (black dotted line) and Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG aerosols generated from solutions with increasing mass (mole) fractions of PEG (colored lines).
Figure 3: (a) Experimentally measured $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) values of pure PEG at 30%, 50%, and 70% relative humidity (RH) (orange squares). (b) Experimentally measured normalized $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) values of particles generated from ammonium bisulfate and PEG as a function of PEG mass fraction at 50% RH (orange diamonds connected by orange line). Predicted values of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) for pure PEG (top panel) and for Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG particles (bottom panel) using the parameterizations of Bertram and Thornton [2009] (dashed black lines) and Anttila et al. [2006] (dashed red lines) are also shown.
Figure 4: Normalized $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) values of particles generated from Ammonium Bisulfate/PEG as a function of PEG mass fraction at 30% RH (orange, right-side up triangle), 50% RH (orange diamonds connected by orange line), and 70% RH (orange upside-down triangle). Normalized $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) for ambient particles measured in Seattle, WA during the summer of 2008 (Bertram et al., 2009b) are also shown (blue dots connected by blue line). The average RH in the sampling inlet was 65% RH and the average O:C ratio of the ambient organic aerosol was 0.45, estimated from an AMS operated simultaneously.
**Figure 5:** Normalized $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ values for particles generated from ammonium bisulfate and a mixture of organic compounds with a low O:C ratio as a function of $\chi_{OA}$ at 30% RH (red, right-side up triangle), 50% RH (red diamonds connected by red line), and 70% RH (red upside-down triangle). Normalized $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ for particles containing chamber-derived SOA from $\alpha$-pinene oxidation as measured by Escorcia et al. [2010] (solid, orange triangles with connecting line) and reported by Anttila et al. [2006] (open, black squares with connecting line) are also shown.
Figure 6: Normalized $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ values for particles generated from ammonium bisulfate and a mixture of organic compounds with a high O:C ratio as a function of $\chi_{OA}$ at 30% RH (purple, right-side up triangle), 50% RH (purple diamonds connected by purple line), and 70% RH (purple upside-down triangle).
Figure 7: Normalized $\gamma(N_2O_5)$ values for ammonium bisulfate (denoted as ABS in this figure) and organic mixtures as a function of organic O:C ratio at an organic mole fraction of 0.5 and RH of 50% (black markers). Red markers denote values for mixtures of ammonium bisulfate (ABS) and citric acid and ammonium bisulfate (ABS) and the high O:C mixture.
Figure 8: Measured $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) and predicted $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) using the model of Anttila et al. [2006] for particles composed of ammonium bisulfate + low O:C organic mixture (red markers), ammonium bisulfate + PEG (orange markers), pure PEG (orange squares), and ammonium bisulfate + high O:C organic mixture (markers) at all $\chi_{OA}$. Values at 30% RH are shown as right-side up triangles, those at 50% RH are shown as diamonds, and those at 70% RH are shown as upside-down triangles. The black line represents a 1:1 ratio between the measured and parameterized uptake values fit through the origin.
Figure 9: Predicted $\gamma$($N_2O_5$) for pure ammonium bisulfate (dashed black lines) as a function of RH, normalized to RH > 50% values. The effect of organic aerosol on $\gamma$($N_2O_5$) under atmospheric conditions is shown using trends observed in this study and ambient organic aerosol abundance and composition obtained from AMS data sets. See text for details.