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Abstract

A multiple regression model has been used to estimate linear trends of the CH$_4$ and N$_2$O total columns measured with the ground-based solar FTIR technique at four European stations, i.e. Jungfraujoch (47° N, 8° E, 3600 m a.s.l.), Zugspitze (47° N, 11° E, 3000 m a.s.l.), Harestua (60° N, 11° E, 600 m a.s.l.) and Kiruna (68° N, 20° E, 400 m a.s.l.). The total columns were retrieved with a common method developed within the EU-project HYMN. Anomalies from air pressure, total columns of hydrogen fluoride (HF) and carbon monoxide (CO) and tropopause height were used in the regression model to reduce the time series variability and thereby estimate trustful trends. Significant positive CH$_4$ trends of 0.13–0.25% yr$^{-1}$ at the 2-$\sigma$ level were found for all participating stations for the 1996–2009 period. The strongest trends were estimated at northern latitudes stations while slightly weaker trends were observed in the Alps. For the time period of 2007–2009 a strong increase in the CH$_4$ total column was observed for all stations with the strongest yearly growth at Kiruna ($1.15 \pm 0.17$% yr$^{-1}$). Significant positive N$_2$O trends of 0.19–0.40% yr$^{-1}$ were found for all stations in the 1996–2007 period with the strongest trend at Harestua. From the N$_2$O data also crude tropospheric and stratospheric partial columns were derived, indicating that the observed difference in the N$_2$O trends between the FTIR sites is of stratospheric origin. This agrees well with the N$_2$O measurements by the Odin/SMR satellite showing the highest trends at Harestua $0.98 \pm 0.28$% yr$^{-1}$, and considerably smaller trends in the alp regions $0.27 \pm 0.25$% yr$^{-1}$. The multiple regression model was compared with two other trend methods, the ordinary linear regression and a Bootstrap algorithm. The multiple regression model estimated CH$_4$ and N$_2$O trends that differed by 12–31% compared to the other two methods. Since the trends estimated with the multiple regression model were carefully validated this stresses the importance to account for the atmospheric variability when estimating trends of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O total columns.
1 Introduction

Methane (CH$_4$) and nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) are among the largest contributors to the greenhouse effect (IPCC, 2007).

The CH$_4$ concentration in the atmosphere is to a large extent determined by the removal caused by the hydroxyl radical (OH) in the troposphere and the strength of the surface emissions (Dlugokencky et al., 1994). The emission sources are due to microbrial activity primarily under anaerobic conditions in wetlands, rice paddies and landfills. Other important CH$_4$ emission sources are ruminants, natural gas leakage and fossil fuel and biomass burning. The amount of CH$_4$ in the atmosphere has increased during the later part of the twentieth century. Between 1978–1987 the growth rate was important, (i.e. 1.1% yr$^{-1}$), but during the late 1980 the growth rate was slowing down to 0.3–0.6% yr$^{-1}$ and even lower growth rates were reported during the 1990s (Simpson et al., 2006). In the early 2000s the CH$_4$ growth rate was nearly zero. During 2007 and 2008 however, CH$_4$ was on the rise again and global growth rates of $0.47 \pm 0.04\% \text{yr}^{-1}$ and $0.25 \pm 0.04\% \text{yr}^{-1}$ were reported each respective year (Dlugokencky et al., 2009).

In contrast to CH$_4$, the dominant N$_2$O source, both natural and anthropogenic, is microbial activity under aerobic conditions in soils. The source is strongly linked with the use of synthetic nitrogen fertilizers, which have increased during the later part of the twentieth century (Davidson, 2009). Other important N$_2$O sources include: biomass burning, sewers, livestock and emission from transport and industries. The main sink for N$_2$O is photodissociation in the stratosphere by ultraviolet light and reaction with excited oxygen atoms (Prasad, 1997). Compared to the CH$_4$ trend, the N$_2$O accumulation in the atmosphere has been monotonic. During the last three decades, the atmospheric N$_2$O burden has shown an almost (constant) linear increase with a reported annual change of $0.26\% \text{yr}^{-1}$ (IPCC, 2007).

This project was carried out within the EU project HYMN (Hydrogen, Methane and Nitrous Oxide, http://www.knmi.nl/samenw/hymn/) and one of the goals was to improve and homogenize the CH$_4$ and N$_2$O retrievals from high-resolution solar FTIR (Fourier
Transform Infra Red) measurements and to obtain, for both gases, total columns and vertical profiles as accurate as possible. Many of the participating ground-based FTIR stations have time series that cover 15 yr of data or more and it is therefore possible and interesting to study long term trends. Trends from greenhouse gases from FTIR measurements have earlier also been performed within the EU project UFTIR (http://www.nilu.no/uftir/) (Vigouroux et al., 2008).

One advantage when using FTIR total columns for the estimation of long term trends is their insensitivity to local variations of the atmosphere. In addition, since the global circulation is zonal in the free troposphere and stratosphere, the air stays at approximately the same latitude and the measurement station will therefore represent the atmosphere at that latitude. Hence, only a few stations at different latitudes are needed to represent the whole atmosphere. One disadvantage with FTIR is the fact that the time series often are uneven sampled since the solar absorption infrared measurements require clear sky conditions. Furthermore is there, for some stations, periods of missing data due to instrument failure and for the most northern stations because of the polar winter.

One of the common methods for estimating trends of atmospheric parameters is to use monthly average values (see for example Jones et al., 2009). The use of this method reduces the variability in the time series and removes the eventual periods of missing data. For an even sampled time series it is also possible to take the autocorrelation (also called serial correlation) into account (Tiao et al., 1990; Weatherhead et al., 1998). The FTIR data are autocorrelated on different timescales e.g., caused by meteorological patterns, seasonal cycles and other kinds of long-term variability. This correlation can best be described as a memory in the time series where a value at a certain time contains information of earlier values. Since our present FTIR time series include both uneven sampling and in some instance significant gaps it is difficult to create representative monthly averages. It is for some stations even impossible without interpolation. We use instead a multiple regression model, including a linear trend, a seasonal component and anomalies from various atmospheric parameters to account
for the time series variability to estimate trustful trends. The method also gives a possibility to quantify the atmospheric parameters that affect the measured time series through their contributions to the fitted regression model.

2 FTIR measurements and data retrieval

In this paper we use total column time series of CH$_4$, N$_2$O, carbon monoxide (CO), hydrogen fluoride (HF) and ethane (C$_2$H$_6$) measured with FTIR spectrometers at four European stations within the NDACC network (Network for the Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change, http://www.ndsc.ncep.noaa.gov/). FTIR measurements are performed since the mid 1990s, both in the Northern and Southern hemispheres. Information about the stations, instrumentations and retrievals are presented in Table 1. The CH$_4$ and N$_2$O trend analysis presented in our study covers the 1996–2009 or 1996–2007 time periods, for Jungfraujoch, Zugspitze, Harestua and Kiruna, respectively.

All the FTIR spectrometers involved in this study operate in the mid infrared spectral region from 700 cm$^{-1}$ to 5000 cm$^{-1}$ (2 to 14 µm) and measure the molecular absorption of solar light in the atmosphere for a wide range of species. Derived atmospheric abundance is expressed in terms of total column, defined as the sum of molecules from the top of the atmosphere down to the measurement station, per unit area (often expressed as molecules per square centimetre). To be able to spectrally resolve the atmospheric absorption lines for a given species, high resolution spectrometers are needed. For the stations in this paper a typical spectral resolution of 0.0035–0.005 cm$^{-1}$ is used under operation.

During the retrieval process a synthetic spectrum, based on a priori information of pressure, temperature, trace gas profiles and instrumental characteristics, is calculated, by utilizing a forward model, dividing the atmosphere into 41–66 layers and calculate the light propagation through these. The calculated spectrum is then fitted to the FTIR measurements by varying the mixing ratio profile of the target species together with interfering species such as H$_2$O and CO$_2$. In this manner vertical profile of the target species can be obtained. These are generally calculated into total columns using...
the available pressure and temperature information but for some species the data can also be divided into partial columns for instance dividing the total column into a tropospheric and stratospheric part. The height information available from the spectra is usually defined as the degrees of freedom (DOFs) which is obtained from averaging kernel calculations according the principles by Rodgers (2000).

The retrievals in this paper are performed by the two algorithms SFIT2 (Rinsland et al., 1998) and PROFFIT (Hase et al., 2004). The two codes have shown to be in excellent agreement with a deviation of only 1% or less (Hase et al., 2004). To quantify the instrument performance regarding line broadening and phase shift gas-cell measurements are done continuously on all instruments. These measurements are evaluated with the LINEFIT program (Hase et al., 1999).

The CH$_4$ and N$_2$O retrievals are done with a common strategy developed within the EU project HYMN, where the micro-windows, spectroscopic line lists, retrieval parameters, sources of ancillary data like pressure-temperature profiles, and water vapour data for deriving dry air columns are identical for all involved stations and used to minimize the errors between the stations. Except this a set of geophysical trace gas profiles are used on the FTIR stations.

In an earlier FTIR trend study by Gardiner et al. (2008), the retrievals was carried out by the optimal estimation algorithm (Rodgers, 2000). In this retrieval algorithm a cost function is minimized which corresponds to a weighted combination of a multiple least square solution and the apriori information. In the case of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O severe oscillations in the profiles are obtained and therefore instead a retrieval algorithm based on Tikhonov regularization (Twomey, 1996) has been applied to minimize this problem. In the latter retrieval algorithm, a cost function is minimized which corresponds to a weighted combination of a multiple least square solution and a cost term that corresponds to the first derivative of the vertical profile. The latter term minimize oscillations of the retrieved profile versus height but reduce the profile information to 2–3 independent partial columns (DOFs). For more information regarding FTIR retrieval with Tikhonov see Sussmann and Borsdorf (2007) and Sussmann et al. (2005).
In Table 2 detailed information regarding the HYMN retrieval parameters are presented. For both CH$_4$ and N$_2$O Hitran 2004 linelist parameters are used.

The CO, C$_2$H$_6$ and HF total columns, used as atmospheric parameters in the multiple regression model, are retrieved with standard procedures that have earlier been developed within the NDACC community (Mellqvist et al., 2002; DeMazière et al., 2005). The retrieval procedure varies slightly from site to site but use consistent micro window regions for CO (2057–2159 cm$^{-1}$), C$_2$H$_6$ (2976–2977 cm$^{-1}$) and HF (4038–4039 cm$^{-1}$).

3 The Odin satellite

The Sub-Millimetre Radiometer (SMR) onboard the Odin satellite, launched in February 2001, observes thermal emission of a N$_2$O line at 502.3 GHz at the Earth limb. Measurements of the global N$_2$O field are obtained during about 15 (near polar, sun-synchronous) orbits per observation day and were performed time-shared with other operation modes on every third day until April 2007 and on every other day since then, providing a quasi-continuous N$_2$O data set from 2001 to 2010. N$_2$O in the stratosphere is retrieved between roughly 12–14 km and 60 km with a vertical resolution of about 1.5 km and a single-scan precision of 10–15% (below 30 km). For the here relevant level-2 data version 2.1. See e.g. Murtagh et al. (2002); Urban et al. (2005b, a); Urban (2006) and Strong et al. (2008) for a description of the Odin mission and information of the N$_2$O measurements, error analysis and validation studies.

4 Trend method

4.1 Linear regression

Regression analysis is a technique to study the connection between a dependent variable and one or several independent variables. In this paper we mean the dependency between the independent variable, time, and the dependent variable, the measured
total column time series. The simplest way to detect a trend is by fitting a straight line with the least squares method to the data, the slope of the line then represents the trend. The estimated trend itself is useless without an estimate of its error which could be represented with a confidence interval. When calculating a trend and its confidence interval three assumptions are usually made: (1) the residuals (measured-model) is assumed to be free from autocorrelation, (Eq. 1) the distribution of the residuals is assumed to be approximately normal distributed and (2) the residuals are assumed to have equal variance. Large deviations from these assumptions will result in errors in the estimated trends (Weatherhead et al., 1998; Tiao et al., 1990). Methods have been developed to account for autocorrelation when estimating trends but they all need data which have equidistant time steps between the measurements. However, due to local weather conditions and available sunlight hour’s time series derived from FTIR observations are not sampled evenly and typically contain gaps. It is hence not possible to make representative weekly or monthly averages and thereby get time series with constant time space. To reduce the time series variability and estimate trustful trends a multiple regression model is used in this paper.

4.2 Atmospheric parameters

Several atmospheric parameters that are assumed to affect the measured total column of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O are discussed in this section. Data from the Harestua station have been used to test the effect of various atmospheric parameters on the measured total columns of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O. The parameters that have shown to have a significant effect on the measured total columns of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O at the Harestua station have then been applied to the FTIR data from the other participating stations.

Changes in the air pressure due to meteorological fluctuations will change the amount of molecules above the measurement station. A high pressure is expected to result in a high total column and vice versa. CH$_4$ and N$_2$O have their highest partial columns close to ground level, where they are intensively produced, and are therefore expected to be very sensitive to fluctuations in the air pressure. The tropopause height
is expected to affect the stratospheric column of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O but also to stretch and compress the tropospheric column. A low tropopause height is thought to make the tropospheric contribution to the total column smaller and a high larger. The tropopause height data used here are from the ECMWF (European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts, http://www.ecmwf.int/) model that defines the tropopause altitude as the lowest level where the lapse rate is 2 °C km$^{-1}$ or less and no height within 2 km above this point exceeds this value. To account for the presence of the polar vortex a range of PV (polar vorticity) values, at different altitudes (10–25 km), is used obtained from the ECMWF model. A high PV value is expected to correspond to the presence of vortex air above the measurements site and hence a low stratospheric column of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O.

The total column of the stratospheric species HF has been used in several other studies, among them Toon et al. (1997) and Mellqvist et al. (2002), as a proxy for stratospheric transport. This transport involves the downward motion of airmasses when the station is inside the polar vortex, the changes in tropopause height and the vertical and horizontal motion of air masses in the stratosphere. Hence, a large total column of HF is expected to result in a large stratospheric and a small tropospheric column of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O. The HF is closely related to the PV.

CH$_4$, N$_2$O, CO and C$_2$H$_6$ are all produced in fossil fuel and biomass burning. The total columns of CO and C$_2$H$_6$ are therefore used as a proxy for large scale biomass burning events as the ones present in Canada and Russia during the summers of 1998, 1999, 2002 and 2003 (Yurganov et al., 2004, 2005). The total columns of C$_2$H$_6$ will in addition be used as a proxy for natural gas leakage. This has, as to our knowledge, not been tested on CH$_4$ and N$_2$O FTIR total columns before.

Other physical parameters that have been investigated, but found to have insignificant impact on the column variability of the target species are; the number of sunspots, the North Atlantic Oscillations (NAO) and the Quasi Biennial Oscillations (QBO).
4.3 Trend model

To estimate trends in the CH$_4$ and N$_2$O total column time series a multiple regression model is used. In the model we try to explain as much as possible of the structures in the measured total column of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O with atmospheric parameters, a background trend and a seasonal component. The background trend is represented by one or several continuous linear trends according to the piecewise regression concept described by Neter et al. (1990). The seasonal component consists of a sine function with a phase which has a period of 12 months. The 12 month seasonal cycle is strongest at the high altitude stations due to the well mixed air i.e. the low influence of local sources. A more advanced representation of the seasonal cycle, a third order Fourier series, has also been tested in the model but didn’t improve the model compared to the basic one. To explain the time series variability, i.e. short term deviations from the background trend and seasonal fluctuations, anomalies derived from some of the atmospheric parameters described in Sect. 4.2 are used. These anomalies correspond to the detrended and deseasonalized time series of the atmospheric parameters.

To find the anomalies that affect the CH$_4$ and N$_2$O total columns all derived anomalies are inserted into the multiple regression model, presented in Eq. (1), and tested with the stepwise regression method. This means that the combination of anomalies that gives the best adjusted $R^2$ value and are statistically significant are used in the final trend model and all the others being excluded, for adjusted $R^2$ information see Sect. 4.4. We define statistically significant as a parameter which confidence interval excludes zero.

The anomalies, background trend and seasonal component are inserted in the model in Eq. (1) and solved with the least squares method.
\[ y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \sin (2\pi t) + \beta_2 \cos (2\pi t) + \sum_{i=3}^{l} \beta_i a(t)_{i-2} + \beta_{l+1} t \]

\[ + \beta_{l+2}(t - cp1)A + \beta_{l+3}(t - cp2)B + \varepsilon \]

where

\[
\begin{cases}
  t > cp1, A = 1 \\
  t \leq cp1, A = 0 \\
  t > cp2, B = 1 \\
  t \leq cp2, B = 0
\end{cases}
\]

(1)

Where \( t \) is the time in fraction of years and \( cp1 \) and \( cp2 \) are change points when the trend is expected to change direction or magnitude. For \( \text{CH}_4 \) possible change points in 1999 and 2007 have been reported by Dlugokencky et al. (2003, 2009). In a first trend estimation no change points will be used for \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) while in a second estimation the change points of Dlugokencky et al. (2003, 2009) will be used for \( \text{CH}_4 \). When no change points are used only the first trend term in Eq. (1) will be present in the model. In Eq. (1) \( y \) is the dependent variable (\( \text{CH}_4 \) or \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \)) and \( \beta \) corresponds to the regression coefficients. The \( a(t)_i \) terms represent the anomalies from the atmospheric parameters and \( \varepsilon \) is the residual or unexplained part of the model. The term is assumed to be normal distributed with a constant variance around zero and free from auto correlation. In the regression model the anomalies, \( a(t)_i \), \( t \) and \( y \) must all be of the same length. This means that for a certain day in the \( \text{CH}_4 \) or \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) time series there must exist corresponding data for all of the calculated anomalies. To estimate confidence intervals for the trends a method for hypothesis testing described by Montgomery et al. (2008) is used.

### 4.4 Deriving anomalies

To obtain anomalies from the atmospheric parameters presented in Sect. 4.2, a model consisting of a seasonal function and a polynomial with varying order is fitted to each
of the atmospheric parameters, Eq. (2). The fitted model is then subtracted from the original atmospheric time series. To find the optimal polynomial fit for each atmospheric parameter the adjusted $R^2$ value is used (Montgomery et al., 2008). The value basically reflects the size of the model residual but adjusts the value to the number of terms in the regression model. If no adjustment is used the residual always decrease when increasing the number of terms in the regression model, this favours over fitting. An adjusted $R^2$ value close to one indicates a small residual and a good model fit while zero indicates the opposite

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \sin(2\pi t) + \beta_2 \cos(2\pi t) + \beta_3 t + \beta_4 t^2 + \beta_5 t^3$$  (2)

In Eq. (2) $y$ is the dependent variable i.e. the atmospheric parameters (air pressure, tropopause height and so on) and $\beta$ are the estimated regression coefficients. The optimal polynomial for each atmospheric process is found by step wise increasing the polynomial order, fit the models with the linear regression method and calculated the adjusted $R^2$ value. When the adjusted $R^2$ value no longer increases with more than 1% the best fit is said to be found and the corresponding polynomial order is used to derive the anomaly. In Fig. 1 the Harestua total column time series of CO with fitted model is presented in the upper panel and the derived anomalies in the lower panel.

5  Results

5.1  Regression model anomalies

The anomalies shown to affect the measured total columns of CH$_4$ are: the air pressure, the total column of CO and HF. Parameters affecting N$_2$O are: the air pressure, the total column of HF and the tropopause height. The air pressure, tropopause height and CO anomalies are derived using Eq. (2) along with a linear trend. For HF a second order polynomial is used in Eq. (2) for Harestua, Jungfraujoch and Zugspitze while a linear trend is used for the fit of the Kiruna dataset.
The relative contribution of each regression parameter on the measured total columns of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O (in % of the total columns of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O) is presented in Fig. 2a and b respectively. Here the median contribution and the range are shown. The air pressure anomalies have, in median, the largest contribution on both the measured total column of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O with an average of 0.87% of the measured total columns and a 5% maximum at Jungfraujoch N$_2$O.

The atmospheric parameter with the second largest contribution is the HF anomaly. The HF anomaly contribution on the total column of CH$_4$ is substantially smaller than the air pressure while the contribution to N$_2$O is similar to the one from the air pressure. In the Jungfraujoch and Zugspitze N$_2$O total columns the HF anomalies have a greater maximum contribution than the air pressure. The opposite is found for CH$_4$. The anomalies from HF, based on an average for all stations, contribute to the total columns of N$_2$O with 0.63% but only with 0.38% for CH$_4$.

The median CO anomaly effect on CH$_4$ varies from 0.14%, for Zugspitze to 0.21% for Kiruna. The median tropopause height anomaly effect on the N$_2$O total columns varies with values from 0.1% for Kiruna to 0.2% for Harestua. The median unexplained part of the model is very similar for the two species, 0.41% for CH$_4$ and 0.42% for N$_2$O. The largest unexplained part, for both species, is found at Harestua and the smallest is found at Jungfraujoch. The linear trend median effect on CH$_4$ is 1.6% while the average effect on N$_2$O is 0.8%. The median values for the seasonal parameters are here 0.6% and 1.0% for the respectively species.

Noteworthy is that some of the tested atmospheric anomalies were excluded from the final regression model because they resulted in a model with a lower adjusted $R^2$ value than a combination of similar anomalies. One example is the anomalies from CO and C$_2$H$_6$, which both are statistically significant but CO was used because of its higher adjusted $R^2$ value. If both are included in the model there is always a risk to introduce multicolinearity, i.e. linear dependency between the parameters, in the model.
5.2 CH₄ trends

The estimated linear trends from the multiple regression model are presented in Table 3 and the fitted models to the CH₄ time series are presented in Fig. 3. For all participating stations significant trends at the 2-σ level are found for the period of 1996–2009. The trends vary with latitude and weaker trends are observed at Jungfraujoch and Zugspitze (0.16 ± 0.01% yr⁻¹ and 0.13 ± 0.01% yr⁻¹) and stronger trends at Harestua and Kiruna (0.25 ± 0.02% yr⁻¹ and 0.21 ± 0.01% yr⁻¹). The trends at the Alpine stations are as expected in close agreement to each other due to their close geographical location. Earlier Gardiner et al. (2008) have estimated linear trends for FTIR data and these trends are close to the estimated ones in this paper, i.e. 0.40 ± 0.06% yr⁻¹ for Harestua and 0.17 ± 0.03% yr⁻¹ for Jungfraujoch. The data in the earlier paper corresponds to the years 1995–2004 and they were retrieved with standard optimal estimation as explained earlier and the trend were derived with the Bootstrap method, see discussion below.

Dlugokencky (2009) reports an increased CH₄ growth in 2007 and 2008 based on global averages from flask samples. The same author has also reported a near zero trend between 1999 and 2007 and a positive trend before that. To investigate if these features also are present in the FTIR data we have applied piecewise regression (Neter et al., 1990) with three independent linear trends, choosing 1999 and 2007 as changing points in the trend model, Table 3. For all stations significant positive CH₄ growth rates are found for the 1996–1999 time period. The estimated values are between 0.38% yr⁻¹ and 0.61% yr⁻¹. This could be compared with the globally averaged surface trend value of 0.45% yr⁻¹ based on the time period of 1984–1999 reported by Dlugokencky et al. (2003). For each station involved, no significant trends have been deduced for CH₄ for the 1999–2007 time period, this is in agreement with global surface CH₄ data for the time period of 2000–2006 (Dlugokencky et al., 2009). From 2007 to 2009 we found increased growth rates for all participating stations ranging from 0.57–1.15% yr⁻¹. The station with largest growth rate is Kiruna for which a positive value of
1.15 ± 0.17% yr⁻¹ is found. For comparison, Dlugokencky et al. (2009) report global averaged surface values of 0.47 ± 0.03% yr⁻¹ for 2007 and 0.25 ± 0.03% yr⁻¹ for 2008. The same authors also report a 0.78 ± 0.07% yr⁻¹ growth value for the polar northern latitudes in 2007 and 0.46 ± 0.09% yr⁻¹ for the low northern latitudes in 2008. The increased growth rates seen by Dlugokencky et al. (2009) for 2007 and 2008 is hence also observed at all FTIR stations.

### 5.3 N₂O trends

The fitted N₂O models are presented in Fig. 4 and the estimated trends are listed in Table 3. The N₂O trends vary from approximately 0.2 ± 0.01% yr⁻¹ at Jungfraujoch and Zugspitze to 0.29 ± 0.02 and 0.4 ± 0.02% yr⁻¹ at the two stations located further north. Earlier IPCC (2007) has reported a N₂O trend for the last decade of 0.26% yr⁻¹. This trend is verified by Haszpra et al. (2008) and Rinsland et al. (2009) who both report surface trends of 0.25 ± 0.003% yr⁻¹. The estimated N₂O trends for Jungfraujoch and Zugspitze are a bit weaker than the earlier reported trends but in close agreement to each other. The trends for Kiruna and especially Harestua are stronger than the reported trends and are not in agreement with each other. This trend discrepancy is unexpected since N₂O is well mixed in the atmosphere due to its tropospheric lifetime of 114 yr (Davidson, 2009).

To exclude that instrumental errors are the cause for the deviating N₂O trends we have estimated total column trends also for CO₂ from Harestua and Kiruna. CO₂ was used because its atmospheric circulation time is similar to the lifetime N₂O and that both of the species are measured with the same type of detector (InSb detector). The CO₂ retrieval was conducted in the 2620–2630 cm⁻¹ region with Hitran08 line parameters (Kohlhepp and Hase, personal communication, 2010). The estimated CO₂ trends for the two stations showed to be very similar, 0.50 ± 0.06% yr⁻¹ for Harestua and 0.56 ± 0.04% yr⁻¹ for Kiruna on a 2-σ basis and this corresponds well to the trend of roughly 0.51% presented by IPCC (2007) (the trend is based on an increase of
19 ppm from 1995 to 2005). From this test it is concluded that the FTIR instruments at Harstua and Kiruna behaved well during the studied period.

To further investigate the trend discrepancy between the FTIR stations trends we derived tropospheric- and stratospheric partial columns from the FTIR data at each station. The partial columns was derived with a weight function described by Gardiner et al. (2008) which use the average tropopause height and its standard deviation, here taken from the ECMWF model. The trends in the partial columns were estimated with a function consists of a linear trend and a seasonal cycle with a phase. All the estimated tropospheric trends were in the range of $0.19 \pm 0.01\% \text{yr}^{-1}$ to $0.28 \pm 0.03\% \text{yr}^{-1}$ while the stratospheric trends showed large inter station variability with strong positive trends at Harestua and Kiruna and weak positive trends at Jungfraujoch and Zugspitze, Table 4. Earlier, Gardiner et al. (2008) also showed this behaviour.

To verify the latitudinal differences in stratospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ trends detected by the solar FTIR measurements a comparison with $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ limb measurements from the Odin satellite, see Sect. 3, was carried out. To get a rough estimation of the stratospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ columns at three locations (Jungfraujoch/Zugspitze, Harestua and Kiruna) SMR data were retrieved from altitudes covering 14–30 km within a radius of 500 km centred at each location. The satellite data quality in terms of measurement response and retrieval error were studied for all three locations and shown to be close to one and random scattered at approximately 10% respectively. The stratospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ trends from the SMR instrument data were calculated with the same trend model as the tropospheric and stratospheric partial columns. The outcome of the trend study is presented in Table 4 and in Fig. 5. The rough FTIR-satellite comparison indicates that the stratospheric $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ trends can vary with latitude. This has to the authors knowledge not been reported before. The strongest positive trends for both FTIR and satellite data are estimated at Harestua. A slightly weaker trend is seen in Kiruna and a much weaker trend is estimated in the Alp region. It hence seems that the difference in total column trends between the Alp region and Harestua and Kiruna is caused by the differences in the stratospheric column trends and it appears that the highest trend is found at Harestua.
the vortex edge, above Harestua as observed by FTIR and the SMR onboard Odin. We don’t have an explanation for this behaviour but is likely related to the atmospheric circulation, since N$_2$O has a very long lifetime which would smooth out differences in sources and sinks.

5.4 Model stability

To obtain the confidence intervals, the residual from the model is assumed to be normally distributed with constant variance around a mean value of zero and to be free from autocorrelation. The residual distributions from the regression models for all FTIR stations are shown in the lower left panel in Fig. 6a and b (for CH$_4$) and in Fig. 7a and b (for N$_2$O). These distributions indicate that the assumption of normal distribution is justified. In the lower right panels in Fig. 6a and b and Fig. 7a and b the residuals are plotted as a function of the fitted model. To justify the constant variance assumption the residuals are expected to be randomly scattered around a constant level of zero. In our case we conclude that this assumption is justified for all the regression models. Also, to verify the assumption that no autocorrelation is present in the time series we look at the residual as a function of time, this can be seen in the upper panel in Fig. 6a and b and Fig. 7a and b. Shapes such as cycles might indicate autocorrelation and may make the confidence intervals for the estimated trends larger. Based on the residual analysis we conclude that no strong autocorrelation is presented in any of the regression models.

When working with multiple regression models, one always needs to consider multicollinearity. Multicollinearity is when one or several of the independent variables in the regression model contain similar information, i.e. are linearly dependent. The presence of multicollinearity may result in physically unrealistic values or signs and large confidence intervals of the estimated regression coefficients. To investigate the presence of multicollinearity in the regression model the concept of the variance inflation factor, VIF factor, is used (Neter et al., 1990). A VIF factor of 1 indicates totally independent variables and a factor larger than 10 indicates serious multicollinearity in the model.
(Neter et al., 1990). In our case the calculated VIF factors are well below 10 for all FTIR stations and both of the species under investigation.

To verify that the 1% criteria, earlier defined in Sect. 4.4, in the calculations of the anomalies is appropriate a sensitivity analysis is performed on all the regression models. In the analysis the estimated linear trend and the adjusted $R^2$ value is studied as the anomaly of one of the atmospheric parameters is changed, i.e. the order of the fitted polynomial to the parameter is changed. The change of the linear trend in the total columns of $\text{CH}_4$ and $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ is largest when increasing from polynomial order zero to a first order and to a second order polynomial for the total column of HF (0, 1, 2) while for the other parameters the change is largest from zero to first (0, 1). At higher order of polynomial only very small changes in the estimated trends are observed. It can also be seen that the adjusted $R^2$ value not increase for polynomials with higher order than two for HF and one for the other parameters. From the sensitivity analysis we conclude that the 1% criteria is appropriate for deriving the anomalies.

5.5 Method comparison

The results of the multiple regression model has been compared to two other trend methods. The first method, referred to as the Bootstrap algorithm (Efron and Tibshirani, 1993; Gardiner et al., 2008), is based on the least squares fitting of a linear trend and a seasonal component to the data. From the residuals a large number of dataset is randomly sampled (Bootstrapped), these datasets represent the random effects in the data. Each of these dataset is then added to the original fitted function and a set of trends are estimated. The center point of the estimated trends represents the final trend estimation and the width is the confidence intervals. The bootstrap algorithm is a non parametric method since it does not rely on the normal distribution and equal variance assumption. The second tested trend method is a simple least squares fit of a straight line and a seasonal component including a phase, when using this method the normal distribution assumption is made. Linear trends were estimated by the multiple regression model, the Bootstrap algorithm and by the simple least squares fit of a
straight line and a seasonal component, for the 1996–2009 and 1996–2007 period for CH\textsubscript{4} and N\textsubscript{2}O total columns respectively. The outcome of the study is presented in Table 5 which shows the estimated trends with associated 95% confidence limits.

The three trend methods show all results that are in close agreement to each other. In general, the multiple regression method has slightly smaller confidence intervals than the other two methods. The trends obtained from the Bootstrap algorithm and the model with a linear trend and seasonal function are very similar for all the participating FTIR stations and both the CH\textsubscript{4} and N\textsubscript{2}O time series. The reason for this is that all the CH\textsubscript{4} and N\textsubscript{2}O time series are close to normal distributed. In this case the Bootstrap resampling stage is not necessary and can in fact introduce errors in the trend estimate since it can create physically unrealistic time series, especially for the stations at northern latitudes. One example is the high CH\textsubscript{4} and N\textsubscript{2}O values related to the presence of the polar vortex, typically during winter and early spring, which with the Bootstrap algorithm can be located to the summer or autumn season. When fitting a trend to these time series the estimated trend values will have an impact on the final trend result and potentially make the confidence intervals wider.

The trends estimated from the multiple regression method differ in magnitude with 12% to 31% compared to the other two methods. This difference is most likely because the multiple regression model takes the atmospheric variability into account and hence reduces the unexplained part of the trend model. From the method comparison and model stability analysis we conclude that the multiple regression model gives the most reliable trends since it takes the atmospheric variability into account and fulfils the statistical assumptions presented in Sect. 5.4.

6 Discussion and conclusion

Long term CH\textsubscript{4} and N\textsubscript{2}O trends from solar FTIR total columns have been estimated at four European stations. The estimated trends show latitudinal differences with stronger trends for both species at the northern sites and weaker trends at the Alpine stations.
When it comes to CH$_4$ this latitudinal difference is not surprising since the atmospheric concentration of the species are highly influenced of local sources. At high latitudes wetland contributes up to 25% of the CH$_4$ total emissions and these wetlands have shown to be sensitive to climate change (Jackowicz-Korczynski et al., 2010). In addition Russian natural gas is produced at the same latitudes as Harestua and Kiruna. This might be two possible reason for the stronger trends detected at the northern latitude sites (Harestua and Kiruna) compared to the two Alpine stations.

The latitudinal difference in the estimated N$_2$O trends is compared to CH$_4$ unexpected. Atmospheric N$_2$O has a lifetime that is more than 12 times longer than that of CH$_4$, 120 yr instead of 9, and is thereby well mixed in the troposphere and relatively insensitive to local sources. In the Odin SMR comparison we conclude that the strong FTIR total column trends at Harestua and Kiruna most probably arise from very strong stratospheric trends at these stations. One possible explanation could be the strengthening of the Brewer Dobson circulation as described by Li et al. (2010). This circulation transports air masses from the tropical tropopause into the stratosphere and towards the poles. If the circulation gets stronger with time more N$_2$O is transported towards Harestua and Kiruna with a stratospheric trend as result. The stations located further south are less influenced of this transport and hence a weaker stratospheric trend is detected. Another possible reason to the trend differences could be a trend in the tropopause height due to climate change or other changes in the atmospheric dynamics. Linear trends were therefore estimated from the tropopause data, the earlier used ECMWF data, for the FTIR stations. All the estimated trends were close to zero and insignificant. From this we conclude that a change in the tropopause altitude most likely not is responsible for the stratospheric N$_2$O trends. We conclude that more studies are needed regarding the latitudinal difference in stratospheric N$_2$O.
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Table 1. FTIR stations and measurement series included in the trend study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jungfraujoch</th>
<th>Zugspitze</th>
<th>Harestua</th>
<th>Kiruna</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Latitude (° N)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitude (° E)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altitude (m a.s.l)</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument type</td>
<td>Bruker 120HR</td>
<td>Bruker 125HR¹</td>
<td>Bruker 125M²</td>
<td>Bruker 125HR³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrieval code</td>
<td>SFIT2</td>
<td>SFIT2</td>
<td>SFIT2</td>
<td>PROFFIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of measurement days (CH₄)</td>
<td>1135</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>642</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of measurement days (N₂O)</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ before 2006 Zugspitze operated a Bruker 120HR,
² before 2008 Harestua operated a Bruker 120M and
³ before 2007 Kiruna operated a Bruker 120HR.
Table 2. Microwindows with interfering species used to retrieve the CH$_4$ and N$_2$O total column time series.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Microwindows (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Interfering species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>2613.70–2615.40</td>
<td>HDO, CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2650.60–2651.30</td>
<td>HDO, CO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2835.50–2835.80</td>
<td>HDO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2903.60–2904.03</td>
<td>NO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2921.00–2921.60</td>
<td>H$_2$O, HDO, NO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$O</td>
<td>2481.28–2482.62</td>
<td>CO$_2$, CH$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2526.40–2528.20</td>
<td>CO$_2$, CH$_4$, HDO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2537.84–2538.82</td>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2540.00–2540.75</td>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Estimated linear trends from the multiple regression model. The trends are given as total column and as percent relative the average value of year 2000. The confidence intervals for each trend are based on a 95% significance level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time period</th>
<th>Jungfraujoch (47° N, 8° E)</th>
<th>Zugspitze (47° N, 11° E)</th>
<th>Harestua (60° N, 11° E)</th>
<th>Kiruna (68° N, 20° E)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>1996–2009 mol cm⁻² 10¹⁶ % yr⁻¹</td>
<td>3.85 ± 0.13 0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>3.24 ± 0.29 0.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>8.63 ± 0.52 0.25 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1996–1999 mol cm⁻² 10¹⁶ % yr⁻¹</td>
<td>9.00 ± 0.92 0.38 ± 0.04</td>
<td>14.60 ± 0.95 0.57 ± 0.04</td>
<td>20.80 ± 3.53 0.61 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1999–2007 mol cm⁻² 10¹⁶ % yr⁻¹</td>
<td>1.57 ± 1.97 0.07 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.95 ± 1.96 0.04 ± 0.08</td>
<td>7.39 ± 7.54 0.22 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007–2009 mol cm⁻² 10¹⁶ % yr⁻¹</td>
<td>21.10 ± 1.79 0.90 ± 0.08</td>
<td>24.50 ± 1.34 0.96 ± 0.05</td>
<td>19.70 ± 7.54 0.57 ± 0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂O</td>
<td>1996–2007 mol cm⁻² 10¹⁵ % yr⁻¹</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.26 0.21 ± 0.01</td>
<td>8.5 ± 0.56 0.19 ± 0.01</td>
<td>23.6 ± 1.25 0.40 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Stratospheric N$_2$O trends from SMR and FTIR data presented in % yr$^{-1}$. The trends are presented with associated 95% confidence intervals and use the 2005 average partial column as reference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jungfraujoch</th>
<th>Zugspitze</th>
<th>Harestua</th>
<th>Kiruna</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Odin/SMR (2001–2007)</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR stratosphere (1996–2007)</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR troposphere (1996–2007)</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Estimated linear trends from the total columns of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O. The trends are presented in percent per year (\% yr$^{-1}$) with the reference year given as the average value of year 2000. All trends are given with associated 95% confidence intervals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trend model</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Jungfraujoch</th>
<th>Zugspitze</th>
<th>Harestua</th>
<th>Kiruna</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Multiple regression model</td>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N$_2$O</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bootstrap algorithm</td>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N$_2$O</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear trend with seasonal component</td>
<td>CH$_4$</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N$_2$O</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Measured total column of CO at Harestua with fitted model (upper panel) and calculated anomalies (lower panel). To obtain the anomalies the fitted model is subtracted from the measured time series.
Fig. 2a. Relative contributions from each regression parameter (in %) on the measured total column of CH$_4$. The black lines indicate the median value for each parameter and the bar the minimum and maximum values.
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Fig. 2b. As Fig. 2a but for N₂O.
Fig. 3. CH$_4$ total column time series for all participating FTIR stations with fitted model, linear trend and seasonal cycle. The model is displayed in green and the measurements in blue, the seasonal cycle is displayed in thick cyan and the linear trend in red.
Fig. 4. As Fig. 3 but for N$_2$O total columns.
**Fig. 5.** Stratospheric partial columns of N$_2$O with fitted seasonal function and linear trend for Jungfraujoch and Kiruna from the Odin SMR satellite and solar FTIR data.
Fig. 6a. CH$_4$ residuals and distributions of the Jungfraujoch and Zugspitze FTIR time series.
Fig. 6b. CH$_4$ residuals and distributions of the Harestua and Kiruna FTIR time series.
Fig. 7a. N₂O residuals and distributions of the Jungfraujoch and Zugspitze FTIR time series.
Fig. 7b. $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ residuals and distributions of the Harestua and Kiruna FTIR time series.