Composite study of aerosol export events from East Asia and North America
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Abstract

We use satellite observations of aerosol optical depth (AOD) from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MODIS) together with the GEOS-Chem global chemical transport model to contrast export of aerosols from East Asia and North America during 2004–2010. The GEOS-Chem model reproduces the spatial distribution and temporal variations of Asian aerosol outflow generally well, although a low bias (−30%) is found in the model fine mode AOD. We use the model to identify 244 aerosol pollution export events from E. Asia and 251 export events from N. America over our 7-yr study period. When these events are composited by season, we find that the AOD in the outflow is enhanced by 50–100% relative to seasonal mean values. The composite Asian plume splits into one branch going poleward towards the Arctic, with the other crossing the Pacific in 6–8 days. A fraction of the aerosols is trapped in the subtropical Pacific High. The N. American plume travels to the northeast Atlantic, reaching Europe after 4–5 days. Part of the composite plume turns anticyclonically in the Azores High, where it slowly decays. Both the Asian and N. American export events are favored by a dipole structure in sea-level pressure anomalies, associated with mid-latitude cyclone activity over the respective source regions. The observed AOD in the E. Asian outflow exhibits stronger seasonality, with a spring maximum, than the N. American outflow, with a weak summer maximum. The large spring AOD in the Asian outflow is the result of enhanced sulfate and dust aerosol concentrations, but is also due to a larger export efficiency of sulfate and SO$_2$ from the Asian boundary layer relative to the N. American boundary layer. While the N. American sulfate outflow is mostly found in the lower troposphere (1–3 km altitude), the Asian sulfate outflow occurs at higher altitudes (2–6 km). In the Asian outflow 42–59% of the sulfate column is present above 2 km altitude, with only 24–35% in the N. American outflow. We link this to the factor of 2–5 lower precipitation in the warm conveyor belts (WCB) of midlatitude cyclones over E. Asia compared to N. America. This relative lack of precipitation makes Asian WCB very efficient for injecting aerosols in the middle troposphere.
1 Introduction

The long-range transport of aerosols from East Asia to the Pacific and to the N. American West Coast has been observed for several decades (e.g. Prospero, 1979; Duce et al., 1980; Andreae et al., 1988; Prospero et al., 2003; Jaffe et al., 1999, 2003; Arimoto et al., 1996, 1997; Husar et al., 2001; Clarke et al., 2001; VanCuren, 2003; Bertschi et al., 2004; Bertschi and Jaffe, 2005; Heald et al., 2006a; McKendry et al., 2008). Intensive field campaigns over the Western and Eastern Pacific have shown that Asian aerosols are a complex mixture of dust and anthropogenic particles, and contain significant levels of absorbing soot and organic carbon as a result of extensive coal burning and biomass burning (e.g. Hoell et al., 1996; Hoell et al., 1997; Jacob et al., 2003; Huebert et al., 2003; Parrish et al., 2004; Singh et al., 2009). Asian pollution layers intercepted 3–10 days downwind over the Pacific have elevated sulfate aerosol levels but reduced organics (Andreae et al., 1988; Peltier et al., 2008; Dunlea et al., 2009; van Donkelaar et al., 2008). This is consistent with fast formation of sulfate and organic aerosols within 1–2 days of emission over the Asian continent, followed by washout during lofting, and then slower conversion of the modestly-soluble anthropogenic SO$_2$ to sulfate aerosols during transport aloft (Brock et al., 2004). Export of Asian pollutants is strongest in spring, when the Asian aerosol plumes can be transported across the Pacific within a few days, but also occurs in other seasons (e.g. Liang et al., 2004; Holzer et al., 2005).

There is also significant observational evidence for long-range transport of N. American aerosols to the N. Atlantic and Europe. Polluted plumes of N. American origin have been sampled at remote sites throughout the N. Atlantic (e.g. Parrish et al., 1998; Savoie et al., 2002; Benkovitz et al., 2003; Huntrieser et al., 2005; Millet et al., 2006; Owen et al., 2006). Aerosols in the N. American outflow are dominated by sulfate, with a significant contribution from carbonaceous aerosols, especially during summer as a result of fires and biogenic precursor emissions (e.g. Millet et al., 2006; Murphy et al., 2006; Heald et al., 2006b; Goldstein et al., 2009). Aerosols from boreal forest
fires over Alaska and Canada can be transported to Europe, influencing both the free troposphere and surface (Forster et al., 2001; Wandinger et al., 2004).

Lofting in the Warm Conveyer Belt (WCB) of midlatitude cyclones followed by rapid transport in the strong westerly winds is considered to be the major mechanism for long-range transport of pollution from East Asia (Yienger et al., 2000; Holzer et al., 2003, 2005; Liang et al., 2005; Wuebbles et al., 2007) and from N. America (Cooper et al., 2002; Stohl et al., 2002; Li et al., 2005). In addition, transport in the boundary layer behind or ahead of the surface cold fronts of midlatitude cyclones is another pathway of pollution export at lower altitudes (Liu et al., 2003; Liang et al., 2004; Cooper et al., 2002). During summer, convective processes can also play an important role (Thompson et al., 1994; Bey et al., 2001a; Li et al., 2006). The efficiency with which aerosols and their precursors are transported in each of these pathways depends on how much dry and wet deposition they experience. Pollution aerosols transported in the marine boundary layer close to the ocean’s surface are rapidly removed by deposition. Rapid upward transport in midlatitude cyclones or convective storms is associated with intense precipitation. This leads to the scavenging of most pre-existing hydroscopic particles, resulting in a decrease of aerosol export efficiencies with altitude (Park et al., 2005). Anthropogenic aerosols are thus most efficiently transported above the boundary layer between 900–700 hPa (Bahreini et al., 2003; Huebert et al., 2003; Heald et al., 2006a). A study by Dickerson et al. (2007) found that dry convection, with little precipitation, may be especially effective in the vertical transport of aerosols over NE China during spring. Furthermore, as warm surface polluted continental air passes over colder marine air, it can create stable conditions with minimal vertical mixing (Angevine et al., 1996; Knapp et al., 1998). In this way the pollution layers are isolated from the ocean surface and soluble species, such as aerosols and nitric acid, can be transported hundreds of kilometers downwind at relatively low altitudes (Daum et al., 1996; Neiman et al., 2006; Owen et al., 2006).

Satellite observations present a global view of the distribution of aerosols and their precursors over multiple years, overcoming the limitation of in-situ measurements in
duration and spatial coverage. They also provide new evidence for the intercontinental transport of aerosols, and make the quantification of this transport flux possible. For example, Yu et al. (2008) used satellite observations from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (MODIS) and the Geoscience Laser Altimeter System (GLAS) to derive a 18 Tgyr\(^{-1}\) flux of pollution aerosols leaving Asia (at 30–60° N), of which 25% reaches the N. American West Coast. Based on the space-based lidar Cloud Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP), Eguchi et al. (2009) derived that 30% of the dust flux leaving E. Asia reaches N. America. Remote sensing observations from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) and the Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) show frequent transpacific transport of SO\(_2\) plumes from E. Asia (Clarisse et al., 2011; Hsu et al., 2012). Using OMI observations, Li et al. (2010) followed the evolution of a SO\(_2\) plume from NE China to the northwest Pacific, finding a \(\sim 2\) day e-folding time for SO\(_2\).

A mechanistic understanding of the processes leading to export and long-range transport of pollution has come from studying individual case studies, in which specific transport plumes were observed by in situ instruments and/or by satellites over the Pacific and Atlantic (e.g. Stohl and Trickl, 1999; Jaffe et al., 1999, 2001, 2003; Cooper et al., 2001, 2004; Husar et al., 2001; Brock et al., 2004; Heald et al., 2006a; Dickerson et al., 2007; Eguchi et al., 2009). Validating global models against against observations of such individual events can be challenging because model errors in meteorological fields or emissions location can lead to large spatial displacement of the modeled plume relative to observations (e.g. Nam et al., 2010). Furthermore, generalizing from a few case studies conducted during a specific year or season can be difficult.

In this study, we composite multiple Asian and N. American aerosol outflow events in order to examine the general features of these events. This approach provides more generality than individual long-range transport case studies, without losing useful information that is washed out by simple seasonal averaging. We apply this compositing methodology to the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model and to MODIS observa-
tions of aerosol optical depth (AOD) for the 2004–2010 period. In Sect. 2, we describe the model and satellite observations; we also validate the model prediction of AOD by comparison to MODIS. In Sect. 3, we present results from our compositing analysis for 244 Asian outflow events. We contrast outflow events from Asia to those from N. America in Sect. 4. Conclusions are presented in Sect. 5.

2 Methods

2.1 MODIS AOD

We use Level-3 (L3) daily MODIS AOD products at 550 nm, derived by the standard dark-target retrieval algorithms over the ocean and land (Remer et al., 2005). When available, we also use AOD over land from the Deep Blue algorithm (Hsu et al., 2006), which is designed to retrieve aerosol properties over reflective surfaces. We use 7 yr (2004–2010) of the Collection 5.1 daily 1° × 1° globally gridded datasets from the Aqua and Terra satellites. For comparison to model simulations, we regrid the L3 MODIS AOD observations to a 2° × 2.5° resolution. We select MODIS observations that satisfy the following criteria: cloud fraction smaller than 50%; AOD value less than 3; at least 25 valid pixels within each 2° × 2.5° grid box. This allows to reduce cloud contamination and to get statistically meaningful data, (Zhang et al., 2005; Zhang and Reid, 2006). We use the pixel-weighting method (Levy et al., 2009) to calculate monthly, seasonal, and annual mean gridded AOD.

In order to increase the spatial coverage of daily MODIS AOD, we combine observations from the Terra and Aqua satellites. Although these satellites sample the atmosphere at different times of day (10:30 a.m. and 1:30 p.m. equatorial local crossing times), both can represent daily averages and are statistically comparable (Kaufman et al., 2005a; Remer et al., 2008). We examine satellite retrievals in each grid box: if only one satellite has retrievals, then this AOD represents the final AOD; if both have
retrievals, then the pixel-weighting averaging method (Levy et al., 2009) is applied and the mean value represents the final AOD.

The MODIS retrievals provide a measure of particle size with the fine mode fraction (FMF) parameter. In our analysis we will separate the fine AOD (AOD × FMF), which is the AOD attributed to submicron particles often of anthropogenic origin, while the coarse AOD (AOD × (1-FMF)) is attributed to supermicron particles (dust and sea salt) (Kaufman et al., 2005b).

2.2 GEOS-Chem model

We use the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model (v8-02-04, http://acmg.seas.harvard.edu/geos/) to conduct a coupled aerosol-oxidant simulation for 2004–2010. GEOS-Chem is driven by assimilated meteorological observations from the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) of the NASA Global Modeling and Assimilation Office. We use GEOS-5 meteorological fields, with a native horizontal resolution of 0.5° latitude by 0.667° longitude and 72 vertical levels, extending from the surface up to 0.01 hPa (including 14 levels between the surface and 2 km altitude). For computational efficiency, we regrid these fields to a 2° × 2.5° horizontal resolution and 47 vertical layers.

The GEOS-Chem aerosol-oxidant simulation has been presented and evaluated in several previous studies (Park et al., 2003; 2004; 2005). The aerosol simulation includes sulfate (sulfate-ammonium-nitrate system) (Park et al., 2004), organic carbon (OC) and black carbon (BC) (Park et al., 2003), sea salt (Jaeglé et al., 2011), and soil dust (Fairlie et al., 2007). The simulation we are using here does not include an explicit formulation of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation. All aerosol species are treated as externally mixed.

A detailed ozone-NO$_x$-hydrocarbon chemical mechanism is included in the tropospheric oxidant chemistry simulation (Bey et al., 2001b; Martin et al., 2003). The aerosol and oxidant chemistry are coupled through the formation of sulfate and nitrate, heterogeneous reactions, and aerosol effects on photolysis rates. All aerosol species
are subject to dry deposition: sulfate, OC, and BC follow a standard resistance-in-series scheme based on Wesely (1989) as implemented by Wang et al. (1998); dust and sea salt follow the size-dependent scheme of Zhang et al. (2001). Hydrophilic aerosols are subject to wet deposition as described in Liu et al. (2001), including rainout and washout from large scale precipitation, and scavenging in convective updrafts, allowing for return to the atmosphere after evaporation.

The global anthropogenic emissions for GEOS-Chem are from the EDGAR 3.2 FT2000 global inventory. Regional anthropogenic emissions over Asia are overwritten with the 2006 inventory of Zhang et al. (2009), and emissions over North America are overwritten with the EPA/NEI2005 inventory. Biomass burning emissions are taken from the GFEDv2 monthly inventory (van der Werf et al., 2006).

Optical properties are calculated in GEOS-Chem for each aerosol component as a function of local relative humidity (Martin et al., 2003). AOD at 550 nm is calculated from the mass concentration, extinction efficiency, effective radius, and particle mass density with updated size distribution (Drury et al., 2010). For comparison to MODIS fine mode AOD, we combine GEOS-Chem sulfate-nitrate-ammonium, OC, BC and fine mode sea salt aerosols. For coarse mode AOD we add dust together with coarse mode sea salt aerosols.

2.3 Model evaluation

Figure 1 shows the MODIS and GEOS-Chem seasonal mean AOD over the North Pacific for 2004–2010. The model and observations display their largest AOD enhancements during spring (March–May), as a result of frequent pollution export events combined with dust storms and, for some years, boreal biomass burning emissions. The AOD decreases from 0.2–0.4 during spring to values < 0.2 for other seasons. The increase in AOD over the Central N. Pacific during winter (December–February) is associated with sea salt produced by strong winds in the storm track. Note that the lack of MODIS observations over the N. Pacific during JJA is due to persistent cloud cover in that region. Over the ocean, the model exhibits a negative bias ranging from 10 to 40 %
The agreement is particularly good during winter and spring (with a bias generally smaller than 20%). The largest model underestimate occurs in summer, with a 20–40% negative bias over most of the N. Pacific.

Figure 2 compares the 2004–2010 daily timeseries of observed and modeled AOD in the Asian outflow region over the NW Pacific (145°–155° E, 30°–50° N, as defined by the white box in Fig. 1). We only display days with at least 20% of valid MODIS observations within the selected region. We then apply a 5-day running mean to both modeled and observed timeseries. The model total AOD shows a small negative systematic bias (−17%), but displays good temporal correlation ($r = 0.77$) with MODIS (Fig. 2a). The model reproduces the seasonal cycle, and captures most of the synoptic-scale transport events. On average, modeled coarse AOD is lower by 0.01 (12%) compared to MODIS, while fine AOD is lower by 30% in the Asian outflow region (Fig. 2b, c). The model negative bias for fine AOD is largest during late spring and summer. Some of this underestimate might be due to model representation of boreal biomass burning. In our GEOS-Chem simulation, biomass burning emissions are confined to the boundary layer, while in reality a significant fraction of the emissions might be injected in the free troposphere, enhancing their long-range transport (e.g. Leung et al., 2007; Turquety et al., 2007). The underestimate of AOD over biomass burning source regions of Siberia, Alaska, and Northern Canada during summer can be seen in Fig. 1. Lapina et al. (2011) also noted the systematic GEOS-Chem underestimate of MODIS AOD over the ocean, which they attributed to a combination of satellite retrieval bias and a missing marine aerosol source. They found that inclusion of a marine organic matter source did not help reduce this bias.

Despite these issues, the overall ability of the model in reproducing the spatial and temporal variability in AOD gives us confidence in using GEOS-Chem as a tool to understand the variability observed by MODIS.
3 Composites of aerosol export events from East Asia

In this section we identify hundreds of outflow events based on the GEOS-Chem sulfate AOD timeseries for 2004–2010. We then composite these events by season to identify general patterns in the horizontal location of AOD enhancements, the vertical distribution of aerosols, and common meteorological features associated with export.

3.1 Identification of export events

Most of the pollution export from East Asia to the NW Pacific occurs over the 30°–60° N latitude range (Liang et al., 2005). We thus analyze daily variations in GEOS-Chem sulfate AOD averaged over this latitude band at 150° E longitude for 2004–2010. We choose sulfate aerosols as a proxy for pollution aerosols. The model sulfate AOD timeseries at 150° E is shown in Fig. 3 (top panel) for the year 2007. The timeseries is highly episodic, with the strongest outflow events occurring during spring, but with significant events also taking place during other seasons as noted in previous studies (Yienger et al., 2000; Jaeglé et al., 2003; Liang et al., 2004). We apply a 60-day high-pass filter to remove the seasonal cycle. We will refer to the resulting sulfate AOD anomalies timeseries as the “Asian outflow timeseries” (Fig. 3, middle panel). We find that the Asian outflow timeseries is log-normally distributed. We define enhanced aerosol export events during spring as the top 20% days in the frequency distribution of the Asian outflow timeseries for 2004–2010. For other seasons, we use the top 15% as a threshold, since during these seasons the frequency distributions are narrower, indicating fewer enhanced transport episodes. We will refer to these enhanced outflow days as “LRT+ events”. In cases where outflow events take place over a period of 2–3 days, we only keep the day with the highest AOD enhancement so as to avoid multiple counting. The red triangles in Fig. 3 correspond to the 33 LRT+ days identified in 2007 (10 in spring, 8 in summer, 6 in fall and 9 in winter). There appears to be reasonable correspondence between LRT+ days identified with the model and elevated fine mode AOD values measured by MODIS (Fig. 3, bottom panel).
For the 2004–2010 time period, we have identified 244 LRT$^+$ days: 81 in spring, 47 in summer, 56 in fall and 60 in winter. This corresponds to a mean frequency of one event every 8 days during spring, decreasing to one event every 14 days during summer. Note that pollution export out of East Asia is often accompanied by export of dust aerosols (Uematsu et al., 1983; Jaffe et al., 1999; Uno et al., 2001; Takemura et al., 2002; Huebert et al., 2003). Indeed, as dust storms from the Gobi Desert and Taklimakan Desert move to East China, the strong winds often sweep anthropogenic aerosols out of the polluted regions to the Pacific Ocean. We find that in 54 of the 81 LRT$^+$ spring days, dust was also exported in significant amounts.

3.2 Composites of export events

For each season, we generate composite maps averaging all LRT$^+$ days over the NW Pacific. Figure 4 shows the composites of GEOS-Chem AOD anomalies (top row) and MODIS AOD anomalies (middle row). We define anomalies as the difference between the mean AOD on LRT$^+$ days minus the seasonal average. For example, the spring LRT$^+$ composite is calculated by taking the mean model AOD for the 81 LRT$^+$ days identified in MAM and subtracting the spring mean AOD for 2004–2010. During LRT$^+$ events, GEOS-Chem predicts large AOD enhancements extending from Southern Japan to the Kamchatka Peninsula. At 150° E, AOD anomalies reach 0.1–0.15 corresponding to a 50–100% enhancement relative to seasonal mean values (Fig. 4a). The pattern is consistent from season to season, and agrees well with MODIS composites on those same LRT$^+$ days (Fig. 4b).

A composite of vertical profiles of model aerosol extinction coefficients at 150° E (Fig. 4c) shows that transport of sulfate occurs mostly below 4 km altitude, with maximum enhancements at 1–3 km. The LRT$^+$ sulfate extinction coefficients are enhanced by a factor of two relative to seasonal mean values. During spring, substantial amounts of dust also get transported on LRT$^+$ days, but at higher altitudes (~2–8 km) as a result of the elevated topography of Asian deserts. Studies based on the CALIOP spaceborne lidar found Asian dust layers transported at altitudes ranging from 4 km to 9 km
(Huang et al., 2008; Uno et al., 2008; Eguchi et al., 2009), consistent with model results (e.g. Heald et al., 2006a; Nam et al., 2009). In GEOS-Chem, dust aerosols account for 30% of the total AOD enhancement during spring LRT\textsuperscript{+} days.

Figure 5 shows composite meteorological fields two days before LRT\textsuperscript{+} days. This 2-day lag corresponds to the average transport time from East China to 150°E (Liang et al., 2005). Sea level pressure (SLP) anomaly composites (filled contours in Fig. 5a) display an east-west dipole structure in during spring, fall and winter, with a negative SLP anomaly of 3–8 hPa over NE China and a positive anomaly of 2–5 hPa over Japan. During summer, the negative SLP anomaly is much weaker. The negative SLP anomaly in spring, fall and winter is indicative of surface low pressure systems over NE China, inducing strong convergent flow (southwesterly and northwesterly winds) over Eastern China as shown by the 850 hPa wind speed anomalies (Fig. 5b). The negative SLP anomaly corresponds to an 850 hPa trough, upward motion, and a precipitation band (not shown), all implying the influence of a midlatitude cyclone system. The positive SLP anomaly and reduced wind speeds over the NW Pacific near Japan are consistent with the presence of stagnant conditions 2 days before LRT\textsuperscript{+} events conducive to pollution accumulation over NE China. These conditions are favorable for build-up and then export of anthropogenic aerosol from this highly polluted region to the Pacific Ocean. The weak summer SLP signature indicates that cyclones are not the main meteorological mechanism leading to export in that season, with convection likely playing a more dominant role. Cyclones over NE China are related to lee cyclogenesis, originating over three main regions: the Altai-Sayan mountain ranges, Lake Baikal (south of the Stanovoi mountain range), and east of the Great Xinganling Mountains in NE China (Chen et al., 1991). These cyclones occur year-round, with a maximum frequency in spring and a minimum in winter.

During winter, the widespread negative SLP anomaly over Eastern China represents a weakening of the Siberian High, accounting for less stable boundary layer conditions over these regions. This induces strong northwesterly winds at lower altitudes, which
contribute to the enhanced sulfate outflow at these levels (from the surface to 3 km, see Fig. 4).

Liang et al. (2005) correlated daily modeled Asian anthropogenic CO column in the outflow region with the local SLP anomaly 2 days earlier for the 1992–2002 period. They found a strong negative correlation centered over NE China at 45° N, indicating that midlatitude cyclones were the dominant synoptic scale influence on export of Asian CO across all seasons. The SLP anomaly pattern displayed in Fig. 5 is very similar to the pattern found in Liang et al. (2005), and confirms the strong role of midlatitude cyclones in the export of aerosols from E. Asia for all seasons, with the exception of summer.

### 3.3 Evolution of export events over the N. Pacific during spring

Once a pollution plume is exported to the NW Pacific, its evolution is controlled by the meteorological conditions downstream. Liang et al. (2005) found that enhanced transpacific transport is characterized by the combined effects of a strong Pacific High and a strong low over Alaska. Figure 6 shows the evolution of GEOS-Chem spring AOD anomaly composites starting 2 days before LRT$^+$ days and continuing for the 6 days following these enhanced export days. Instead of the absolute AOD anomalies relative to mean seasonal conditions (as used in Fig. 4), we show the anomalies expressed as a percentage relative to mean seasonal conditions. This emphasizes the influence of the composite Asian plume as it travels over cleaner conditions in the Central and Eastern Pacific. The resulting composite evolution of export events displays some coherence for a period of 6–9 days. This illustrates that despite the variability of the 81 individual export events (with different composition, latitudes, altitudes, and speeds), the composite can capture some common patterns.

At LRT$^+$ – 2 days, there is a strong AOD enhancement over NE China. This enhancement is transported to our wall at 150° E in 2 days, and reaches the Central Pacific 2 days later (LRT$^+$ + 2 days). The composite plume splits into two branches, with the lower latitude branch (< 45° N) being transported eastward, and the high latitude
branch (> 45° N) being transported poleward towards the Arctic. By examining individual transport events, we find that the poleward transport events are favored by the presence of a blocking high-pressure system in the North Pacific, similar to the results of Di Pierro et al. (2011). Over the next 3 days, the aerosol plume is transported across the N. Pacific, reaching the West Coast of N. America 4–6 days after the LRT+ export events, thus taking a mean 6–8 day transport time from East China to the N. American West Coast. This transport time is consistent with previous studies (e.g. Yienger et al., 2000; Holzer et al., 2003, 2005). The magnitude of the AOD enhancement over the N. American West Coast is ~10–20 %, extending from Alaska to California. Some of the composite plume can also be trapped in the Pacific High and recirculates in the subtropics, as suggested by the AOD enhancements on LRT+ +5–6 days south of 30° N (Fig. 6). The perturbation lasts for several days, suggesting a long lifetime of aerosols in the dry environment of the descending air masses in the Pacific High.

The composite evolution of MODIS AOD anomalies (Fig. 6, bottom panels) shows similar spatial distributions as the model between LRT+−2 days and LRT+ + 2 days. Beyond that, the MODIS AOD anomalies become noisy over the Pacific and no coherent plume emerges from the resulting composites. This is due to frequent cloud cover and thus patchy sampling of individual plumes by MODIS over the Pacific.

The corresponding composite evolution of SLP fields (not shown) displays a strong low pressure anomaly over Mongolia and Southern Siberia, the Altai-Sayan lee cyclogenesis region, on LRT+ minus 3–4 days. This low pressure system is over NE China on days LRT+ minus 2 and 1 day. Strong low-level northwesterly and southwesterly winds converge over the East Coast of China, coincident with the rising motion ahead of midlatitude cyclones. As the cyclone moves towards the NE Pacific, the aerosol plume is transported by the strong upper level winds ahead of the cyclone.
4 N. American aerosol export compared to Asian export

We now contrast aerosol export from E. Asia to export from N. America. Both regions are on the Eastern parts of midlatitude Northern Hemisphere continents and are heavily populated, with significant industrial activity. Both regions are located in the storm track, so that export of pollution is dominated by the same meteorological mechanisms, midlatitude cyclones and seasonal convection. There are some major differences in terms of emissions of aerosols and their precursors. Anthropogenic emissions of SO$_2$ are significantly higher over East Asia compared to N. America. In their 2006 anthropogenic emissions inventory, Zhang et al. (2009) estimate 31 Tg SO$_2$ from China and 0.9 Tg from Japan. The 2008 National Emission Inventory from the Environmental Protection Agency (http://www.epa.gov/ttnchie1/net/2008inventory.html) reports 17 Tg SO$_2$ from the US, and Environment Canada’s National Pollutant Release Inventory (http://www.ec.gc.ca/inrp-npri/) reports 1.7 Tg from Canada. Vegetation is abundant in the Eastern US, which leads to significant biogenic volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions during summer. In contrast, E. Asia with its more arid conditions has weaker biogenic VOC emissions, but considerable dust emissions, especially during spring.

Figure 7 compares the 2004–2010 monthly mean MODIS AOD in the outflow regions of E. Asia (65°–55° W, 30°–50° N) and N. America (145°–155° E, 30°–50° N). On average, the observed AOD in the E. Asian outflow is 40 % higher than in the N. American outflow. The enhancement in the Asian outflow relative to the N. American outflow is particularly strong during spring, reaching 70–100 % during March–May. This is the result of both enhanced dust export and higher anthropogenic emissions of aerosol precursors over E. Asia. Fine AOD in the Asian outflow is 60 % higher relative to the N. American outflow (Fig. 7c). Compared to N. America, coarse AOD is 40–70 % higher over E. Asia during February–May, when dust dominates (Fig. 7b). In other months, when sea salt dominates, coarse AOD is similar for both regions with a mean AOD of 0.07 observed by MODIS and captured by GEOS-Chem.
While MODIS AOD in the E. Asian outflow region has a pronounced spring maximum, the N. American outflow has a weaker seasonal variation, with a small summer maximum. This is potentially due to a smaller seasonal contrast in meteorological fields and cyclone activity over N. America. It could also be the result of enhanced SOA production and export during summer from the Eastern US. Indeed the GEOS-Chem model AOD predicts a small spring maximum in AOD in the N. American outflow region, however it tends to underestimate summertime fine AOD. This is likely due to not including an explicit SOA mechanism in our GEOS-Chem simulation.

4.1 Composites of export events from N. America

Using the methodology described in Sect. 3.1, we define a “N. American outflow time-series” based on daily GEOS-Chem sulfate AOD timeseries over Western Atlantic (65°–55°W, 30°–50°N). We identify 251 LRT+ events for 2004–2010 (72 events in spring, 60 in summer, 61 in fall and 58 in winter). During LRT+ events, the composites for modeled and MODIS fine mode AOD anomalies display strong positive anomalies around 60°W, with AOD enhancements of 0.04–0.08, corresponding to a 50–100 % increase relative to mean seasonal AOD (Fig. 8a, b). The AOD enhancement pattern is similar for all seasons.

The composite modeled vertical profiles of sulfate aerosol extinction coefficients on LRT+ days (Fig. 8c) shows a maximum at 1 km, and then decreases rapidly with altitude. During LRT+ events, the sulfate AOD is a factor of two higher relative to mean seasonal conditions, a similar relative enhancement to what we had found downwind of E. Asia. Spring and summer display the largest AOD enhancements, suggesting stronger export during these seasons.

The composite SLP anomaly 2 days prior to LRT+ events display a dipole structure, with a 3–5 hPa negative anomaly over continental N. America, and a positive anomaly over the eastern part of the continent, extending over the NW Atlantic. This structure is similar to that over E. Asia, but the negative anomalies are centered farther inland. The negative SLP anomalies are associated with surface low pressure systems over
the Central US. This dipole structure induces strong southwesterly winds at lower altitudes extending from Texas to the Great Lakes region (Fig. 9, bottom panels). These southwesterly winds then converge with northwesterly winds near the US-Canada border leading to favorable conditions for outflow of anthropogenic aerosols from these relatively polluted regions out to the NW Atlantic.

Composites of SLP anomalies on LRT$^+$ days (without any lag) display a negative SLP anomaly over the Gulf of Saint Lawrence and Quebec. This represents the moving low pressure center ventilating the N. American boundary layer and exporting aerosols to the NE Atlantic. The role of migratory midlatitude cyclones in controlling the export of pollution from N. America is consistent with previous studies (e.g. Merrill and Moody, 1996; Stohl, 2001; Auvray and Bey, 2005; Fang et al., 2009). In particular, Fang et al. (2009) regressed modeled summertime CO fluxes out of N. America with SLP, finding a very similar negative SLP anomaly over the Gulf of Saint Lawrence.

4.2 Evolution of LRT$^+$ spring export events over the North Atlantic

Once over the Atlantic Ocean, pollution transport is controlled by the strength and position of the Azores High and the Icelandic Low (Auvray and Bey, 2005; Owen et al., 2007). Figure 10 follows the evolution of composite spring outflow events from N. America over an 8 day period: from 2 days prior to LRT$^+$ to 6 days after LRT$^+$. We show fine mode AOD anomalies (expressed as percentage enhancement relative to seasonal values) to highlight pollution outflow. As the composite outflow plume travels over the N. Atlantic Ocean, it is stretched to the NE, following the south westerly winds in the storm track (LRT$^+ + 1$ day). Starting 2 days after LRT$^+$, we see one branch continuing to the NE, reaching Europe on LRT$^+ + 2$–3 days, while another branch is entrained in the Azores High turning anticyclonically (LRT$^+ + 3$–6 days). These two pathways can also be seen in the MODIS fine AOD anomaly composites (Fig. 10b). Auvray and Bey (2005) noted that part of the recirculating N. American plume in the Azores High can also head back toward Europe.
4.3 Differences in the vertical profiles of sulfate in E. Asian and N. American outflows

Figure 11 compares the vertical profiles of sulfate aerosol extinction in the Asian and N. American outflow regions on LRT$^+$ days composited by season. To emphasize the vertical structure, we have normalized the profiles by the maximum extinction values, which typically occur at $\sim$1 km altitude. In the boundary layer (below 2 km altitude) the profiles in the two outflow regions are similar; however, the profiles differ significantly in the free troposphere. In the Asian outflow region a larger fraction of the sulfate is found at higher altitudes, especially during spring and winter. The fraction of column sulfate present in the free troposphere above 2 km altitude for the Asian outflow (N. American outflow) is: 54\% (35\%), 40\% (24\%), 42\% (25\%), 59\% (32\%) in MAM, JJA, SON, DJF, respectively. Thus in the Asian outflow more than half of the sulfate export occurs above 2 km altitude during winter and spring. In contrast, in the N. American outflow only a third of the outflow reaches these altitudes.

These differences in the vertical distribution of sulfate aerosols could be due to less efficient scavenging of SO$_2$ and sulfate during export of the boundary layer from E. Asia compared to N. America. Indeed, mean annual precipitation in the Eastern US is about 3–4 mm day$^{-1}$, much higher than over East China at 1–2 mm day$^{-1}$ (Fig. 11). While precipitation in the Eastern US displays little seasonal variability, precipitation in NE China occurs mostly during summer months. Domrős and Peng (1988) report that 70\% of annual precipitation over NE China takes place in June-July-August. During summer, both regions have similar precipitation rates: 2–3 mm day$^{-1}$. However, during spring, the NE US experiences 2–3 mm day$^{-1}$, but NE China sees only 1 mm day$^{-1}$ (Fig. 11, middle panels). For fall and winter, precipitation over NE China is generally below 1 mm day$^{-1}$, while over the NE US it remains at 2–3 mm day$^{-1}$.

We further contrast these two regions by examining composites of precipitation two days before spring LRT$^+$ events (Fig. 11, bottom panels). The region where we found maximum AOD anomalies (Figs. 6 and 10) is highlighted with a box in Fig. 11.
Mean precipitation in that box is 1.1 mm day$^{-1}$ over E. Asia, but 2.1 mm day$^{-1}$ over N. America. Even larger differences are found in fall (E. Asia: 0.4 mm day$^{-1}$; N. America: 1.8 mm day$^{-1}$) and winter (E. Asia: 0.4 mm day$^{-1}$; N. America: 2.1 mm day$^{-1}$). Summer is the only season where precipitation in E. Asia reaches levels comparable to those over N. America (2–3 mm day$^{-1}$), as a result of the summer monsoon.

The Asian midlatitude cyclones are usually associated with strong surface winds but little precipitation, because the warm sector of the cyclone is from the dry interior of China, where there is little moisture (Domrós and Peng, 1988). Eckhardt et al. (2004) examined global annual precipitation generated along WCB trajectories, also finding much drier conditions in WCB over E. Asia and the Western Pacific (100°E–150°E) compared to Eastern N. America and the West Atlantic (−100°E to −50°E, see their Fig. 11d). It thus appears the relative dryness of Asian cyclones makes them efficient systems for lifting aerosols and their precursors to the free troposphere over NE China.

There is significant observational evidence that a sizeable fraction of SO$_2$ over NE China can escape scavenging during lifting and then be converted to sulfate in the free troposphere during transpacific transport. Aircraft measurements over Central China in April 2008 displayed very large concentrations of SO$_2$ in the free troposphere, with distinct SO$_2$ plumes observed at 2–4 km altitude reaching levels of 2–7 ppbv (He et al., 2012). Background free tropospheric SO$_2$ levels were about 1 ppbv over that region. Dickerson et al. (2007) also reported significant levels of SO$_2$ over NE China, with about 0.6 ppbv on average at 2.5 km altitude. In contrast, Taubman et al. (2006) and Henningan et al. (2006) measured 0.1–0.2 ppbv mean SO$_2$ concentrations at 2.5 km during summer over the NE US. Dickerson et al. (2007) proposed that the high levels of SO$_2$ above China during spring could be due to dry convection ahead of cold fronts lofting SO$_2$ from the polluted boundary layer to the free troposphere with little scavenging. Using the OMI instrument onboard the Aura satellite, Li et al. (2010) followed the evolution of a SO$_2$ plume from NE China to the NW Pacific, finding a $\sim$2 day e-folding time for SO$_2$. The resulting conversion of SO$_2$ to sulfate led to an increase in MODIS AOD by 0.1–0.4 in the plume over the NW Pacific. The OMI instrument detected
SO₂ long-range transport episodes over the N. Pacific during fall (Hsu et al., 2012). Dunlea et al. (2009) presented two case studies of Asian plumes observed over the NE Pacific Ocean at 3–6 km altitude. One of the plumes was intercepted 3–4 days downwind of Asia, with 2.4 ppbv SO₂ and 50% of the sulfur present at sulfate. An older plume, 7–10 days downwind of Asia, had less SO₂ (0.1 ppbv), but more sulfur present as sulfate (90%).

Our model simulations suggest that the relative lack of precipitation in WCBs over E. Asia leads to more efficient export of SO₂ and sulfate to the free troposphere compared to N. America. During winter, an additional factor could be that larger SO₂ emissions over E. Asia result in the titration of H₂O₂ by in-cloud SO₂ oxidation to sulfate aerosols (Chin and Jacob, 1996; Tu et al., 2004). As the availability of H₂O₂ is one of the limits to aqueous SO₂ uptake, this could lead to more efficient export of SO₂, especially during winter when oxidant levels are low.

5 Summary

We used MODIS observations of AOD combined with the GEOS-Chem chemical transport model to analyze outflow of aerosols out of E. Asia and N. America in 2004–2010. Compared to MODIS, the GEOS-Chem model reproduces the spatial distribution and temporal variation of Asian and N. American aerosol outflow reasonably well in general. However, GEOS-Chem underestimates MODIS fine AOD by 30% over the N. Pacific and N. Atlantic. This negative bias is largest during summer and could be related to too low fire injection heights in the model, or possibly to a missing oceanic source of aerosols in the model.

During the 7 yr of our study period, we have identified 244 aerosol outflow events from E. Asia (81 in spring, 47 in summer, 56 in fall, 60 in winter) and 251 events from N. America (72 in spring, 60 in summer, 61 in fall, 58 in winter) using the modeled sulfate AOD as a proxy for pollution aerosols. We have composited these enhanced outflow events (LRT⁺ events) by season to examine common patterns in aerosol enhance-
ments and meteorological fields. For both outflow regions and across all seasons, we find a 50–100 % AOD enhancement during LRT+ events relative to seasonal mean AOD levels. We found that 2/3 of the E. Asian sulfate aerosol export events during spring are associated with substantial dust export.

In spring, fall, and winter, both the E. Asian aerosol outflow and the N. American outflow are favored by a dipole structure in SLP anomalies two days prior to LRT+ events. Over E. Asia, this dipole is composed of negative SLP anomalies over NE China and positive SLP anomalies over the Sea of Japan and the East China Sea, accompanied by strong convergent winds and upward motion over NE China. Over N. America, the dipole is characterized by negative SLP anomalies over Central N. America and positive SLP anomalies over the NW Atlantic, associated with strong convergent winds over the Great Lakes region. This surface SLP signature indicates that midlatitude cyclones are the dominant synoptic scale influence on export of pollution from both regions. During summer the negative SLP anomaly is much weaker, likely because other meteorological factors, such as convective storms, are the dominant export mechanism.

We followed the evolution of composite spring export events over the N. Pacific and N. Atlantic. The modeled AOD composite plume maintained coherence over an 8 day period as it crossed the ocean basins, illustrating the common features of the individual long-range transport events. We found that after export over the NW Pacific, the aerosol plume splits into two branches. One branch is transported to the Arctic when a blocking high pressure system is present in the Central N. Pacific. The other branch continues in the westerly winds, reaching N. America 6–8 days after leaving E. China. The resulting AOD enhancement is 10–20 % over the West Coast of N. America. Part of the aerosol pollution plume recirculates in the Pacific High. The N. American composite pollution plume follows two distinctive pathways over the N. Atlantic: one transport pathway reaches Europe after 4–5 days, while the other pathway is entrained in the Azores High, turning anticyclonically.

We found that the E. Asian aerosol outflow differs from N. American aerosol outflow in several aspects. MODIS AOD over the NW Pacific is about 40 % larger than AOD
over the NW Atlantic. During spring AOD over the NW Pacific is nearly a factor of 2 higher than over the NW Atlantic. Some of this enhancement is due to the combination of higher anthropogenic emissions of aerosol precursors over E. Asia together with significant dust emissions. During winter and spring, our model results suggest that 54–59 % of the sulfate aerosol column in the Asian outflow occurs above 2 km altitude, compared to only 32–34 % in the N. American outflow. We linked this more efficient export to the free troposphere over E. Asia to the fact that midlatitude cyclone precipitation over Northern China is inhibited during the dry monsoon season (winter and spring), while precipitation over Eastern N. America occurs all-year round. The factor of 2–3 difference in precipitation results in less scavenging during aerosol export episodes over E. Asia than over N. America, suggesting more efficient export by mid-latitude cyclones over E. Asia and thus a larger propensity for intercontinental transport.
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Fig. 1. Comparison between MODIS (top row) and GEOS-Chem (middle row) AOD over the North Pacific in different seasons over 2004–2010. From left to right: spring (MAM), summer (JJA), fall (SON), and winter (DJF). Panels in the bottom row show the percent difference between GEOS-Chem and MODIS AOD. The grey color represents missing data or cloudy conditions in the MODIS observations. The model is sampled only on the days when MODIS data is available. The white box in the top rows defines the Asian outflow region used in Fig. 2.
Fig. 2. Daily timeseries of AOD in the Asian outflow region (145°–155° E, 30°–50° N), white box in Fig. 1 for 2004–2010: (a) total AOD, (b) coarse AOD, (c) fine AOD. MODIS AOD is shown in black and GEOS-Chem AOD in red. In addition, modeled dust AOD is shown in panel (b) (blue line) and modeled sulfate in panel (c) (blue line). A 5-day running mean was applied to the daily AOD.
Fig. 3. Top: daily GEOS-Chem sulfate AOD averaged in the Asian outflow region (30–60° N, 150° E, black solid line) for the year 2007. The dashed line indicates the 60-day running mean. Middle: sulfate AOD anomalies “Asian outflow timeseries” (timeseries on top panel minus the 60-day running mean). Bottom: daily MODIS fine AOD at 30–60° N, 145–155° E for 2007. Red triangles indicate the 33 enhanced Asian export LRT\(^+\) days, as described in Sect. 3.1.
Fig. 4. Seasonal composites of AOD anomalies and extinction profiles over the NW Pacific on LRT$^+$ days. From left to right: spring (MAM), summer (JJA), fall (SON), and winter (DJF). (a) GEOS-Chem AOD anomalies (filled contours) with 850 hPa wind fields (arrows). The red line (150° E, 30°–60° N) indicates the wall defining our Asian outflow timeseries. (b) MODIS AOD anomalies. (c) GEOS-Chem extinction profiles of sulfate (red) and dust (green) at 150° E, 30°–60° N. The dashed lines indicate the seasonal average, while the solid lines correspond to mean profiles on LRT$^+$ days. The mean values for the AOD are indicated in the figure caption.
Fig. 5. Seasonal composites of meteorological fields anomalies 2 days before E. Asian LRT$^+$ events for 2004–2010. Top: sea level pressure anomalies (filled contours) and surface wind fields (arrows). Bottom: 850 hPa wind speed anomalies (filled contours) and wind direction (arrows).
Fig. 6. Springtime evolution of AOD anomalies from 2 days prior to LRT$^+$ days to 6 days after LRT$^+$ days over the N. Pacific. The AOD anomalies are expressed in percent relative to seasonal mean AOD values. (a) GEOS-Chem AOD anomalies. Arrows indicate composites of 850 hPa wind fields. (b) MODIS AOD anomalies.
**Fig. 7.** Seasonal evolution of MODIS (solid lines) and GEOS-Chem (dotted lines) AOD in the E. Asian and N. American outflow regions in the NW Pacific (145°–155° E, 30°–50° N, black) and NW Atlantic (65°–55° W, 30°–50° N) for 2004–2010. Individual panels show the total AOD (a), coarse mode AOD (b) and fine mode AOD (c).
Fig. 8. Seasonal composites of AOD anomalies and extinction profiles over the NW Atlantic on LRT\(^+\) days. (a) GEOS-Chem AOD anomalies (filled contours) with 850 hPa wind fields (arrows). (b) MODIS AOD anomalies. (c) GEOS-Chem extinction profiles of sulfate aerosols at 60° W, 30°–50° N (location indicated by red line in panels (a) and (b)). The dashed lines indicate the seasonal average, while the solid lines correspond to mean profiles on LRT\(^+\) days.
Fig. 9. Seasonal composites of meteorological fields anomalies 2 days before N. American LRT+ events for 2004–2010. Top: sea level pressure anomalies (filled contours) and surface wind fields (arrows). Bottom: 850 hPa wind speed anomalies (filled contours) and wind direction (arrows).
Fig. 10. Springtime evolution of AOD anomalies from 2 days prior to LRT$^+$ days to 6 days after LRT$^+$ days over the N. Atlantic. The AOD anomalies are expressed in percent relative to seasonal mean AOD values. (a) GEOS-Chem AOD anomalies. Arrow indicated composites of 850 hPa wind fields. (b) MODIS AOD anomalies.
Fig. 11. Seasonal composite sulfate extinction profiles on LRT+ days in the outflow regions of N. America (30–50° N, 60° W, black line) and E. Asia (30–60° N, 150° E, red line). The sulfate extinction profiles are normalized by the maximum extinction values for each season. From left to right: MAM, JJA, SON, DJF.
**Fig. 12.** Comparison of GEOS-5 precipitation in N. America (left) and E. Asia (right) (unit: mm day$^{-1}$). Top: annual mean for 2004–2010. Middle panel: spring mean for 2004–2010. Bottom panel: composite of springtime LRT$^+$ days with a 2 day lag. The box in the middle and bottom panels indicates the location of maximum AOD enhancement.