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We wish to thank Xinlei Ge for their helpful comment.

It seems that in this case the ACD values are not significantly better than the ones already used, except for a few cases. There is also a further, possibly more important point that as a proprietary piece of software the ACD method is something of a black box. While we can still use and evaluate it, it is very difficult to know what further measurements should be done to improve it, i.e. with the Nannoolal method we know which group-group interactions are under represented in the training set.

We have added a comment referring to the ACD method in the discussion of boiling points "Other boiling point methods are available (ACD/labs) and have been shown to work well for certain classes of compounds, notably amines (Ge et al., 2010). However for these diacids, the ACD/labs Tb does not show a significant overall improvement compared to the Nannoolal et al., (2004) or Stein and Brown (1994) Tb (Ge, 2010), and as the mechanics of the estimation method are not disclosed, further improvement would be difficult.”.
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