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Although the work that is presented in this paper is very interesting and important for the CO$_2$ modelling community, I think that the authors selected the wrong journal. A large amount of bookkeeping leads to a detailed map of CO$_2$ emissions (PKU-CO$_2$). I have very little questions about the validity of the methods used. However, real scientific innovation is difficult to find. The fact that in developing countries energy use differs widely from city to rural areas is interesting. But the application to biosphere inversions is not new:


This article is not even in the reference list. Simply using Carbontracker and some mathematical manipulation is not enough to give the paper the required scientific depth. I therefore recommend the omission of sections 2.8 and 4.2, and to submit the paper to e.g. GMD, which seems a more proper medium to offer this important work to the user community.

Minor: Caption figure 5: VULVAN should read VULCAN.
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