Biogenic VOC oxidation and organic aerosol formation in an urban nocturnal boundary layer: aircraft vertical profiles in Houston, TX
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Abstract

Organic compounds are a large component of aerosol mass, but organic aerosol (OA) sources remain poorly characterized. Recent model studies have suggested nighttime oxidation of biogenic hydrocarbons as a potentially large OA source, but analysis of field measurements to test these predictions is sparse. We present nighttime vertical profiles of nitrogen oxides, ozone, VOCs and aerosol composition measured during low approaches of the NOAA P-3 aircraft to airfields in Houston, TX. This region has large emissions of both biogenic hydrocarbons and nitrogen oxides. The latter serves as a source of the nitrate radical, NO₃, a key nighttime oxidant. Biogenic VOCs (BVOC) and urban pollutants were concentrated within the nocturnal boundary layer (NBL), which varied in depth from 100–400 m. Despite concentrated NOₓ at low altitude, ozone was never titrated to zero, resulting in rapid NO₃ radical production rates of 0.2–2.7 ppbvh⁻¹ within the NBL. Monoterpenes and isoprene were frequently present within the NBL and underwent rapid oxidation (up to 1 ppbvh⁻¹), mainly by NO₃ and to a lesser extent O₃. Concurrent enhancement in organic and nitrate aerosol on several profiles was consistent with primary emissions and with secondary production from nighttime BVOC oxidation, with the latter equivalent to or slightly larger than the former. Ratios of organic aerosol to CO within the NBL ranged from 14 to 38 µgm⁻³ OA/ppmv CO. A box model simulation incorporating monoterpene emissions, oxidant formation rates and monoterpene SOA yields suggested overnight OA production of 0.5 to 9 µgm⁻³.

1 Introduction

Organic compounds constitute a large and ubiquitous component of total aerosol mass loading throughout the troposphere (Zhang et al., 2007; Jimenez et al., 2009), with subsequent effects on visibility, climate and human health. The majority of this organic aerosol (OA) is secondary, formed from oxidation of gas-phase volatile organic
compounds (VOCs). Although model representations have improved significantly in recent years, there remains considerable uncertainty with respect to the budget and chemical mechanism for growth of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) (de Gouw et al., 2005, 2008; Volkamer et al., 2006; Hodzic et al., 2010). Furthermore, while in-situ measurements of OA in polluted regions show it to be generally correlated with tracers of anthropogenic emissions and/or combustion, such as acetylene or CO (de Gouw et al., 2005), filter-based measurements of the radiocarbon content of OA suggest that a large fraction of the carbon is modern (Lemire et al., 2002; Lewis et al., 2004; Weber et al., 2007; Schichtel et al., 2008), indicating that biomass burning, biogenic hydrocarbon oxidation or cooking aerosol (Mohr et al., 2012) are large sources. These observations implicate interactions between anthropogenic and biogenic emissions as important contributors to SOA in polluted regions (Hoyle et al., 2009; Spracklen et al., 2011).

Oxidation of biogenic hydrocarbons by the nitrate radical, NO$_3$, is one potential OA source that results from such an interaction. The nitrate radical arises from the reaction of O$_3$ with NO$_2$, whose primary source is nitrogen oxide (NO$_x$ = NO + NO$_2$) emissions from combustion.

$$\text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_3 + \text{O}_2 \quad (R1)$$

The nitrate radical is highly reactive, being lost to both oxidation reactions and to production of N$_2$O$_5$, which is readily taken up by heterogeneous reactions on aerosol surfaces (Chang et al., 2011). The nitrate radical normally is a significant oxidant only in the nighttime atmosphere due to its rapid photolysis and reaction with photochemically generated NO during daytime. It is particularly reactive toward unsaturated functional groups characteristic of many biogenic VOCs (BVOC), including both isoprene and the monoterpenes (Winer et al., 1984). These reactions produce both organic nitrates and organic aerosol in variable yields; in some cases, the SOA yields are considerably larger than those for other common oxidants (OH, O$_3$) (Griffin et al., 1999; Hallquist et al., 1999; Fry et al., 2008, 2011; Ng et al., 2008; Rollins et al., 2009). Unlike isoprene, monoprene emissions from many tree species are temperature dependent but
light independent, such that they may be emitted during both night and day (Fuentes et al., 2000). Nighttime monoterpene emissions rates in Houston during the October period investigated here are predicted to have been approximately 50% of the reference emission rates (calculated at 30°C) based on the temperature dependence in the BEIS inventory (Warneke et al., 2010, see below), but presumably would be larger in mid-summer. Several recent model studies that have incorporated literature SOA yield parameterizations for reactions of NO₃ with monoterpenes (Griffin et al., 1999), have suggested that NO₃-monoterpene reactions may be responsible for a large fraction of regional and global SOA. In the southeastern US, for example, one model study found that NO₃ oxidation more than doubles the amount of monoterpene derived SOA and contributes up to 30% of the total SOA (Pye et al., 2010). In the area near Houston, TX, Russell and Allen (Russell and Allen, 2005) determined that NO₃ oxidation of β-pinene alone is the second largest SOA source in that region after monoterpene ozonolysis reactions. A recent global model attributes 21–27% of global SOA to NO₃-monoterpene reactions for a range of yield parameterizations, with regional influences as large as 60% of total SOA (Hoyle et al., 2007).

Analysis of a small number of surface level measurements has shown evidence for an OA source from NO₃-BVOC oxidation. McLaren et al. (2004) found a diurnal variation in the mixing ratio of monoterpene oxidation products in a forested region of British Columbia, Canada, attributable to nighttime monoterpene oxidation from both NO₃ and O₃. Iinuma et al. (2007) found evidence for nighttime production of nitroxyorganosulfates, attributed to NO₃-monoterpene oxidation, in aerosol samples collected in Bavaria, Germany. Day et al. (2010) found that organonitrate groups account for up to 10% of OA mass under dry conditions in southern California, but suggested that they are imperfect tracers of particulate phase reactive nitrogen due to their short lifetime with respect to hydrolysis. Rollins et al. (2012) recently reported the diurnal average of particle phase organic nitrate in relation to total OA in Bakersfield, California. They found strong evidence for early evening production dominated by nitrate radical reactions, suggesting this to be the single largest OA source in the region.
Vertically resolved measurements can provide more detailed information about nighttime chemical transformations, which take place in a stratified boundary layer structure. The nocturnal boundary layer (NBL) is shallow, with heights of tens to hundreds of meters, leading to concentrated buildup of surface level emissions. The residual daytime boundary layer (or residual layer) that overlies the NBL contains pollutants emitted and mixed to higher altitudes during the preceding day. A previous analysis of aircraft data from the northeast US (Brown et al., 2009a) examined nighttime oxidation of the isoprene remaining within the residual layer at sunset. Nighttime isoprene oxidation was a small aerosol source (2–8 % of total OA), but larger than that inferred from photochemical isoprene oxidation, due largely to higher SOA yields for NO₃ oxidation of isoprene compared to OH (Ng et al., 2008). Our previous study did not address nighttime monoterpene oxidation. As with isoprene, oxidation of the daytime monoterpene emission remaining at sunset would occur predominantly within the residual layer, and would not be observable in surface-level measurements. In contrast to isoprene, nighttime monoterpene emission and oxidation also occur at low altitude within the NBL, leading to a spatial difference in the signatures of the two processes. Here, we report nighttime vertical profiles from the NOAA P-3 aircraft in and around Houston, TX from low approaches to airfields that probed the difference between the residual and nocturnal boundary layers. The Houston region has large emissions of both anthropogenic NOₓ and biogenic VOCs, although biogenic emissions were not likely at their maximum seasonal values during the October night flights. Low altitude enhancements in NO₃ radical production, NO₃ reactivity, biogenic VOCs and organic aerosol were consistent with nighttime biogenic VOC oxidation and organic aerosol growth within the NBL. These observations provide a direct comparison for model predictions of the magnitude of the OA source from nighttime OA oxidation.
2 Field campaign and experimental methods

The second Texas Air Quality study took place in August–October 2006, and included ground, ship and aircraft based measurements. Three previous publications (Brown et al., 2009b, 2011, 2012) describe nighttime flights of the NOAA WP-3-D aircraft during this study. The set of aircraft instrumentation relevant to analysis of nighttime data has also been described in these publications and in an overview paper (Parrish et al., 2008). Briefly, reactive nitrogen and odd-oxygen species measured at 1 s time resolution included NO$_3$ and N$_2$O$_5$ by cavity ring-down spectroscopy (Dubé et al., 2006; Fuchs et al., 2008), and NO, NO$_2$, and O$_3$ by chemiluminescence (Ryerson et al., 1998, 1999, 2000). Submicron aerosol composition was measured by an aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS) with 10 s time resolution (Bahreini et al., 2008). An optical particle counter measured submicron aerosol size distributions, from which surface area and volume were derived, at 1 s time resolution (Brock et al., 2003). Accumulation mode black carbon mass was measured at 1 s time resolution with a single particle soot photometer (Schwarz et al., 2008).

Speciated volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were measured by discrete canister samples (whole air sampler, or WAS), with approximately 80 samples per flight of 8–30 s duration (Schaufler et al., 1999). A smaller set of VOCs was measured sequentially for 1 s every 17 s by proton transfer reaction mass spectrometry (PTRMS) (de Gouw and Warneke, 2007). Although the two independent measurements of biogenic VOCs identified the presence of these compounds within the NBL on vertical profiles, the reported mixing ratios did not always agree. The PTRMS generally reported larger values than the WAS (see discussion below). The reason for this discrepancy is not clear. The WAS samples discretely, and may not fully capture rapid variations seen in the continuous measurements from the PTRMS. For the monoterpenes, the WAS reported only α-pinene, while the PTRMS represents the sum of all measured compounds. The PTRMS may be subject to interference from other compounds at a given mass. However, previous BVOC comparisons between the two instruments from the
TexAQS campaign (Warneke et al., 2010) and previous campaigns (de Gouw et al., 2003; de Gouw and Warneke, 2007) have shown agreement to within 20% for isoprene a factor of two for total monoterpenes.

Figure 1 shows a map of the Houston area together with the spatial distribution of monoterpane emissions and NO\textsubscript{x} sources. The heavy yellow line denotes the boundary of the urban area, and white lines indicate the location of major roadways. There is a large concentration of NO\textsubscript{x} sources associated with the petrochemical industry within the Houston Ship Channel, just to the east of central Houston and to the north of Ellington Field, where the P-3 was based. The blue-red color scale in the background indicates the emission potential of monoterpenes (i.e., parameterized emissions at a reference temperature of 30°C) from the BEIS3 inventory (Environmental Protection Agency Biogenic Emissions Inventory System 3, http://www.epa.gov/ttnchie1/emch/biogenic/). The green diamonds and labels indicate the locations of airfields used for low approaches on the night flights described here. Low approaches, takeoffs and landings penetrated the NBL to examine emissions and chemistry occurring below 500 m, the nominal cruising altitude for level flight legs during darkness.

### 3 Nighttime vertical profiles

There were four night flights during TexAQS 2006 with a total of 32 nighttime vertical profiles from low approaches (one descent and one ascent on each) or takeoff and landing (single ascent or descent) occurring 0.6–6.2 h after sunset (Table 1). The low approaches had minimum altitudes ranging from 34–82 m a.g.l. The four landings and one takeoff at Ellington Field in southeast Houston provided vertical profiles to ground level. Emissions of NO\textsubscript{x} were concentrated within the urban boundary and the industrial areas in the south of the domain, while the strongest BVOC emissions were to the north of Houston. In three of the four night flights (29 September, 8 October, 11–12 October), early evening and nighttime flow was generally south to north across the urban area, carrying urban emissions into the forested areas, while on 10–11 October, flow was
from the northwest, carrying BVOC emissions into the NO\textsubscript{x}-rich urban area. In the former case, the NO\textsubscript{x} emissions transported north would provide a continuous source of NO\textsubscript{3} oxidant for locally emitted BVOCs during nighttime transport. In the latter case, the oxidation products (including organic aerosol) would be apparent within the urban area, though the BVOCs themselves would likely be too short lived to survive transport. Observed BVOCs in this case would most likely arise from smaller local emissions within the urban area. This section describes three distinct cases from the 8 October, 10–11 October and 11–12 October flights.

### 3.1 Case 1: NO\textsubscript{x}-rich air mass advecting north in early evening

The 8 October flight was a day into night flight (2.6 h before to 4.1 h after sunset) with southeasterly winds at surface level in early evening that transported urban emissions from Houston northward. Figure 2 shows a map of the latter portions (2.4–4.1 h after sunset) of this flight. Figure 2b shows potential temperature, \( \Theta \), from which the static stability of the atmosphere can be determined, and nitrate radical production rate from the reaction of NO\textsubscript{2} with O\textsubscript{3}, P(NO\textsubscript{3}) (expressed in ppbv h\(^{-1}\)) for the profiles in panel a.

\[
P(\text{NO}_3) = k[\text{O}_3][\text{NO}_2] \tag{1}
\]

The NBL, taken as the top of the stable region (i.e., steeply increasing \( \Theta \) with altitude), was relatively consistent at approximately 120 m (Table 1), although the height through which surface level pollutants were strongly mixed was higher than this level in the approach to Hooks airfield on the downwind side of Houston. The strong gradient in \( \Theta \) near the surface on landing at Ellington Field indicates the presence of a shallow surface layer (Brown et al., 2007b) not penetrated on the low approaches. (Despite the presence of a surface layer, the observations described here clearly show nighttime mixing of pollutants to altitudes greater than a few tens of meters.) At altitudes near or below the top of the NBL, there was a steep increase in P(NO\textsubscript{3}), indicating that surface level emissions of NO\textsubscript{x}, contained within the shallow NBL, provide a large source of...
nitrate radicals. Values for $P(\text{NO}_3)$ ranged from 1 to 2.8 ppbv h$^{-1}$, which are large for this radical (Brown and Stutz, 2012) and comparable to or larger than typical midday OH radical production rates during summer (e.g., Young et al., 2012).

Shown in Fig. 2c is the NO$_3$ inverse steady-state lifetime, $\tau(\text{NO}_3)^{-1}$, the ratio of the NO$_3$ production rate to its mixing ratio.

$$\tau(\text{NO}_3)^{-1} = P(\text{NO}_3)/\text{NO}_3$$

(2)

This quantity has units of inverse time (s$^{-1}$) and is a common measure of apparent NO$_3$ reactivity, or first-order loss rate coefficient for NO$_3$ (Platt et al., 1984; Geyer and Platt, 2002; Brown et al., 2003). The figure shows that $\tau(\text{NO}_3)^{-1}$ was consistently enhanced at low altitude within the NBL. This enhancement in apparent reactivity may be due to reactions of NO$_3$ with reactive VOCs, an increased rate of N$_2$O$_5$ heterogeneous uptake to aerosol, or a failure of the steady state approximation for production and loss of NO$_3$ (Brown et al., 2003). As the later discussion will show, however, a major component of this low altitude reactivity enhancement is loss of NO$_3$ through reactions with biogenic VOC in the NBL.

The surface-level air mass transported north out of Houston on 8 October contained large NO$_x$ levels that influence nighttime biogenic VOC oxidation. Figure 3 shows an expanded view of the vertical profile at Hooks airfield, approximately 2.6 h after sunset. Panel a shows the potential temperature profile (top axis), which had discontinuities at approximately 350 and 130 m, with the latter likely representing the NBL and the former associated with an intermediate layer. Large increases in anthropogenic pollution were evident below 280 m from the NO$_2$ profile and the associated titration of O$_3$ (panel b) and large mixing ratios of NO$_3$ and N$_2$O$_5$ (panel a). The strong anticorrelation between NO$_2$ and O$_3$, especially below 280 m, is clear evidence for the influence of nighttime chemistry since photochemistry normally leads to positive correlations between O$_3$ and reactive nitrogen, while nighttime chemistry leads to an anticorrelation (Brown et al., 2006). A plot of O$_3$ against NO$_2$ within this altitude range (not shown) has a slope of $-1.56$ and a correlation coefficient, $r^2 = 0.97$, consistent with a slightly aged plume.
in which O₃ had been consumed by its rapid reaction with emitted NO and further consumed by its slower reaction with NO₂ that produces both NO₃ and N₂O₅ (Brown et al., 2006). The ratio of NOₓ to NOᵧ (also not shown) shows an abrupt transition at 280 m, with values of 0.65 ± 0.03 (1σ) and 0.42 ± 0.04 below and above this altitude, respectively, implying more recent NOₓ emissions in the lower altitude air mass. The transition in NOₓ to NOᵧ was present at the same altitude on both ascent and descent, demonstrating that it was due to vertical, rather than horizontal, variation during the low approach.

The nitrate radical production rate, P(NO₃), in Fig. 3c was strongly enhanced below 280 m, varying from 2.0–2.8 ppbv h⁻¹. As the air mass moves north beyond the Hooks airfield, it would enter a region with a much larger emission potential for monoterpenes (see Fig. 1), which would undergo rapid and nearly exclusive oxidation with nitrate radicals. The lifetime of α-pinene with respect to oxidation by O₃ (47 ± 4 ppbv below 280 m) was 2.9 h, while its lifetime against oxidation by NO₃ (127 ± 17 pptv) was 0.9 min. The lifetime for β-pinene would be 16.5 h and 2.2 min against O₃ and NO₃, respectively (Atkinson and Arey, 2003). The PTRMS measured no enhancement in biogenic VOCs above its detection limit within this vertical profile (no WAS samples taken), potentially due to their short lifetime in this air mass. However, biogenic VOCs were present consistently on other vertical profiles, as described further below.

Aerosol organic mass was also enhanced at low altitude, as the aerosol composition data in Table 1 shows. Below 280 m, organic aerosol increased slightly to lower altitude, with a somewhat steeper increase below the NBL height of 130 m (single data point in the AMS at its time resolution). Panels a and b show that there were increases in both organic and nitrate aerosol mass, but not in sulfate or ammonium, below 280 m. The relative changes in mass loading of different aerosol components with altitude were small in this example compared to others (see below), and could be subject to artifacts such as AMS collection efficiency (Middlebrook et al., 2012). Ratios of different components are less subject to artifacts and are more compelling. The ratio of sulfate to organic (panel b, top axis) decreased below 280 m, while the ratio of nitrate to or-
Organic (panel b, bottom axis) increased below 280 m, but then decreased again below the NBL height. These observations are qualitatively consistent with a photochemically generated background of sulfate, nitrate and organic aerosol superimposed with a nighttime component to organic aerosol growth that was enhanced at low altitude. The presence of enhanced nitrate may also be consistent with this increased organic aerosol growth since NO₃ reacts with monoterpenes to produce organic nitrates in high yields (Hallquist et al., 1999), and these nitrate products are thought to be associated with the condensable material that forms SOA from these reactions (Fry et al., 2008, 2011). Aerosol nitrate may also arise from inorganic nitrate production through N₂O₅ hydrolysis. On the basis of the available data from these profiles, it is difficult to assign the source of the low-altitude nitrate aerosol enhancement to either the organic or the inorganic nighttime pathway.

The observed aerosol enhancements on this profile likely have contributions from both primary emissions and secondary growth. Figure 4c shows the vertical profile in organic mass relative to three estimates of primary emissions and one of secondary organic aerosol. Results of an iterative multivariate regression analysis of the AMS data are shown for oxygenated organic aerosol (OOA), interpretable as secondary organic aerosol, and for hydrocarbon like organic aerosol (HOA) (Zhang et al., 2005). Most of the organic aerosol increase immediately below 280 m, near the top of the layer with high NOₓ levels, arises from the OOA factor (secondary source), while most (approximately 60%) of the sharp increase at the lowest altitude sampled arises from the HOA factor (attributable to primary emissions). The HOA factor is likely an upper limit to actual primary emissions, however, due to the potential for slightly oxygenated ions to contribute to masses normally attributed to HOA (Bahreini et al., 2012; Kroll et al., 2012). Also shown in Table 1c are parameterizations for primary organic aerosol emissions based on their ratio to either carbon monoxide (CO) or black carbon (BC). The ratio of HOA to CO falls in the range of 5–10 µg m⁻³ ppmv⁻¹ CO (de Gouw and Jimenez, 2009). Similarly, the ratio of HOA to black carbon mass has been estimated from other field data as HOA = 1.3 × BC (Aiken et al., 2009), and this HOA parameterization also
appears in Fig. 4c. These two parameterizations suggest that approximately 1/4 of the low-altitude organic aerosol enhancement sampled in the Hooks profile is attributable to primary emissions. Thus, a combination of factors, including a large nighttime radical source, the potential for nighttime monoterpane emissions, the anticorrelation of nitrogen oxides and ozone, and organic aerosol enhancements in excess of that predicted for primary emissions, suggests a nighttime secondary organic aerosol source for this profile over Hooks airfield. Due to the large NO$_x$ levels, rapid NO$_3$ production rate and large relative oxidation of biogenic VOCs by NO$_3$ relative to O$_3$, the secondary aerosol source due to NO$_3$-monoterpane reactions should increase substantially during northward transport of this air mass into the forested region.

3.2 Case 2: BVOC rich air mass advecting south

The 10–11 October flight executed a series of vertical profiles near the urban area just after midnight local time. The flight took place immediately following a frontal passage, with winds from the north or northwest behind the front, transporting the stronger biogenic emissions into the urban area. Background levels of pollutants were lower within the Houston urban area on this flight relative to others. Figure 5 shows the P-3 track for the last leg of this flight. The profiles over Hobby and Ellington airfields were on the downwind side of the urban area, while the profiles over Bush airfield were upwind. The NBL was well developed, relatively deep, and clearly defined near 400 m in the potential temperature for all five profiles (two low approaches and one landing after dark). The discontinuity in the potential temperature profile at 1.1 km likely indicates the top of the residual daytime boundary layer, although the actual top of the residual layer may have been above the sampled altitude on these profiles. Urban emissions were highly concentrated within the NBL, as shown by the vertical distribution of P(NO$_3$) in Fig. 2b. During the approach to landing at Ellington Field, the aircraft transected a plume with larger P(NO$_3$) between 700–900 m that was directly downwind of the Houston Ship Channel. Analysis of such lofted nighttime plumes is not the subject of this paper but has been discussed previously (Brown et al., 2011, 2012).
Figure 6 shows the time series for these two low approaches and landing. The figure shows only the monoterpenone measurements from the PTRMS, although isoprene was also enhanced during these profiles (Table 2). Measurements from discrete canister samples for these profiles corroborated the presence of monoterpenes, although α-pinene was the only monoterpenone reported for the can samples. The level of α-pinene from the canister sample was approximately 20% of the summed monoterpenes from the PTRMS (Table 2). Isoprene was also considerably larger in the PTR measurements than in the can samples, although the can samples clearly show that isoprene was present below the NBL on the vertical profiles. Both biogenic VOCs strongly peaked at low altitude on all five vertical profiles in Fig. 6. Nitrate radical production rates, P(NO₃), also peaked at low altitude, coincident with the BVOC enhancements, and consistent with trapping of both NOₓ pollution and VOC emissions within the shallow NBL. The co-location of the enhancements in these constituents implies that the nitrate radical rapidly oxidized biogenic VOCs within the NBL.

Biogenic VOC mixing ratios from the PTRMS, particularly monoterpenes, imply that a large fraction of NO₃ reacts with biogenic VOCs at low altitude. Figure 6b compares the NO₃ inverse steady state lifetime, τ(NO₃)⁻¹ from Eq. (2) with the NO₃ first order loss rate coefficient, k(NO₃), calculated from the PTRMS BVOCs.

\[ k(NO₃) = \sum_{i} k_i[VOC_i] = k_{\text{isop}}[\text{isop}] + k_{\text{mono}}[\text{Monoterp}] \]  

The first order loss rate coefficient is the sum of the products of the bimolecular rate coefficient for the reaction of NO₃ with each VOC and the concentration of that VOC. In Fig. 6b, there are only two VOCs in the sum. The rate coefficient for reaction of NO₃ with monoterpenes has been taken as that for α-pinene, which lies approximately in the middle of the range from β-pinene (lowest) to limonene (highest) (Atkinson and Arey, 2003). The τ(NO₃)⁻¹ peaked sharply underneath the NBL and was of the same order of magnitude as the k(NO₃) determined from the PTRMS BVOCs. The low approach at Bush, on the north side of Houston and closest to the strong biogenic VOC sources,
shows quantitative agreement between the two independently determined rate coefficients. For the second low approach at Hobby, $\tau(\text{NO}_3)^{-1}$ exceeded $k(\text{NO}_3)$ by approximately a factor of two, suggesting that half of the NO$_3$ reactivity was due to the two VOC classes (Reactions of NO$_3$ with anthropogenic VOCs and N$_2$O$_5$ uptake to aerosol were also important processes during TexAQS 2006 night flights, Brown et al., 2011). The final profile at Ellington had too little NO$_3$ to meaningfully determine $\tau(\text{NO}_3)^{-1}$, but did have large mixing ratios of both isoprene and monoterpenes measured on the PTRMS near ground level on landing. As noted above, BVOC mixing ratios from the PTRMS were systematically larger than those from the WAS, particularly for isoprene. Thus, the derived $k(\text{NO}_3)$ values are upper limits. Although the largest potential discrepancy between the instruments is for isoprene, the $k(\text{NO}_3)$ are dominated by the monoterpenes, for which the WAS provides a lower limit, since only $\alpha$-pinene is reported.

As in the example from 8 October, both aerosol nitrate and organic peaked sharply at low altitude, coincident with the BVOC and P(NO$_3$) peaks under the NBL. The principle difference between the 8 October and 10–11 October flights was the frontal passage that preceded the latter flight and led to a smaller aerosol background at all altitudes. Figure 6c shows the mass loading of aerosol ammonium, sulfate, nitrate and organic. The enhancements below the NBL height on 10–11 October thus stand out in starker contrast against the background. The final profile at Ellington was notable in that it exhibited increases in all aerosol components, including sulfate and ammonium, whereas the other profiles principally show enhancements in organic and nitrate at low altitude. The reason for this difference is not clear, although Ellington was on the downwind side of large emission sources in the Houston Ship Channel on this descent. Prior aircraft transects downwind of large sulfur sources have shown evidence for direct emissions of sulfate aerosol (Brown et al., 2007a), although no definitive assignment is possible in this case.

Figure 7 shows the vertical profile from the descent to Hobby airfield on the downwind side of the urban area. The potential temperature profile in panel a shows a strongly stable NBL with a depth of 380 m. Panel a also shows the vertical profile of biogenic
VOCs from the PTRMS, which were clearly enhanced in the NBL. Panel b shows the aerosol mass loadings along with total aerosol volume from the particle counting instrument (top axis). The relationship between the two depends on the aerosol density, which in turn depends on the relative amounts of organic and inorganic components, but varies over the range 1.25–1.75 g cm$^{-3}$. Aerosol volume and mass were strongly enhanced in the NBL, but the mass enhancements were principally in nitrate and organic, with sulfate nearly constant throughout the profile. Panel c shows the ratio of sulfate and nitrate to organic, with the former strongly decreased and the latter strongly increased in the NBL. As with the example in Fig. 4 from the Hooks low approach on 8 October, nitrate aerosol showed a larger enhancement near the top of the NBL than near the bottom of the profile. The source of this nitrate may have been organic or inorganic; the concurrent increase in ammonium at low altitude in this example is consistent with an inorganic nitrate enhancement through condensation of ammonium nitrate. The inorganic nitrate comes from nighttime chemistry through the heterogeneous hydrolysis of N$_2$O$_5$. Chemistry occurring in the NBL was attributable to a nighttime process, since NO$_2$ and O$_3$ were strongly anticorrelated (not shown). The correlation of O$_3$ vs. NO$_2$ had a slope of $-1.7$ and a correlation coefficient $r^2 > 0.98$.

The source of the late night enhancement of organic aerosol within the NBL likely had contributions from both primary emissions and secondary production, as in the preceding example. Figure 8a shows the vertical profile of oxygenated organic aerosol (OOA) and hydrocarbon-like organic aerosol (HOA) estimated from the AMS relative to total organic aerosol mass. The factor analysis suggests that approximately 45% of the organic aerosol enhancement (defined as the difference in mass loading within and above the NBL) is attributable to primary emissions (HOA), while 55% arises from a secondary source (OOA). Panel b shows the same comparison, but for HOA estimated from carbon monoxide (CO) and black carbon (BC), as described above. Based on these estimates, primary emissions would contribute approximately 17–21% of the organic aerosol enhancement in the NBL.
Figure 9 shows a plot of organic aerosol mass against CO for the low approach at Hobby on 11 October. The figure includes data from both the descent and ascent (vertical profiles from descent only shown in Figs. 7 and 8), and separates data into those above and below the NBL height (see legend in figure). Because this flight occurred immediately following frontal passage, there was little aerosol mass from the previous day within the overlying residual layer, and a fit to the data gives essentially zero organic mass enhancement within this layer. The NBL, by contrast, exhibited a positive correlation with a slope of $38 \mu g m^{-3} ppmv^{-1}$ CO. As described further below, however, similar plots within the NBL on other vertical profiles produced correlations with variable slopes that were generally lower than that from this example. The slope of $\Delta OA/\Delta CO$ in the NBL in Fig. 9 is comparable to values determined for photochemical production of organic aerosol within urban areas in Texas, but smaller than those determined for organic aerosol production downwind of the VOC-rich sources of the industrial areas of the Houston Ship Channel (Bahreini et al., 2009). The model in Sect. 6 describes the relevant time scales and rates of OA production from the nighttime source relative to these observations.

The correlation with CO for nighttime generated aerosol would be expected if the aerosol production rate were strictly proportional to NO$_x$, the source for NO$_3$. Proportionality to CO would not necessarily arise from an aerosol production that was dominated by BVOC emissions, unless both the BVOC and CO concentrations varied with height, as in the observations. Thus, the correlation with CO is largely a benchmark for comparison with literature values for the magnitude of the OA source, rather than a statement about the mechanism for nighttime aerosol generation.

3.3 Case 3: NO$_x$ rich air mass well north of urban area; local source

The 12 October flight surveyed nighttime transport and chemistry of industrial emissions north out of Houston, and included a series of five vertical profiles, two low approaches and one landing, across the urban area after midnight and 5.7–6.2 h after sunset (Fig. 10). Winds were generally from the south-southwest carrying urban pol-
olution north into the forested areas. The forward trajectory in Fig. 1 gives the scale of the overnight (12 h) transport distance beginning at sunset at 100 m altitude from Bush airport. Overnight transport extended well into the area of large monoterpane emissions to the north of the urban area. The south to north transport was similar to that of 8 October, but the 12 October flight differed in three respects. First, vertical profiles on the 12 October flight were much later at night. Second, the 12 October flight included a profile at Lone Star airfield, well to the north of Houston where the BEIS inventory shows large monoterpane emission potential (Fig. 1). Finally, the flight was also likely influenced by biomass burning in some locations, including the low altitude approach to Lone Star, as well as by strong local anthropogenic emissions, as described further below. Thus, although this flight included a profile in the region most likely to have been influence by nighttime biogenic VOC emissions and oxidation, the low altitude chemical composition of the air mass was likely influenced by multiple factors.

The NBL structure during the full set of profiles (Fig. 10) extended to just above 100 m, with another layer near 700–800 m. This altitude is relatively shallow for the top of the residual boundary layer, but on this day it may have been influenced by a marine boundary layer structure from the nearby Gulf of Mexico under southerly flow. The potential temperature exhibited a steep gradient below 40 m on landing at Ellington, again characteristic of a shallow surface layer. There were several layers above the NBL with P(NO$_3$) up to 1 ppbv h$^{-1}$, particularly for the approach to Bush, which was downwind of urban Houston and the Ship Channel. However, P(NO$_3$) within the NBL was consistently larger, to approximately 2 ppbv h$^{-1}$. Figure 10c shows $\tau$(NO$_3$)$^{-1}$ on a logarithmic scale to capture the range of NO$_3$ reactivity. The $\tau$(NO$_3$)$^{-1}$ showed distinct steps associated with the transition from the NBL to the residual layer, and from the residual layer to the free troposphere.

Similar to the previous examples, Fig. 11 shows that P(NO$_3$), BVOCs and $\tau$(NO$_3$)$^{-1}$ were all strongly enhanced together at low altitude in approaches to Lone Star, Bush and Ellington Field. The $\tau$(NO$_3$)$^{-1}$ values were essentially equal to $k$(NO$_3$), derived from PTRMS BVOCs, implying that a large fraction of the radical flux (P(NO$_3$) = 1–
2 ppbv h\(^{-1}\)) was consumed by BVOC oxidation. Peaks in all components of aerosol mass in panel c occurred at low altitude, but were substantially wider than the peaks in P(NO\(_3\)) or BVOC from the first two panels. The wider peaks in the time series represent a greater depth on each profile, consistent with an enhancement in all aerosol types associated with the residual layer. Narrower peaks in organic and nitrate, but not sulfate or ammonium, occurred at the bottom of each profile, coincident with the low altitude peaks in P(NO\(_3\)) and BVOC.

The first vertical profile in this series was the descent to Lone Star (Fig. 12), well north of the urban area. Mixing ratios of NO\(_3\) and N\(_2\)O\(_5\) (panel a) exhibited distinct, narrow plume structure likely associated with transport of NO\(_x\) from different emission sources within a stable boundary layer (Brown et al., 2012). Mixing ratios of both compounds dropped off dramatically below the sharp change in potential temperature near 100 m that marked the top of the NBL on this profile. The NO\(_x\) pollution was concentrated below this level (panel b), but strongly anti-correlated with O\(_3\), resulting in a maximum P(NO\(_3\)) of 2 ppbv h\(^{-1}\) just above the NBL and 0.5–1 ppbv h\(^{-1}\) within the NBL (panel c). Lone Star airfield is well north of the city of Houston but adjacent to the city of Conroe, TX, which was immediately upwind of the airfield on this profile. The NO\(_x\) profile, which shows peak mixing ratios of 45–55 ppbv NO\(_2\) and nearly complete titration of O\(_3\) below the NBL, may reflect both an urban background from Houston and the contribution of local emissions from Conroe.

The VOC and aerosol data for the same profile (Fig. 13) show clear and relatively large enhancements in both isoprene and monoterpenes just above and within the NBL, consistent with the location of this profile in an area of large biogenic emission. It also showed an enhancement in acetonitrile, a reliable marker for the influence of biomass burning. Acetonitrile enhancements also occurred at other locations on this flight within the residual layer, although the residual layer did not have enhanced acetonitrile on this particular profile. Aerosol was also enhanced at low altitude, and the vertical structure of this enhancement is clearer in this vertical view than in the time series shown in Fig. 11. The potential temperature indicates a stable layer at 0.5–0.6 km.
(see above) beneath which were increases in all aerosol components. The presence of sulfate within this residual layer most likely indicates the influence of the previous day’s photochemistry, since $\text{SO}_2$ oxidation is negligible in the dark. Since the profile occurred more than 5 h after sunset, the upper layers were also likely influenced by nighttime chemistry.

As in the preceding examples, the largest organic aerosol enhancement occurred at the lowest altitude, within the NBL. The vertical dependence in the ratios of sulfate and nitrate to organic in Fig. 13c are similar to the preceding examples as well, with increasing nitrate and organic, but not sulfate or ammonium, with decreasing altitude. The lack of an ammonium enhancement on this profile suggests that the observed nitrate increase is dominated by organics in this case. Figure 14 shows the comparison of the organic enhancement on this profile to the estimated contribution from primary emissions. Comparable to the previous examples, the analysis of the OA spectra suggest that approximately 50% of the low altitude enhancement in organic aerosol was due to primary emissions, and 50% to a secondary source. Primary aerosol emissions estimated from BC and CO give a range of 25–40% of the observed OA enhancement attributable to primary emissions. The influence of biomass burning aerosol on the partitioning between HOA and OOA from the AMS is not clear, although a levoglucosan related fragment ($m/z = 60$, Aiken et al., 2007) did not show large enhancements, making the influence of biomass burning on either component difficult to assess. The NBL enhancement is measured against a background in the residual layer that likely also had a nighttime, secondary component, so that the fraction attributable to primary emission may in fact be smaller than half. As noted above, the Lone Star approach was likely influenced by both the transport of an air mass north from the Houston area and the local emissions from Conroe, TX, so that vertical variation of NO$_X$, organic aerosol and primary emissions may also reflect local and distant anthropogenic sources, as well as biomass burning.

Figure 15 shows the correlation between organic mass and CO in both the residual layer and the NBL for low approaches to Lone Star and Hobby on 12 October. In
contrast to the 11 October data, organic mass in the residual layer was well correlated with the CO tracer, with $\Delta$OA/$\Delta$CO slopes of 64 and 79 µg m$^{-3}$ ppmv$^{-1}$ CO, similar to or even somewhat larger than those previously determined for photochemically produced aerosol from urban Houston (Bahreini et al., 2009). These slopes may reflect the sum of both photochemical and nighttime OA production if the latter were significant within the residual layer.

4 Average aerosol profiles

Differences in the average vertical structure of aerosol composition illustrate the differences in sources for each and provide further evidence for a nighttime source of organic and nitrate aerosol. Figure 16 shows the average, normalized mass loading of organic, sulfate, nitrate and ammonium for the ten late night profiles on 11 October and 12 October (five from each flight, two low approaches and one landing). These profiles all occurred more than five hours after sunset and spanned the two different transport regimes (north to south and the reverse) described above. Data are averaged to 50 m vertical resolution and normalized to the value above the boundary layer (400–1000 m on 11 October, 700–1000 m on 12 October). Normalized loadings illustrate the relative enhancements with decreasing height through the boundary layer structure. Panels a and c show the comparison of organic to sulfate, which are similar in scale, while panels b and d also include nitrate and ammonium. On 11 October during southward transport across the urban area within a relatively deep NBL, there was little enhancement in sulfate, derived mainly from photochemistry, within the NBL. The small sulfate enhancement below 400 m in Fig. 16a was due entirely to excess sulfate on approach to Ellington Field, immediately downwind of the Houston Ship Channel and presumably from a primary local source. Organic enhancements across all five profiles were similar in shape and magnitude, indicating an area-wide source. Relative enhancements in nitrate and ammonium are shown in Fig. 16b on a different scale. Although there was much less mass in these components, their relative enhancements were larger
because of the small mass aloft. The shape of these two components was similar, and distinct from that of sulfate, indicating that at least some fraction of the aerosol nitrate was associated with ammonium and therefore likely inorganic (see Sect. 3.2).

The boundary layer structure on 12 October exhibited a residual layer extending to approximately 700 m, and with a shallow NBL at 150–200 m (Sect. 3.3). Sulfate enhancements (Fig. 16c) were large within the residual layer, but decreased in the NBL, while organic was enhanced within the residual layer and then further enhanced within the NBL. Organic in the NBL was most likely associated with nighttime sources (primary emissions and secondary production), while that in the residual layer likely had a photochemical component and possibly also an additional nighttime component. The pattern of nitrate and ammonium in Fig. 16d is different than 11 October (panel b). Here, the ammonium enhancements follow those of sulfate, increasing in the residual layer but decreasing in the NBL, while the nitrate follows the organic, increasing successively in both layers. The association of nitrate with organic, and the lack of association with ammonium, is suggestive of solely organic nitrate aerosol (again, relative nitrate enhancements are large due to the small nitrate mass loading above 700 m). The association of these components even in the residual layer suggests that the observed nitrate may be organic, rather than inorganic, throughout the layer structure in this example. This observation implies that reactive nitrogen may have played a role in SOA formation process in all observed layers.

5 VOC oxidation rates

Table 2 summarizes the biogenic VOC and oxidant data within the NBL for the airfield approaches and landing on 11 October and 12 October, shown in Figs. 5 and 10, respectively. The table gives mixing ratios measured by both PTRMS and the canister samples (WAS). As noted above the PTRMS is an upper limit if it is subject to interference at the masses used to measure isoprene or monoterpenes, whereas the WAS is a lower limit because not all speciated monoterpenes were reported. Where data
are absent or below detection limit (30 pptv for PTRMS), there are no entries in the table. The table also gives first-order loss rate coefficients for monoterpenes (using the rate coefficient for α-pinene) and for isoprene with respect to each oxidant, in units of h\(^{-1}\). These loss rate coefficients were substantially larger for NO\(_3\) than for O\(_3\), even though the NO\(_3\) mixing ratios were in some cases quite small. The last columns of the table also give net VOC loss rates associated with both the larger PTRMS and the smaller WAS measurements. Total (NO\(_3\) + O\(_3\)) loss rate coefficients for monoterpenes range from 0.3 to > 3 h\(^{-1}\), such that net oxidation rates for these species must be balanced by nighttime emission (i.e., an approximate steady state in the VOC) for these compounds to be present 5–6 h after sunset. The derived monoterpene oxidation rates were larger on 12 October than on 11 October; this observation is consistent with the shallower boundary layer on 12 October, which would lead to larger mixing ratios for the same surface level emission rates and a more rapid apparent oxidation rate. Total loss rate coefficients for isoprene were slower, 0.05 to > 0.4 h\(^{-1}\). The oxidation of isoprene, whose emissions are temperature and light dependent, was not rapid enough to require a steady state with an emission source; the observations are consistent with either nighttime isoprene decay, or with a much weaker nighttime emission source.

Oxidation rates inferred here may be compared to biogenic VOC emission rates. For example, the daytime isoprene emission source in the Houston urban area during this study were on the order of 100 mol C km\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) (Warneke et al., 2010), which if mixed into a shallow boundary layer (100 m), would be equivalent to 4.8 ppbV isoprene h\(^{-1}\). The inferred isoprene oxidation rates of 0.01–0.04 ppbV h\(^{-1}\) from the PTR measurements were more than 2 orders of magnitude slower, such that the nighttime vegetative isoprene emission source implied by this analysis would be less than 1% of daytime, sunlight driven emissions. The same is not true for monoterpenes, which have nighttime oxidation rates of 0.1–1.2 ppbV h\(^{-1}\). Monoterpene emission rates from BEIS near Bush, Hooks and Lone Star airfields in the range 20–30 mol C km\(^{-2}\) h\(^{-1}\) (see Fig. 1) would be equivalent to 0.5–0.75 ppbV h\(^{-1}\) in a 100 m boundary layer and 0.13–0.19 ppbV h\(^{-1}\) in a 400 m boundary layer. These emission rates are approximately
comparable to the inferred oxidation rates of 1 ppbvh$^{-1}$ at Bush on 12 October (100 m NBL) and 0.2 ppbvh$^{-1}$ on 11 October (400 m NBL).

6 Box model simulations

A box model simulation that represents chemistry during transport under a fixed, shallow nocturnal boundary layer provides a comparison to the observed enhancements of VOCs, inferred oxidation rates and aerosol production within the NBL. The model assumes a uniform area emission of monoterpenes and a fixed mixing ratio of isoprene present at sunset, the start time for the model, with no nighttime isoprene emissions. For simplicity, monoterpane emissions are divided equally between $\alpha$- and $\beta$-pinene, with no emission of other monoterpenes. Reactions with NO$_3$ consume the monoterpenes and lead to either organic nitrate production or recycling of NO$_2$. For example, Hallquist et al. (1999) report 20 % and 70 % organic nitrate production from $\alpha$- and $\beta$-pinene, respectively. The partial recycling of NO$_2$ in these reactions prevents P(NO$_3$) from decreasing as rapidly as it would if the reactive nitrogen were converted exclusively to organic nitrates. The simulation includes heterogeneous hydrolysis of N$_2$O$_5$ with a first order loss rate coefficient, $k$(N$_2$O$_5$) = $2 \times 10^{-4}$ s$^{-1}$, or a lifetime of approximately 1.4 h. This rate coefficient is equivalent to an uptake coefficient of $\gamma$(N$_2$O$_5$) = $5 \times 10^{-3}$ (Brown et al., 2009b) and an aerosol surface area of 750 $\mu$m$^2$ cm$^{-3}$, the average, ambient aerosol surface area on the Hooks profile on 8 October, described in Sect. 3.1. Due to their large emission rates, monoterpane reactions dominate losses of NO$_3$ and N$_2$O$_5$ in the simulations. The model estimates the contribution of peroxy radical reactions with NO$_3$ by representing NO$_3$ oxidation as a 2-step process that first produces a peroxy radical that reacts either with NO$_3$ or with other peroxy radicals, with $k$(NO$_3$ + RO$_2$) = $2 \times 10^{-12}$ cm$^3$ molecule s$^{-1}$ (Vaughan et al., 2006), and $k$(RO$_2$ + RO$_2$) = $2 \times 10^{-12}$ (Jenkin and Boyd, 1998). Reaction of NO$_3$ with peroxy radicals recycles NO$_2$. The model calculates a range of SOA based on the total
monoterpene reacted with either NO$_3$ or O$_3$ and a range of potential yields for each reaction. The model does not include SOA from isoprene oxidation. The upper limit for the SOA yield from NO$_3$ reaction with monoterpenes is 14 %, based on the parameterization of (Griffin et al., 1999) for $\beta$-pinene at an aerosol mass of 10 µg m$^{-3}$. This treatment is similar to that used in other atmospheric models (Hoyle et al., 2007; Pye et al., 2010). The lower limit for NO$_3$ is 3 %, based on the yield for $\beta$-pinene at 5 µg m$^{-3}$ and no contribution from $\alpha$-pinene. The simulated SOA yield for O$_3$ range from 5–10 % based on a lower limit from equal contributions from $\alpha$- and $\beta$-pinene at 5 µg m$^{-3}$ and an upper limit from $\alpha$-pinene alone at 10 µg m$^{-3}$ (Griffin et al., 1999).

Figure 17 shows a simulation for the case of a large NO$_3$ production rate and a large biogenic VOC emission rate, similar to what might occur during advection of an air mass northward out of Houston. The NO$_2$ and O$_3$ mixing ratios for this simulation are similar to those in the NBL at Hooks on 8 October (Fig. 3, NO$_2$ = 18 ppbv, O$_3$ = 45 ppbv), with P(NO$_3$) in excess of 2 ppbvh$^{-1}$ initially. The monoterpene emission rate in this simulation is 1 ppbvh$^{-1}$, equivalent to 70 mol C km$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$ emitted into a 150 m deep NBL, and divided equally between $\alpha$ and $\beta$-pinene. This emission rate would be consistent with the BEIS inventory at a temperature of 30 °C. The nighttime temperature at the lowest altitude on the Hooks profiles was 23.7 °C, corresponding to a temperature correction factor of 57 % of the emission potential, or 40 mol C km$^{-2}$ h$^{-1}$. The use of the larger emission in the model thus represents warmer conditions than measured on this October flight. Initial isoprene is 0.5 ppbv to represent that remaining from the daytime emission. Figure 17c compares the simulated $\tau$(NO$_3$)$^{-1}$ and $k$(NO$_3$), as defined above, where the latter is calculated from NO$_3$ reactions with simulated isoprene, and $\alpha$- and $\beta$-pinene. As in the observations, the two quantities are similar in magnitude, with the main difference arising from the estimated contribution of peroxy radical reactions to consumption of NO$_3$. In the simulation, isoprene decays rapidly, but not as rapidly as it would if it were the only VOC reacting with NO$_3$. Monoterpenes enter a steady state with NO$_3$ at mixing ratios near 100 pptv for much of the simulation, increasing at later times as the NO$_3$ production rate declines.
Figure 17d shows the range of potential SOA from NO$_3$ and O$_3$ oxidation of the monoterpenes. There is little contribution to SOA from O$_3$ because little of the emitted monoterpenes actually react with O$_3$. In a simulation with NO$_2$ removed (not shown), the estimated SOA production from O$_3$ ranges from 1–3 µg m$^{-3}$, approximately 2–3 times smaller than that produced with the large NO$_3$ production rate included in the simulation. Reduced SOA in the O$_3$ only simulation is due to both slower oxidation rates (smaller total mass of monoterpenes reacted) and somewhat smaller OA yields from O$_3$ reactions. The simulation demonstrates that NO$_3$ reactions have the potential to produce organic aerosol mass loadings of several µg m$^{-3}$ overnight, which is consistent with the range of observed aerosol mass loadings within the NBL during vertical profiles.

Figure 18 shows a simulation with reduced biogenic VOC emission rates to represent BVOC oxidation within the urban area. Here monoterpane emissions are set to 0.2 ppbv h$^{-1}$, equivalent to 15 mol C km$^2$ h$^{-1}$ into a 150 m boundary layer (see Fig. 1). The nitrate radical production rate in this simulation is also smaller, 1 ppbv h$^{-1}$, at sunset, similar to conditions of the 10–11 October flight. No additional NO$_x$ emissions have been assumed during overnight transport over the urban area. Other simulation parameters are similar to the preceding example. This simulation produces much less SOA due to the lower emission rates of the monoterpenes. Nevertheless, the NO$_3$ loss rate coefficient to biogenic VOCs ($k$(NO$_3$)) tracks the NO$_3$ reactivity ($\tau$(NO$_3$)$^{-1}$) in time and is the largest ($\sim$ 50 %) contribution to it. Predicted levels of monoterpenes and isoprene are lower than those measured from the PTRMS within the NBL over the urban area, with a maximum mixing ratio in the simulation of 34 pptv, and an average of 16 pptv. As in the preceding model calculation, most of the produced SOA comes from NO$_3$-monoterpene reactions (no isoprene SOA in the model) rather than O$_3$ reactions. Total SOA ranges from 0.5–2 µg m$^{-3}$ in 10 h and 0.2–1 µg m$^{-3}$ in 5 h. This SOA production is somewhat smaller than would be inferred from the secondary source on profiles taken 5–6 h after dark on 10 October. The smaller values suggest the potential for slightly larger monoterpane emissions over the urban area, or that some of the observed SOA formed upwind of the urban area in more biogenic rich regions. The comparison of
the two simulations gives an estimate of the range of modeled nighttime biogenic SOA under different assumptions.

7 Conclusions

Nighttime vertical profiles from the NOAA P-3 aircraft from low approaches to airfields in the Houston, Texas region have provided a unique look at the structure and chemical composition of the NBL and residual layer in this polluted urban area. The observations show that (1) nitrate radical production rates were consistently large at low altitude, within the NBL; (2) BVOCs, including monoterpenes and isoprene, were also concentrated within the NBL, with rapid nighttime oxidation rates due to reaction with NO₃; and (3) organic aerosol was enhanced within the NBL at levels in excess of those attributable to primary emissions. The combination of these observations implies a secondary organic aerosol source from reaction of NO₃ with BVOCs in the range 0.05–1 µg m⁻³ h⁻¹. A large organic aerosol source is consistent with regional and global models of NO₃-monoterpene oxidation and provides a mechanism by which anthropogenic NOₓ emissions increase the efficiency of organic aerosol production from biogenic emissions. The specific observations and conclusions outlined here will require further comparison to regional models that include NBL schemes and nighttime BVOC oxidation.
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Table 1. Nighttime vertical profiles during TexAQS 2006.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flight</th>
<th>Airfield</th>
<th>Hours after Sunset</th>
<th>Minimum Altitude (m)</th>
<th>Wind Direction</th>
<th>NBL Depth (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>29 Sep</td>
<td>Hooks</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hobby</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>ESE</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bush</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ellington</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ESE</td>
<td>310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Oct</td>
<td>Victoria</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>ESE</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hooks</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>SSE</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hobby</td>
<td>2.78</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ellington</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–11 Oct</td>
<td>Bush</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hobby</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ellington</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>WNW</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11–12 Oct</td>
<td>Ellington</td>
<td>1.48</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hooks</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hobby</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sugarland</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lone Star</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bush</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ellington</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Biogenic VOC, NO\(_3\) and O\(_3\) mixing ratios, first-order VOC loss rate coefficients with respect to both NO\(_3\) and O\(_3\) (\(k_1(\text{NO}_3), k_1(\text{O}_3)\)), and total VOC loss rates within the nocturnal boundary layer for six airfield approaches on 11 October and 12 October. The VOC mixing ratios are shown for both PTR and WAS samples, where WAS monoterpenes refers to \(\alpha\)-pinene alone. Oxidation rates are calculated for the mixing ratios determined for each instrument (\(R\_\text{PTR}, R\_\text{WAS}\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Oct Date</th>
<th>Airfield</th>
<th>NO(_3) ppt</th>
<th>O(_3) ppb</th>
<th>PTR ppt</th>
<th>WAS ppt</th>
<th>(k'(\text{NO}_3)) h(^{-1})</th>
<th>(k'(\text{O}_3)) h(^{-1})</th>
<th>(R_\text{PTR}) ppb h(^{-1})</th>
<th>(R_\text{WAS}) ppb h(^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Bush</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hobby</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ellington</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Lone Star</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bush</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>46.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ellington</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Bush</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hobby</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ellington</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>262</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Lone Star</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>358</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bush</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ellington</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* ND = No Data.
Fig. 1. Map of the Houston, TX area showing the location of airfields, major roadways (white lines) and monoterpene emission potential (blue-red color scale, legend below) from the BEIS3 inventory. The line with circles is a twelve-hour forward trajectory from Bush airfield on the north side of Houston beginning at sunset on 12 October (see text).
Fig. 2. (A) Selected portions of the P-3 flight track from 8 October 2006 overlaid on a map of the Houston area and color coded by altitude. Black arrows indicate wind direction and relative speed. The selected flight track includes low approaches to Hooks and Hobby, followed by landing at Ellington after an extended level leg (level portion not shown). (B) Vertical profiles of potential temperature (top axis) and P(NO$_3$) (bottom axis, see text) for all five vertical profiles (four from the low approaches, one from landing). (C) Inverse steady state NO$_3$ lifetime, $\tau$(NO$_3$)$^{-1}$ (see text).
Fig. 3. Vertical profiles of: (A) mixing ratios of NO$_3$ (× 10 to make it visible) and N$_2$O$_5$ (bottom axis) and potential temperature (top axis); (B) mixing ratios of NO and NO$_2$ (bottom axis) and O$_3$ (top axis); (C) NO$_3$ reactivity, measured as \( \tau(\text{NO}_3)^{-1} \) (bottom axis), and production rate, \( P(\text{NO}_3) \) (top axis). Data are for descent to Hooks on 8 October, 9:33 p.m. local time. Grey dashed lines and labels indicate altitudes of layers in the potential temperature profile and in the observed mixing of pollutants (see text).
Fig. 4. Vertical profile of (A) aerosol ammonium, sulfate, nitrate and organic, stacked together as a sum (bottom axis) and aerosol volume (top axis); (B) ratio of aerosol nitrate to organic (bottom axis) and sulfate to organic (top axis); and (C) organic aerosol mass compared to AMS estimates for OOA (secondary aerosol) and HOA (primary aerosol), and primary aerosol emissions estimated from carbon monoxide (CO) and black carbon (BC) (see text for description). Data are for descent to Hooks on 8 October, 9:33 p.m. local time. Grey dashed lines indicate the same layer structure shown in Fig. 3.
Fig. 5. (A) Same as Fig. 2, except for the latter portion of the P-3 flight track on 10–11 October.
Fig. 6. Time series (plotted as hours since sunset) from five vertical profiles between midnight and 1 a.m. local time on 11 October showing (A). Nitrate radical production rate, $P(\text{NO}_3)$ (left axis), monoterpene mixing ratio (far left axis) and aircraft altitude (right axis); (B) inverse $\text{NO}_3$ lifetime, $\tau(\text{NO}_3)^{-1}$, and first-order $\text{NO}_3$ loss rate coefficient, $k(\text{NO}_3)$ from PTRMS measurements of biogenic VOCs (see text), plotted together against the left axis; (C) mass loading of aerosol organic, nitrate, sulfate and ammonium from the AMS. Aircraft altitude is plotted against the right axis for reference in (B) and (C).
Fig. 7. Vertical profile from the descent to Hobby airfield on 11 October, just after midnight. (A) Mixing ratio of isoprene and monoterpenes (bottom axis) and potential temperature profile (top axis). (B) Mass loading of ammonium, sulfate, nitrate and organic from the AMS (bottom axis), and total aerosol volume (top axis). (C) Ratio of nitrate and sulfate to organic mass (bottom and top axes, respectively).
**Fig. 8.** Vertical profile from descent to Hobby airfield on 11 October showing (A) organic mass loading in comparison to OOA and HOA derived from the AMS; (B) organic mass loading compared to HOA derived from a parameterization against CO and black carbon (BC) (see text).
Fig. 9. Plot of organic aerosol mass ($\mu g \cdot m^{-3}$) from the AMS against carbon monoxide (CO) in ppbv for the profile at Hobby airfield on 11 October shown in Fig. 7. Red and blue data points are for the residual and nocturnal boundary layers, respectively. Slopes of linear fits to each data set are shown in the legend.
Fig. 10. Same as Fig. 2 except for the latter portion of the P-3 flight track on 11–12 October. Note that $\tau(\text{NO}_3)^{-1}$ in panel (C) is on a logarithmic scale to show the contrast between its large increase in the lowest layer and its small values at high altitude.
Fig. 11. Time series (plotted as hours since sunset) from five vertical profiles (airfields labeled at top of plot) between midnight and 1 a.m. local time on 12 October showing (A). Nitrate radical production rate, \( P(\text{NO}_3) \) (left axis), monoterpenes and isoprene mixing ratio (far left axis) and aircraft altitude (right axis); (B) inverse \( \text{NO}_3 \) lifetime, \( \tau(\text{NO}_3)^{-1} \), and first-order \( \text{NO}_3 \) loss rate coefficient, \( k(\text{NO}_3) \) from PTRMS measurements of biogenic VOCs (see text), plotted together against the left axis; (C) mass loading of aerosol organic, nitrate, sulfate and ammonium from the AMS. Aircraft altitude is plotted against the right axis for reference in (B) and (C).
Fig. 12. Vertical profile of (A) mixing ratios of NO$_3$ (× 5 to make it visible) and N$_2$O$_5$ (bottom axis) and potential temperature (top axis); (B) mixing ratios of NO and NO$_2$ (bottom axis) and O$_3$ (top axis); (C) NO$_3$ reactivity, measured as $\tau$(NO$_3$)$^{-1}$ (bottom axis), and production rate, P(NO$_3$) (top axis). Data are for descent to Lone Star on 12 October, 00:37 LT.
Fig. 13. Vertical profile from the descent to Lone Star airfield on 12 October. (A) Mixing ratio of isoprene, monoterpenes and acetonitrile (bottom axis) and potential temperature profile (top axis). (B) Mass loading of ammonium, sulfate, nitrate and organic from the AMS (bottom axis), and total aerosol volume (top axis). (C) Ratio of nitrate and sulfate to organic mass (bottom and top axes, respectively).
Fig. 14. Vertical profile from descent to Lone Star airfield on 12 October showing: (A) organic mass loading in comparison to OOA and HOA derived from the AMS; (B) organic mass loading compared to HOA derived from a parameterization against CO and black carbon (BC) (see text).
Fig. 15. Plot of organic aerosol mass (µg m\(^{-3}\)) from the AMS against carbon monoxide (CO) in ppbv for the profiles at (A) Lone Star and (B) Bush airfields on 12 October. Red and blue data points are for the residual and nocturnal boundary layers, respectively. Slopes of linear fits to each data set are shown in the caption.
Fig. 16. Normalized mass loading of aerosol organic, sulfate, nitrate and ammonium from the average of 5 vertical profiles between midnight and 1 a.m. on 11 October (A, B) and 12 October (C, D). Mass loadings are averaged into 50 m bins and normalized to the value above the boundary layer (400–1000 m on 11 October, 700–1000 m on 12 October) to show relative enhancements as a function of decreasing height for each flight.
Fig. 17. Model simulation of a large NO$_3$ source and a large biogenic VOC emission source, intended to represent an urban air mass transported to a forested region. (A) NO$_2$, O$_3$; (B) NO$_3$, N$_2$O$_5$; (C) nitrate radical production rate, P(NO$_3$) (left axis), and inverse steady-state lifetime, $\tau$(NO$_3$)$^{-1}$, and first-order loss rate coefficient to biogenic VOCs, $k$(NO$_3$), plotted together on the right axis. (D) Summed monoterpenes ($\alpha$- and $\beta$-pinene) and isoprene (left axis), and SOA mass from NO$_3$ and O$_3$ oxidation of these VOCs (right axis). Note that SOA from O$_3$ oxidation is small and barely visible on the scale of SOA from NO$_3$ oxidation in this simulation.
Fig. 18. Same as Fig. 17, except for smaller nitrate radical and BVOC emission rates intended to represent biogenic VOC oxidation over the urban area (see text).