Influence of the variation in inflow to East Asia on surface ozone over Japan during 1996–2005
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Abstract

Air quality simulation in which global and regional chemical transport models are coupled has been developed to investigate the influence of the variation in inflow to East Asia on the interannual variability and the long-term trend of surface ozone over Japan during 1996–2005. The simulation overestimates the concentration of surface ozone from summer to early winter. It is deduced that ozone formation around Northeast China is overestimated in the simulation. On the other hand, the simulation reproduces the interannual variability and the long-term trend of observed surface ozone over Japan well. Results of sensitivity experiments suggest that inflow to East Asia accounts for approximately 30% of the increasing trend of surface ozone, whereas it has much less influence on the interannual variability of observed surface ozone compared to meteorological processes within East Asia.

1 Introduction

Surface ozone is harmful to human health and the ecosystem. It causes pulmonary and cardiovascular system effects (WHO, 2006). Damage to vegetation could result in losses of agricultural crop yields (Wang and Mauzerall, 2004). In addition to its critical role as an air pollutant, ozone also influences climate. Tropospheric ozone radiative forcing has been estimated to be the third largest among various greenhouse agents since pre-industrial times (Forster et al., 2007). Damage to crops and forests could also alter their capabilities to absorb carbons, and indirectly exert influence on changes in carbon dioxide concentration in the atmosphere (Sitch et al., 2007). Therefore, it is beneficial both to preventing air pollution and to mitigating the climate change to control ambient surface ozone concentration.

In Japan, the environmental quality standard (EQS) has been set for ambient photochemical oxidants which are dominated by ozone. However, the achievement rate of EQS for photochemical oxidants has remained almost zero throughout Japan. In fact,
the concentration of photochemical oxidants is gradually increasing, whereas NO\textsubscript{x} and non-methane hydrocarbon (NMHC), which are major precursors for ozone formation, have been successfully reduced due to stringent emission controls (Ministry of the Environment, 2010). Some studies have been conducted to find out why the concentration of photochemical oxidants is still continuing to increase although precursors have been reduced. Kurokawa et al. (2009a) conducted air quality simulation for springtime during 1981–2005. They considered annual changes in anthropogenic precursor emissions for the past decades using Regional Emission Inventory in Asia (REAS) (Ohara et al., 2007). They indicated that the increasing trend of boundary layer ozone was clearly reproduced by their simulation. This result suggested that the increasing trend of boundary layer ozone was caused by the recent increase of anthropogenic precursor emissions in East Asia and especially in China. Tanimoto et al. (2009) endorsed this suggestion by showing that the ozone concentration was increasing even at mountainous sites in Japan which are far away from urban areas. However, other factors may have influenced the increasing trend of surface ozone over Japan. Yoshikado (2004) analyzed meteorological conditions and discussed their possible links to increasing surface ozone. Chatani et al. (2010) implied that surface ozone is increasing over the Tokyo metropolitan area because titration of ambient ozone by NO emissions is being suppressed due to stringent NO\textsubscript{x} emission controls.

Because the atmospheric lifetime of ozone near surface is one to two weeks in summer and one to two months in winter, ambient ozone produced in a polluted region of one continent can be transported to another continent (Akimoto, 2003). Vingarzan (2004) indicated that concentration of background ozone over the mid-latitudes in the northern hemisphere has continued to increase. This increase may have influenced the increasing trend of surface ozone over Japan. Thus, the purpose of this study is to investigate the influence of the variation in inflow to East Asia on the interannual variability and the long-term trend of surface ozone over Japan for the past years during 1996–2005 through air quality simulation in which global and regional chemical transport models (CTMs) are coupled. The target domain of a regional CTM
covers the East Asian countries. The influence of the spatial and temporal variation in its boundary concentrations provided from a global CTM on surface ozone over Japan was investigated.

Global CTMs have been applied to investigate the influence of hemispheric ozone transport over Japan. For example, Wild et al. (2004) used the Frontier Research System for the Global Change (FRSGC) version of the University of California, Irvine (UCI), global CTM, and discussed the influence of trans-Eurasian transport on the air quality over Japan. They indicated that European and North American emission sources have contributed to background ozone over Japan. However, one of the shortcomings in using global CTMs is their coarse resolution which is not suitable to represent the urban and regional air quality. This study combined a regional CTM with a global CTM in order to represent surface ozone in regional scale in more detail with finer resolution than global CTMs. A few previous studies in Japan (Yamaji et al., 2006; Yamaji et al., 2008; Kurokawa et al., 2009a) have utilized results of global CTMs as boundary concentrations in their regional CTM simulations. Participating models in Model Intercomparison Study Asia Phase II (MICS-Asia II) also applied boundary concentrations derived from a global CTM (Carmichael et al., 2008). However, these studies did not consider short-term (daily) and long-term (annual) variations in their boundary concentrations. This study represented both temporal variations in boundary ozone concentrations as done by Takigawa et al. (2007). Another feature of this study is the long-term simulation covering ten years with a regional CTM to discuss the past trend of surface ozone over Japan. Kurokawa et al. (2009a) conducted long-term simulation with a regional CTM for twenty-five years during 1981–2005, but only for springtime. The present study included all seasons, and investigated the trend of surface ozone over Japan in other seasons as well as spring.

The simulation setups and observation data are described in Sect. 2. The performance of the simulation is shown in Sect. 3. Based on simulation results, Sect. 4 discusses the influence of the variation in inflow to East Asia on the interannual variability of surface ozone over Japan during 1996–2005, and Sect. 5 discusses the influence of...
the variation in inflow to East Asia on the long-term trend of surface ozone over Japan during 1996–2005. The outcomes are summarized in Sect. 6.

2 Simulation description

2.1 Online-coupled meteorology and chemistry transport model

The online-coupled WRF/chem model (Grell et al., 2005) version 3.0.1.1, in which chemical modules have been incorporated into the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) framework, was used to simulate meteorological field and chemical transport simultaneously. The Community Multi-scale Air Quality modeling system (CMAQ) (Byun and Schere, 2006) has also been widely used to simulate chemical transport. However, several studies (e.g. Lin et al., 2009; Lam and Fu, 2009) reported that artificial downward transport of ozone from the lower stratosphere causes overestimation of surface ozone when results of global CTMs are directly used as boundary concentrations in CMAQ simulations. This problem is more evident when vertical layers are collapsed in CMAQ simulations to alleviate computational costs. One possible reason may be decoupled treatment of vertical transport in meteorology models and CMAQ. On the other hand, the online-coupled WRF/chem uses the same structure of vertical layers and the same numerical scheme for meteorology and chemical transport. This study chose WRF/chem because it is expected to represent vertical transport of chemical species in a manner more consistent with meteorology.

Figure 1 shows the target domain of the WRF/chem simulation. It covers East Asian countries including Japan, South Korea, North Korea, Taiwan, Mongolia and major part of China. The horizontal coordinate is based on the Lambert conformal, and the center of the domain is located at latitude 36.0 North and longitude 127.5 East. Grid size is 54.54 km, and the number of grids is 100·80. The vertical coordinate is based on the sigma-P coordinate. Model top is 5047 Pa, and the number of layers is 25. All layer heights are coincided with the global CTM simulation.
Numerical options, modules and data used in the WRF/chem simulation are listed in Table 1. National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP)/National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) global reanalysis data (Kistler et al., 2001) were used for the initial condition, the boundary condition, and grid nudging. REAS ver. 1.11 (Ohara et al., 2007) was used for anthropogenic emissions in Asian countries in each year during 1996–2005. Temporal variations within a year were not provided. Biogenic emissions were estimated within the model by using the simple biogenic emission scheme (Guenther et al., 1994). Biomass burning emissions were not considered in this study. The chemical mechanism used in the model was Regional Acid Deposition Model (RADM) 2 (Stockwell et al., 1990). All emissions and boundary concentrations were speciated to RADM2 species groups. The target period of the simulation was ten consecutive years during 1996–2005.

2.2 Boundary concentrations

Boundary concentrations for the WRF/chem simulation were provided from the global chemical transport model CHASER (Sudo et al., 2002) simulation results. Details of the CHASER simulation setups have been described elsewhere (Sudo et al., 2002; Sudo et al., 2007). Horizontal resolution is T42 (2.8° × 2.8°), number of vertical layers is 32 from the surface to 40 km, and NCEP/NCAR global reanalysis data (Kistler et al., 2001) were used in the CHASER simulation. Input emissions in each year during 1996–2005 were derived by interpolating or extrapolating the global emission database EDGAR-HYDE 1.4 (Van Aardenne et al., 2001; Olivier and Berdowski, 2001) and EDGAR 32FT2000 (Olivier et al., 2005); Emissions for the US and Asia after 2000 were harmonized with the trend data reported by the US EPA (2007), US EPA (2006), and the REAS emission inventory (Ohara et al., 2007).

Simulated daily concentration of ozone and monthly concentrations of other chemical species in CHASER grids were interpolated to boundary grids of the WRF/chem domain. Other studies (Kurokawa et al., 2009a; Lin et al., 2009; Lam and Fu, 2009) applied corrections to ozone concentrations in global CTM results, especially in the lower
stratosphere when they were used as boundary concentrations in regional CTMs. To the contrary, this study applied no corrections, and utilized all results of the CHASER simulation including the lower stratosphere. In order to evaluate the influence of the variation in inflow to East Asia, simulations for two cases named BXX and B00 were conducted in this study. Boundary concentrations for each year were used in the BXX case. On the other hand, boundary concentrations for the year 2000 were commonly used for all the simulated years in the B00 case. Differences of simulation results between the BXX and B00 cases correspond to the influence of the variation in inflow to East Asia in each year from 2000 onwards.

2.3 Observation data

Annual and monthly pollutant concentrations observed at monitoring stations operated by Japanese and local governments are available on the website (National Institute for Environmental Studies, 2010). Observation data at 1.045 monitoring stations which continued monitoring for photochemical oxidants during 1996–2005 was used in this study. Governments operated them to evaluate the attainment of EQSs. Therefore, most of the monitoring stations are located in coastal populated areas in Japan as shown in Fig. 2. This database has compiled surface ozone concentration as a daytime average and maximum concentrations of photochemical oxidants. Daytime corresponds to fifteen hours from 05:00 a.m. to 08:00 p.m. Strictly speaking, photochemical oxidants include other trace oxidants like H₂O₂ and PAN, but instruments which detect only ozone have been officially approved and used at some of the monitoring stations. The Japanese government has noted that the influence of other trace oxidants was small based on the monitoring results in past years. Therefore, differences in concentrations between ozone and photochemical oxidants were ignored in this study. Observed and simulated daytime average concentrations of surface ozone over Japanese populated areas (averaged over 1.045 monitoring stations) are mainly discussed in this study.
Additionally, the Acid Deposition Monitoring Network in East Asia (EANET) operates monitoring stations located mainly in remote areas. Observed concentrations of surface ozone at ten EANET monitoring stations in Japan were used to validate the performance of the simulation in Japanese background areas during 2000–2005. The locations of EANET monitoring stations are shown in Fig. 2. They are scattered in remote areas throughout Japan.

3 Performance of the simulation

3.1 Horizontal distribution of surface ozone

Horizontal distributions of simulated surface ozone and wind fields in the BXX case averaged for whole months and for each season during 1996–2005 are shown in Fig. 3. China, Taiwan, the Korean Peninsula and most of Japan are enclosed within a high concentration zone in the distribution for whole months. Seasonal features are clearly found in the distributions for each season. In MAM, Japan is mainly hit by westerly and northwesterly winds from the continent. A high concentration zone is located over the East China Sea, and spreads along the wind direction. A distinct difference in concentration over the land and the ocean emerges around the East China Sea. It may be affected by the relatively higher deposition velocity of ozone over the land than the ocean, by titration of ambient ozone by high anthropogenic NO emissions along coastal populated area, and by the difference in atmospheric stability over the land and the ocean. In JJA, southerly winds from the Pacific high-pressure system transport cleaner air from the southern ocean to Japan. To the contrary, a high concentration zone is located over northeast China and spreads to Japan. Between high and low concentration zones, the gradient of concentration is steep over Japan. In SON, distributions of surface ozone and wind fields are similar to those in spring, but have slightly different characteristics. Winds from Northeastern China to Southern China are more evident, and the concentration of surface ozone is higher in Southern China and lower
in Northern China than in spring. In DJF, Japan is mostly affected by the transport of cleaner air with northwesterly winds from the Siberian high-pressure system. In addition, titration of ambient ozone by NO emissions in the cold stagnant air causes low concentration of surface ozone over coastal populated areas.

Other studies have reported similar features in distributions of simulated surface ozone. Kurokawa et al. (2009a) indicated that a high concentration zone spreads over Northern China and Japan in the distribution of simulated springtime boundary layer ozone. Difference in concentration over the land and the ocean is not obvious in their distribution presumably because they showed boundary layer ozone which is defined as from the surface to an altitude of 1 km whereas this study discusses only surface ozone. Lin et al. (2009) indicated a high concentration zone concentrated on Northeastern China in the distribution of their simulated ozone for June 2001. Zhu et al. (2004) also indicated that band of a high ozone appears between 35° N–45° N and 70° E–130° E in the summertime in their distribution of simulated ozone. The distributions of surface ozone obtained in this study are analogous to those.

Seasonal variations of surface ozone in Japan are discussed in later sections, and those in China are briefly discussed here. A few studies have reported long-term variations of observed surface ozone in China. Wang et al. (2009) indicated that the monthly concentration of surface ozone was the highest in October during 1994–2007 at Hok Tsui in Hong Kong in Southern China. On the other hand, Xu et al. (2008) reported the maximum monthly concentration of surface ozone in May at Linan in Eastern China, and Lin et al. (2008) reported the maximum monthly concentration of surface ozone in June at Shangdianzi in Northern China. The distributions of surface ozone simulated in this study broadly reflect seasonal differences between North and South China, but the maximum concentration of surface ozone around Northeastern China in summer may be too emphasized. This issue is further discussed in 3.3.
3.2 Monthly variation of surface ozone over Japanese populated area

Concentrations of observed and simulated surface ozone over Japanese populated areas in each month during 1996–2005 are shown in Fig. 4. A blue thin line with markers indicates daytime average concentrations of observed surface ozone, and a red line indicates corresponding values of surface ozone simulated in the BXX case. Concentrations of simulated surface ozone averaged over whole hours in the BXX case are also shown in a green line to compare with corresponding values of surface ozone simulated by CHASER, shown in a purple line. Periodical variations are found in both observed and simulated surface ozone, which is high in spring and low in early winter. CHASER significantly overestimated surface ozone over Japanese populated areas due to its coarse resolution. The finer resolution in WRF/chem effectively titrates ambient ozone, and moved the simulated concentration of surface ozone closer to the observed value. However, WRF/chem still overestimates surface ozone from summer to early winter while observed double peaks in spring and autumn in 1996, 1997, 1999, 2002 and 2003 appear in the simulated concentrations.

Figure 5 shows monthly variations of concentrations of observed and simulated surface ozone averaged during 1996–2005 over Japanese populated areas. The performance of the WRF/chem simulation from January to April is good. Concentrations of both observed and simulated surface ozone are the highest in May. However, the decrease of simulated surface ozone from May is not enough, and overestimation continues until December. This tendency is more evident in WRF/chem than CHASER. Therefore, ozone formation and/or transport on a regional scale may be overestimated in summer and autumn.

3.3 Monthly variation of surface ozone in Japanese background area

Figure 6 shows monthly variations of concentrations of observed and simulated surface ozone in the BXX case averaged during 2000–2005 at each of ten EANET monitoring stations. Absolute values of concentrations are comparable but seasonal variations
are different between observed and simulated surface ozone. Concentration of observed surface ozone is the highest in spring and the lowest in summer at all stations. Second peaks in autumn are found at most of stations. The simulation-reproduced dips in summer are only at Ogasawara and Hedomisaki, which are located in southern islands. However, dips in summer do not appear in simulated values at remaining stations. Therefore, overestimation of surface ozone in summer is a problem not only in populated areas but also in most of the background areas in Japan.

Other simulation studies also faced overestimation of ozone in summer over East Asia. For example, Holloway et al. (2007) indicated that the global atmospheric chemistry model MOZART tends to overpredict monthly concentrations of surface ozone over Japan except in springtime. Accordingly, Lin et al. (2009) reduced boundary concentrations of ozone derived from MOZART results up to 40% in summer, and conducted regional simulation with WRF-CMAQ. The concentration of simulated ozone became closer to the observed values, but dips in summer could not be fully reproduced.

As shown in Fig. 3, the gradient of surface ozone is steep over Japan in JJA between a high concentration zone around Northeast China and a low concentration zone over the southern ocean. The average concentration of simulated surface ozone over Japan in JJA appears to be influenced by transport from both zones. The concentration of simulated surface ozone in a high concentration zone reaches around 60 ppb, but values observed at Shangdianzi (Lin et al., 2008) are below 40 ppb in JJA. Therefore, the concentration of surface ozone around Northeast China, and then the transport of ozone from a high concentration zone to Japan may be overestimated in summer. Although the simulation currently reproduced dips in summer only at two EANET monitoring stations located in the southern islands, dips in summer should be reproduced in more regions over Japan if the relative strength of the influence of a high concentration zone becomes less over Japan.

What are possible reasons for the overestimation of ozone over Northeast China? One of these is uncertainties embedded in the emission inventory. Kurokawa et
al. (2009b) conducted the adjoint inverse modeling of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions using satellite observations of NO\textsubscript{2} vertical column densities. They implied that original NO\textsubscript{x} emissions of REAS may be overestimated in July 1996 and 1999 in the Eastern China region, and especially in the Beijing region where NO\textsubscript{x} emissions in 2002 may also be overestimated. In addition, chemical mechanisms may not fully represent the actual chemical reactions which occur in the atmosphere over East Asia. Hofzumahaus et al. (2009) conducted a field campaign in the Pearl River Delta in China to measure tropospheric OH and HO\textsubscript{2} concentrations. They implied the existence of a pathway which amplifies the degradation of pollutants without producing ozone. Such a pathway is not included in the chemical mechanisms which have been incorporated in current CTMs. The uncertainties illustrated above may lead to overestimated ozone formation in summer over Northeast China.

4 The influence of the variation in inflow on the interannual variability of surface ozone

In this section, the influence of the variation in inflow to East Asia on the interannual variability of surface ozone over Japan is discussed. Figure 7 shows anomalies of daytime average concentrations of observed and simulated surface ozone in the BXX and B00 cases for whole months and each season over Japanese populated areas. Anomalies were calculated as differences of surface ozone concentrations in each year from those averaged during 1996–2005. The averaged concentrations in the BXX case were applied to calculate anomalies in the B00 case. DJF includes December of the previous year, and the value in 1996 was not calculated. The anomaly of observed surface ozone for whole months dips in 1998, and continues to rise from 2002 to 2005. Except for dips in 1999 and 2003, the anomaly of simulated surface ozone for whole months followed the trend of the observed anomaly. The anomalies of observed surface ozone for each season were also well reproduced by the simulation except for DJF. The simulation has the capability to reproduce the interannual variability of surface ozone.
ozone over Japan well, even if it has difficulty reproducing concentration levels in some seasons as discussed in the previous section. Differences of anomalies of simulated surface ozone between the BXX and B00 cases are much smaller than the range of interannual variability. Therefore, it appears that the meteorological processes within East Asia mainly cause the interannual variability of surface ozone over Japanese populated areas and the variation in inflow to East Asia is not a major contributor to it.

Here, causes of the interannual variability of surface ozone over Japan were briefly examined by picking up typical years in which anomalies were large. 2005 in MAM, JJA and SON, and 2004 in DJF were defined as “High” years, and 1998 in MAM, 1999 in JJA, 2000 in SON and 2005 in DJF were defined as “Low” years. The distribution of simulated surface ozone and wind fields in “High” and “Low” years, and those of differences in simulated surface ozone and wind fields between “High” and “Low” years in MAM, JJA, SON, and DJF in the BXX case are shown in Fig. 8.

In MAM, a high concentration zone around the East China Sea is more evident in “High” years. The greatest difference between “High” and “Low” years is located over the southwest ocean. Japanese islands and the southwest ocean were hit by westerly and northwesterly winds from the continent in “High” years, but they are not obvious in “Low” years. In addition, the flow which transports cleaner air from the southeast bottom of the domain and turns toward the northeast along the Japanese islands is closer to Japanese islands in “Low” years. These phenomena have been already discussed by Kurokawa et al. (2009a). They indicated that the differences in flow patterns are caused by the anomalies of surface pressure over the eastern ocean which may be linked to ENSO.

Different characteristics are found in the distribution of surface ozone in other seasons. In JJA, a high concentration zone expands over the Japan Sea in “High” years, and the highest difference between “High” and “Low” years appears there. The air over the Japan Sea is mostly affected by southerly winds in “Low” years, but westerly components of winds are found in “High” years. In SON, the highest difference over Japan appears in Northern Japan where westerly winds from the continent are more obvious.
in “High” years. In DJF, positive anomalies appear in most of the domain. Northerly winds which transport cleaner air seem to be weaker in “High” years.

It must be emphasized that the highest difference between “High” and “Low” years is located within the domain and not along the boundaries of the domain. It confirms that the interannual variability of surface ozone in the domain is not much affected by the variation of inflow to East Asia. Factors within the domain have impacts causing the interannual variability of surface ozone over Japanese populated area. Westerly components of winds are one of key contributors, although other physical and chemical processes may also contribute to the interannual variability. Differences in wind fields may be linked to ENSO in other seasons as well as spring as discussed by Kurokawa et al. (2009a), but they are not in the scope of this study.

5 The influence of the variation in inflow on the long-term trend of surface ozone

In this section, the influence of the variation in inflow to East Asia on the long-term trend of surface ozone over Japan is discussed. Figure 9 shows anomalies of daytime average concentrations of observed and simulated surface ozone in the BXX case for whole months and each season over Japanese populated areas. It also shows differences in anomalies between the BXX and B00 cases which correspond to the influence of the variation in inflow. Each value is represented by a marker and regression lines which are expected to reduce the influence of the interannual variability are drawn.

Values of observed and simulated surface ozone in the BXX case for whole months in 1998 are exceptionally low as shown in Fig. 9. They may be affected by the strongest ENSO event of the century (Chandra et al., 1998). Therefore, values in 1998 were not included to obtain regression lines because they are not appropriate for discussing the long-term trend.

Regression lines of anomalies of observed surface ozone have positive slopes for whole months and each season except for DJF. They indicate that observed surface
ozone over Japanese populated areas has an increasing trend in most seasons. Regression lines of anomalies of surface ozone simulated in the BXX case almost coincide with those of observed values for whole months, MAM and JJA. The simulation performs well when reproducing the long-term trend as well as the interannual variability of surface ozone over Japanese populated areas. The regression lines of differences in anomalies of simulated surface ozone between the BXX and B00 cases also have positive slopes for whole months and all seasons. This implies that the variation in inflow to East Asia has influenced to some extent the long-term increasing trend of surface ozone over Japanese populated areas.

Slopes of regression lines are defined as increasing rates of surface ozone here. Figure 10 shows the increasing rates of observed and simulated surface ozone in the BXX case over Japanese populated areas for whole months and each season. Increasing rates of differences in simulated surface ozone between the BXX and B00 cases are also included. The increasing rates of observed and simulated surface ozone in the BXX case for whole months are 0.18 and 0.15 ppb/year, respectively. The corresponding increasing rate of differences in simulated surface ozone between the BXX and B00 cases is 0.06 ppb/year. Thus, approximately 30% of the increasing trend of surface ozone over Japanese populated area may be contributed by inflow to East Asia.

The increasing rate of observed surface ozone for each season is the highest in JJA, and is slightly negative in DJF. The increasing rate of simulated surface ozone in the BXX case has broadly reproduced such a seasonal variation. On the other hand, the increasing rate of differences in simulated surface ozone between the BXX and B00 cases for each season is the highest in DJF, and is comparable in remaining seasons. It seems that the inflow to East Asia has greater influence in DJF because photochemical reactions are less active and ozone is transported over longer distances before it is removed from the atmosphere.

The increasing rates of simulated surface ozone in the BXX case and differences in simulated surface ozone between the BXX and B00 cases were calculated for the
entire domain. Their distributions with average wind fields during 1996–2005 for whole
months and each season are shown in Fig. 11. The increasing rates of differences in
simulated surface ozone between the BXX and B00 cases for whole months are posi-
tive nearly throughout the entire domain. They imply that inflow to East Asia has con-
tributed to the increasing trend of surface ozone all over East Asia. Although increasing
rates in DJF are dominant over those for whole months because both distributions of
increasing rates are similar, higher positive increasing rates which are found close to
the boundaries in distributions in other seasons certainly reach Japan along the major
wind direction.

The increasing rates of simulated surface ozone in the BXX case are also positive
throughout most parts of the domain. However, their distribution appears different from
that of differences in simulated surface ozone between the BXX and B00 cases. It
seems that the spatial differences in the increasing trend of surface ozone are caused
by factors within the domain. Increasing rates of simulated surface ozone in the BXX
case are the highest around Southern China. This region tends to be affected by the
transport from coastal populated areas in Eastern and Southern China. In addition, the
warmer weather causes active photochemical formation of ozone for longer periods
than in Northern China. Wang et al. (2009) reported a high increasing rate of ozone,
0.58 ppb/year, during 1994–2007 in Hong Kong, which matches a value obtained from
simulation results.

The distribution of increasing rates of simulated surface ozone in the BXX case for
each season is affected by the timescale of photochemical reactions. In JJA, increas-
ing rates are high in regions adjacent to populated areas due to rapid photochemical
reactions. In MAM and SON, higher increasing rates spread from the continent to
Japan. To the contrary, negative values spread from the continent to Japan in DJF. It
seems that increasing NO\textsubscript{x} emissions in populated areas cause the negative trends in
downwind regions due to titration, and subsequently cause the positive trend over the
southern ocean in DJF.
It is noteworthy that higher increasing rates are mainly found in regions downwind from populated areas. Therefore, the increasing trend of surface ozone is affected not only by increasing precursor emissions and inflow to East Asia but also by meteorological fields within East Asia. If there are any long-term variations in meteorological fields, they may also exert influence on the increasing trend of surface ozone. Further studies are necessary to identify the influence of meteorological fields within East Asia on the increasing trend of surface ozone.

6 Summary

The influence of the variation in inflow to East Asia on interannual variability and on the long-term trend of surface ozone over Japan for the years during 1996–2005 were investigated through air quality simulation in which a regional CTM with a global CTM were coupled. The simulation reproduced periodical variation of observed surface ozone over Japan, but the concentration of surface ozone was overestimated from summer to early winter. This implied that excessive ozone formation around Northeast China is one possible reason for overestimation of surface ozone over Japan. Further studies are needed to clarify the reasons for this overestimation and to reduce uncertainties in precursor emissions and photochemical reactions over East Asia.

The simulation reproduced the interannual variability of observed surface ozone over Japanese populated areas well. The influence of the variation in inflow to East Asia on the interannual variability was much smaller than the range of interannual variability. Westerly components of winds within East Asia appeared to be one of major contributors which caused the interannual variability in all seasons. The simulation also reproduced the long-term trend of surface ozone over Japanese populated areas as well as the interannual variability. It implied that the variation in inflow to East Asia accounted for approximately 30% of the increasing rate of surface ozone for whole months during 1996–2005. The influence of inflow to East Asia was greater in winter, when photochemical reactions are less active.
Kurokawa et al. (2009a) stated that the increasing trend of boundary layer ozone was caused by the recent increase of anthropogenic precursor emissions in East Asia and especially in China. However, it is not possible to attribute all of the remaining 70% of the increasing trend of surface ozone over Japanese populated areas only to increasing emissions in East Asia. Further studies are required to distinguish the influence of various factors including meteorological conditions and titration of ambient ozone by decreasing NO emissions as well as increasing emissions in East Asia. If remaining parts of the increasing trend of surface ozone is attributed to multiple factors, it can be said that the influence of inflow to East Asia is one of dominant factors causing the increasing trend of surface ozone over Japanese populated areas.

Multiple simulation studies suggested that background ozone will continue to increase in the future (Dentener et al., 2006). It will certainly influence the future trend of surface ozone over Japanese populated areas. Contributions to the international cooperation aiming at preserving the global atmosphere are essential, not least to improve the local air quality. They should be also beneficial to mitigating the hemispheric transport of pollutants and the climate change.
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Table 1. Numerical options, modules and data used in the WRF/Chem simulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meteorology</th>
<th>Microphysics</th>
<th>WRF Single-Moment 3-class</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Longwave radiation</td>
<td>Rapid Radiative Transfer Model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortwave radiation</td>
<td>Dudhia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface layer</td>
<td>MM5 similarity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land surface</td>
<td>Noah Land Surface Model</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planetary Boundary layer</td>
<td>Yonsei University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulus</td>
<td>Grell 3-D ensemble cumulus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyses data</td>
<td>NCEP/NCAR global reanalysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grid nudging coefficients</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemistry</th>
<th>Chemical mechanism</th>
<th>RADM2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Photolysis</td>
<td>Fast-J</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogenic emissions</td>
<td>Gunther</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anthropogenic emissions</td>
<td>REAS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Target domain of the WRF/chem simulation.
Fig. 2. Location maps of monitoring stations in populated areas and EANET monitoring stations in Japan which were used in this study.
**Fig. 3.** Horizontal distributions of simulated yearly and seasonal surface ozone and wind fields averaged during 1996–2005 in BXX case.
Fig. 4. Observed and simulated concentration of surface ozone over Japanese populated areas in each month during 1996–2005.
Fig. 5. Monthly variations of observed and simulated concentrations of surface ozone averaged during 1996–2005 over Japanese populated areas.
Fig. 6. Monthly variations of observed and simulated concentrations of surface ozone in the BXX case averaged during 2000–2005 at each of ten EANET monitoring stations.
Fig. 7. Yearly and seasonal anomalies of observed and simulated daytime average concentrations of surface ozone in BXX and B00 cases over Japanese populated areas.
Fig. 8. Distributions of surface ozone and wind fields in “High” years (a), “Low” years (b), and those of differences in surface ozone and wind fields between “High” and “Low” years (c) in MAM, JJA, SON, and DJF in BXX case.
Fig. 9. Yearly and seasonal anomalies of observed and simulated daytime average concentrations of surface ozone in BXX case, and differences in anomalies between BXX and B00 cases (shown as BXX-B00) over Japanese populated areas. Each value is represented by a marker, and regression lines are drawn.
Fig. 10. Increasing rates of observed and simulated concentrations of surface ozone for whole months and each season over Japanese populated areas in BXX case. Increasing rates of differences in simulated concentrations of surface ozone between BXX and B00 cases (represented as BXX-B00) are also included.
Fig. 11. Distributions of increasing rates of simulated surface ozone in the BXX case (a) and differences in simulated surface ozone between the BXX and B00 cases (b) for whole months and each season. Average wind fields during 1996–2005 are also shown.