Source attribution and radiative impacts of the Mediterranean summertime ozone maximum: a satellite and model perspective
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Abstract

The Mediterranean troposphere exhibits a marked and localised summertime ozone maximum, which has the potential to strongly impact regional air quality and radiative forcing. The Mediterranean region can be perturbed by long-range pollution import from Northern Europe, North America and Asia, in addition to local emissions, which may all contribute to regional ozone enhancements. We exploit ozone profile observations from the Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) and the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment-2 (GOME-2) satellite instruments, and an offline 3-D global chemical transport model (TOMCAT) to investigate the geographical and vertical structure of the summertime tropospheric ozone maximum over the Mediterranean region. We show that both TES and GOME-2 are able to detect enhanced levels of ozone in the lower troposphere over the region during the summer. These observations, together with surface measurements, are used to evaluate the TOMCAT model’s ability to capture the observed ozone enhancement. The model is used to quantify contributions to the ozone maximum from anthropogenic and natural volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions, anthropogenic NO$_x$ emissions, wildfire emissions and long-range import of ozone and precursors. Our results show a dominance of natural VOC emissions on ozone in the Mediterranean Basin over anthropogenic VOC emissions. However, local anthropogenic NO$_x$ emissions are the overall dominant contribution to near-surface ozone. We also show that in the lower troposphere, global VOC emissions account for 40% of the VOC contribution to ozone in the region, whereas, for NO$_x$ the global contribution is only 10% at these altitudes. However, in the mid and upper troposphere almost all of the ozone comes from long-range transport for all emission sources. In terms of radiative effects on regional climate, ozone contributions from non-local sources are more important with Asian monsoon outflow having the greatest impact. Our results allow improved understanding of the large-scale processes controlling air quality and climate in the region of the Mediterranean Basin.
1 Introduction

Tropospheric ozone concentrations have increased since pre-industrial times, leading to a positive radiative forcing on climate (Forster et al., 2007b), and enhancement in ozone concentrations at the surface. Ozone at the surface is harmful to health (Levy et al., 2001; Gryparis et al., 2004; Ito et al., 2005), and damages vegetation, reducing crop yields (Fuhrer, 2009; Van Dingenen et al., 2009; Hollaway et al., 2012) and inhibits the terrestrial CO$_2$ sink (Sitch et al., 2007; Collins et al., 2010). Tropospheric ozone is produced in-situ through sunlight-initiated oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) in the presence of nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$) (Volz and Kley, 1988; Staehelin et al., 1994). Observations show that the Mediterranean troposphere exhibits a marked enhancement in summertime ozone, which maximises over the eastern basin (Butkovic et al., 1990; Varotsos and Cracknell, 1993; Kalabokas and Bartzis, 1998; Kalabokas et al., 2000, 2007; Kouvarakis et al., 2000, 2002; Lelieveld and Dentener, 2000; Kourtidis et al., 2002; Lelieveld et al., 2002; Roelofs et al., 2003; Kalabokas and Repapis, 2004; Gerasopoulos et al., 2006; Castell et al., 2008). This feature is associated with anticyclonic conditions, subsidence, clear skies and high solar intensity, which enhances ozone photochemical production (Millan et al., 2002), and has the potential to strongly impact regional air quality and climate (Hauglustaine and Brasseur, 2001). Eastern Mediterranean tropospheric ozone column amounts are around 40–70 Dobson Units (DU) during summer (Kourtidis et al., 2002; Duncan et al., 2008) compared with around 20–30 DU units during winter. Summer (JJA) tropospheric ozone observations from the GOME satellite instrument suggest a seasonal-mean enhancement of $\sim$20 ppbv compared with other seasons in the region (Sauvage et al., 2007). Regional ozone background concentrations in the Eastern Mediterranean likely exceed the European Union (EU) 32 ppb over 24 h phytotoxicity limit year-round (Kourtidis et al., 2002). Gerasopoulos et al. (2006) found that 7-yr monthly average surface ozone measured in Crete, Eastern Mediterranean exceeded 55 ppbv during the summer months, compared with less than 40 ppbv in winter. In the Western Mediterranean...
Basin, the prevalence of mountainous coastal topography leads to upslope winds during the daytime encouraging the formation of sea breeze circulation patterns (Millan et al., 1997). Upslope flow of surface air is linked to return flow aloft out over the sea, leading to a recirculation of pollutants, and creating stacked layers of pollution reaching 2–3 km in altitude, which undergo efficient photochemistry under intense solar insolation (Millan et al., 1997, 2000). During night, these air masses are held offshore by land breezes, creating reservoirs of aged pollution that are then brought onshore the following day (Gangoiti et al., 2001; Ancellet and Ravetta, 2005). Models and observations suggest that his local recirculation is of key importance for surface ozone in the Western Mediterranean (Jimenez et al., 2006; Velchev et al., 2011).

The Mediterranean Basin is surrounded by densely populated land regions to the north, and is a major receptor of European pollution exported at low altitudes in summer (Stohl et al., 2002; Duncan and Bey, 2004; Duncan et al., 2008). The Eastern Mediterranean has been shown to exhibit a factor 1.5–2.2 enhancement in ozone during northerly flow from mainland Europe in summer compared with periods of flow from North Africa (Kourtidis et al., 2002). Hot, dry summer conditions, for example during summer 2003, can lead to extensive forest fires in Portugal, France, Spain and Italy, influencing trace gas and aerosol abundances over the Mediterranean Basin (Pace et al., 2005; Hodzic et al., 2007; Strada et al., 2012). Biogenic emissions in summer also impact the Mediterranean Basin (Liakakou et al., 2007), and may increase summertime daily ozone maxima in the region by 5 ppbv (Curci et al., 2009). Model estimates suggest that temperature increases may lead to increases in biogenic emissions in the region by 9±3 % K−1 (Im et al., 2011). The Mediterranean mid and upper troposphere can be perturbed by long-range pollution import from Northern Europe, North America and Asia (Lelieveld et al., 2002). The Mediterranean summer troposphere can be strongly influenced by an upper tropospheric anti-cyclone centred over the Tibetan Plateau, associated with the Asian summer monsoon (Rodwell and Hoskins, 2001; Roelofs et al., 2003). This results in enhancements to ozone and precursor abundances in the Eastern Mediterranean upper troposphere due to import of air masses from the Asian
boundary layer (Roelofs et al., 2003; Scheeren et al., 2003). The upper and mid troposphere over the Western Mediterranean is influenced by import in large-scale westerly flow and adiabatic descent in summer (Rodwell and Hoskins, 1996, 2001).

Understanding the variability in Mediterranean tropospheric ozone and contributions from different sources is critical for prediction of future European air quality and climate. A recent modelling study suggested that ozone mixing ratios in regions of the Eastern Mediterranean increase almost linearly with increases in ambient temperatures by 1.0±0.1 ppb O_3 K^{-1} (Im et al., 2011). Some long-term in-situ observations of ozone are available at the surface in this region, however in-situ observations are lacking over the non-coastal basin area and through the tropospheric column where, as described above, a complex mixture of source origins may control ozone abundance. Here, we exploit 4 yr of remotely-sensed tropospheric ozone profile observations from the NASA Tropospheric Emissions Spectrometer (TES) satellite instrument (Beer et al., 2001) and 2 yr of lower tropospheric ozone observations from the GOME-2 satellite instrument to examine the structure of ozone features over the Mediterranean Basin in summer (JJA), and use a global chemical transport model investigate their origins and radiative impacts.

We describe the TOMCAT chemical transport model in Sect. 2. Section 3 describes the TES and GOME-2 observations along with the available surface observations, and we discuss the structure of the Mediterranean summertime ozone maximum in the observations and model. Section 4 presents results from model ozone source contribution experiments and sensitivities to different processes. Radiative impacts of Mediterranean summertime ozone are discussed in Sect. 5, with contributions assigned to source regions, and conclusions are drawn in Sect. 6.

2 The TOMCAT 3-D model

We use the TOMCAT 3-dimensional global chemical transport model (Arnold et al., 2005; Chipperfield, 2006) to simulate global tropospheric ozone, and calculate
contributions from different emission sources of ozone precursors to ozone in the Mediterranean region. Simulations are performed at $\sim 2.8^\circ \times 2.8^\circ$ horizontal resolution, with 31 hybrid sigma-pressure levels in the vertical extending from the surface to 10 hPa and the model is forced using ECMWF ERA-Interim temperature, winds and humidity. Sub-grid transport from convection (Stockwell and Chipperfield, 1999). The non-local boundary layer scheme of Holtslag and Boville (1993) has been implemented in this version of TOMCAT (Wang et al., 1999), the scheme has been shown to perform well in comparisons to other models and to a version of TOMCAT using the local Louis (1979) scheme in Hoyle et al. (2011). This study uses a newly extended VOC degradation chemistry scheme, which incorporates the oxidation of monoterpenes based on the MOZART-3 scheme (Kinnison et al., 2007) and the oxidation of C2–C4 alkanes, toluene, ethane, propene, acetone, methanol and acetaldehyde based on the ExTC (Extended Tropospheric Chemistry) scheme (Folberth et al., 2006). The implementation of this scheme into TOMCAT is described by Monks (2011). Isoprene oxidation follows the Mainz Isoprene Mechanism I (Poschl et al., 2000). Heterogeneous N$_2$O$_5$ hydrolysis is included using offline size-resolved aerosol from the GLOMAP model (Mann et al., 2010). Uptake coefficients for different aerosol types are as parameterized by Evans and Jacob (2005), with the exception of dust which is based on Mogili et al. (2006). Anthropogenic emissions are taken from IPCC AR5 2000 emissions set (Lamarque et al., 2010). Biomass burning and natural wildfire emissions are prescribed from yearly varying monthly mean estimates from the Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED) v. 2 (van der Werf et al., 2006; Randerson et al., 2007). Natural isoprene and monoterpenes emissions were calculated by the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature (MEGAN) as implemented by Emmons et al. (2010). Other natural emissions are prescribed offline from the POET dataset (Granier et al., 2005). Dry deposition is determined using diurnally and seasonally varying surface-type specific deposition velocities, weighted by prescribed monthly land-cover fields from the NCAR community land model (Oleson et al., 2010).
3 Results and discussion

3.1 Evaluation of TOMCAT using surface observations

Surface observations were obtained from the EMEP (European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme) network of observing stations (Fjaæraa and Hjellbrekke, 2010). Four stations in the Mediterranean region were selected for comparison with TOMCAT: 2 stations in the central and eastern region where the highest summertime ozone concentrations are observed (IT01 in Italy and GR02 in Greece) and 2 stations in the west where summertime concentrations are lower (ES12 and ES14 in Spain), see Table 1. These four stations were chosen as they represent the only EMEP stations within Mediterranean Basin that have sufficient data for the time period of this study (2005–2008). The TOMCAT model was run for years 2005 to 2008 inclusive. The model was output every 1.75 days in order to capture the mean diurnal ozone cycle and the surface level ozone for the model grid box containing each station was selected for comparison. The station data, which are collected hourly, were then time matched to the TOMCAT output times and monthly mean data were constructed for both datasets. The resulting comparisons are shown in Fig. 1. A pronounced summer maximum in surface ozone is evident in the stations over the Central and Eastern Mediterranean (IT01 and GR02), with the Italian station showing ozone concentrations as large as 80 ppbv, which are almost 4 times higher than the corresponding winter values. This peak is not present at the western stations over Spain (ES12 and ES14), where there is only a very small seasonal cycle in the observed surface ozone.

The model reproduces the seasonal cycle at the IT01 station, although it tends to underestimate the observed concentrations in all years, with a mean summertime bias of $-17.6$ ppbv ($-24\%$). The comparison is better for the GR02 site, where the model is able to capture the magnitude of the summertime peak (mean summertime bias of $-2$ ppbv ($3.42\%$)), although the model does tend to underestimate ozone concentrations in the winter and spring for all years except 2008 where the seasonal cycle is captured well. For the western stations (ES12 and ES14) the model exhibits a more
pronounced seasonal cycle than seen in the observations, with a tendency to under-
estimate surface ozone in the winter and overestimate throughout the rest of the year,
and has a mean summertime bias of 10.5 ppbv (24 %). One reason for this over/under
estimation might be a combination of the resolution of the model which is relatively
course (2.8° by 2.8°), resulting in model grid boxes containing the stations to extend
eastwards over the Mediterranean, and therefore not being wholly representative of
the conditions at these coastal sites.

3.2 Evaluation of TOMCAT using satellite observations

Comparisons with in-situ surface observations limit evaluation of the model to a limited
set of locations at the surface. Satellite observations provide much better spatial cov-
erage and give us the opportunity to evaluate the model over a large region and also
with limited vertical information. In order to compare model output such as TOMCAT
with remotely sensed satellite observations we must first take into account the limited
vertical resolution and the effects of the a priori in the retrieved satellite profiles. Both of
these effects are accounted for by applying the observation operator (averaging kernel
and a priori information) for each retrieved satellite profile to the corresponding model
profile before comparison. For both the TES and GOME-2 comparisons shown here we apply averaging kernels following the method of Rodgers and Connor (2003).

3.2.1 Comparisons with the Tropospheric Emission Spetrometer (TES)

The Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) is an infrared Fourier transform spec-
trometer which was launched onboard NASA’s Aura satellite in 2004. The data used
in this study comes from the TES Global Survey operating mode in which TES makes
nadir observations with a 5.3 × 8.3 km footprint providing near global coverage approx-
imately every 16 days. TES ozone profiles have been extensively validated against
in-situ observations (Nassar et al., 2008; Osterman et al., 2008; Richards et al., 2008).
In order to compare TOMCAT with TES tropospheric ozone observations the model was run for the years 2005 to 2008 inclusive using the setup described in Sect. 2. In order to coincide with the TES overpass times the model was output at 12:00 UT and 00:00 UT during the months of June, July and August of each year. The TOMCAT profiles closest to each of the TES observations both spatially and temporally were selected for comparison. TES averaging kernels for each profile were then applied to the TOMCAT output. Both the resulting profiles and the TES data were then averaged onto the TOMCAT horizontal grid and summertime averages (JJA) were constructed for each year for comparison.

Figure 2 shows the comparison between TES and TOMCAT (with averaging kernels applied) at the 825 hPa level for the year 2005. The TES data clearly shows enhanced ozone concentrations over the whole of Mediterranean Basin, with concentrations of up to 110 ppbv. The highest concentrations are observed in the southeastern coastal regions, along the north coasts of Libya and Egypt and into Israel. Large ozone concentrations (70–80 ppbv) are also observed over the industrialised northern regions of Greece and Italy, consistent with the surface observations described in Sect. 3.1. The TES data also shows that smaller ozone concentrations are present over the Western Mediterranean region with values of ∼40 ppbv observed over Spain, which is again consistent with the surface observations.

TOMCAT and TES observations show a high degree of correlation ($r = 0.76$) and the model is able to capture the spatial pattern of the observed ozone in the Mediterranean (the red box in Fig. 2). The model reproduces observed enhancements in ozone over Italy, Greece and the southeast of the region, also the smaller ozone concentrations observed over the west and Spain are well captured. Although the model compares well to TES in terms of the spatial pattern of the ozone distribution, it exhibits a low bias of $-4.2$ ppbv (6.6%) when compared to TES. The good agreement between TES and TOMCAT is consistent over all of the years 2005–2008 inclusive, with a correlation of $r = 0.79$ and a mean model bias of $-8.5$ ppbv (−11%). The apparent low bias seen in TOMCAT when compared to TES is not surprising, however, as TES is unlikely to
have a high degree of sensitivity to near-surface ozone, and validation of TES ozone profiles against LIDAR observations showed that TES may have a high bias in near-surface ozone of up to 15% (Richards et al., 2008), possibly accounting for the bias observed here.

3.2.2 Comparisons with the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment-2 (GOME-2)

The ESA GOME-2 instrument is a nadir viewing spectrometer with spectral coverage from 240–790 nm aboard the MetOp-A satellite platform (Callies et al., 2000). GOME-2 measures backscattered radiance from the Earth’s atmosphere in addition to a solar reference measurement. The instrument has a nominal ground footprint of 40 km × 80 km with global coverage in a day. For the scheme applied here, the ground pixels have been combined to create pixels 160 km × 160 km in order to improve signal to noise while not introducing significant inaccuracy from scene inhomogeneity. The Rutherford Appleton Laboratory (RAL) GOME-2 ozone profile product has been developed from the scheme applied to GOME-1 (Munro et al., 1998; Siddans et al., 1999) aboard ERS-2, which demonstrated the capability to discern tropospheric ozone using the Huggins ozone bands. The RAL GOME-2 scheme is in the process of validation. The bias and SD with respect to ozonesondes in the region studied in this paper is 2 and is 5 DU for the 0–6 km sub column, respectively (G. Miles, personal communication, 2012).

Figure 3 shows comparisons of GOME-2 and TOMCAT for the years 2007 and 2008. The GOME-2 data shows a clear enhancement in ozone over the Mediterranean Basin in both years. The largest ozone concentrations are observed over the Eastern Mediterranean region with ozone values up to 40 DU in the 0–6 km column (corresponding to approximately 85 ppbv for the 0–6 km average). Large ozone concentrations (> 30 DU) are also observed over the Central Mediterranean in the region of Italy and Greece. Smaller ozone concentrations (< 20 DU) are observed over Western Mediterranean countries such as Spain. These observations are consistent with the spatial distribution of ozone observed by both TES and surface sites. TOMCAT is able to capture the spatial distribution of ozone over the region as observed by GOME-2, with correlations
of $r = 0.935$ and $r = 0.909$ for the years 2007 and 2008, respectively. The results also show that TOMCAT performs well in reproducing the GOME-2 observed concentrations and shows only a small bias of $<-1$ DU ($<3\%$), which is within the precision of GOME-2 when compared to ozonesonde measurements.

4 Source contributions to Mediterranean summertime ozone

In order to attribute contributions from different local and remote sources to the summertime Mediterranean ozone maximum, we have performed a series of TOMCAT model experiments. These consist of a base run with standard emissions (as described in Sect. 2) and eight sensitivity runs, each with 20% reductions in different local and global ozone precursor emissions, detailed in Table 2. Here, the term “local” encompasses the Mediterranean, Western and Eastern Europe and North Africa, denoted by the blue box shown in Fig. 4. Global emissions reductions also include the reductions in this region. The simulations were initialised in January 2006 and output is analysed for the summer months (JJA). These were compared to the base run in order to assess the impact of the different sources to summertime ozone in the region.

4.1 Contributions from local sources

Figure 5 shows the reduction in surface level, zonal-mean and meridional-mean ozone concentrations for the four experiments in which local emissions were reduced. The mean surface ozone reductions over the Mediterranean region for each experiment are also presented in Table 2.

Biomass burning

Local biomass burning emissions have little impact on ozone at all altitudes over the entire Mediterranean region, with a 20% cut in emissions leading to a mean change in
surface ozone concentrations of only 0.15 ppbv. The changes are slightly larger in the west of the region (0.19 ppbv) than in the east (0.11 ppbv).

**Anthropogenic VOCs**

Local anthropogenic VOC emissions have only a small impact on ozone in the region with an average reduction in near-surface ozone of less than 0.15 ppbv for a 20% cut in emissions. The modelled ozone changes are fairly homogeneous throughout the Mediterranean Basin. The largest ozone changes are seen near the surface and decrease with increasing altitude down to 0.08 ppbv at 700 hPa, and less than 0.03 ppbv at altitudes above the 700 hPa level.

**Biogenic VOCs**

Local biogenic VOC emissions have a more significant effect on ozone in the region. A 20% cut in local biogenic VOC emissions leads to widespread reductions in ozone over the Mediterranean, with an average reduction of 0.96 ppbv near the surface. Larger changes are seen in the north and east of the region (1.05 ppbv) compared to the west (0.87 ppbv). The ozone reductions are largest in the boundary layer and are confined to the lower troposphere, with ozone changes dropping to 0.55 ppbv at 700 hPa. At altitudes above the 700 hPa level the changes in ozone reduce with altitude to 0.12 ppbv at 500 hPa.

**Anthropogenic NOₓ**

Of all of the precursor emissions considered, local NOₓ emissions have the largest impact on ozone in the Mediterranean. A 20% cut in local NOₓ emissions leads to a mean reduction in ozone over the region of 2.09 ppbv near the surface. The reduction in ozone is not, however, homogeneous, and in contrast to biogenic VOCs, the largest changes are in the industrialised central and north-western areas of the region, with the maximum change in ozone (2.45 ppbv) occurring off the west coast of Italy close to
Rome. As seen with the other local emission sources, the greatest changes in ozone from local NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are in the lower troposphere with a sharp decrease in their effect on ozone seen at around 650 hPa.

### 4.2 Global contributions

Figure 6 shows the reduction in ozone simulated with 20\% reductions applied to global emissions and Asian emissions. The mean surface ozone reductions over the Mediterranean region for each experiment are also presented in Table 2. It is important to note that the global emission reduction experiments also include the same cuts in local emissions as described above. In order to quantify the additional reduction in ozone from the inclusion of cuts in “rest of world” emissions we have calculated the percentage global contribution as $100 \times (\Delta O_3(\text{global}) - \Delta O_3(\text{local}))/\Delta O_3(\text{global})$. The resulting surface, zonal and meridional distributions of “rest of world” percentage contribution to ozone are shown in Fig. 7.

**Anthropogenic VOCs**

As with the local anthropogenic VOC emissions reduction, global anthropogenic VOCs have little effect on Mediterranean ozone. For a 20\% cut in global emissions the mean reduction in near-surface ozone in the region is 0.25 ppbv. This reduction is uniform throughout the entire Mediterranean region. This change in ozone is also homogeneous in the vertical with similar changes in ozone seen at all levels up to 200 hPa. Above 200 hPa the modelled ozone change increases, reaching 0.69 ppbv at 100 hPa. This is in contrast to the changes seen for local emission cuts which were largest near the surface, indicating that long-range transport of VOCs, or ozone originating from VOC emissions, has a larger impact on ozone concentration in the upper troposphere than in the lower. The percentage global contribution to the modelled ozone changes due to global VOC emission cuts is 35\% at the surface (Fig. 7a). However, at altitudes
above the 700 hPa level, global VOC emissions begin to dominate the ozone abundance rising from 55% at 700 hPa to over 80% above the 500 hPa level (Fig. 7d, g).

**Biogenic VOCs**

Global biogenic VOC emissions have a widespread impact on near-surface Mediterranean ozone with mean reduction of 1.66 ppbv for a 20% cut in emissions. Unlike local emissions, which have a larger impact in the north and east of the basin, global biogenic VOC emissions produce a near homogeneous change in ozone throughout the region. These changes in ozone also extend in the vertical throughout the troposphere remaining at around 1.6 ppbv up to altitudes of 250 hPa. At this altitude there is a sharp increase in the amount of ozone resulting from these sources, rising to 3.46 ppbv at 100 hPa. Again, this is in contrast to the effect of local emissions which were confined to the lower troposphere (below the 700 hPa level). The percentage global contribution to the ozone change shows that in the regions where the local emissions produced the largest changes (north and east) the global BVOC contribution is only around 30%, whereas throughout the rest of the Mediterranean Basin the contribution rises to 60% (Fig. 7b). The global biogenic VOC contribution in the lower troposphere (below the 700 hPa level) is, on average, 47%. At altitudes above the 700 hPa level, however, there is a sharp increase in this contribution, reaching 90% at 500 hPa further increasing to > 99% at 150 hPa.

**Anthropogenic NOₓ**

Global NOₓ emissions have a large impact on near-surface ozone throughout the Mediterranean region, with mean changes in ozone of 2.49 ppbv for a 20% cut in emissions. As with local NOₓ sources, the largest impact on ozone is seen in the west of the region with widespread changes of up to 3.01 ppbv, compared to a maximum of 2.63 ppbv in the east. The largest changes in ozone are seen in the lower troposphere, the vertical extent of which appears to be latitude dependent. In the south of the region...
the maximum changes in ozone extend upwards to only around 800 hPa, whereas in
the north the large changes in ozone continue up to around 600 hPa. Unlike the ozone
changes seen with local NOx emission cuts, large changes in ozone (∼1.8 ppbv) still
persist throughout the middle and upper troposphere (600–200 hPa) with a secondary
peak of 1.94 ppbv present at around 150 hPa in the north and east. Global NOx emis-
sions account for only 15–20% of the ozone changes in the lower troposphere (be-
low 700 hPa). This contribution increases markedly at higher altitudes, and exhibits
a north/south split. At around 600 hPa it reaches 90% in the south, whereas in the
north, it is only 50% at this level, reaching 90% at 300 hPa.

Asian emissions

Asian emissions have a moderate impact on near-surface ozone concentrations
throughout the region, with a mean change in ozone of 0.46 ppbv for a 20% cut in
emissions. At the surface this makes Asian emissions the third most important emis-
sion source for ozone in the Mediterranean after local NOx and biogenic VOCs. In con-
trast to local emissions, Asian emissions have a small impact on ozone concentrations
in the lower troposphere (below 700 hPa). In the middle troposphere (700–400 hPa) the
impact of Asian emissions is comparable to that of global biogenic VOC and NOx emis-
sions with mean ozone changes of 1.5 ppbv. However, it is in the upper troposphere
where Asian emissions have their largest contribution to ozone, particularly in the north
and east of the region, with ozone changes of up to 6.09 ppbv present at an altitude of
130 hPa. This is more than double the effect of global biogenic VOCs and triple that of
global NOx emissions.

5 Radiative effects

The radiative effect caused by simulated changes in tropospheric ozone over the
Mediterranean region under each emission reduction scenario was calculated using
the offline version of the Edwards and Slingo (1996) radiative transfer model with 6 bands in the shortwave, 9 bands in the longwave and a delta-Eddington 2 stream scattering solver at all wavelengths. A monthly averaged climatology of temperature, water vapour and trace gases based on the ECMWF reanalysis data, with 2.5° × 2.5° horizontal resolution and 23 pressure levels in the vertical from the surface to 1 hPa was used (Rap et al., 2010). Cloud and surface albedo data were compiled from the International Satellite Cloud Climatology Project (ISCCP) archive (Rossow and Schiffer, 1999), averaged over the period from 1983 to 2005.

Figure 8 shows the JJA net radiative effect (RE), both at the top-of-the-atmosphere (TOA) and zonally averaged, for the 8 different TOMCAT sensitivity experiments. The Mediterranean region TOA ozone RE means are −1.1 mW m⁻², −3.5 mW m⁻², −9.6 mW m⁻², and −2.0 mW m⁻² for the local anthropogenic, biogenic, NOₓ, and biomass burning experiments, respectively, and −5.3 mW m⁻², −33.1 mW m⁻², −33.3 mW m⁻², and −38.3 mW m⁻² for the global anthropogenic, biogenic, NOₓ, and Asian experiments, respectively, see Table 2. Of all the precursor local emissions investigated here, reducing local NOₓ has the largest ozone RE due to the fact that, as shown in Sect. 3.3.1, it has the largest impact on the ozone distribution. However, the REs for all four local experiments are relatively small, compared with the corresponding global experiments. This is caused on one hand by the larger ozone change in absolute numbers of the global experiments compared with the local ones, but, most importantly, also by the altitude at which these changes occur. At the latitudes of the Mediterranean region, the tropospheric ozone RE is most sensitive for ozone changes above 400 hPa, with a unit mass ozone change at 400 hPa and above resulting in a RE up to eight times as large as the RE induced by a similar change in ozone near the surface (Riese et al., 2012). Comparing two contrasting experiments from a RE perspective illustrates this point well, i.e. the local NOₓ and the Asian experiments: although the local NOₓ reduction has a larger impact on the surface ozone distribution than the Asian emissions reduction (Fig. 5c compared with Fig. 6d), the ozone RE is substantially smaller in the former (−9.6 mW m⁻²) compared with the latter.
Due to the difference in the upper tropospheric ozone between the two cases (Figs. 5g and 6h). Due to strong non-linearities in ozone production dependence on precursor abundance, it is not possible to linearly scale ozone changes resulting from fractional reductions in a precursor source to a total contribution from that source (e.g. Wild et al., 2012). However, a simple scaling suggests that the magnitude of the radiative effect on the Mediterranean climate due to ozone sourced from Asian emissions may be around half of the global mean tropospheric ozone radiative forcing since pre-industrial times (Forster et al., 2007).

6 Conclusions

We have used satellite observations and a state-of-the-art 3-D chemical transport model to investigate the structure of, and emission source contributions to, the Mediterranean summertime ozone maximum. Both the TES and GOME-2 satellite instruments observe high ozone concentrations in the lower troposphere over the Mediterranean Basin during summer, with the largest concentrations (up to 110 ppbv at 825 hPa) observed in the east. Comparisons of the TOMCAT chemical transport model with EMEP surface observations, TES and GOME-2 show that TOMCAT is able to reproduce the observed summertime maximum in ozone over the Mediterranean region.

Model sensitivity experiments were performed to determine the contributions of different ozone precursor sources to the modelled summertime ozone over the Mediterranean region. These experiments show that locally-emitted NO$_x$ is the most important contributor to lower tropospheric summertime ozone throughout the region, particularly in the west. Biogenic VOCs are the second most important local source of summertime ozone in the Mediterranean and have a stronger impact in the east of the region. Local sources have the greatest impact on ozone in the lower troposphere (below 700 hPa) with 80–85% of the ozone produced from NO$_x$ coming from local sources. Therefore, local sources of NO$_x$ and biogenic VOCs are the most important factors in terms of summertime ozone air quality in the Mediterranean. However, non-local sources have
a larger effect on ozone in the upper troposphere, where it is much more radiatively active. This means that in terms of tropospheric ozone impacts on the local climate, Asian emissions, global NO\textsubscript{x} and global biogenic VOC emissions have a much larger impact than local emissions, with Asian emissions having a net radiative effect which is 4 times greater than that of local NO\textsubscript{x}.
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Table 1. EMEP stations used for the comparisons to TOMCAT shown in Fig. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Station name</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Altitude (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ES12</td>
<td>Zarra</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>39°05’10’’ N</td>
<td>1°06’07’’ W</td>
<td>885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ES14</td>
<td>Els Torms</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>41°24’00’’ N</td>
<td>0°43’00’’ E</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GR02</td>
<td>Finokalia</td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>35°19’00’’ N</td>
<td>25°40’00’’ E</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT01</td>
<td>Montelibretti</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>42°06’00’’ N</td>
<td>12°38’00’’ E</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. TOMCAT sensitivity experiments performed to investigate the effect of reducing certain emissions sources by 20%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Name</th>
<th>Emission type</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Mean surface ozone reduction (ppbv)</th>
<th>Top of the atmosphere mean radiative effect (mW m(^{-3}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LBVOC</td>
<td>Local biogenic VOCs</td>
<td>C(<em>{10})H(</em>{16}), Methanol, Acetone, Isoprene</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>−3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVOC</td>
<td>Local anthropogenic VOCs</td>
<td>Butanes, C(<em>{2})H(</em>{6}), C(<em>{3})H(</em>{8}), Aromatics, Acetone, C(<em>{2})H(</em>{2}), C(<em>{2})H(</em>{4}), Methanol, C(<em>{3})H(</em>{6})</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>−1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LNOX</td>
<td>Local anthropogenic NO(_x)</td>
<td>NO(_x)</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>−9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBB</td>
<td>Local biomass burning</td>
<td>All biomass burning species</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>−2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAN</td>
<td>All Asian anthropogenic and natural</td>
<td>All Asian emissions</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>−38.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNOX</td>
<td>Global anthropogenic NO(_x)</td>
<td>NO(_x)</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>−33.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVOC</td>
<td>Global anthropogenic VOCs</td>
<td>Butanes, C(<em>{2})H(</em>{6}), C(<em>{3})H(</em>{8}), Aromatics, Acetone, C(<em>{2})H(</em>{2}), C(<em>{2})H(</em>{4}), Methanol, C(<em>{3})H(</em>{6})</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>−5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBVOC</td>
<td>Global biogenic VOCs</td>
<td>C(<em>{10})H(</em>{16}), Methanol, Acetone, Isoprene</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>−33.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Comparison of TOMCAT (dotted lines/symbols) and EMEP (solid lines) monthly mean surface ozone (ppbv) for the years 2005–2008. The different colours represent the different stations as depicted on the map in the lower panel.
Fig. 2. Comparison of TES (top panel) and TOMCAT (middle panel) 825 hPa summertime ozone (ppbv) over the Mediterranean region for the year 2005. The TOMCAT model output has been matched in time and space to each TES observation and the TES averaging kernels have been applied before both sets of data have then been averaged over the months of June, July and August. The bottom panel shows the correlation between the two datasets within the white box shown in the top two panels.
Fig. 3. Comparison of GOME-2 (top panels) and TOMCAT (middle panels) 0–6 km sub-column summertime ozone (DU) over the Mediterranean region for the years 2007 (left) and 2008 (right). The TOMCAT model output has been matched in time (within 6 h) and space to each GOME-2 observation and the GOME-2 averaging kernels have been applied before both sets of data have then been averaged over the months of June, July and August. The bottom panels show the correlation between the model and satellite observations for points within the white box shown in the top four panels.
Fig. 4. Map showing the region of interest in this study. The red box depicts what is referred to as the Mediterranean region, and the blue box shows the region referred to as “local” for the purposes of the emissions reductions applied in the model sensitivity experiments.
Fig. 5. Reduction in mean summertime (JJA) ozone (ppbv) resulting from a 20% reduction in four local precursor species emissions (from left to right: anthropogenic VOC, biogenic VOC, \( \text{NO}_x \), and biomass burning). The top row shows the reduction is surface level ozone over the Mediterranean region. The middle row shows zonal mean ozone reductions within the white boxes depicted in the maps on the top row. The bottom row shows meridional means of the ozone reduction, also within the white boxes.
Fig. 6. Reduction in mean summertime (JJA) ozone (ppbv) resulting from a 20% reduction in four global precursor species emissions (from left to right: anthropogenic VOC, biogenic VOC, NOx and Asian emissions). The top row shows the reduction is surface level ozone over the Mediterranean region. The middle row show zonal mean ozone reductions within the white boxes depicted in the maps on the top row. The bottom row shows meridional means of the ozone reduction, also within the white boxes.
Fig. 7. Contribution of global emissions (%) to changes in mean summertime (JJA) ozone for three precursor species emissions (from left to right: anthropogenic VOC, biogenic VOC and NO\textsubscript{x}). The top row shows the contributions to surface level ozone over the Mediterranean region. The middle row shows zonal means of the contribution within the white boxes depicted in the maps on the top row. The bottom row shows meridional means of the contribution, also within the white boxes.
Fig. 8. Summertime (JJA) net (longwave + shortwave) radiative effects (in mW m$^{-2}$) over the Mediterranean region at the top-of-the-atmosphere (TOA) (first and third column panels, with Mediterranean region mean shown) and as zonal mean vertical cross sections (second and fourth column panels) for the eight emission reduction experiments (see Table 2) compared to the “base” reference run.