Effect of relative humidity on SOA formation from isoprene/NO photooxidation: role of particle-phase esterification under dry conditions
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Abstract

The effect of relative humidity (RH) on secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation from the photooxidation of isoprene under initially high-nitric oxide (NO) conditions was investigated in a dual outdoor smog chamber. Based upon particle volume concentration measurements and the detailed chemical characterization of isoprene SOA using gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) and ultra performance liquid chromatography/electrospray ionization high-resolution quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometry (UPLC/ESI-HR-Q-TOFMS), enhanced SOA formation was observed under lower RH conditions (15–40%) compared to higher RH conditions (40–90%). 2-methylglyceric acid (2-MG) and its corresponding oligoesters, which have been previously shown to form from further oxidation of methacryloylperoxynitrate (MPAN), were enhanced in the particle-phase under lower RH conditions. In addition, an abundant unknown SOA tracer likely derived from the further oxidation of MPAN was detected and enhanced under lower RH conditions. In contrast, the 2-methyltetrols, which are known to form from the reactive uptake of isoprene epoxydiols (IEPOX) under low-NO conditions in the presence of acidified aerosol, did not substantially vary under different RH conditions; however, isoprene-derived organosulfates were found to be enhanced under high-RH conditions, indicating the likely importance of the aqueous aerosol phase in their formation. Based upon the detailed chemical characterization results, particle-phase organic esterification is proposed to explain the observed enhancements of isoprene SOA mass under lower RH conditions. This is one of only a few chamber studies that have examined the effect of RH on isoprene SOA formation. In comparison to our recent results obtained from aromatic SOA formation, the effect of RH on isoprene SOA formation is reversed. The results of this study highlight the importance of elucidating the key reactive intermediates that lead to SOA formation, especially since RH likely affects their ability in forming SOA. Furthermore, ignoring the effects of RH may significantly affect the accuracy of both regional and global SOA models.
1 Introduction

Atmospheric fine aerosols are known to be associated with adverse public health effects and global climate change (Hallquist et al., 2009). A large portion (40–50%) of the atmospheric fine aerosol mass is derived from secondary organic aerosol (SOA) materials that form from the oxidation of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) (Turpin and Huntzicker, 1995; Turpin and Lim, 2001; Cabada et al., 2002). Isoprene (2-methyl-1,3-butadiene, C_5H_8) is the most abundant non-methane volatile organic compound (NMVOC) in the troposphere, with emission rates on the order of 500–600 Tg yr^{-1} (Guenther et al., 1995, 2006). Recent studies have shown that SOA formed from isoprene photooxidation can substantially contribute to the global atmospheric aerosol burden (Henze and Seinfeld, 2006; Fu et al., 2008; Paulot et al., 2009), especially during the summer season (Lewandowski et al., 2008). Globally, isoprene-derived SOA is predicted to be 30 to 50% of the total SOA (Hoyle et al., 2007; Henze and Seinfeld, 2006).

Since the first report of isoprene SOA constituents (i.e., 2-methyltetrols) in ambient aerosol samples (Claeys et al., 2004a), numerous laboratory studies have followed in order to understand the formation mechanisms leading to isoprene SOA in the atmosphere (Edney et al., 2005; Dommen et al., 2006; Kroll et al., 2005, 2006; Jaoui et al., 2010; Surratt et al., 2006, 2007b, 2010). Previous work has examined the effects of nitrogen oxides (NO_x = NO + NO_2) (Kroll et al., 2006; Paulot et al., 2009; Surratt et al., 2006, 2010) and aerosol acidity (Edney et al., 2005; Surratt et al., 2007b, 2010; Jaoui et al., 2010; Szmigieliski et al., 2010) on isoprene SOA formation. 2-methyltetrols and 2-methylglyceric acid (2-MG), which now serve as molecular tracers for isoprene SOA formation used in source apportionment of ambient aerosol (Kleindienst et al., 2007), were detected and confirmed to be major SOA constituents in both field and chamber studies under low- and high-NO_x conditions, respectively (Claeys et al., 2004b; Edney et al., 2005; Surratt et al., 2006, 2010). The SOA formation mechanism under low-NO_x conditions has been illustrated by Paulot et al. (2009) and Surratt et al. (2010);
specifically, the reactive uptake isoprene epoxydiols (IEPOX) onto acidic aerosols is key to SOA formation from isoprene. Under high-NO\textsubscript{x} conditions it has been shown that further oxidation of methacryloylperoxynitrate (MPAN) leads to SOA formation from isoprene and is enhanced under increasing initial NO\textsubscript{2}/NO ratios (Surratt et al., 2010; Chan et al., 2010). However, the key intermediate(s) from MPAN oxidation that lead to SOA formation have remained elusive, especially since the MPAN-derived oxidation product that was previously proposed by Surratt et al. (2010) is not consistent with the chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS) gas-phase data in Chan et al. (2010).

Enhancements of SOA mass from isoprene oxidation have been shown to correlate with increasing aerosol acidity (Edney et al., 2005; Surratt et al., 2007b, 2010; Jaoui et al., 2010; Szmielski et al., 2010). In addition to 2-methyltetrol formations, these enhancements have been shown to result (in part) due to the formation of isoprene-derived organosulfates (Surratt et al., 2007a, 2008; Gómez-González et al., 2008; Froyd et al., 2010). Of these organosulfates, the IEPOX-derived organosulfate has recently been shown to be one of the most abundant single compounds in ambient aerosol (Froyd et al., 2010).

In addition to NO\textsubscript{x} level and aerosol acidity, aqueous-phase chemistry may also play a role in isoprene SOA formation (Carlton et al., 2007; Altieri et al., 2008). Isoprene oxidation contributes approximately 50 and 80% towards the global budgets of glyoxal and methylglyoxal, respectively (Fu et al., 2008). Owing to their high water solubilities, their uptake by aqueous-phase aerosols, followed by oxidation and oligomerization could be a significant SOA source (Carlton et al., 2009; and references therein). Recent studies of aromatic systems suggest that glyoxal and methylglyoxal oligomers could contribute significantly to the aromatic SOA formation under humid conditions; aromatic SOA yields can be a factor of 2 to 5 higher than that under dry conditions (Kamens et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2011). Higher isoprene-derived SOA yields under humid conditions were proposed in recent modeling studies (Couvidat and Seigneur, 2011); however, the authors indicated experimental confirmation was needed.
Although the roles of NO$_x$, aerosol acidity, and aqueous-phase chemistry on isoprene SOA formation have been previously examined, most of the prior chamber studies have been conducted under dry conditions (RH < 30%) (Edney et al., 2005; Kroll et al., 2005, 2006; Surratt et al., 2006, 2007b, 2010). Besides the study of Dommen et al. (2006), no other direct laboratory comparisons between low- and high-RH conditions on isoprene SOA formation currently exist in the literature. The primary glyoxal and methylglyoxal yields of isoprene photooxidation are between 1% and 3% (Orlando, 2010); which are much lower than those from the aromatic systems (Calvert et al., 2002). Dommen et al. (2006) observed that the volatility of isoprene SOA was much lower at lower RH compared to higher RH conditions, which suggests that another chemical mechanism is operating in addition to the aqueous-phase glyoxal and methylglyoxal SOA formation. Therefore, in this study we explored the effect of low- and high-RH conditions on isoprene SOA formation by using identical initial isoprene and NO concentrations, as well as similar environmental conditions, in a large dual outdoor smog chamber. Higher SOA formation was observed under dry conditions, suggesting a low-RH favored pathway was dominating the formation of isoprene SOA in the presence of initially high-NO conditions.

2 Experimental section

2.1 Chamber experiment

Two sets of humid-dry experiments were conducted at the University of North Carolina 274 m$^3$ dual outdoor smog chamber in Pittsboro, NC under clear natural sunlight. The experimental facility has been described in previous studies (Lee et al., 2004; Leungsakul et al., 2005; Kamens et al., 2011). The smog chamber is divided by a Teflon film curtain into two separated sides: a 136 m$^3$ side referred as “North (N)” and a 138 m$^3$ side referred as “South (S)”. Both sides of the chamber were vented with rural North Carolina background air for at least 6 h before each experiment. A subsequent
drying process was performed with a 250 L min\(^{-1}\) Aadco clean air generator at a flow rate of 6 m\(^3\) h\(^{-1}\) to each side of the chamber. Three days of drying was performed in one chamber to obtain low-RH conditions; a high-RH chamber was obtained by only using the clean air generator for one to two hours. The background aerosol mass concentrations were less than 2 µg m\(^{-3}\) in the humid experiments and less than 0.3 µg m\(^{-3}\) in the dry experiments. NO was injected into the chamber from a high-pressure gas cylinder. Pure liquid isoprene (99%, Aldrich, Milwaukee, WI) was then vaporized and heated in a U-tube and flushed into the chamber with a N\(_2\) flow. The measurements of ozone (O\(_3\)), NO\(_x\), and hydrocarbons from the chamber are described in detail elsewhere (Hu et al., 2007; Kamens et al., 2011).

Particle size distributions and the mass concentrations are measured using two different scanning mobility particle sizers (SMPS) (DMA TSI 3081 and TSI 3080) with a condensation nuclei counter for each SMPS (CPC, TSI 3022A and TSI 3025A, respectively). The aerosol density for isoprene/NO\(_x\) system is presumed to be 1.4 g cm\(^{-3}\) (Kroll et al., 2006; Ng et al., 2008). Background aerosol filter samples were collected before experiments started. SOA filter sampling was initiated after the aerosol volume concentration started to increase and before it reaches maximum value as detected by the SMPS. The duration of the background filter sample was one hour at a flow rate between 15–20 L min\(^{-1}\), and the duration of the SOA filter sample is two hours. The volumes of air sampled through each set of filter samples were approximately the same (within 1% difference) for the humid and the dry chambers.

### 2.2 Filter sample extraction and analysis

Borosilicate microfiber filters reinforced with woven glass cloth and bonded with PTFE (PALL Life Sciences, 47-mm diameter, 1.0-µm pore size) were collected and each filter was extracted in 5 mL of high-purity methanol (LC-MS CHROMASOLV-grade, Sigma-Aldrich) by 45 min of sonication. Each 5 mL methanol extract was separated in half for further GC/MS and UPLC/ESI-HR-Q-TOFMS analysis. All the methanol extracts
were blown dry under a gentle N$_2$ steam at ambient temperature (Surratt et al., 2008). Blank filters were treated in the same manner as the samples. Chemical analyses of both blank and background filters collected from the dual smog chamber before each experiment revealed that no isoprene SOA constituents were present.

Filter extracts were analyzed for polar organic compounds by GC/MS with prior trimethylsilylation. Dried residues were trimethylsilylated by the addition of 100 μL of BSTFA + trimethylchlorosilane (99:1 (v/v), Supleco) and 50 μL of pyridine (Sigma-Aldrich, 98%, anhydrous), and the resultant mixture was heated for 1 h at 70°C. This step converts isoprene SOA containing carboxyl and hydroxyl moieties into volatile trimethylsilyl (TMS) derivatives (Surratt et al., 2010). The TMS derivatives were analyzed by GC/MS equipped with an electron ionization (EI) source that was operated at 70 eV (Hewlett 5890 Packard Series II Gas Chromatograph interfaced to a HP 5971A Series Mass Selective Detector, Econo-Cap™-EC™-5 column, 30 m × 0.25 mm × 0.25 μm). Details of the operation protocols for the GC/MS technique can be found in Surratt et al. (2010). All samples were analyzed by the GC/MS technique within 24 h of trimethylsilylation.

Polar and acidic compounds found in isoprene SOA were also analyzed by UPLC/ESI-HR-Q-TOFMS. Dried residues from filter extracts were reconstituted with 150 μL of 50:50 (v/v) solvent mixture of 0.1% acetic acid in methanol (LC-MS ChromaSolv-Grade, Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.1% acetic acid in water (LC-MS ChromaSolv-Grade, Sigma-Aldrich). The reconstituted residues were shaken and sonicated for 5 min and then stored at −20°C before analysis. An Agilent 6520 Series Accurate Mass Q-TOFMS instrument, equipped with an ESI source operated in the negative (−) ion mode, was used to chemically characterize and quantify polar and acidic compounds in the filter extracts. Optimum ESI conditions were found using a 3500 V capillary voltage, 100 V fragmentor voltage, 62 V skimmer voltage, 300°C gas temperature, 10 L min$^{-1}$ drying gas flow rate, 35 psig nebulizer, 10 psig reference nebulizer, and 35 psig reference mass feed. The ESI-Q-TOFMS instrument acquired mass spectra from $m/z$ 63 to 1000. The high resolution (i.e., mass resolution was ∼9000) and
accurate mass capabilities in both MS and MS/MS modes make this instrument a pow-
erful tool of structural elucidation of unknown SOA constituents. The chromatographic separations were carried out using a Waters ACQUITY UPLC HSS (high-strength silica) column (2.1 × 100 mm, 1.8 µm particle size) at 45 °C. The mobile phases consisted of eluent (A) 0.1 % acetic acid in water (LC-MS ChromaSolv-Grade, Sigma-Aldrich) and eluent (B) 0.1% acetic acid in methanol (LC-MS ChromaSolv-Grade, Sigma-Aldrich). The applied 12 min gradient elution program was as follows: the concentration of eluent B was 0% for the first 2 min, increased to 90% from 2 to 10 min, held at 90% from 10 to 10.2 min, and then decreased back to 0% from 10.2 to 12 min. The flow rate and sample injection volume were 0.3 mL min⁻¹ and 5 µL, respectively. Data were acquired and analyzed by Mass Hunter Version B.03.01 Build 3.1.346.0 software. At the beginning of each analysis period, the Q-TOFMS instrument was calibrated using a commercially available ESI-L low concentration tuning mixture (Agilent Technolo-
gies), which was composed of a 95:5 (v/v) solvent mixture of acetonitrile and water. This external calibration was done in the low-mass range (m/z < 1700). Six specific ions were used from the commercial tuning mixture during calibration, and include: 112.985587, 301.998139, 601.978977, 1033.988109, 1333.968947, and 1633.949786 Da. During the chromatographic runs, the Q-TOFMS was continually calibrated by the constant injection of the following reference compounds in the ESI source: purine, leucine enkephalin, and HP-0921 acetate adduct (Agilent Technologies).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Gas-phase and SMPS results

To make RH the only significant difference between each set of experiments, almost identical gas-phase conditions are required. Table 1 lists the two sets of humid-dry experiments that were performed in the dual outdoor smog chamber. The first set of experiments (2010OCT15N and 2010OCT15S) used ~0.4 ppm isoprene, ~0.14 ppm
NO\textsubscript{x}, and \(\sim10\ \mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) ammonium sulfate seed aerosol as initial conditions; the second set of experiments (2010OCT21N and 2010OCT21S) approximately doubled the concentrations. In the two dry experiments, the RH started at \(\sim40\%\) and decreased to \(\sim15\%\) by midday; for the humid experiments, the RH started at \(\sim90\%\), with no water condensation observed on the chamber walls, and dropped to \(\sim40\%\) during the day. Figure 1a shows the gas-phase measurements obtained from the first set of experiments. Identical O\textsubscript{3}, NO\textsubscript{x} and isoprene profiles were observed between the dry (North [N]) and the humid (South [S]) chambers.

Also shown in Fig. 1a are the wall-loss corrected SMPS data (presented in particle mass concentration) for the humid-dry experiments. On 2010OCT21, the dry side started with 29.8 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) of the ammonium sulfate seed aerosol, and upon photooxidation, reached a maximum aerosol mass concentration of 66.9 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\), with a SOA yield of 2.0%; the humid side started with 25.0 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) of ammonium sulfate seed aerosol, and upon photooxidation, peaked at 49.0 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\), with a SOA yield of 1.1%. In the other set of experiments with relatively lower initial concentrations, the dry side aerosol mass concentration increased from 10.4 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) to 18.9 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\), with a SOA yield of 0.9%, and the humid side mass concentration increased from 11.8 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\) to 15.1 \(\mu\text{g m}^{-3}\), with a SOA yield of 0.4%. As a result, with approximately identical initial conditions, isoprene-derived SOA formed under low-RH conditions between 15–40% can be a factor of two higher than that formed under high-RH conditions between 40–90%. Figure 1b shows the particle size distribution comparison of the dry and humid experiments on 2010OCT21. Both sides had similar particle size distribution when the experiments began (09:08 N and 09:18 S), but after the aerosol mass reached maximum values (13:08 N and 13:18 S), the dry side had higher aerosol mass concentration than the humid side. From close examination of the size distributions, the dry side produced larger particles than the humid side, suggesting the possible role of particle-phase reactions (e.g., oligomerization) in enhancing SOA formation from isoprene under low-RH conditions. The other set of experiments had similar results and are shown in the Fig. 1S (Supplement).
3.2 Chemical composition of SOA

Since the filter sampling volumes (5.82 m$^3$ vs. 5.89 m$^3$; 6.37 m$^3$ vs. 6.44 m$^3$) and the extraction efficiencies were similar, chromatographic peak areas can be directly compared. The experiments of 2010OCT15N and 2010OCT15S that were conducted under relatively lower initial concentrations have similar results to the other set of experiments but less intense chromatographic peaks, and their associated GC/MS and UPLC/ESI-HR-Q-TOFMS results are shown in Figs. 2S and 3S (Supplement), respectively.

TMS derivatives of the filter extracts were chemically analyzed by GC/MS. Figure 2a compares the total ion chromatograms (TICs) of aerosol filter extracts obtained from the low (2010OCT21N)- and the high (2010OCT21S)-RH experiments. The red and blue lines represent TICs of low- and high-RH filter extracts, respectively. The two diastereoisomeric 2-methyltetrols, which include 2-methylthreitol and 2-methylerythritol, had retention times (RT) of 31.1 and 31.9 min, respectively, and have similar chromatographic peak areas in both the low- and high-RH chambers. However, the chromatographic peak area of 2-MG (RT $\sim$21.7 min) was much higher in the dry experiment compared to the humid experiment. Also, more peaks were observed between 35–50 min (associated with oligomers) in the dry side. In particular, the peak areas of diesters derived from the esterification of two 2-MG residues (RT $\sim$43–45 min) (Surratt et al., 2006; Szmigielski et al., 2007) were higher in the dry experiments by a factor of $\sim$5. It is noted from the TIC comparison that an unknown tracer was substantially enhanced in the dry experiment (RT $\sim$25 min), which has a higher peak area than both the 2-methyltetrols and 2-MG. The GC/MS EI mass spectrum of this unknown tracer is shown in Fig. 2b. Due to the lack of available authentic standards for most isoprene SOA constituents, quantification was performed by using a surrogate standard (i.e., meso-erythritol) for the 2-methyltetrols as well as for the other isoprene SOA constituents observed by the GC/MS technique. Table 2 shows the quantification results from the GC/MS technique for the major SOA components (extraction efficiencies...
not included). The identification of these compounds was based on EI mass spectra (shown in the Fig. 4S, Supplement) and their consistency with previous studies (Edney et al., 2005; Surratt et al., 2006, 2010; Szmigielski et al., 2007). The relative lower yield of the unknown tracer under low-RH condition for 2010OCT15N is probably due to the lower initial concentration of isoprene employed compared to the higher concentration experiments (2010OCT21N).

Filter extracts were analyzed by the UPLC/(−)ESI-Q-TOFMS technique and quantified for polar and acidic SOA components. Figure 3a–h compare the UPLC/(−)ESI-HR-Q-TOFMS extracted ion chromatograms (EICs) between the low (2010OCT21N)- and the high (2010OCT21S)-RH filter extracts. The red and blue lines represent EICs of low- and high-RH filter extracts, respectively. Figure 3a–f represent comparisons of 2-MG ([M-H]− = 119), the diesters formed from two 2-MG residues ([M-H]− = 221), an acidic organic nitrate monomer ([M-H]− = 164), the diesters derived from the esterification 2-MG and the acidic organic nitrate monomer ([M-H]− = 266), a trimer species formed from the esterification of two 2-MG residues with acetic acid ([M-H]− = 263), and a trimer species formed from the esterification of two 2-MG residues with formic acid ([M-H]− = 249), respectively. In all the above comparisons, the low-RH experiment has higher chromatographic peak areas by factors of 2 to 4. The oligoesters composed solely of organic nitrate monomers (residues) were likely not detected from the UPLC/(−)ESI-Q-TOFMS technique due to the hydrolysis of the −ONO2 group(s) into −OH group(s) during sample workup and/or analysis (Sato, 2008). Part of the acetate triester (Fig. 3e) formation could be due to the presence of the acetic acid in the mobile phase; however, the detection of the formate triester (Fig. 3f) indicates that this is a real effect and that at least some fraction of the acetate triester is due to acetate produced during the oxidation of isoprene (Surratt et al., 2006, 2010). Prior studies have also observed and characterized oligoesters containing formate and acetate residues in isoprene SOA (Surratt et al., 2006, 2010; Szmigielski et al. 2007; Chan et al., 2010), which is consistent with the accurate mass data (i.e., elemental compositions) obtained in the present study (see Table 1S, Supplement). Additionally, Angove et al. (2006)
have observed formate esters from the photooxidation of butadiene in the presence of NO$_x$. In addition to the oligoesters, we also observed organosulfates derived from 2-MG (i.e., [M-H]$^-$ ion at m/z 199) and from IEPOX ([M-H]$^-$ ion at m/z 215)) using the UPLC/ESI-HR-Q-TOFMS technique shown in Fig. 3g and h, respectively. Surprisingly, these organosulfates are higher for the humid experiments; the organosulfate derived from glyoxal was also observed to be higher in the humid experiments (Fig. 5S, Supplement). Although previous studies have shown that organosulfates derived from isoprene oxidation are enhanced under acidic conditions (Surratt et al., 2007a, b, 2010; Gómez-González et al., 2008), these studies were conducted primarily under dry conditions (RH < 30%). Recent studies have shown that aerosol acidity is not always necessary to form organosulfates (Galloway et al., 2008; Perri et al., 2010; Nozière et al., 2010); more specifically, these studies have shown that organosulfates can form from the irradiation of aqueous aerosols that contain sulfate possibly due to radical-initiated reactions in the wet aerosols.

The GC/MS and UPLC/(−)ESI-HR-Q-TOFMS results are consistent in that both 2-MG and its corresponding oligoesters were enhanced in the low-RH experiments. Based upon the chemical composition analysis, a hypothesis of the identification of the unknown tracer observed in the GC/MS data is possible. The EI mass spectrum of this unknown tracer in GC/MS suggests that it has at least one −OH group and a trimethylsilylated molecular weight (MW) of 309, which also implies that it contains an odd number of nitrate groups due to the odd MW of the TMS derivative. The GC/MS retention time of this tracer suggests a C$_4$ or C$_5$ compound, and thus, the number of −OH groups is most likely to be two, resulting in the MW of the unknown tracer to be 165. Using the UPLC/(−)ESI-HR-Q-TOFMS technique, a compound with a MW of 165 was detected as a deprotonated ion and its elemental composition was determined to be C$_4$H$_6$NO$_6^-$ (Fig. 3c), which is consistent with the GC/MS result. Hence, this hypothesized nitrate compound, with a C$_4$ backbone structure, is very similar to 2-MG, except that one of the −OH groups is replaced with a −ONO$_2$ group. This hypothesis also explains the correlation that when the peak areas of the tracer are high those of 2-MG.
are also high, because a certain fraction of 2-MG may form from the hydrolysis of this speculated nitrate monomer. If this is the case, however, the GC/MS mass spectrum (Fig. 2b) associated with this tracer cannot be fully explained, especially the fragment ion observed at \( m/z \) 298. It is possible that the unknown tracer is not acidic, and as a result, was not detected by the UPLC/(−)ESI-HR-Q-TOFMS technique. Additionally, it is possible that the nitrate group of the UPLC/(−)ESI-HR-Q-TOFMS detected MW 165 compound was trimethylsilylated the same way as the −OH group on 2-MG, and as a result, was detected as the same structure as 2-MG by the GC/MS technique; however, if the latter was true then the unknown N-containing tracer should not have been observed by the GC/MS technique as a considerable peak, especially if it was an organic nitrate group. This suggests that the unknown tracer is more consistent with the hypothesis of a \( \text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{NO}_6 \) compound. Jaoui et al. (2010) reported a similar N-containing SOA tracer under acidic conditions in both chamber-generated and ambient organic aerosol using GC/CI-MS, which likely had a trimethylsilylated MW of 313. The latter can better explain the fragment ion at \( m/z \) 298 (fragmentation of a methyl group from the molecular ion) in Fig. 2b. However, Jaoui et al. (2010) still could not provide a reasonable structure of the unknown isoprene tracer. Furthermore, a \( \text{C}_4\text{H}_7\text{NO}_6 \) compound is hard to explain by the trimethylsilylated MW of 313 observed by Jaoui et al. (2010). If the unknown tracer observed by both Jaoui et al. (2010) and in the present study are further proved to be identical, the result of the present work will be significant because the unknown tracer observed by Jaoui et al. (2010) has been measured in several ambient aerosol samples.

To further identify and confirm the structure of this unknown tracer, more advanced analytical techniques, such as GC/EI-HR-TOFMS, are needed as well as synthesis of an authentic standard. Nevertheless, the chemical composition results obtained in the present study already reveal the importance of a \( \text{C}_4 \)-preserving pathway (i.e., the further oxidation of MPAN leads to 2-MG and its corresponding oligoesters) that leads to the enhanced SOA formation from isoprene under low-RH conditions.
3.3 MPAN oxidation and role of organic esterification chemistry

The detailed gas-phase oxidation reactions of isoprene that lead to the formation of MACR and MPAN have been well characterized by previous studies (Pinho et al., 2005; Orlando et al., 2002). The importance of the further oxidation of MPAN under high-NO$_x$ conditions in leading to the formation of isoprene SOA have been demonstrated recently by Surratt et al. (2010) and Chan et al. (2010). However, the detailed chemistry following MPAN oxidation that leads to isoprene SOA formation remains unclear and different intermediate products have been suggested by these previous studies.

In this paper, we do not have adequate information to validate the structures of the previously proposed intermediate products; however, it is believed that the reactive intermediate produced by the further gas-phase oxidation of MPAN undergoes gas-aerosol partitioning, further reacts in the particle phase, and ultimately forms the unknown SOA tracer discussed above from the GC/MS data. Furthermore, this unknown reactive intermediate likely undergoes organic esterification in the particle phase, which ultimately forms the oligoesters observed by both the GC/MS and UPLC/ESI-HR-Q-TOFMS techniques. The formation of oligoesters will reduce the monomer concentration in the aerosol phase, and further drive gas-particle partitioning, and increase SOA formation. If the unknown SOA tracer observed in the GC/MS data is what we have hypothesized, both the monomer and its oligoesters can be hydrolyzed to form 2-MG and its corresponding oligoesters during the experiment and/or the extraction process.

The feasibility of the atmospheric organic esterification process has been theoretically studied by Barsanti and Pankow (2006), indicating that ester formation is thermodynamically favored and likely to occur if kinetically favored. Since water is a product in esterification reactions, lower RH tends to promote ester formation to different extents for different carboxylic and dicarboxylic acids. Previous chamber studies have also observed organic ester products from the isoprene system as well as from other hydrocarbon systems using advanced off-line MS techniques (Angove et al., 2006; Hamilton et al., 2006; Müller et al., 2008; Surratt et al., 2006, 2010; Szmigielski et al., 2007).
In addition, Dommen et al. (2006) examined isoprene SOA formation under NO\textsubscript{x} conditions but could not explain the observed lower volatility of isoprene SOA produced under lower RH conditions (0\% vs. 50\%); however, the proposed organic esterification process presented in our study could explain the differences in their volatility measurements.

Aerosol acidity could be another important factor. With similar initial gas-phase concentrations and ammonium sulfate seed aerosol in each set of experiments, both the humid and the dry experiments had similar nitrate and sulfate levels. However, since the dry experiments had less particle-phase water, the acidity in the condensed phase was probably higher than the humid experiments. Hence, the formation of some SOA compounds may be enhanced in the low-RH experiments due to acid-catalyzed processes (Surratt et al., 2007b; Offenberg et al., 2009; Jaoui et al., 2010).

However, chemical composition results suggest a more important role of organic esterification chemistry. Direct evidence is that the formation of 2-methyltetrols was not significantly enhanced in the low-RH experiments. Surratt et al. (2007b, 2010) and Jaoui et al. (2010) both found under acidic conditions (acidity introduced by either acidic seed aerosol or injection of gaseous SO\textsubscript{2}) that the 2-methyltetrols were enhanced but the formation of 2-MG remained fairly constant, which is contrary to the results of the present study. In this study, the formation of 2-methyltetrols was similar in the high- and low-RH experiments, but the formation of 2-MG (and its corresponding oligoesters) increased by as much as a factor of two in the low-RH experiments. Apparently, increase in aerosol acidity due to lower RH conditions, and thus lower particle-phase water content, is not sufficient to cause the large SOA mass enhancements observed in this study. Alternatively, it is more likely that particle-phase organic esterification plays a major role in accounting for the higher SOA yields under low-RH conditions.
4 Atmospheric implications and conclusion

The unexpected findings presented above suggest that higher MPAN-derived SOA yields result from isoprene photooxidation under low-RH conditions. Thus, if the results in the present study are further confirmed, isoprene-derived SOA yields in the atmosphere could be lower than most of current chamber studies suggest; this would be especially true in isoprene-influenced urban areas with high-NO$_x$ concentrations because atmospheric RH tends to be higher than most of the previous chamber studies. It is important to note that these prior chamber studies are typically used to estimate isoprene associated SOA (Surratt et al., 2006, 2007b, 2010; Kroll et al., 2005, 2006).

Furthermore, the results found in this study are opposite to recent RH effect studies on aromatic systems (Kamens et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2011), suggesting different chemical channels for the different SOA precursors. Under varied RH conditions, several possible factors affecting SOA yield have been suggested in this work. The particle-phase organic esterification is speculated to have a major role and “drives” the difference of SOA yields under the investigated low- and high-RH conditions. Also, aerosol acidity differences caused by changing RH can yield higher SOA under dry conditions. Organosulfates, on the other hand, have higher yields under humid conditions due to the potential need for particle-phase water. Water uptake and oligomerization of glyoxal and methylglyoxal is probably another factor. In the real atmosphere, NO$_x$ concentration, acidity, and sulfate conditions are highly variable. How these factors affect isoprene-derived SOA yield under different RH conditions will be a significant issue in future studies. Under low-NO$_x$ conditions, for example, organic esterification will not be a major process for the IEPOX pathway, and thus, the impacts of RH on other chemical channels leading to SOA formation require further study. Oligomerization of glyoxal and methylglyoxal and organosulfate formation are probably both enhanced under high-RH conditions, and assuming acidity differences caused by RH is still insignificant, SOA yields may be higher under high-RH conditions for low-NO$_x$ oxidations.
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Table 1. Initial conditions for humid-dry isoprene/NO\textsubscript{x} experiments conducted in UNC outdoor aerosol chambers\textsuperscript{a}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>Initial [isoprene] (ppm)</th>
<th>Initial [NO] (ppm)</th>
<th>Initial [NO\textsubscript{x}] (ppm)</th>
<th>Temperature Range (K)</th>
<th>RH (%)</th>
<th>HC/NO\textsubscript{x}\textsuperscript{c} mass concentration</th>
<th>Initial AS\textsuperscript{d} mass concentration (µg m\textsuperscript{-3})</th>
<th>Max SOA mass concentration (µg m\textsuperscript{-3})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010OCT15N</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>282–299</td>
<td>38–15</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010OCT15S</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>282–299</td>
<td>88–45</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010OCT21N</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>281–303</td>
<td>42–16</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010OCT21S</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.252</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>281–303</td>
<td>85–40</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a} Outdoor smog chamber temperature varying from 275 to 315 K. \textsuperscript{b} Experiment identifier in YYYYMMDDN/S format, where MMM is the three-letter month initials, DD is the experiment day, YYYY is the experiment year and N or S represents chamber side in which experiment was performed – N: North side, S: South side. \textsuperscript{c} HC/NO\textsubscript{x} represents the ratio of initial isoprene concentration (in ppmV) over initial NO\textsubscript{x} concentration. “AS” represents ammonium sulfate seed (0.04 M (NH\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}) that were injected from a aerosol nebulizer.
Table 2. Mass concentrations of major SOA compounds based on GC/MS results (unit: ng m\(^{-3}\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>2010OCT15N</th>
<th>2010OCT15S</th>
<th>2010OCT21N</th>
<th>2010OCT21S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-MG</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5alkenetriols</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>35.5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown tracer</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>146.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-methyltetrols</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>132.2</td>
<td>117.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-MG oligoesters</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. (A) Gas-phase measurement of O$_3$, NO$_x$, and isoprene and wall loss corrected SMPS data. (B) Particle size distributions under low- and high-RH conditions when the experiments began (09:08 N and 09:18 S) and reached the maximum mass concentrations (13:08 N and 13:18 S). The red dots are data from North chamber (2010OCT21N, low-RH); the blue dots are data from South chamber (2010OCT21S, high-RH). The circle dots in (B) represent particle size distributions at the beginning of experiments; the cross dots represent particle size distributions after the mass concentrations peaked.
Fig. 2. (A) Comparison of GC/MS TICs of the filter extracts of 2010OCT21N (low-RH experiment, red lines) and 2010OCT21S (high-RH experiment, blue lines). Important isoprene-derived SOA compounds (i.e., 2-MG, the unknown tracer, 2-methyltetrols, and 2-MG diesters) are labeled. (B) EI mass spectrum associated with the unknown tracer peak in (A).
Fig. 3. Comparison of known isoprene SOA constituents produced from isoprene oxidation under initially high-NO and high-RH (blue lines) and low-RH (red lines) conditions in the presence of neutral ammonium sulfate seed aerosol. For simplicity, only one structural isomer is shown. All of the MPAN-derived SOA products (A–F), except for (G), are found to increase in abundance under low-RH conditions. All of the organosulfates derived from isoprene oxidation were found to increase in abundance with increasing RH, including the organosulfate derived from IEPOX (H) and also from glyoxal (see Supplement, Fig. 4S). ESI-HR-Q-TOFMS accurate measurements show that the elemental compositions of these compounds are consistent with the proposed structures (see supplemental information, Table 1S).