Latitudinal distribution of reactive iodine in the Eastern Pacific and its link to open ocean sources
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Abstract

Ship-based Multi-Axis Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy measurements of iodine monoxide (IO) and atmospheric and seawater Gas Chromatography – Mass Spectrometer observations of methyl iodide (CH$_3$I) were made in the Eastern Pacific marine boundary layer during April 2010 as a part of the HaloCarbon Air Sea Transect-Pacific (HaloCAST-P) scientific cruise. The presence of IO in the open ocean environment was confirmed, with a maximum differential slant column density of $5 \times 10^{13}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ (corresponding to approximately 1 pptv) measured in the oligotrophic region of the Southeastern Pacific. Such low IO mixing ratios and their observed geographical distribution are inconsistent with satellite estimates and with previous understanding of oceanic sources of iodine. A strong correlation was observed between reactive iodine (defined as IO + I) and CH$_3$I, suggesting common sources. In situ measurements of meteorological parameters and physical ocean variables, along with satellite-based observations of Chlorophyll $a$ (Chl $a$) and Chromophoric Dissolved Organic Matter (CDOM) were used to gain insight into the possible sources of iodine in this remote environment. Surprisingly, reactive iodine showed a negative correlation ($>99\%$ confidence) to Chl $a$ and CDOM across the cruise transect. However, a significant positive correlation ($>99\%$ confidence) with sea surface temperature (SST) and salinity instead suggests a widespread abiotic source related to the availability of aqueous iodine and to temperature.

1 Introduction

The recent observation of significant slant column densities (SCDs) of iodine monoxide (IO) over a large region of the Eastern Pacific from the satellite-borne instrument SCIAMACHY (Schönhardt et al., 2008) has raised the question of the potential climatic impact of iodine chemistry on a regional-global scale, given its well known link with ozone (O$_3$) depletion, changes to the oxidizing capacity of the marine boundary
layer (MBL) by affecting HO\textsubscript{x} and NO\textsubscript{x}, and new particle formation (see Saiz-Lopez et al., 2011) and references therein). However, validation of satellite measurements in remote oceanic regions and research on iodine emission mechanisms and their dependence on climatically sensitive variables are required before including this chemistry in standard global chemistry-climate models.

Measurements of reactive iodine species (RIS = I, IO, OIO) in the open ocean MBL are rare, with only a few reported observations (Allan et al., 2000; Mahajan et al., 2010a; Read et al., 2008; Saiz-Lopez et al., 2011) mostly in regions where the satellite observations are under detection limit (typically $3 \times 10^{12}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ for monthly averages, Schönhardt et al., 2008). Hence, the geographical distribution of RIS over the majority of the world’s oceans is not well known. In the Eastern Pacific, satellite observations indicate slant columns of up to $6 \times 10^{12}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$, although the signal-to-noise ratio in the lower latitudes is poor (Schönhardt et al., 2008). Observation of such enhanced IO slant columns above the biologically active region off the coast of Peru would be consistent with the source of IO being the production of iodine-containing species by marine algae due to the high Chlorophyll a (Chl a) content in upwelling regions. However, these observations have not been validated using ground-based instruments.

The above mentioned field studies in the open ocean have found no clear correlation between iodinated compounds and other biotic or abiotic parameters displaying near constant mixing ratios of IO during the daytime (Read et al., 2008). Known biological sources of IO precursors, iodocarbons (Carpenter et al., 1999) and I\textsubscript{2} (Saiz-Lopez and Plane, 2004), include macroalgae like Laminaria digitata, particularly in coastal environments, and open ocean pico-nano-phytoplankton such as Prochlorococcus spp. – a ubiquitous marine cyanobacterium – and Phaeocystis globosa (Smythe-Wright et al., 2006, 2010). However, a more recent study by Brownell et al. suggested much lower emission rates from these phytoplankton (Brownell et al., 2010). Other studies have proposed different production mechanisms over the ocean surface: (i) a photochemical mechanism based on the recombination of CH\textsubscript{3} radicals with I atoms, where
the CH$_3$ radicals are formed from the photolysis of humic materials and the I atoms are formed from the oxidation of iodide (I$^-$) (Moore and Zafiriou, 1994); (ii) a chemical mechanism based on the oxidation of I$^-$ by O$_3$ taken up on the surface and the subsequent reaction of HOI and I$_2$ with dissolved organic matter (DOM) or direct emission (Garland and Curtis, 1981; Martino et al., 2009); (iii) oxidation of halogen anions by Chl a or aromatic ketones, which leads to formation of organic halogens in the presence of organic compounds (Jammoul et al., 2009; Reeser et al., 2009); and (iv) a substitution mechanism based on the input of mineral dust providing a suitable oxidant like Fe(III) (Williams et al., 2007). However, none of the above mechanisms are shown to be dominant in the open ocean environment, and field data to support the laboratory experiments are not available.

In an effort to improve our understanding of the role of RIS in the open ocean MBL and the sources of their precursors, a field study was conducted in the Eastern Pacific in April 2010 as part of the HaloCarbon Air Sea Transect-Pacific (HaloCAST-P) experiment on board of the R/V Thomas Thompson. The HaloCAST-P cruise departed from Punta Arenas, Chile on 27 March 2010 and arrived at Seattle, USA on 26 April 2010. The track comprised a short four-day run up the inland passage from Punta Arenas to Puerto Montt, followed by a long transect through the Eastern Pacific Ocean during which air masses of different origin were sampled (Fig. 1). Here, we report IO data collected during the cruise with the Multi-AXis Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (MAX-DOAS) technique, in addition to gas chromatography – mass spectrometry (GC-MS) measurements of CH$_3$I in air and seawater, and use these results to: (i) assess the geographical distribution of RIS in the Eastern Pacific along a latitudinal transect and provide information for validation of IO satellite retrievals; and (ii) discuss emission mechanisms of precursors of RIS by studying correlations with potentially related oceanic variables such as sea surface temperature (SST), Chl a, salinity, Chromophoric DOM (CDOM) and other meteorological data.
2 Measurement techniques

The MAX-DOAS instrument was set up on the second deck of the research vessel. This instrument has been successfully used in the past to retrieve halogen oxides in other environments (Mahajan et al., 2010b). The outdoor unit, containing a scanning telescope, was mounted on a gimbal table in order to compensate for the pitch and roll of the ship. This setup dampened the effective oscillations to within ±2° for most of the cruise. Additionally, a high sensitivity (±0.01°) fast response (0.1 s) inclinometer (SST100-B+L IM) was used to log the residual oscillations, which were then corrected for during the analysis procedure. The telescope was pointing towards the front of the ship with a clear line of view towards the forward horizon to avoid interference from exhaust emissions. The scanning system consists of a telescope focusing the collected light on a 19 optic fiber bundle mounted on a stepper motor, which allows the collection of scattered sunlight from discrete elevation angles (1°, 3°, 5°, 7°, 9°, 15°, 30°, and 90°). A 7.5 cm focal length, 2.5 cm diameter quartz lens was used, constraining the field of view to ~1°. The indoor unit, comprising a spectrometer (Princeton Instruments SP500i), a CCD camera (Princeton Instruments Pixis 400B) and a computer to log data, was placed in a temperature-stabilized laboratory. A 1200 grooves mm\(^{-1}\) grating was used resulting in a 40 nm spectral window and a spectral resolution of 0.25 nm FWHM. Spectra were recorded with a short exposure time (1 s) per angle to reduce the contribution from varying elevation angles due to oscillations from the ship motion, which had a typical frequency of 10–20 s. The data were then averaged between 10 min to 30 min to improve the signal to noise ratio. For DOAS retrieval of IO using the QDOAS software (Fayt and Van Roozendael, 2011), cross-sections of IO (Gómez Martín et al., 2005), NO\(_2\) (Vandaele et al., 1997), H\(_2\)O (Rothman et al., 2003), O\(_4\) (Herms, 2002), O\(_3\) (Burrows et al., 1999) and Ring (following Chance and Spurr, 1997) were fitted to the spectra together with a 2nd order polynomial. The instrument alternated between two different spectral windows to allow the retrieval of BrO (318–358 nm) and IO (416–456 nm) spectral features. For IO, the spectral deconvolution was
performed in the 416–439 nm wavelength window, and the zenith spectrum from each scan was used as a reference to minimize the influence of instrumental instabilities and largely eliminate absorptions of trace gases in the stratosphere. Typically, the residual RMS was in the $1.5 \times 10^{-4}$ to $5 \times 10^{-4}$ range, resulting in $2\sigma$ IO differential slant column densities (DSCD) detection limits of $1 \times 10^{13}$ to $3 \times 10^{13}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$. An example of the fit is shown in Fig. 2. For BrO, the spectral range chosen was 346–359 nm, and the resulting $2\sigma$ detection limit ranged from $6 \times 10^{13}$ to $12 \times 10^{13}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$. The molecule was not observed over the detection limit throughout the campaign. A cloud index was calculated (0 – clear sky, 1 – cloudy sky) for estimating sky conditions using a ratio of radiation fluxes from the edges of the spectral window measured using the DOAS instrument (Sinreich et al., 2010), in conjunction with radiometer data. This ratio was calculated between the zenith and 1° elevation angles, with a low ratio indicating a cloudy environment. This enabled further data filtering to ensure that only data from clear sky conditions, was used for further analysis.

CH$_3$I and a suite of other halocarbons were measured continuously in air and surface seawater using GC-MS, equipped with a Weiss-type equilibrator (Hu et al., 2010; Yvon-Lewis et al., 2004). Air was continuously pumped ($\sim 6$ l min$^{-1}$) through 0.63 cm ID SynFlex tubing (Motion Industries, Dallas, TX), which was mounted on the mast at the bow of the ship. Seawater from the ship flowed through a Weiss equilibrator (Butler et al., 1988; Johnson, 1999). Samples were periodically drawn from the equilibrated headspace of the Weiss equilibrator and from the air pumped from the bow. Air and equilibrator headspace samples were collected alternately every $\sim 56$, or 112 min depending on whether or not the calibration gas standard was in sequence. Each sample was drawn through a Nafion dryer, trapped on one cryotrap and pre-concentrated on a second trap, then injected into a narrow bore DB-VRX (I.D. 0.18 mm; Length 40 m; Film 1.0 µm) column and analyzed by GC-MS. The instrument was calibrated using two whole-air standards, which were calibrated against a whole air standard from NOAA/ESRL Global Monitoring Division using NOAA-03 scale.
The net sea-to-air flux, \( F \) (nmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) was determined from the following equation:

\[
F = \frac{k_w}{H}(p_w - p_a)
\]

where, \( k_w \) is the gas transfer velocity (m d\(^{-1}\)) (Sweeney et al., 2007); \( H \) is the solubility of CH\(_3\)I (m\(^3\) atm mol\(^{-1}\)) (Elliott and Rowland, 1993; Mabey and Mill, 1978); \( p \) is the measured partial pressure in the atmosphere (a) or in equilibrium with the surface ocean (w).

In addition to the in situ data, Chl \( a \) and CDOM index products were obtained using Level-3 daily global fields at 9 km horizontal resolution from the Aqua MODIS satellite instrument (NASA-GSFC, 2011). Missing pixels were substituted with the average from the eight surrounding pixels on the same day, the average from the last three days, or the average from the last eight days in the worst-case scenario. Composite fields for the month of April were used for filling in missing data. Average and weighted exposure to Chl \( a \) and CDOM of the air masses arriving at the ship were obtained by integrating the satellite data fields along five day back trajectories calculated with the HYSPLIT dispersion model (Draxler and Rolph, 2003). In order to restrict the influence of the air masses to the MBL, Chl \( a \) and CDOM values are considered to contribute only where the trajectory pressure is greater than 850 hPa and when the trajectory passes over the sea, using a procedure similar to Arnold et al. (2010).

In situ measurements of \( O_3 \) were not made during the cruise, and hence, in order to study possible dependencies on \( O_3 \) and to estimate total reactive iodine in the MBL, monthly averaged output along the trajectory of the cruise from the global chemistry climate model, CAM-Chem (Lamarque et al., 2012), was used. The model has been validated in the past (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2012) and was revalidated recently for \( O_3 \) mixing ratios at the surface at latitude 1° S, where year-long ground based measurements of \( O_3 \) were made at the Galapagos Islands and agree well with the model simulation.
for April 2011 (note that the meteorological conditions in the Eastern Pacific and model estimates from CAM-Chem were similar in April 2010 and 2011).

3 Results and discussion

IO was observed above the detection limit on 19 out of 31 days during the campaign. Most of the positive detections were in the open ocean environment. Of the other 12 days, bad weather or persistent cloud coverage resulted in no reliable observations on seven days. On the rest of the remaining five days, IO was under the detection limit of the instrument. The calculated cloud index (Fig. 3a) shows that, although clouds were present for some part of the day during most of the campaign, enough clear sky conditions were available for reliable observations. The cloud index was used to filter the data for studying the correlation of IO with various physical and biotic parameters. The IO DSCD time series for the whole campaign is presented in Fig. 3b. The highest DSCD ($4.9 \times 10^{13}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$) was observed on 9 April (SZA = 51$°$). Figure 4 shows the distribution of daily average IO DSCD along the ship track after using the cloud index and detection limits as filters. The maxima are observed south of the equator, between 20$°$ S and 40$°$ S. A reduction in the retrieved DSCDs was observed closer to the equator, while a second maximum was measured further north, between 5$°$ N and 20$°$ N (Fig. 4).

Surface IO mixing ratios were retrieved from the MAX-DOAS DSCDs using the NIMO fully spherical Monte Carlo radiative transfer model (Hay et al., 2012), which is described in detail in the SI. The retrieved IO is confined within the boundary layer, with a steep gradient showing maximum mixing ratios at the surface. Reactive iodine ($\text{IO}_x = \text{I} + \text{IO}$) was then calculated using the Tropospheric HAlogen chemistry MOdel (THAMO) (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2008), which has been used for previous studies in polar, mid-latitudinal and tropical environments (Mahajan et al., 2009, 2010a, b; Saiz-Lopez et al., 2008). The model is initialized using observed meteorological conditions where available and output from CAM-Chem for O$_3$ and NO$_x$ (Lamarque et al., 2012;
Saiz-Lopez et al., 2012), which were not measured during the campaign. The source of iodine in the open ocean environment is considered to be mainly photochemically produced I$_2$ from the ocean surface, in accord with past reports (Jones et al., 2010; Mahajan et al., 2010a). The flux is then tuned to reproduce the average daytime surface mixing ratios along the cruise track and then the total IO$_x$ is calculated for further correlations. IO dominates IO$_x$ during most of the cruise due to high O$_3$ mixing ratios (IO:I $\sim 0.12 \times$ [O$_3$]). However, in regions with low O$_3$, the IO:I ratio shifted towards I. The proportionality constant 0.12 ppb$^{-1}$ is calculated for a range of O$_3$ from 5–40 ppb for daytime conditions assuming clear sky conditions using THAMO, as described above. Total IO$_x$ was found to be insensitive to NO$_x$ within the range observed in the open ocean environment due to low levels of I in regions with relatively larger NO$_x$ concentrations. Further details about the model, including the list of reactions can be found elsewhere (Mahajan et al., 2010a; Saiz-Lopez et al., 2008). The resulting IO$_x$ was then used to study the dependence of iodine compounds on other parameters measured during the cruise. The latitudinal distribution of IO DSCDs, IO mixing ratios at the surface estimated using optimal estimation in conjunction with NIMO, and IO$_x$ mixing ratios calculated using THAMO, are shown in Fig. S1 in the Supplement.

Figure 5 shows the latitudinal variation in parameters measured during the HaloCAST-P cruise, along with daytime average IO DSCDs and IO$_x$ mixing ratios at the surface (panel a). The IO DSCDs and IO$_x$ mixing ratios broadly follow each other. IO$_x$ shows a double peak with a maximum of $\sim$1.2 pptv close to 20° S and 10° N, with values between 20° S and 20° N consistently close to 1 pptv. The estimated amplitude of the change in IO$_x$ around the equator is different to that of the IO DSCDs mainly because of the low O$_3$ (see Fig. 5d), which reduces the IO:I ratio but maintains the total amount of IO$_x$. Lower mixing ratios were observed in the mid-latitudinal open ocean, with the lowest values above detection limit close to the North American coast. Other ancillary data, including Chl $a$, CDOM, SST, wind speed, salinity and O$_3$ mixing ratios are shown in Fig. 5b, c and d. These variables illustrate the different environments encountered during the cruise: for example, the range of observed SST is almost $\sim$19 °C.
with low temperatures close to the coast in the mid-latitudes and higher temperatures in the open ocean close to the equator, while salinity showed a peak of ~36 psu at about 20° S with lower values in the mid-latitudes. By contrast, both Chl a and CDOM were higher in the mid-latitudes with the highest observed values close to the coast (Figs. 4 and 5b). Modeled O₃ mixing ratios were lower south of the equator with increasingly higher values observed north of the equator. The variation in CH₃I mixing ratios, both in air and surface seawater, is shown in Fig. 5e. They both followed a similar trend, with higher values observed close to 20° S and just north of the equator.

The error-weighted correlation coefficients between daily averaged IOₓ and the other parameters listed above were calculated in order to understand which parameters control the fluxes of iodine in the open ocean environment (Fig. 6). Amongst the physical parameters, IOₓ showed a strong positive correlation within a confidence limit of 99 %, with SST and salinity, while negative correlations with Chl a and O₃ were observed. The negative correlation between IOₓ and CDOM was significant within the 95 % confidence limit. No significant correlation was observed with wind speed. Strong correspondence was also observed with CH₃I mixing ratios in air and water (>99 % confidence), while the correlation with CH₃I flux from the ocean was within 95 % confidence (Fig. 6). This indicates that the sources of CH₃I and the shorter-lived precursors of IOₓ (most likely I₂ (Mahajan et al., 2010a) or HOI) are related or depend upon similar variables. CH₃I itself cannot account for the IOₓ observed during the study, contributing only ~20 % of the necessary reactive iodine flux at its maximum concentration close to the equator due to its long photolysis lifetime (~5 days in the tropics) in the MBL.

The significant negative correlation of IOₓ with Chl a suggests that the main source for the boundary layer iodine is unlikely to be emission of organic and inorganic iodine compounds by biotic sources such as phytoplankton and macro algae, which have been shown to be the dominant source in coastal environments (Carpenter et al., 1999; Saiz-Lopez and Plane, 2004). Moreover, CH₃I mixing ratios in air did not show any significant positive correlation with 1–5 day air mass exposure to Chl a. Such a correlation was recently reported between both CH₃I and aerosol phase iodine (observations of
reactive inorganic iodine were not made) and air-mass exposure to Chl \(a\) on a cruise from South Africa to South America (Arnold et al., 2010; Lai et al., 2011). It should be noted that the Chl \(a\) levels during that cruise ranged from 0.03 to 4.63 mg m\(^{-3}\) with CH\(_3\)I mixing ratios in air peaking at 3 pptv, While during our study Chl \(a\) was always lower than 0.25 mg m\(^{-3}\) and CH\(_3\)I in air peaked at 1.1 pptv. Phytoplankton species in the Southern Atlantic and Eastern Pacific are known to be different with iodine compound emitting diatoms (Hill and Manley, 2009) more abundant in the Southern Atlantic (Bracher et al., 2009); hence the possibility of a different source regime cannot be ruled out. Similar contrasting reports have also been observed in laboratory studies; Smythe-Wright et al. (2006, 2010) found large emission of CH\(_3\)I by phytoplankton, while Brownell et al. (2010) reported much lower emission rates from similar species. A negative correlation between IO\(_x\) and CDOM suggests that the presence of DOM is not the rate-limiting factor for emission of iodine compounds. Indeed the emissions of iodocarbons have been shown to be independent of the amount of CDOM in surface water by Martino (2009).

A negative correlation of IO\(_x\) with O\(_3\) is surprising considering that most laboratory studies have shown increased emission of iodine containing compounds, inorganic and organic, over seawater exposed to O\(_3\) (Garland and Curtis, 1981; Martino et al., 2009). Several mechanisms for the emission of iodine compounds have been suggested, including the oxidation of I\(^-\) to HOI and either direct emission of HOI, further reaction with I\(^-\) to form I\(_2\) or reaction of HOI with CDOM to cause emission of iodocarbons (Garland and Curtis, 1981; Jammoul et al., 2009; Martino et al., 2009). One possibility is that the threshold of O\(_3\) required for emission of iodine compounds is lower than predicted during the cruise. O\(_3\) is predicted to range between 10 and 50 ppbv over the cruise track and even the presence of 10 ppbv could be enough for the release mechanism to function. However, this would not explain the significant negative correlation between O\(_3\) and IO\(_x\). It is also unlikely that the anti-correlation with O\(_3\) is a result of O\(_3\) depletion due to iodine chemistry because of the low IO\(_x\) mixing ratios (1.2 pptv of IO\(_x\) would cause \(~7\%\) O\(_3\) depletion in one day). It should be noted that in the absence
of continuous O$_3$ measurements on the cruise, the indication of an anti-correlation between IO$_x$ and O$_3$ (determined from CAM-Chem) should be regarded as tentative.

IO$_x$ shows a strong correlation with the physical oceanic parameters of SST and salinity. To our knowledge, this is the first time such correlations have been observed in the open ocean. No correlation was observed with wind speed suggesting that physical mixing in the upper water column is not a rate-limiting step for the emission mechanism. Larger IO$_x$ with higher SST could result from increased phase transfer of iodine source gases such as I$_2$, HOI, CH$_3$I, etc., which have low solubility in water, or an increase in the rate of production of these compounds at higher temperatures. Similarly, an increase in salinity would account for greater iodine availability (as iodate or iodide) in seawater, which could enhance their emission rates. Note that the linear correlations observed with SST and salinity do not display a strong slope (SST: $0.033 \pm 0.005$ pptv °C$^{-1}$; salinity: $0.12 \pm 0.04$ pptv psu$^{-1}$). Considering the range of variability observed during this study, the observations suggest a very weak variation of emissions under a warming ocean scenario. However, the exact mechanism for emission of iodine compounds is still not known, neither is it clear which would be the dominant source (see Saiz-Lopez et al., 2011 and references therein), making extrapolation to other scenarios complex. The presence of strong correlations with several parameters, which do not co-vary with each other, indicates that such a mechanism would be a function of multiple variables, which should be considered in future laboratory studies.

The IO slant columns and the derived surface mixing ratios observed during this study are inconsistent with previous reports of measurements made using the SCIAMACHY instrument (Schönhardt et al., 2008). The observations by SCIAMACHY predicted a distribution tracking the biologically active regions off the coast of Peru, with the open ocean slant columns peaking at about 10° N over the region overlapping with the cruise track. Our observations indicate a peak in regions without high biological activity, with a maximum in IO at 20° S, where the satellite predicts a minimum. The total IO mixing ratios, peaking at 0.9 pptv are also lower than estimates from satellite observations, which if evenly distributed in the MBL would amount to ~3 pptv. It is
however possible that satellite observations might not be representative of the MBL iodine loading and could indicate a large free tropospheric IO column. However, such a free tropospheric column would not explain the large differences in its geographical distribution.

4 Conclusions

In summary, IO was observed in the Eastern Pacific open ocean environment, exhibiting strong positive correlations with SST and salinity. However, contrary to previous laboratory studies, a negative correlation was observed with Chl \(a\) and CDOM, suggesting that iodine production in the open ocean is not enhanced but might instead be inhibited by either of these. This study provides field evidence for a widespread non-biological mechanism for the emission of iodine precursors dependent on physical variables such as SST and salinity. The data indicates that emission of iodine compounds is not controlled by any single variable but is most probably dependent on multiple factors. Finally, these observations do not agree well with previous satellite measurements (Schönhardt et al., 2008), finding a different geographical distribution and lower IO mixing ratios in the MBL.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/12/15541/2012/acpd-12-15541-2012-supplement.pdf.
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Fig. 1. The cruise track along with the 5-day back trajectories, calculated using HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT), arriving during noon on every day of the cruise (Draxler and Rolph, 2003).
Fig. 2. An example of a DOAS fit for IO during the cruise. This particular fit is from 17 April 2010 at 15:00 h (local time).
Fig. 3. MAX-DOAS observations of IO DSCDs made during the HaloCAST-P cruise. Panel (a) shows the cloud index during the cruise (1-cloudy, 0-not cloudy). Panel (b) illustrates the IO DSCDs with the statistically significant values as larger circles. The elevation angles for the observations are indicated in the legend.
Fig. 4. The daily average IO DSCDs over the detection limit in the 1° viewing elevation angle after the data was filtered for clouds along with satellite estimated Chl a distribution for April 2010 (NASA-GSFC, 2011). The empty white circles with crosses show days where measurements were not possible.
Fig. 5. Latitudinal distribution of IO DSCDs, calculated total reactive iodine $IO_x$ ($I + IO$) and other parameters measured during the cruise. IO DSCD and $[O_3]$ observations made on the same day of the year (in 2011) during an ongoing ground-based field study on the Galapagos Islands, indicated as stars, are in accord with observations at the same latitude during the cruise. Circles are shown only when IO was observed above the detection limit.
**Fig. 6.** Pearson correlation coefficients for $\text{IO}_x$ with individual parameters, along with 95% and 99% confidence limits are shown. $\text{IO}_x$ is positively correlated with SST and salinity, $\text{CH}_3\text{I}$ in air and water but negatively correlated with $\text{O}_3$ and Chl $a$ within the 99% confidence limits. It is also positively correlated to $\text{CH}_3\text{I}$ flux and negatively correlated to CDOM within 95% confidence, while no significant correlation is seen with wind speed.