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Abstract

We present the results of total column measurements of CO, C$_2$H$_6$ and fine mode aerosol optical depth (AOD) during the “Quantifying the impact of BOReal forest fires on Tropospheric oxidants over the Atlantic using Aircraft and Satellites” (BORTAS-B) campaign over Eastern Canada. Ground-based observations, using Fourier transform spectrometers (FTSs) and sun photometers, were carried out in July and August 2011. These measurements were taken in Halifax, Nova Scotia, which is an ideal location to monitor the outflow of boreal fires from North America, and also in Toronto, Ontario. Measurements of fine mode AOD enhancements were highly correlated with enhancements in coincident trace gas (CO and C$_2$H$_6$) observations between 19 and 21 July 2011, which is typical for a smoke plume event. In this paper, we focus on the identification of the origin and the transport of this smoke plume. We use back-trajectories calculated by the Canadian Meteorological Centre as well as FLEXPART forward-trajectories to demonstrate that the enhanced CO, C$_2$H$_6$ and fine mode AOD seen near Halifax and Toronto originated from forest fires in Northwestern Ontario that occurred between 17 and 19 July 2011. In addition, total column measurements of CO from the satellite-borne Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) have been used to trace the smoke plume and to confirm the origin of the CO enhancement. Furthermore, the emission ratio (ER$_{C_2H_6/CO}$) and the emission factor (EF$_{C_2H_6}$) of C$_2$H$_6$ (with respect to the CO emission) were estimated from these ground-based observations. These C$_2$H$_6$ emission results from boreal fires in Northwestern Ontario agree well with C$_2$H$_6$ emission measurements from other boreal regions, and are relatively high compared to fires from other geographical regions. The ground-based CO and C$_2$H$_6$ observations were compared with outputs from the 3-D global chemical transport model GEOS-Chem, using the Fire Locating And Monitoring of Burning Emissions (FLAMBE) inventory. Agreement within the stated measurement uncertainty was found for the magnitude of the enhancement of the total columns of CO (∼3%) and C$_2$H$_6$.
(
~ 8\%) between the measured and modelled results. However, there is a small shift in
time (of approximately 6 h) of arrival of the plume over Halifax between the results.

1 Introduction

Large amounts of trace gases as well as aerosols are released from biomass burning.
These emissions affect air quality and tropospheric and stratospheric chemistry, which
has an important impact on the radiative transfer in the atmosphere (Crutzen and And-
dreae, 1990). The emissions from biomass burning can be transported thousands of
miles downwind, thus, boreal fires in North America can affect the air quality in Europe
(Derwent et al., 2004). It has been found that the North American emissions, natural,
as well as anthropogenic (Li et al., 2002) have a significant impact on the air quality in
Europe. While the impact of anthropogenic emissions have been studied previously (Li
et al., 2002; Stohl and Trickl, 1999), the impact from North American boreal fires is not
as well-known.

There are several species of interest, which are present in boreal fire plumes and
are indicators of smoke plumes (Tereszchuk et al., 2011), however, we will focus in
our study on carbon monoxide (CO) and ethane (C$_2$H$_6$) as well as fine mode aerosols.
All of these species have a relatively long lifetime in the troposphere and can, there-
fore, be detected up to several thousand kilometres away from the fire source. CO and
C$_2$H$_6$ generally have a lifetime between 1 and 3 months, which is primarily limited by
oxidation by the hydroxyl radical (OH) (Volz et al., 1981; Rudolph and Ehhalt, 1981).
Fine mode (sub-micron) aerosols have a shorter lifetime that is dependent upon their
size, latitudinal location, and altitude in the atmosphere. In the troposphere, fine mode
aerosols of a medium size (approximately 0.15 µm) are removed from the troposphere
by wet deposition and thus their lifetime is limited by precipitation. The average lifetime
is approximately 3–5 days at mid-latitudes (Edwards et al., 2006). Smaller aerosols,
with sizes of the order of 0.01 µm, coagulate first to larger particles and are then re-
moved by wet deposition. The different trace gases, as well as aerosols, are emitted
simultaneously during a biomass burning event, therefore, the enhancements in the total columns of the emitted gases will correlate quite well until the various lifetimes of the gases or aerosols cause the correlation to break down (Paton-Walsh et al., 2008). Therefore, trace gases (CO and C₂H₆) and the fine mode aerosol optical depth (AOD) should be highly correlated within fire plumes that are less than 3–5 days old (where this is limited by the lifetime of the aerosols).

A number of previous studies have focused on the emission factors (EFs) and emission ratios (ERs) of several trace gases during biomass burning events. Emission ratios are used in model simulations and have a significant influence on the output of atmospheric models. The work of Andreae and Merlet (2001) identifies emission factors and ratios for almost 100 species for different geographical regions, which are commonly used for model simulations. Akagi et al. (2011) published an update to this paper, which has a finer categorization of fire regions and combines laboratory experiments with field measurements from aircraft, and ground-based instruments. Significant differences in the emission ratio and therefore in the emission factor of C₂H₆ have been found for biomass burning for different geographical regions. As an example, the emissions of C₂H₆ from Australian and African bush-fires are comparatively low (Paton-Walsh et al., 2005; Sinha et al., 2003), whereas C₂H₆ emissions from boreal and temperate fires seem to be comparatively high (Akagi et al., 2011). The variability and the uncertainty of these emission ratios is quite high, even within a precisely defined area. Differences can occur for the same fire events, which are likely due to different emissions into different altitudes; as such, aircraft and satellite measurements can differ from ground-based in situ observations (Akagi et al., 2011).

In this work, we use ground-based Fourier Transform Spectrometer (FTS) and sun photometer measurements to investigate in a case study of a boreal fire plume in July 2011. These measurements have been taken as part of the “Quantifying the impact of BOREal forest fires on Tropospheric oxidants over the Atlantic using Aircraft and Satellites” (BORTAS) project. BORTAS was a four year project, including a two year measurement phase, which was carried out during July and August 2010 and 2011.
It is currently the most recent campaign that focussed on the impact of North American boreal fires on tropospheric composition and their influence on European air quality. Further details on the campaign can be found in Palmer et al. (2013). Ground-based measurements during this campaign were primarily taken at the Dalhousie Ground Station (DGS). This facility was located on the roof of the Sir James Dunn building at Dalhousie University (44.64° N, 63.59° W, 65 m a.s.l.) in Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada. As part of the DGS: two FTSs and a CIMEL sun photometer were operated simultaneously. Having these co-located instruments facilitates the comparison and evaluation of the correlation between CO, C2H6 and AOD. During the BORTAS-B campaign in 2011, enhancements of CO, C2H6 and the fine mode AOD could be detected on three days: 19, 20 and 21 July 2011. These enhancements were due to smoke plumes from boreal fires in Northwestern Ontario, which passed over Halifax. On the same days enhancements in C2H6 were detected over Toronto by the FTS located at the University of Toronto Atmospheric Observatory (TAO; 43.66° N, 79.40° W, 174 m a.s.l.) in Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

This paper investigates the boreal fire plume, which passed over Halifax and Toronto between 19 and 21 July 2011. We use back-trajectories calculated by the Canadian Meteorological Centre (CMC), and FLEXPART forward-trajectories, as well as CO total column measurements from the satellite-borne Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) to show that these enhancements originated from boreal fires in the Northwestern Ontario between 17 and 19 July 2011. In this study, the emission ratio and emission factor of C2H6 are calculated from ground-based FTS measurements and compared to two recent studies (within the BORTAS project) of the emission ratio and emission factor from airborne and satellite observations (Lewis et al., 2013; Tereszchuk et al., 2012). Furthermore, this paper compares results from the chemical transport model GEOS-Chem to the ground-based FTS observations.

This paper is organized as follows. Subsequent to this introduction, the second section introduces the different instruments and measurement sites used in the campaign, data analysis and the retrieval methods. The measurement time series are presented...
and the retrievals are compared with the other instruments in the third part. The fourth section discusses the results of this study. Here, the transport and origin of the smoke plume are identified using model simulations (CMC, FLEXPART). Furthermore, the correlation between the trace gases and the fine mode AOD, and the emission factors from our ground-based observations, and the comparison between these observations and GEOS-Chem simulations are discussed. This is followed by a summary of our results in the last section.

2 Methodology and datasets

For the analysis of the smoke plume observed during the BORTAS-B campaign in July 2011, we use measurements taken in Halifax and Toronto. This section focuses on the instruments, retrieval processes, and the methods used for the instrument comparisons, and the chemical transport model GEOS-Chem, to which the observations are compared.

The observations were taken at DGS using two FTSs (the Portable Atmospheric Research Interferometric Spectrometer for the Infrared (PARIS-IR) and the Dalhousie Atmospheric Observatory DA8; herein the DAO-DA8) and a CIMEL sun photometer. Additionally, observations from a second DA8 (TAO-DA8) and a second CIMEL sun photometer, were used, both of which are located in Toronto. However, these two instruments are not co-located. The TAO-DA8 is operated at the University of Toronto, while the sun photometer is located at Environment Canada (43.97° N, 79.47° W, 300 m a.s.l.). These ground-based FTSs are dedicated to infrared solar absorption measurements from direct sunlight. For these observations, a solar beam is directed into each instrument using a suntracking mirror system located on the roof of the building. Details of each of the instruments and the data analysis technique are given below.
2.1 The Portable Atmospheric Research Interferometric Spectrometer for the Infrared (PARIS-IR)

PARIS-IR is a ground-based FTS, which is based on the design of the Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment Fourier Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS) (Fu et al., 2007). It measures atmospheric solar absorption spectra between 750 and 4400 cm\(^{-1}\) and operates at a maximum spectral resolution of 0.02 cm\(^{-1}\) (at a maximum optical path difference (MOPD) of ±25 cm). Interferograms are recorded using liquid nitrogen cooled mercury cadmium telluride (HgCdTe) and indium antimonide (InSb) detectors configured in a sandwich arrangement. The beam splitter is made from zinc selenide (ZnSe). No filters are used, which means that the entire spectral range (750–4400 cm\(^{-1}\)) is measured simultaneously for each observation. No apodization is applied to the spectra. Each measurement, which is comprised of 20 co-added spectra, is taken approximately every 7 min (Sung et al., 2007). Therefore, CO is measured every 7 min throughout the campaign, when there are favourable weather conditions. Due to the high water vapour absorption over Halifax and the spectral resolution of 0.02 cm\(^{-1}\), it is not possible to retrieve \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\) total columns reliably from the PARIS-IR spectra taken at DGS.

2.2 ABB Bomem DA8 FTSs

The DAO-DA8 FTS at DGS is a vertically aligned Michelson Interferometer (Franklin et al., 2013). It is equipped with InSb and HgCdTe detectors and a potassium bromide (KBr) beam splitter to provide spectral coverage between 750 and 4500 cm\(^{-1}\). Its maximum spectral resolution is 0.004 cm\(^{-1}\) (at a MOPD of 250 cm). Six narrow-band filters (typically 700–1000 cm\(^{-1}\) in width) are used to improve the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the spectra. Depending on the filter used, typically four to six spectra are co-added. Measurements using one filter are taken over approximately 6 min. Due to the different narrow band filters, CO (filter 4: 2000–2700 cm\(^{-1}\)) and \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\) (filter 3: 2400–3100 cm\(^{-1}\)) are measured approximately every hour, depending on the weather conditions.
A second, very similar DA8 is operated at the University of Toronto (TAO-DA8) (Wiacek et al., 2007). For this instrument, measurements using one filter require approximately 20 min. Therefore, CO (filter 4) and C$_2$H$_6$ (filter 3) are typically measured every 2–3 h, as weather conditions allow.

2.3 Data analysis for the FTS spectra

For these three FTSs, a similar retrieval technique has been applied to estimate the total column amounts from the recorded solar absorption spectra. This retrieval method is based on an optimal estimation method (OEM) (Rodgers, 1976, 2000), where a calculated spectrum is fitted to the observed one by adjusting the target trace gas profile. Multiple microwindows, typically each with a width between 0.3 cm$^{-1}$ and 1.0 cm$^{-1}$, are employed simultaneously in the retrieval process. This method has been applied to the observed spectra from the three FTSs described above using the SFIT2 v3.94c retrieval package (Pougatchev et al., 1995, 1996) and the HITRAN 2008 spectroscopic database (Rothman et al., 2009). Total column amounts were calculated from the retrieved volume mixing ratio (VMR) profiles by integrating the atmospheric density and the trace gas VMR throughout the altitude range (from the ground to 100 km). Due to the lower resolution of PARIS-IR compared to the DA8 FTSs, two different altitude grids have been used for the retrieval. The retrievals performed with spectra from PARIS-IR use a 29-layer grid and those for the DA8s use a 48-layer grid. It has been shown that this prevents non-physical oscillations in the retrieved profile for the lower resolution FTS, but only results in a very small difference in the total columns (between 0.1–0.6 % depending on the retrieved gas) (Wunch et al., 2007). Daily pressure and temperature profiles, specific to each measurement site, are taken from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) re-analyses available through the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center automailer (http://hyperion.gsfc.nasa.gov/Data_services/automailer/index.html) and then are linearly interpolated on the desired altitude grid. The a priori profiles of trace gases, which are used for all retrievals, are the mean of a 40 yr run (1980–2020) of the Whole...
Atmosphere Chemistry Climate Model (WACCM; Eyring et al., 2007) for Halifax and Toronto (J. Hannigan, NCAR, personal communication, 2012), v6 and v5, respectively. The SNR, for the determination of the measurement covariance matrix, is instrument specific and values are selected for each gas by applying a trade-off curve method described by Batchelor et al. (2009).

The microwindows used and interfering trace gases taken into account for the retrieval are listed in Table 1. These are consistent for all of the FTS retrievals and are based on the Network for Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change (NDACC) standard microwindows. Furthermore, Table 1 lists the estimated uncertainties as well as the Degrees Of Freedom of Signal (DOFS) of each retrieval. The DOFS are defined as the trace of the averaging kernel matrix. The uncertainties are derived using a method similar to that described by Batchelor et al. (2009) and Sung et al. (2007). The smoothing error, the measurement error and the uncertainties of the line width and line intensity parameters of the retrieved trace gas from HITRAN 2008 (Rothman et al., 2009) are included in this calculation. Furthermore, the error caused by the uncertainty of the interfering trace gases and that caused by the retrieval parameters, specifically the error due to the temperature uncertainty (2 K), and the uncertainty of the solar zenith angle SZA (0.125°), are considered. The total uncertainty has been estimated by adding all errors in quadrature.

2.4 IASI

Launched in October 2006, the IASI instrument onboard the polar-orbiting MetOp-A satellite is a nadir-viewing high-resolution FTS (Clerbaux et al., 2009). It records infrared emission spectra between 645 and 2760 cm\(^{-1}\) with an apodized spectral resolution of 0.5 cm\(^{-1}\). IASI provides global coverage twice daily.

The IASI CO vertical profiles and total columns are retrieved with FORLI-CO, which has been developed at the Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB). Similar to the retrievals for the ground-based FTSs, FORLI-CO uses an OEM based on Rodgers (2000). The spectral range between 2143 and 2181.25 cm\(^{-1}\) is used for the CO retrieval and it is
performed on a 19-layer altitude grid (Hurtmans et al., 2012). The CO profiles provide between 1.5 and 2 independent pieces of information (DOFS), for mid-latitudes, for which the uncertainty of the CO retrieval varies between 3 % and 9 % (George et al., 2009; Turquety et al., 2009). The IASI CO output has previously been used to detect the CO emission caused by fires (e.g. Krol et al., 2012).

For this study, total column CO from IASI has been used. For the comparisons shown here, all satellite measurements within a longitudinal and latitudinal area of ±0.5° (approximately ±55 km) around the ground-based measurement site have been used.

2.5 Inter-comparison between FTSs

The effect of the different resolutions of the FTSs is accounted for in this study. Generally, for lower resolution spectrometers, the retrievals have lower vertical resolution (fewer DOFS), which means the profile typically corresponds to a total column. The total column averaging kernels of typical PARIS-IR, DAO-DA8 and IASI CO retrievals are shown in Fig. 1. PARIS-IR is sensitive to CO in the lowest layers of the atmosphere between approximately 0 and 10 km, whereas the DAO-DA8 is sensitive between approximately 0 km and 15 km. The averaging kernels demonstrate that the ground-based instruments have the highest sensitivity to CO in the layers close to the surface, where IASI has the highest sensitivity towards CO between approximately 5 km and 15 km.

The effect of the different averaging kernels on the total columns can be accounted for, using a similar method to Rodgers and Connor (2003). The profile, \( x_h \), retrieved by the spectrometer, having higher vertical sensitivity (DAO-DA8 and IASI), is linearly interpolated onto the PARIS-IR retrieval grid and smoothed with its averaging kernel, \( A \), and a priori profile, \( x_a \), using

\[
x_{\text{smooth}} = x_a + A \cdot (x_h - x_a).
\]

The total column for these smoothed profiles has been calculated, from the smoothed VMR profile \( x_{\text{smooth}} \) and the atmospheric density, using the same method as for the unsmoothed profiles (see Sect. 2.3).
2.6 AEROCAN/AERONET

The ground-based AOD measurements were obtained by AEROCAN, a Canadian sub-network of the AErosol RObotic NETwork (AERONET) (Holben et al., 1998). Data across the network are acquired by a CIMEL Electronique 318A sun photometer. Direct sunlight measurements are taken across eight narrow spectral bands in the ultraviolet, visible and near infrared spectral region. The typical time interval between measurements is 3 min for AEROCAN measurements, under favourable weather conditions.

The fine (sub-micron) and coarse (super-micron) mode AOD are obtained from these measurements using a spectral deconvolution algorithm developed by O’Neill et al. (2003), which is applied to a five wavelength subset of the CIMEL bands (380, 440, 500, 675, 870 nm). The enhancement of fine mode AOD is a signature indicator of smoke events, which makes it possible to study the enhancement of aerosols associated with the boreal fire plumes, while excluding AOD enhancements due to super-micron aerosols and clouds (identified by an enhancement of coarse mode AOD). The errors of the fine mode AOD were computed using the error model defined in O’Neill et al. (2003) and are based on an average pan-spectral AOD error of 0.01. The estimated total errors for the fine mode AOD are typically between 15% and 30%. Level 1.0 (non cloud-screened) data was employed for this analysis (data which was corrected for post-season re-calibration). While the issue of cloud screening is less problematic for fine-mode data, use of these retrievals avoids the accidental “cloud screening” of highly variable smoke AODs that can be induced by the standard, temporally-based, AERONET cloud screening algorithm.

2.7 GEOS-Chem global 3-D chemistry transport model

GEOS-Chem is a global 3-D chemical transport model that utilizes assimilated meteorological fields from the NASA Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) (Bey et al., 2001). As was used by Parrington et al. (2012), we use v8-02-04 of GEOS-Chem, which has a horizontal resolution of 2° in latitude × 2.5° in longitude, 48 vertical levels...
and provides hourly output. The GEOS-5 meteorological fields employed here have a horizontal resolution of 0.5° in latitude × 0.67° in longitude, 72 vertical levels (from the surface up to 0.01 hPa), and a temporal resolution of 6 h (3 h for surface variables). The biomass burning emissions are taken from the Fire Locating And Monitoring of Burning Emissions (FLAMBE) inventory (Reid et al., 2009). The carbon emissions from FLAMBE, have been aggregated to a 1° × 1° horizontal grid globally, which are then regridded to the resolution of GEOS-Chem. For the model simulation, individual aerosol and trace gases from biomass burning emissions are scaled with the emission factors from Andreae and Merlet (2001).

In this study, we examine the model results in three nearby grid boxes. This is done because Halifax is located in the northwest corner of a GEOS-Chem grid box. Therefore, the results of the grid box including Halifax and the ones to the north and the west are presented below.

3 FTS measurements of CO and C$_2$H$_6$ during July 2011

Figure 2a shows the Halifax time series (for July 2011) of the total column CO observed by PARIS-IR, DAO-DA8, and IASI, and the total column C$_2$H$_6$ from DAO-DA8. The estimated total uncertainties are also shown, as black bars (as listed in Table 1 and in Sect. 2.4 for the ground-based FTSs and IASI, respectively). The measurements from the ground and the satellite show a consistent enhancement of CO and C$_2$H$_6$ over Halifax on 19, 20 and 21 July 2011 (shown in Fig. 2b). The columns of CO and C$_2$H$_6$, seen on 20 and 21 July, are significantly enhanced compared to the background values of approximately $1.8 \times 10^{18}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ and $1.1 \times 10^{16}$ molec cm$^{-2}$, respectively. These background columns are based on average values for July 2011 over Halifax from the ground-based FTSs. The majority of the ground-based observations from the two FTSs agree well within the estimated uncertainties. For coincident measurements ($\Delta t \leq 5$ min) during July 2011, the mean relative difference between DAO-DA8 and PARIS-IR total column CO measurements is $-4.9 \pm 0.7\%$. This
difference was calculated as \(\frac{[\text{PARIS} - \text{DAO-DA8}]}{0.5 \cdot (\text{PARIS} + \text{DAO-DA8})}\) using around 150 pairs of measurements. The mean relative difference between PARIS-IR and IASI total column CO is 7.5 ± 1.6% for July 2011. This was calculated as \(\frac{[\text{PARIS} - \text{IASI}]}{0.5 \cdot (\text{PARIS} + \text{IASI})}\) using about 40 pairs of coincident measurements (\(\Delta t \leq 15\) min). During the period of the enhanced CO columns, between 19 and 23 July, the mean difference between PARIS-IR and DAO-DA8 is −9.9 ± 0.7% (using around 25 pairs). For PARIS-IR and IASI measurements taken over the same time period, the mean relative difference in total column CO is 19.2 ± 1.6% (calculated as described above), using seven pairs of measurements of coincident measurements (\(\Delta t \leq 15\) min). The uncertainty given is the standard error for each calculation.

A more adequate comparison has been made by “smoothing” the retrieved profiles to account for the different sensitivities of the spectrometers, as described in Sect. 2.5. The CO total columns from DAO-DA8 and IASI, which have been smoothed with PARIS-IR’s averaging kernel and a priori profile, and from PARIS-IR are shown in Fig. 2c. This shows an improved agreement between these two ground-based FTSs, and IASI compared to the unsmoothed columns, shown in Fig. 2b. The mean relative difference in the total column CO between DAO-DA8 and PARIS-IR (as calculated above) reduces to −3.5 ± 0.7% for July and to −4.0 ± 1.2% during the enhancement period (mean ± standard error), respectively, after the smoothing procedure, using the same pairs of measurements as above. The differences between the total columns measured by two ground-based FTSs are very small and within the combined estimated uncertainties after the smoothing procedure (see Table 1). The mean relative difference between the total column CO results from PARIS-IR and IASI (calculated as above for 19–23 July) decreases to 6.6 ± 1.8% for July and 11.7 ± 5.1% during the enhanced period (mean ± standard error) after the smoothing procedure, using the same pairs of measurements as above. After taking the different sensitivities of the instruments into account using the averaging kernels, the difference between the total column CO of PARIS-IR and IASI is smaller and within the estimated uncertainty of IASI (3–9%, see Sect. 2.4). Kerzenmacher et al. (2012) found slightly smaller mean
differences (typically between ±2%) between ground-based FTS measurements and IASI for three different mid-latitude NDACC FTS sites. The relatively large mean difference and the high standard deviation (±13%) for the comparison between PARIS-IR and IASI is possibly due to the small number of pairs, which have been used for this calculation; Kerzenmacher et al. (2012) used over 1000 pairs of total and partial columns from each measurement site to compare with IASI.

The time series of total column CO and C₂H₆ from the TAO-DA8 and IASI total column CO at Toronto are shown in Fig. 3a. Observations made over Toronto show enhancements of CO on 19 and 20 July, and enhancements of C₂H₆ on 21 and 22 July 2011 (shown in Fig. 3b). These are the same days when the columns observed in Halifax were enhanced. On 21 July, the total column C₂H₆ is almost twice as much as the typical background amount in Toronto (~1.5 × 10¹⁶ moleccm⁻²; based on July 2011 average). The CO total columns from the TAO-DA8 do not show a strong enhancement, which is due to the limited number of observations in this period; only three CO measurements are available between 20 and 22 July 2011. Slightly enhanced CO columns were detected by IASI near Toronto on 19 July and early in the morning on 20 July 2011. The CO enhancement on 19 and 20 July near Toronto is not as large as that detected near Halifax. Very little or no enhancement was seen on the other days in July 2011. The total column CO from IASI agrees with the ground-based observations from TAO-DA8 within the estimated uncertainties (see Table 1 and Sect. 2.4) on 20 and 21 July, but, on 19 July IASI detects enhanced CO columns, which are not picked up by the ground-based measurements. However, the lowest detected CO columns at this time are almost within the combined estimated uncertainties of the two instruments.

4 Results and discussion

Enhancements of CO and C₂H₆ as seen in the observations from the ground-based FTSs and IASI over Halifax and Toronto are usually indicators of smoke plumes. To identify where this smoke originated, satellite-based measurements of fire hotspots
during this time period, as well as trajectory calculations from CMC and FLEXPART have been used. This section also includes a regression analysis for CO and C$_2$H$_6$ with respect to the fine mode AOD, an estimate of the C$_2$H$_6$ emission ratio and factor, as well as a comparison with GEOS-Chem.

### 4.1 Origin of the enhanced trace gas columns

The FLAMBE inventory (Reid et al., 2009) was used to estimate the location and the total carbon emissions from boreal fires during the BORTAS-B campaign in 2011. This inventory provides hourly estimates of carbon and aerosol emissions, based on observations from the Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) platforms and the two Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectrometers (MODIS) on the NASA EOS Terra and Aqua satellites. Figure 4 shows the daily carbon emissions obtained from the combined GOES and MODIS data set between 7 July and 31 July 2011 from boreal fire regions (≥ 50° N). The majority of boreal fire emissions from 17 to 20 July originated from fires in Eastern Siberia and Canada, where emissions from Northwestern Ontario essentially dominate the total Canadian emissions. The carbon emission from boreal fires in Northwestern Ontario peaks on 17 July 2011 at a value of approximately 5 TgC. At the same time, carbon emissions from Eastern Siberia reach values of over 10 TgC. Assuming a wind speed of 10–15 m s$^{-1}$, the approximate time it takes for smoke plumes originating from Eastern Siberia and Northwestern Ontario to reach Halifax is on the order of one week and 1–2 days, respectively.

Figure 5 shows an ensemble of back-trajectories from Environment Canada’s CMC long range transport model (D’Amours and Pagé, 2001; D’Amours, 1998). These model outputs are provided in 6 h intervals over a 72 h period and illustrates the origin of the air parcel overpassing Halifax at 18:00 UTC on 19 to 22 July 2011, at altitudes between 1 and 10 km. Between 19 and 21 July 2011, the air parcels at altitudes between 3 and 5 km originate from the area of forest fires. According to these trajectory calculations, it took approximately 36 h ± 6 h, depending on the altitude of the air parcel, for the air parcel from the fire region in Northwestern Ontario (50°–55° N, 95°–80° W) to arrive...
over Halifax. On 22 July 2011, the CMC back-trajectories show that the tropospheric air parcels originated from an area south of the forest fires (Fig. 5d). This is consistent with our observations that no enhancement of CO or C$_2$H$_6$ was detected in Halifax in the evening of 22 July (see Fig. 2).

Further evidence of the origin of the CO and C$_2$H$_6$ enhancement between the 19 and 21 July 2011 can be seen in the FLEXPART forward-trajectories, as well as IASI CO total columns. FLEXPART is a Lagrangian Particle Dispersion Model, which was developed at the Norwegian Institute for Air Research (Stohl et al., 1998). FLEXPART was used to simulate forward-trajectories for a constant particle release starting on 17 July 2011 at 12:00 UTC in Northwestern Ontario (a continuous animation is available as supplementary material). Figure 6a and c shows the results of this simulation at 15:00 UTC on 20 July 2011 and 21 July 2011, respectively. The forward-trajectories from FLEXPART are consistent with the ground-based measurements, where small enhancements of CO and C$_2$H$_6$ occur on 19 July, followed by stronger enhancements on 20 (after 18:00 UTC) and 21 July 2011 in Halifax; and enhancements of C$_2$H$_6$ are seen in Toronto on 19 and 20 July 2011. The IASI CO total columns for the morning overpass (approximately 14:00 UTC) are shown in Fig. 6b and d for 20 July and 21 July 2011, respectively. The CO enhancements near Halifax seen from IASI show a similar pattern to the simulated trajectories from FLEXPART and support the assertion that these enhancements originated from the forest fires in Northwestern Ontario.

### 4.2 Correlation between column amounts of trace gases and fine mode AOD

As described in Sect. 1, trace gases, such as CO and C$_2$H$_6$ and the fine mode AOD, emitted from biomass burning, are highly correlated for plumes younger than the lifetime of the aerosols, so approximately 5 days. Figure 7a shows the total column CO from PARIS-IR, the total column C$_2$H$_6$ from DAO-DA8 and measurements of fine mode AOD, between 19 and 22 July 2011 at DGS. It can be seen that the fine mode AOD is enhanced during this time period, with a maximum of approximately 1 on 21 July. The typical background fine mode AOD is approximately 0.05 at the DGS (based on
July 2011 average background). Plots of the correlation between total column CO from PARIS-IR and total column C$_2$H$_6$ from DAO-DA8 with simultaneous, co-located measurements of the fine mode AOD are shown in Fig. 7b and c, respectively. For these plots, the maximum temporal difference is less than 5 min between the measurements from the FTSs and the sun photometer. The points in Fig. 7b and c are colour coded to identify each of the days between 17 July and 25 July 2011. These correlation plots show two different behaviours in the trace gas and fine mode AOD enhancements. Most of the days show a similar relationship between the trace gases and AOD. However, on 20 July, the CO and C$_2$H$_6$ columns are high but the AOD is not significantly enhanced. The reason for this is likely a precipitation event (Franklin et al., 2013), in which most aerosols were removed, while the trace gas column stayed enhanced. After excluding data from this day, the simultaneous CO columns and the fine mode AOD are highly correlated ($R^2 \approx 0.8$), with a slope of $(1.22 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{18}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ per unit increase in fine mode AOD and an intercept of $(1.76 \pm 0.01) \times 10^{18}$ molec cm$^{-2}$. More than 200 pairs, shown in Fig. 7b, were included in this calculation. Excluding the measurements from 20 July, the C$_2$H$_6$ columns measured by DAO-DA8 and simultaneous fine mode AOD measurements are correlated ($R^2 \approx 0.7$) with a slope of $(1.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{16}$ molec cm$^{-2}$ per unit increase in fine mode AOD and an intercept of $(1.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{16}$ molec cm$^{-2}$, as shown in Fig. 7c. This lower correlation constant is possibly due to the limited number of C$_2$H$_6$ measurements from DAO-DA8, which makes it more difficult to determine the correlation of C$_2$H$_6$ against the fine mode AOD. There are only 27 measurements of C$_2$H$_6$ available, which were taken between 17 and 25 July 2011.

In Toronto, only seven C$_2$H$_6$ measurements are available during this period. The enhancement seen in both the C$_2$H$_6$ total column and the fine mode AOD is not as strong as in Halifax. The slope, obtained from a correlation plot of these results, suggests a similar trend between the C$_2$H$_6$ and fine mode AOD as was seen in Halifax. However, there are not enough data available to analyse the correlation quantitatively.
4.3 Estimation of emission ratio and factor of C$_2$H$_6$

Trace gas concentrations from biomass burning plumes can vary significantly over a short period of time. However, the overall ratio between constituents within the plume is constant if no chemical reactions occur. Therefore, the concentrations of these trace gases are typically converted into relative emission ratios. These emission ratios are relative to a non-reactive co-emitted tracer, typically either CO or CO$_2$. Here, we use CO as a reference gas to estimate the emission ratio and emission factor of C$_2$H$_6$ from the boreal fire in Northwestern Ontario.

The emission ratio is defined as the excess amount of a trace gas, here C$_2$H$_6$, over its background level divided by the excess amount of CO over its background level (Andreae and Merlet, 2001). Typically, emission ratios are measured at the fire source. The emission ratio measured further away from the source is called the enhancement ratio (Hobbs et al., 2003). However, since C$_2$H$_6$ is a long-lived species, the difference between the emission ratio and the enhancement ratio is negligible for a smoke plume that is approximately 1.5 days old (as estimated in Sect. 3). The emission ratio could also be obtained from the correlation slope between CO and C$_2$H$_6$. Here we calculate the emission ratio from the excess amounts of the trace gases, since there are not enough coincident measurements of CO and C$_2$H$_6$ available to get a reliable correlation coefficient.

For this estimation, the background levels for CO and C$_2$H$_6$ are obtained from the regression plots of the trace gas columns with respect to the fine mode AOD (Fig. 7b and c), using a similar method to Paton-Walsh et al. (2005). Furthermore, we have used CO columns from PARIS-IR rather than from DAO-DA8 to obtain coincident measurements with a temporal difference less than 5 min. If this calculation was done using only results from DAO-DA8, the temporal difference between the CO and C$_2$H$_6$ measurements would need to increase to approximately 30 min. In this time, the trace gas columns can change significantly (see Fig. 2). Furthermore, we found that the CO columns from PARIS-IR and DAO-DA8 compare within the estimated uncertainties (see Sect. 3).
For the background level of the fine mode AOD, we have chosen 0.05 (see Sect. 4.2). However, this value is not very critical for the calculation as it is very low. Our estimated background levels are $1.8 \times 10^{18}$ moleccm$^{-2}$ and $1.1 \times 10^{16}$ moleccm$^{-2}$ for CO and C$_2$H$_6$, respectively. These estimates agree well with the estimates of the background level obtained by averaging the background total columns for CO and C$_2$H$_6$ in July 2011 (see Sect. 3). For each pair of coincident PARIS-IR CO and DAO-DA8 C$_2$H$_6$ columns, we say that measurements were taken inside the boreal fire plume if the CO total column exceeds $2.2 \times 10^{18}$ moleccm$^{-2}$. We divide the excess C$_2$H$_6$ amounts by the excess CO amounts to determine the emission ratio. The calculated emission ratio of C$_2$H$_6$ is $(10 \pm 6) \times 10^{-3}$, which has been calculated from four measurement pairs (which were taken on 20 and 21 July 2011). This emission ratio ER$_{C_2H_6/CO}$ can be converted into an emission factor EF$_{C_2H_6}$, using:

$$EF_{C_2H_6} = ER_{C_2H_6/CO} \cdot (MW_{C_2H_6}/MW_{CO}) \cdot EF_{CO},$$

where MW is the molecular weight of each species. The CO emission factor (in units of g CO per kg charcoal made) EF$_{CO}$ is taken to be $122 \pm 45$gkg$^{-1}$ for boreal forest fires (Akagi et al., 2011). Hence, the emission factor EF$_{C_2H_6}$ is determined to be $1.35 \pm 0.51$gkg$^{-1}$. This value compares reasonably well (within the combined estimated uncertainties) to the result reported by Akagi et al. (2011) for boreal fires ($EF_{C_2H_6} = 1.8 \pm 1.2$gkg$^{-1}$). This emission factor, estimated by Akagi et al. (2011) has been derived from a combination of ground-based in situ ($EF_{C_2H_6} = 3.0 \pm 2.3$gkg$^{-1}$) and airborne ($EF_{C_2H_6} = 0.6 \pm 0.3$gkg$^{-1}$) measurements.

Emission ratios have been derived from airborne measurements (Lewis et al., 2013), as well as from satellite-based observations (Tereszchuk et al., 2012) for the same fire plume from Northwestern Ontario during BORTAS-B. Their results for the emission ratio of C$_2$H$_6$ with respect to CO (ER$_{C_2H_6/CO} = (5.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$ (Lewis et al., 2013) and ER$_{C_2H_6/CO} = (6.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$ (Tereszchuk et al., 2012)) agree within the uncertainties with our estimates from ground-based FTS measurements, and are consistent with the
emissions of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ from airborne measurements of boreal fire plumes (Akagi et al., 2011).

4.4 Comparison between ground-based observations and GEOS-Chem

GEOS-Chem is a valuable tool for evaluating the chemistry within the atmosphere and is often used to analyse the impact of biomass burning on tropospheric chemistry, e.g. Parrington et al. (2012). Here, we analyse the simulated CO and $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ columns from biomass burning using this chemical transport model (with the FLAMBE inventory) and evaluate how these compare with ground-based measurements in Halifax. We validate the model simulations by using our ground-based measurements during the fire plume event. The model simulation for three nearby grid boxes, over Halifax, west of Halifax, and north of Halifax and the results from PARIS-IR in the period between 19 and 22 July 2011 are shown in Fig. 8a. The GEOS-Chem profiles have been smoothed with the PARIS-IR averaging kernel, using the method described in Sect. 2.5 and integrated to obtain total columns. The agreement between PARIS-IR and the smoothed GEOS-Chem total column CO seems to be best for the GEOS-Chem grid box to the north of Halifax. An increase in CO after 18:00 UTC on 20 July 2011 and a decrease on 21 July 2011 can be seen in both the measurements and the model output. However, the CO enhancement from the model simulation seems to reach Halifax with a delay of approximately 6 h compared to the measurements. The magnitude of the modelled CO enhancement (peak column of approximately $3.2 \times 10^{18}$ moleccm$^{-2}$) compares well with the measurements within the stated measurement uncertainty (peak column of $(3.12 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{18}$ moleccm$^{-2}$).

A possible reason for the discrepancy between the measured and simulated CO columns is the relatively large grid box of GEOS-Chem, since the intensity of a relatively young fire plume (of a few days) can vary significantly over a relatively small area. A new version of GEOS-Chem is being developed, with an improved horizontal resolution of $0.9^\circ$ in latitude $\times 1.2^\circ$ in longitude. This type of discrepancy might be reduced
with this new version, which may lead to a better comparison between the model and the ground-based observations.

The measured $C_2H_6$ total columns from the DAO-DA8 and simulated total columns of $C_2H_6$ from GEOS-Chem and are shown in Fig. 8b. As was done for the CO comparisons, the simulated profiles from GEOS-Chem were smoothed with the DAO-DA8 averaging kernel for $C_2H_6$ before calculating the total columns (see Sect. 2.5 for details). The $C_2H_6$ columns do not differ significantly for the different grid boxes and therefore only the simulation over Halifax is shown. The emission ratio of $C_2H_6$ used in the GEOS-Chem simulations ($ER_{C_2H_6/CO} = 5.6 \times 10^{-3}$, Andreae and Merlet, 2001) is similar to the emission ratio obtained in this study for this boreal fire plume ($ER_{C_2H_6/CO} = (10 \pm 6) \times 10^{-3}$). The comparison shows that during the enhanced periods on 20 and 21 July 2011, the simulated $C_2H_6$ columns agree well with the observations within the estimated measurement uncertainty of approximately 8%. However, the simulated columns are also enhanced earlier on 19 and 20 July 2011.

### 5 Summary and conclusions

We have presented total column measurements of CO and $C_2H_6$, as well as fine mode AOD, obtained using ground-based FTSs, a space-based emission spectrometer (IASI) and sun photometers. Enhancements of the total column CO, $C_2H_6$ and the fine mode AOD could be seen over Halifax on 19, 20 and 21 July 2011. On the same days, enhancements of these trace gases and the fine mode AOD could be seen over Toronto. The two ground-based FTSs in Halifax agree well within the estimated uncertainties for the CO total columns, for which the mean difference is much improved once the different vertical sensitivities of the measurements have been considered. The difference between ground-based FTS (PARIS-IR) measurements and the space-based IASI measurements is improved after applying the smoothing procedure using PARIS-IR’s averaging kernel. However, the mean difference and standard deviation are quite
large, which is possibly due to the limited coincident measurements (seven coincident measurements) between 19 and 22 July 2011.

During this time period, MODIS and GOES detected fire activities in Eastern Siberia, as well as in Northwestern Ontario. We identified the source of the trace gas enhancements over Halifax and Toronto to be boreal fires in Northwestern Ontario, using CMC back-trajectories and FLEXPART forward-trajectories. Furthermore, the high correlation between the trace gases and the fine mode AOD is consistent with our arguments concerning the effective lifetimes of smoke aerosols and CO and C$_2$H$_6$ trace gases being less than 5 days. The transportation time between the source, Northwestern Ontario, and Halifax was approximately 36 h according to the simulated trajectories from CMC and FLEXPART.

Our estimated emission ratio and emission factor for C$_2$H$_6$ (ER$_{C_2H_6/CO} = (10 \pm 6) \times 10^{-3}$, EF$_{C_2H_6} = 1.22 \pm 0.86 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$) for the boreal fire in Northwestern Ontario are consistent with other studies. Our values agree within the estimated uncertainties with the results from Akagi et al. (2011) (EF$_{C_2H_6} = 1.8 \pm 1.2 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$) for the C$_2$H$_6$ emission factor from boreal fires, but they are significantly higher compared to other geographical regions, e.g. from Australian wildfires (EF$_{C_2H_6} = 0.26 \pm 0.11 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$, Paton-Walsh et al., 2005). Estimated emission ratios from the same smoke plume based on airborne as well as satellite measurements (ER$_{C_2H_6/CO} = (5.1 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$, Lewis et al., 2013 and ER$_{C_2H_6/CO} = (6.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-3}$, Tereszchuk et al., 2012) are lower than our results, but agree within the estimated uncertainties.

These results are consistent with the comparison between GEOS-Chem simulations and the FTS observations for the C$_2$H$_6$ total columns. Employing an emission ratio of C$_2$H$_6$ with respect to CO of $5.6 \times 10^{-3}$ for extra-tropical fires (Andreae and Merlet, 2001) in the GEOS-Chem simulation, the simulated peak C$_2$H$_6$ total columns are similar to the coincident observed peak values on 20 and 21 July 2011. However, the lower C$_2$H$_6$ total columns on 20 July 2011 are not as well simulated. The transport and chemistry of CO emitted by the boreal fire in Northwestern Ontario could be simulated reasonably well with GEOS-Chem, as the comparisons between the observed and simulated CO
total columns show. We found that the GEOS-Chem grid box north of Halifax represents the observations from the DGS the best. The ground-based FTS measurements and GEOS-Chem agree well in the magnitude of the CO total columns. However, there are differences in the timing of the enhancement, where the model simulation shows CO enhancements approximately 6 h after the measured total columns CO enhancements on 20 July 2011.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/13/11071/2013/acpd-13-11071-2013-supplement.zip.
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Table 1. Summary of retrieval microwindows and interfering species, with an estimate of the uncertainty of the retrieval and DOFS for each FTS. In each retrieval, multiple microwindows are fitted simultaneously as listed in the table below. For the calculation of the total uncertainty and contributions, see the description given in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas</th>
<th>Microwindows (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Interfering Species</th>
<th>PARIS Uncertainty (%)</th>
<th>DAO-DA8 Uncertainty (%)</th>
<th>TAO-DA8 Uncertainty (%)</th>
<th>PARIS DOFS</th>
<th>DAO-DA8 DOFS</th>
<th>TAO-DA8 DOFS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>2057.70–2058.00, 2069.56–2069.90, 2157.50–2158.60</td>
<td>O$_3$, CO$_2$, OCS, N$_2$O, H$_2$O</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C$_2$H$_6$</td>
<td>2976.66–2976.95, 2983.20–2983.55, 2986.50–2986.95</td>
<td>H$_2$O, O$_3$</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Typical total column (TC) averaging kernels for CO for PARIS-IR (solid blue line), DA8 (dot-dashed green line) and IASI during a morning overpass (dashed red line).
Fig. 2. The total column measurements (TC) in Halifax are shown for CO and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} for (a) July 2011, (b) between 19 and 23 July 2011 and (c) CO total columns of DAO-DA8 and IASI smoothed with the PARIS-IR averaging kernel. PARIS-IR (blue dots), IASI (red inverted triangles), DAO-DA8 (green squares) total columns for CO, and DAO-DA8 total columns for C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} (pink triangles) are shown. The labels on the x-axes indicate the start of each day at 00:00 UTC. Note that the C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{6} columns are scaled by a factor of 100 in panels (a) and (b). The black bars indicate the estimated uncertainties for each gas and instrument, as described in Table 1 and Sect. 2.4.
Fig. 3. The total column (TC) measurements in Toronto are shown for CO and C$_2$H$_6$ for (a) July 2011 and (b) between 19 and 22 July 2011. CO from TAO-DA8 (green squares), CO from IASI (red inverted triangles), and C$_2$H$_6$ from TAO-DA8 (pink triangles) are shown. The labels on the x-axis indicate the start of the day at 00:00 UTC. Note that the C$_2$H$_6$ columns are scaled by a factor of 100. The black bars indicate the estimated uncertainties for each gas and instrument, as described in Table 1 and Sect. 2.4.
Fig. 4. Daily distribution of carbon emissions from the FLAMBE inventory, based on fire information from MODIS and GOES, is shown. The traces show the daily total carbon emitted from all boreal fire regions (≥ 50° N; thick black line), for all of Canada (50°–75° N, 170°–50° W; thin red line), Northwestern Ontario (50°–55° N, 95°–80° W; dotted purple line), Saskatchewan (55°–65° N, 120°–105° W; dot-dashed blue line), and Eastern Siberia (50°–75° N, 110°–179° E; dashed green line).
Fig. 5. Back-trajectories from the CMC transport model. The back-trajectories correspond to the origin of the air parcel overpassing Halifax at 18:00 UTC on (a) 19 July, (b) 20 July, (c) 21 July, and (d) 22 July 2011, at an altitude of 10 km (orange crosses), 7 km (blue dots), 5 km (purple plus signs), 3 km (green stars) and 1 km (red dots). The markers indicate the location of the air parcel every 6 h over a 72 h period.
Fig. 6. FLEXPART forward-trajectories at 15:00 UTC on (a) 20 July and (c) 21 July 2011, for a constant particle release starting on 17 July 2011 at 12:00 UTC. The colour contours are on a relative scale from high (red) to low (blue) low concentrations. IASI CO total columns in molecm$^{-2}$ on (b) 20 July and (d) 21 July 2011 for the morning overpass (approximately 14:00 UTC).
Fig. 7. Panel (a) shows total columns for CO from PARIS-IR (blue circles) and C$_2$H$_6$ from DAO-DA8 (pink triangles) over Halifax between 19 and 22 July 2011 (axis on left). The grey dots correspond to the fine mode AOD (axis on the right) over Halifax. The labels on the x-axis indicate the start of each day at 00:00 UTC. Note that the C$_2$H$_6$ columns are scaled by a factor of 100. Panel (b) shows the correlation between PARIS-IR CO total columns and the fine mode AOD. Panel (c) shows the correlation between DAO-DA8 C$_2$H$_6$ total columns and the fine mode AOD. In panels (b) and (c), the thick black line corresponds to the linear regression fit (see Sect. 4.2). Note, for this calculation measurements on 20 July 2011 (red inverted triangles) are excluded. Black bars indicate the estimated uncertainties, as described in Table 1 and Sect. 2.6.
Fig. 8. Panel (a) shows the total column CO from PARIS-IR (blue circles) and GEOS-Chem, for the grid box above Halifax (red inverted triangles), north of Halifax (purple diamonds) and west of Halifax (green squares) between 19 and 22 July 2011 UTC. Panel (b) shows the total column C$_2$H$_6$ from DAO-DA8 (pink triangles), and the C$_2$H$_6$ total columns of the GEOS-Chem simulation (red inverted triangles) above Halifax. Note that the difference between C$_2$H$_6$ for the three GEOS-Chem grid boxes is not significant, therefore, only the simulation over Halifax is shown. The profiles from the GEOS-Chem simulations have been smoothed with PARIS (for CO) and DAO-DA8 (for C$_2$H$_6$) averaging kernels before calculating the total columns, see Sect. 2.5 for details on the smoothing procedure. The black bars indicate the estimated uncertainty for each gas and ground-based instrument, as listed in Table 1.