Role of sea surface temperature responses in simulation of the climatic effect of mineral dust aerosol
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Abstract

Mineral dust aerosol can be transported over the nearby oceans and influence the energy balance at the sea surface. The role of dust-induced sea surface temperature (SST) responses in simulations of the climatic effect of dust is examined by using a general circulation model with online simulation of mineral dust and a coupled mixed-layer ocean model. Both the longwave and shortwave radiative effects of mineral dust aerosol are considered in climate simulations. The SST responses are found to be very influential on simulated dust-induced climate change, especially when climate simulations consider the two-way dust-climate coupling to account for the feedbacks. With prescribed SSTs and dust concentrations, we obtain an increase of 0.02 K in the global and annual mean surface air temperature (SAT) in response to dust radiative effects. In contrast, when SSTs are allowed to respond to radiative forcing of dust in the presence of the dust cycle-climate interactions, we obtain a global and annual mean cooling of 0.09 K in SAT by dust. The extra cooling simulated with the SST responses can be attributed to the following two factors: (1) The negative net radiative forcing of dust at the surface reduces SST, which decreases latent heat fluxes and upward transport of water vapor, resulting in less warming in the atmosphere; (2) The positive feedback between SST responses and dust cycle. The dust-induced reductions in SST lead to reductions in precipitation (or wet deposition of dust) and hence increase the global burden of small dust particles. These small particles have strong scattering effects, which enhance the dust cooling at the surface and further reduce SSTs.

1 Introduction

Mineral dust aerosol is one of the major aerosol species in the atmosphere. While dust aerosol influences the Earth’s energy balance through scattering and absorbing shortwave (SW) and longwave (LW) radiation (Carlson and Benjamin, 1980; Sokolik and Toon, 1996), dust-induced changes in meteorological parameters can feed back...
into dust cycle by altering emissions, transport, and deposition of dust (Miller et al., 2004a; Heinold et al., 2007). Such dust-climate interactions have been found to be important for simulations of dust-induced climate change (Yue et al., 2010a).

When mineral particles are transported over the nearby oceans, they can influence the energy balance at the sea surface. Observational studies have shown that changes in dust concentrations correlate with those in SST. Lau and Kim (2007) found a significant negative correlation between the in situ measured dust concentrations in Barbados and SSTs over the Atlantic for the period of 1980–1999. Foltz and Mcphaden (2008), based on the measurements from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), reported a significant decreasing trend in aerosol optical depth (AOD) over the tropical North Atlantic Ocean between 1980 and 2006, and found that this trend was correlated with the increases in SST over the same region in the same time period. Similarly, Luo et al. (2009) found that the observed increases in SST over the subtropical Atlantic were correlated to the satellite retrieved declines in AOD over the same region during 1985–2006. Evan et al. (2009) used 26-year of satellite data of AOD as the input of a climate model and found that the regional variation of aerosols can account for 69% of the recent upward trend in SST over the northern tropical Atlantic Ocean. These studies underscore the importance of considering SST responses in simulations of the climatic effect of dust.

Previous global or regional modeling studies on the climatic effect of dust, as summarized in Table 1, include climate simulations with fixed sea surface temperatures (SSTs) (Perlwitz et al., 2001; Lau et al., 2006; Ahn et al., 2007; Heinold et al., 2007; Helmert et al., 2007; Konare et al., 2008; Solmon et al., 2008; Collier and Zhang, 2009; Zhang et al., 2009) and those that considered the SST responses to radiative forcing (RF) of dust using a mixed-layer or a dynamic ocean model (Miller and Tegen, 1998; Miller et al., 2004a, b; Mahowald et al., 2006; Shell and Somerville, 2007; Yoshioka et al., 2007; Evan et al., 2008). Among the seven studies with SST responses, Miller and Tegen (1998) examined the role of SST responses in simulated dust-induced climate change using prescribed dust concentrations and found moderate differences in
simulated climatic effect of dust between simulations with and without SST responses. Miller et al. (2004b) reported that dust-induced SST responses have a large impact on evaporation at the surface and consequently on dust emissions over the Arabian Peninsula during the Asian summer monsoon using a general circulation model (GCM). No previous studies, to our knowledge, have investigated the role of dust-induced SST responses in simulated climatic effect of dust with the two-way dust cycle-climate interactions.

We seek to understand the role of dust-induced SST responses in simulation of the climatic effect of dust using a GCM with/without the two-way dust-climate coupling, focusing on the differences in simulated dust-induced changes in temperature and precipitation in the presence and absence of SST responses. The two-way dust-climate coupling is emphasized here because the feedbacks among dust-climate-SST are the keys for understanding model results. This study builds on our previous work, in which a Global Transport Model of Dust (GMOD) was developed (Yue et al., 2009) and climate responses to both the SW and LW radiative effects of dust were examined (Yue et al., 2010a). In the following section, we present a brief description of the GCM, dust simulation, the consideration of dust radiative effects, and our numerical experiments. The simulated dust cycle and its RF are shown in Sect. 3. The simulated climatic effects are presented and discussed in Sect. 4.

2 The model and numerical experiments

2.1 The models

The climate model IAP9L-AGCM has a horizontal resolution of 4°×5° with nine vertical levels up to 10 hPa (Zeng et al., 1989; Zhang, 1990; Liang, 1996). It is coupled with a mixed-layer ocean model from Hansen et al. (1984) and utilizes an annual mean mixed-layer depth derived from Levitus et al. (2000). The GCM has been used in simulations of global monsoon systems (Chidiezie et al., 1997; Xue et al., 2001), interannual and
decadal climatic dynamics (Mu and Li, 1999), and paleoclimate (Jiang et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2007; Yue et al., 2010b).

The dust model GMOD was integrated into the GCM to simulate the global transport of dust aerosol in Yue et al. (2009). The GMOD simulates four dust bins with dry radii covering 0.1–1.0, 1.0–2.0, 2.0–5.0, and 5.0–10.0 µm. The dust emission scheme follows that in Wang et al. (2000), with dust uplift flux calculated as a function of meteorological parameters such as friction velocity and relative humidity (RH) of the air near surface. The dependence of dust emission on RH is a unique feature of the GMOD (Yue et al., 2010a). Dust sources are determined by land surface types in the GCM; deserts, scrubland, and short grassland are allowed to have dust emissions.

We further updated the radiative scheme in IAP9L-AGCM to consider the radiative effects of dust aerosol (Yue and Wang, 2009; Yue et al., 2010a). The scheme utilizes the δ-Eddington approximation in solar spectra (Briegleb, 1992) and the parameterizations of Ramanathan and Downey (1986) for absorptivity and emissivity of the atmospheric trace gases in the thermal spectra. We utilize an exponential transmission approximation (Carlson and Benjamin, 1980) to consider the impact of dust on the atmospheric transmission in the LW region (Yue et al., 2010a). The refractive indices of dust follow those in Woodward (2001), which were compiled based on measurements at different locations of the world. The optical properties of dust are calculated using the Mie Theory.

### 2.2 Numerical experiments

We perform two groups of simulations to investigate the impacts of the SST responses on the simulated climatic effects of dust. The first group of simulations have fixed monthly SSTs (denoted as FIXSST) during the simulation. These experiments lack a surface energy constraint, hence the surface forcing by dust is nearly uncompensated by the surface energy exchanges, and the sensible and latent heat fluxes vary following the changes in air temperature, wind speed, and air humidity. In the other group of simulations, the SSTs are allowed to respond to the energy exchanges at the surface by
using a mixed-layer ocean model (denoted as MXLSST). In each group, three separate sensitivity experiments are carried out to further explore the impact of SST responses with or without the interactions between dust cycle and climate (Fig. 1): (1) The control (CTRL) experiment that simulates both dust cycle and climate, with dust radiative effects not allowed to feed back into the GCM climate, (2) the fixed dust (FD) experiment that simulates climate responses to the RF of prescribed monthly mean concentrations of dust, which are obtained from the CTRL run, and (3) the coupled dust (CD) experiment with the two-way coupling between dust cycle and climate. In each group of simulations, the differences in climate between the FD and CTRL experiments represent the climatic effects of dust with prescribed concentrations, and the differences between CD and CTRL represent climate responses to RF of the interactive dust. With the two groups of simulations, we can examine (FD – CTRL) and (CD – CTRL) with or without SST responses.

To reduce the model sensitivity to initial conditions, each experiment in either FIXSST or MXLSST group is an ensemble of three climate simulations; the ensemble means of the simulated climatology of dust cycle and meteorological parameters are presented. While the simulated annual and global mean surface air temperature (SAT) reaches equilibrium very fast for each run in FIXSST group, the slow responses of the oceans lead to a longer time for climate to reach equilibrium in the MXLSST group of simulations. As a result, the ensemble runs for FIXSST_CTRL, FIXSST_FD, and FIXSST_CD are integrated for 25 years, respectively, with the first 5 model years used as spin-up. The ensemble runs for MXLSST_CTRL, MXLSST_FD, and MXLSST_CD are integrated for 50 years, respectively, with the first 20 model years used as the spin-up period. Such approach of having different years of integration for simulations with/without SST responses was also used in the study of Miller and Tegen (1998). In the following discussions, we rule out the spin-up period for each run to analyze the equilibrium climate responses to the dust radiative effects. The Student-t test is used to examine the significance level of the differences between different experiments.
3 Simulated dust cycle and radiative forcing

3.1 Dust cycle

On a global scale, experiment FIXSST_CTRL predicts an annual dust emission of 2005 trillion gram (Tg, 1 Tg = 10^{12} g) for four dust bins, in which 1354 Tg is dry deposited and 650 Tg is wet deposited (Table 2). The simulated annual mean dust burden of 28.8 Tg is within the range of 12.1–35.8 Tg estimated by previous studies (e.g. Ginoux et al., 2001; Zender et al., 2003; Liao et al., 2004; Reddy et al., 2005). The average lifetime of dust particles is simulated to be 5.2 days; small particles (radius \( r < 1.0 \mu m \)) can stay in the atmosphere for about 21 days, while large particles (radius \( r \geq 5.0 \mu m \)) are removed from the atmosphere within about 1 day (Yue et al., 2009).

Simulated column burdens of dust in FIXSST_CTRL are shown in Fig. 2. Dust aerosol has high concentrations over source regions, such as the Sahara Desert, Central Asia, and the Australian deserts. Vertically, dust particles of different size extend to different heights (Fig. 3). The smallest particles can reach stratosphere and travel far away from the source regions (Fig. 3a). The largest particles are constrained at the low levels near the sources because of their large gravitational settling velocity (Fig. 3d). The simulated vertical profiles are qualitatively consistent with the model results in Tegen and Fung (1994) and the observations in Ginoux et al. (2001).

3.2 Radiative forcing of dust

The SW and LW RFs of dust aerosol are calculated offline using the “double radiation call” method following Woodward (2001), based on the simulated dust concentrations from FIXSST_CTRL. Since the estimated RF values have been presented in detail in Yue et al. (2010a), we summarize our RF values here for understanding the simulated climate responses to dust RF. The simulated single scattering albedo (SSA) of the dust particles is 0.94 at 0.63 \( \mu m \) on a global mean basis, using the imaginary parts of the refractive indices of dust compiled by Woodward (2001). As a result, dust
aerosol is estimated to exert global and annual mean SW and LW RFs of, respectively, $-0.34 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$ and $+0.31 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$ at the top of the atmosphere (TOA) and $-2.20 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$ and $+0.70 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$ at the surface. The net (SW + LW) TOA RFs are positive over the Sahara Desert (cf. Fig. 2e in Yue et al., 2010a), because of the LW absorption by large dust particles and the SW absorption over high-albedo surface (Yue et al., 2010a). The net TOA RFs are negative over the tropical Atlantic and the Indian Ocean, where the surface albedo is low. At the surface, the particles lead to a general net negative forcing, with a maximum cooling of $15.0 \, \text{W m}^{-2}$ over the Sahara Desert (cf. Fig. 2f in Yue et al., 2010a). The RF values at both the TOA and surface were compared with estimates in other models in Yue et al. (2010a).

4 Impact of SST responses on simulated climatic effect of dust

In this section, the impacts of dust-induced SST responses on simulated climatic effect of dust are presented firstly for simulations with prescribed dust concentrations and then for those with interactive dust. The feedbacks with/without dust-climate coupling will be summarized and discussed at the end of this section.

4.1 Climate responses with prescribed dust concentrations

4.1.1 Temperature

The impact of SST responses on simulated SAT is examined by comparing the differences between (FIXSST_FD – FIXSST_CTRL) (Fig. 4a) and (MXLSST_FD – MXLSST_CTRL) (Fig. 4e), which represent the simulated responses in SAT to the net RF of prescribed dust with FIXSST and MXLSST, respectively. Over Africa, the pattern of dust-induced changes in SAT with FIXSST is similar to that simulated with MXLSST. A maximum warming of 0.3–0.7 K is found over northern Africa ($10^\circ \text{W–}30^\circ \text{E}, 15^\circ \text{N–}30^\circ \text{N}$) in both cases, which can be explained by the SW absorption of dust over
high-albedo surface, LW absorption by large dust particles, as well as the dust-induced decreases in middle cloud amount (MCA, Fig. 6) over this area. The low cloud amount is predicted to have practically no change over the northern Africa in the cases with FIXSST and MXLSST (not shown). Our simulated warming in northern Africa agrees with the simulations by Carlson and Benjamin (1980) and Weaver et al. (2002).

Over the tropical oceans, simulated dust-induced changes in SAT with FIXSST are quite different from those with MXLSST. With FIXSST, SAT responses are positive over the Atlantic and the Indian Ocean (Fig. 4a) where dust particles transported from the Sahara Desert and the Arabian Peninsula have high concentrations. With MXLSST, however, SSTs respond to negative dust RF at the surface, leading to statistically significant negative SAT responses of about $-0.1$ K over the tropical oceans (Fig. 4e). On a global and annual mean basis, the net RF of prescribed dust leads to a warming of $0.02$ K in SAT with FIXSST but a cooling of $0.03$ K with MXLSST.

The simulated atmospheric temperatures also show different responses to the net RF of prescribed dust, as the case with FIXSST is compared to that with MXLSST. In FIXSST_FD, dust aerosol leads to a general warming in the middle-upper troposphere (Fig. 4b), similar to the result of Carlson and Benjamin (1980) that was also simulated with prescribed SSTs. In MXLSST_FD, dust-induced warming is weakened in the middle-upper troposphere and a cooling is simulated to stretch from the surface to the middle troposphere over $90^\circ$ S–$30^\circ$ N (Fig. 4f).

The different responses in atmospheric temperature to about the same net RF of dust in FIXSST and MXLSST can be attributed to the differences in SST responses. In FIXSST_FD, SSTs are fixed during the climate simulation; hence the changes in latent and sensible heat fluxes between the oceans and the atmosphere are dependent on the changes in meteorological parameters of the surface air. In MXLSST_FD, however, energy fluxes between the oceans and the atmosphere are determined by the changes in both the oceans and the atmosphere. As shown in Fig. 5, the pattern of the changes in sensible heat flux in FIXSST_FD is similar to that in MXLSST_FD. Over the oceans, while the reductions in the upward sensible heat flux in FIXSST_FD (Fig. 5a) result from
the dust-induced increases in SAT (Fig. 4a), the reductions in MXLSST_FD (Fig. 5c) are a result of the changes in both SST and SAT (the reductions in SST are larger than the reductions in SAT). The changes in latent heat flux from the ocean to the atmosphere are dependent on the differences between saturated humidity at the ocean surface and surface air humidity. Over the oceans, the reductions in latent heat flux in FIXSST_FD (Fig. 5b) result from the dust-induced increases in SAT which allow the air to hold more water, whereas the reductions in latent heat flux in MXLSST_FD (Fig. 5d) are mainly caused by the dust-induced reductions in SSTs and hence in saturated humidity at the ocean surface. For both sensible and latent heat fluxes, the negative changes over the tropical oceans are more significant in MXLSST_FD than in FIXSST_FD (Fig. 5). As a result, in the case of MIXSST_FD, the atmospheric cooling by the reduced water vapor content (Fig. 4g) and the reduced upward sensible heat fluxes exceeds the atmospheric heating by LW and SW effect of dust, leading to a net cooling over the tropical oceans.

4.1.2 Hydrological cycle

As mentioned above, the dust-induced increases in air temperature strengthen the capability of atmosphere to hold water vapor, leading to a large increase in air humidity in FIXSST_FD (Fig. 4c). In addition, the dust-induced increases in air temperature over the oceans with fixed SST increase the stability of the atmosphere (Miller et al., 1998). These two effects contribute to the decrease in precipitation (Fig. 4d) and in MCA in simulation FIXSST_FD (Fig. 6a). In MXLSST_FD, air humidity exhibits a widespread decrease over the tropical oceans (Fig. 4g) because of the reductions in evaporation and in air temperature (Fig. 4f), and the global precipitation (Fig. 4h) and MCA (Fig. 6b) decrease.

The pattern of dust-induced changes in precipitation in FIXSST_FD (Fig. 4d) is generally similar to that in MXLSST_FD (Fig. 4h), but the simulated changes over Europe, the tropical Pacific Ocean, and the tropical Atlantic Ocean are larger in MXLSST_FD. Our simulated strong sensitivity of precipitation to SST responses is consistent with that found in Yang et al. (2003), who investigated the different impacts of fixed and
calculated SSTs on simulated changes in precipitation under global warming scenar-
ios. The differences in precipitation between FIXSST_FD and MXLSST_FD are also
found over the north of the Bay of Bengal. Large increases in precipitation are pre-
predicted over the north of the Bay of Bengal in FIXSST_FD (Fig. 4d), which agree with
the dust-induced anomalous increases in rainfall in this region reported by Miller and
Tegen (1998) and Lau et al. (2006). These two studies used prescribed SST but differ-
cent climate models. Over the north of the Bay of Bengal, the positive rainfall anomalies
are weakened in MXLSST_FD, because the dust-induced increases in temperature in
the middle troposphere (or the “heating pump” effects proposed by Lau et al. (2006))
are smaller in MXLSST_FD than in FIXSST_FD.

It should be noted that the dust-induced changes in hydrological cycle feed back
into simulated changes in temperature. First, because water vapor is an important
greenhouse gas in the troposphere, the changes in air humidity have a positive feed-
back on air temperature. While the simulated increases in air humidity contribute to
the simulated dust-induced atmospheric warming in FIXSST_FD (Fig. 4b and c), the
reductions in air humidity enhance the atmospheric cooling in MXLSST_FD (Fig. 4f
and g). Second, the changes in clouds contribute to the temperature responses over
the Sahara Desert. In both FIXSST_FD and MXLSST_FD, the dust-induced changes
in MCA over Northern Africa enhance the surface warming in that region (Fig. 4a and
e). Similar effects from the changes in low cloud amount are also found, but the mag-
nitude of changes in low cloud amount is smaller than that of MCA (not shown). Such
semi-direct effect of aerosol increases the complexity of climate responses to aerosol
forcing (Gu et al., 2006).

4.2 Climate responses with two-way dust-climate coupling

4.2.1 Temperature

With the two-way dust-climate coupling, the impact of SST responses on simulated
climatic effect of dust can be examined by comparing the differences between
The dust-induced changes in SAT in FIXSST_CD (Fig. 7a) are similar to those in FIXSST_FD (Fig. 4a), with a global and annual mean change of +0.02 K. The simulated SAT responses to dust RF in MXLSST_CD (Fig. 7e) differ from those in FIXSST_CD (Fig. 7a). Experiment MXLSST_CD predicts a general surface cooling of 0.15–0.30 K over the tropical oceans (Fig. 7e) and a zonal mean cooling extending from the surface to the upper troposphere over 90° S–30° N (Fig. 7f). On an annual and global mean basis, the dust-induced change in SAT is a cooling of 0.09 K in MXLSST_CD. Compared to simulations FIXSST_FD, MXLSST_FD, and FIXSST_CD, the strongest cooling is obtained in MXLSST_CD because of the dust cycle-climate interactions.

4.2.2 Hydrological cycle

The pattern of simulated changes in precipitation in FIXSST_CD is similar to that in MXLSST_CD. However, simulated reductions in precipitation over the tropical Atlantic Ocean are larger in MXLSST_CD (Fig. 7h) than in FIXSST_CD (Fig. 7d), because of the reductions in evaporation (not shown) and the reduced water vapor content (Fig. 7g) in MXLSST_CD. Again, the reductions in evaporation (or latent heat flux) in MXLSST_CD are mainly caused by the dust-induced reductions in SSTs as discussed in Sect. 4.1.1. The zonal mean specific humidity exhibits reductions over 60° S–15° N in MXLSST_CD (Fig. 7g), corresponding to the dust-induced reductions in SST and air temperature (Fig. 7f).

4.2.3 The dust cycle-climate feedbacks in FIXSST_CD and MXLSST_CD

The role of SST responses has been shown to be important aforementioned. It is also found that the role of SST responses is enhanced with dust cycle-climate interactions. The reason for the strongest cooling in SAT and air temperature in MXLSST_CD is that the dust-induced changes in meteorological fields can feed back into simulated
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Table 2 presents the simulated dust budget in MXLSST_CTRL and MXLSST_CD. Relative to MXLSST_CTRL, MXLSST_CD simulates a 2.4% lower global dust emission, because the dust-induced warming over the dust source regions (Fig. 7e) increases evaporation and air moisture and consequently reduces dust mobilization based on the dust generation function in Yue et al. (2009). However, simulated global dust burden exhibits an increase of 12.0% in MXLSST_CD relative to MXLSST_CTRL, as a result of the simulated reductions in precipitation (Fig. 7h). Because wet deposition is the only efficient way to remove small particles from the atmosphere, the dust-induced reductions in precipitation increase the burden of small particles (particles with radii < 1.0 µm) by 16.8% in MXLSST_CD relative to MXLSST_CTRL (Table 3). Dust particles in the size bin of 0.1–1.0 µm have a net (SW plus LW) negative global mean forcing at TOA, whereas particles in each of larger size bins exert a net positive global mean TOA forcing (Yue et al., 2010a). As a result, the increase in the burden of small particles by dust cycle-climate coupling contributes to the stronger global mean surface cooling in MXLSST_CD (Fig. 7e). Over the tropical oceans, the strong cooling in MXLSST_CD can also be explained by the positive feedback initialized by dust-induced cooling of SST. Dust-induced reductions in SST lead to reductions in evaporation and precipitation over the oceans, which increase column burdens of small dust particles and further reduce SSTs.

Relative to FIXSST_CTRL, the coupled dust-climate simulation FIXSST_CD also obtains an increase of 10.4% in total dust burden (Table 3), as a result of the dust-induced reductions in precipitation (Fig. 7d). However, without the positive feedback of “dust RF → SST response → climate → dust cycle”, this increase in dust mass does not cause as strong cooling in FIXSST_CD as in MXLSST_CD.

The feedbacks in FIXSST_CD are summarized in Fig. 8a. The upward (or downward) arrow indicates an increase (or decrease) of a variable on a global scale. The atmospheric temperatures exhibit a widespread warming because of the net (SW + LW) absorption by dust. Since SSTs are fixed in this experiment, the SAT is slightly increased.
by dust-induced absorption. The atmospheric warming increases the capability of atmosphere to hold water vapor, leading to decreases in precipitation and MCA but increases in specific humidity. Since water vapor is a greenhouse gas in troposphere, the increases in water vapor content further contribute to the increases in air temperature.

The feedbacks in simulation MXLSST_CD are summarized in Fig. 8b. With MXLSST, SSTs decrease in response to the net negative dust RF at the surface, leading to reductions in upward latent heat flux by decreasing the saturated humidity at the ocean surface. Consequently, SAT, water vapor content and precipitation show decreases in the experiment. The upward sensible heat flux is also reduced as a result of the changes in both SST and SAT (the reductions in SST are larger than the reductions in SAT). In the lower and middle troposphere, the reductions in both latent and sensible heat fluxes from the ocean surface contribute to the strong atmospheric cooling over the tropical oceans. In the upper troposphere, the SW and LW absorption by dust plays the dominant role, resulting in a warming around 300 hPa. Such changes in air temperature increase the atmospheric stability. As a result, MCA and precipitation are reduced and dust burden is increased, leading to enhanced dust cycle-climate feedbacks.

5 Conclusions and discussion

The role of dust-induced SST responses in simulations of the climatic effect of dust is investigated by using a GCM coupled with a mixed layer ocean model. With the prescribed dust concentrations, the net radiative effect of dust is simulated to lead to similar responses in SAT over Africa with either FIXSST or MXLSST, with a maximum warming of 0.3–0.7 K in northern Africa and a cooling of about 0.5 K in central Africa. The simulated dust-induced changes in SAT over the tropical oceans with FIXSST differ from those with MXLSST; positive changes are simulated with FIXSST, while large areas of cooling are simulated with MXLSST. The annual and global mean SAT is simulated to increase by 0.02 K with FIXSST but to decrease by 0.03 K with MXLSST.
The role of SST responses is enhanced when dust-climate interactions are included in climate simulations. With the two-way dust-climate coupling and the simulated SST, the radiative effect of dust leads to a strong annual and global mean cooling of 0.09 K at the surface. This cooling can be explained by the positive feedback between dust RF and SST responses. Dust RF leads to reduced SST, evaporation, and precipitation over the oceans, which increases the burden of small dust particles and further reduces SST (Fig. 8b). Experiments without such feedback by using prescribed SST and/or prescribed dust concentrations (FIXSST_FD, FIXSST_CD, and MXLSST_FD) predict, on an annual and global mean basis, a warming or a weak cooling at the surface or in the atmosphere as a result of dust RF.

Simulated air temperatures are also different between simulations with prescribed SST and calculated SST. In the upper troposphere, dust-induced changes in zonal mean air temperature are generally positive in simulations with either FIXSST or MXLSST. However, in the lower and middle troposphere, a columnar cooling over the tropical oceans is simulated with MXLSST. Although both simulations with prescribed SST and calculated SST predict decreases in precipitation in response to dust RF, the reduction in rainfall is larger over the tropical Atlantic Ocean in simulations with SST responses.

Our conclusions are consistent with the findings in Yang et al. (2003), who carried out two sensitivity experiments to investigate the role of SST responses in simulating CO₂-induced climate change. Yang et al. (2003) found in one sensitivity experiment that simulations with fixed SSTs predict a decrease in precipitation when atmospheric CO₂ concentration is doubled, because of the limited evaporation from the oceans and the increased air stability induced by CO₂ absorption in the atmosphere. This impact of fixed SSTs on precipitation is similar to that found out in FIXSST experiments, although we are examining radiative effect of dust instead of the radiative effect of CO₂. Yang et al. (2003) found in another sensitivity experiment that both air temperature and precipitation decrease when the SSTs are reduced by 1 ℃ globally, which agree qualitatively with our model results in MXLSST experiments. Besides some common
features in Yang et al. (2003) and this work, we found in this study the role of SST responses is enhanced with the two-way coupling between dust-cycle and climate.

It should be noted that in our study the dust-induced decreases in SST are predicted to be 0.15–0.3 K over the Atlantic in MXLSST_CD (Fig. 7e), which are lower than the decreases of 0.2–0.5 K predicted by Mahowald et al. (2006) and Evan et al. (2008) over the same domains. This indicates that SST responses may play a more important role in simulation of dust-climate interactions than that found in this work.

Finally, there are some uncertainties in our simulations: (1) The imaginary part of the refractive index of dust utilized in this study may be larger than that inferred from observations (e.g. Kaufman et al., 2001). While the simulated SSA in our study is 0.94 at 0.63 µm on a global mean basis, Kaufman et al. (2001) found that the SSA of the Saharan dust at 0.64 µm is about 0.97 based on satellite and ground-based measurements. (2) Our simulations are carried out based on a mixed layer ocean model, which simplifies the heat flux exchange between atmosphere and ocean and omits the possible impacts of dust RF on the ocean circulation. A more comprehensive investigation of the SST feedback should be conducted by using climate models coupled with dynamical ocean components. (3) The indirect effect of dust aerosol is not considered in this work. Observations have shown that mineral dust can interact with cloud water droplets and influence the formation, lifetime, and optical properties of clouds (Sassen, 2002; Lohmann and Diehl, 2006). Further consideration of dust indirect effect is the subject of our future study.
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### Table 1. Simulations of climatic effect of dust in previous studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>SST responses</th>
<th>On-line dust simulation</th>
<th>global</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miller et al. (2004a)</td>
<td>yes (SW + LW)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mahowald et al. (2006)</td>
<td>yes (SW + LW)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller et al. (2004b)</td>
<td>yes (SW + LW)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoshioka et al. (2007)</td>
<td>yes (SW + LW)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miller and Tegen (1998)</td>
<td>yes (SW + LW)</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell and Somerville (2007)</td>
<td>yes (SW + LW)</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evan et al. (2008)</td>
<td>yes (SW)</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perlwitz et al. (2001)</td>
<td>no (SW + LW)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heinold et al. (2007)</td>
<td>no (SW + LW)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ahn et al. (2007)</td>
<td>no (SW)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helmert et al. (2007)</td>
<td>no (SW + LW)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Konare et al. (2008)</td>
<td>no (SW)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solmon et al. (2008)</td>
<td>no (SW + LW)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. (2009)</td>
<td>no (SW + LW)</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lau et al. (2006)</td>
<td>no (SW + LW)</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collier and Zhang (2009)</td>
<td>no (SW)</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* SW + LW means the study considered both SW and LW RF of dust.
** SW means the study only considered SW RF of dust.
Table 2. Simulated dust climatology in different numerical experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiments</th>
<th>Uplift (Tg yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Dry deposition (Tg yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Wet deposition (Tg yr⁻¹)</th>
<th>Burden (Tg)</th>
<th>Lifetime (days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FIXSST_CTRL</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1354</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIXSST_CD</td>
<td>1931</td>
<td>1301</td>
<td>629</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXLSST_CTRL</td>
<td>1915</td>
<td>1290</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MXLSST_CD</td>
<td>1869</td>
<td>1259</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>30.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Simulated percentage changes in annual and global mean dust burden in each size bin with FIXSST \(((\text{FIXSST}_{\text{CD}}-\text{FIXSST}_{\text{CTRL}})/\text{FIXSST}_{\text{CTRL}})\) and MXLSST \(((\text{MXLSST}_{\text{CD}}-\text{MXLSST}_{\text{CTRL}})/\text{MXLSST}_{\text{CTRL}})\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size Bin</th>
<th>FIXSST</th>
<th>MXLSST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1–1.0 µm</td>
<td>+14.5%</td>
<td>+16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0–2.0 µm</td>
<td>+12.2%</td>
<td>+14.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0–5.0 µm</td>
<td>+8.9%</td>
<td>+10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0–10.0 µm</td>
<td>+1.6%</td>
<td>+3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0–10.0 µm</td>
<td>+10.4%</td>
<td>+12.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whether considering dust direct radiative effects?</td>
<td>FIXSST_CTRL (dust ◄ climate)</td>
<td>MXLSST_CTRL (dust ◄ climate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>Dust conc.: Simulated on-line</td>
<td>Dust conc.: Simulated on-line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dust conc.: Taken from FIXSST_CTRL</td>
<td>Dust conc.: Taken from FIXSST_CTRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dust RF: Allowed to feedback into GCM climate</td>
<td>Dust RF: Allowed to feedback into GCM climate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1.** Summary of numerical experiments.
Fig. 2. Simulated annual mean column burden of dust aerosol. Unit: mg m\(^{-2}\).
Fig. 3. Simulated annual zonal mean concentrations of dust with radius at (a) 0.1–1.0 μm, (b) 1.0–2.0 μm, (c) 2.0–5.0 μm, and (d) 5.0–10.0 μm. Unit: μg dust per Kg air.
Fig. 4. Simulated dust-induced changes in annual mean surface air temperature (K, top row), annual and zonal mean air temperature (K, second row), annual and zonal mean specific humidity (g kg\(^{-1}\), third row), annual mean precipitation (mm day\(^{-1}\), bottom row). Left panels: Dust-induced changes in meteorological fields with fixed dust density and fixed SST (FIXSST\(_{FD}\) – FIXSST\(_{CTRL}\)). Right panels: Dust-induced changes with the mixed-layer ocean and fixed dust density (MXLSST\(_{FD}\) – MXLSST\(_{CTRL}\)). The global mean values are shown in the brackets. Differences that pass the 95% significance level are denoted with dots.
Fig. 5. Simulated dust-induced changes in annual mean sensible heat flux (W m⁻², top row) and latent heat flux (W m⁻², bottom row). Left panels: Dust-induced changes in heat fluxes with fixed dust density and fixed SST (FIXSST_FD – FIXSST_CTRL). Right panels: Dust-induced changes with the mixed-layer ocean and fixed dust density (MXLSST_FD – MXLSST_CTRL). The global mean values are shown in the brackets. Differences that pass the 95% significance level are denoted with dots.
Fig. 6. Simulated dust-induced changes in annual mean middle cloud amount (%) with (a) fixed dust density and fixed SST (FIXSST_FD – FIXSST_CTRL) and (b) the mixed-layer ocean and fixed dust density (MXLSST_FD – MXLSST_CTRL).
Fig. 7. Same as Fig. 4 but for dust-induced changes in meteorological fields with fixed SST and dust-climate coupling (FIXSST_CD – FIXSST_CTRL, left panels) and with the mixed-layer ocean and dust-climate coupling (MXLSST_CD–MXLSST_CTRL, right panels).
Fig. 8. Illustration of the interactions between dust and climate with (a) FIXSST and (b) MXLSST. The abbreviations stand for following variables: DRE: direct radiative effect; TH: air temperature at upper troposphere; TL: air temperature at lower troposphere; MCA: middle cloud amount; Prec: precipitation; Q: specific humidity; SAT: surface air temperature; LH: latent heat. The upward (or downward) arrow indicates an increase (or decrease) of a variable on a global scale.