A climatological perspective of deep convection penetrating the TTL during the Indian summer monsoon from the AVHRR and MODIS instruments
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Abstract

The impact of very deep convection on the water budget and thermal structure of the tropical tropopause layer is still not well quantified, not least because of limitations imposed by the available observation techniques. Here, we present detailed analysis of the climatology of the cloud top brightness temperatures as indicators of deep convection during the Indian summer monsoon, and the variations therein due to active and break periods. We make use of the recently newly processed data from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) at a nominal spatial resolution of 4 km. Using temperature thresholds from the Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS), the AVHRR brightness temperatures are converted to climatological mean (2003–2008) maps of cloud amounts at 200, 150 and 100 hPa. Further, we relate the brightness temperatures to the level of zero radiative heating, which may allow a coarse identification of convective detrainment that will subsequently ascend into the stratosphere. The AVHRR data for the period 1982–2006 are used to document the differences in deep convection between active and break conditions of the monsoon. The analysis of AVHRR data is complemented with cloud top pressure and optical depth statistics (for the period 2003–2008) from the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) onboard Aqua satellite. Generally, the two sensors provide a very similar description of deep convective clouds.

Our analysis shows that most of the deep convection occurs over the Bay of Bengal and Central Northeast India. Very deep convection over the Tibetan plateau is comparatively weak, and may play only a secondary role in troposphere-to-stratosphere transport. The deep convection over the Indian monsoon region is most frequent in July/August, but the very highest convection (coldest tops, penetrating well into the TTL) occurs in May/June. Large variability in convection reaching the TTL is due to monsoon break/active periods. During the monsoon break period, deep convection reaching the TTL is almost entirely absent in the western part of the study area (i.e. 60°–75° E), while the distribution over the Bay of Bengal and the Tibetan Plateau is less
affected. Although the active conditions occur less frequently than the break conditions, they may have a larger bearing on the composition of the TTL within the monsoonal anticyclone, and tracer transport into the stratosphere because of deep convection occurring over anthropogenically more polluted regions.

1 Introduction

The gradual transition from the thermally direct tropospheric Hadley/Walker-circulation to the wave-driven stratospheric Brewer-Dobson circulation gives rise to a layer with both tropospheric and stratospheric characteristics, termed the tropical tropopause layer (TTL; Highwood and Hoskins, 1996; Sherwood and Dessler, 2000; Gettelman and Forster, 2002; Fueglistaler et al., 2009a). Despite massive efforts both from an observational and model perspective, the vertical profile of the importance of convective transport versus eddy-driven dynamics remains poorly quantified in the TTL (Fueglistaler et al., 2009a), with implications for climate forecasts in general, and troposphere-stratosphere exchange in particular.

In this context, the Indian monsoon region is of particular interest. On the one hand, convection during the Indian monsoon, in particular also over the Bay of Bengal, is known to be exceptionally deep (Gettelman et al., 2002; Devasthale and Grassl, 2009b). On the other hand, the horizontal circulation at upper tropospheric levels and throughout the TTL is governed by a large anticyclone spanning the area from the Arabian Peninsula to Southeast Asia (i.e. about 10 000 km in longitude). Observations show high water vapour, low ozone and high CO concentration within the anticyclone at TTL levels (e.g., Dessler and Sherwood, 2004; Park et al., 2008). The strong anticyclonic flow implies substantial isolation of air masses within the anticyclone (Park et al., 2008), which may be punctuated from below by deep convection. While Fu et al. (2007) emphasize the importance of convection over the Tibetan Plateau, James et al. (2008) locate the main source region south of the Himalayas over the Indian subcontinent, Bay of Bengal, and Southeast Asia.
Here, we characterize deep convection (in terms of maps of cloud top height distributions) using data from the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) and the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) sensors. Numerous studies used data from cloud observing sensors to characterize deep convection (e.g., Alcala and Dessler, 2002; Dessler et al., 2006; Fu et al., 2007; Gettelman et al., 2002; Haladay and Stephens, 2009; Hong et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2004; Liu and Zipser, 2006; Rossow and Pearl, 2007). Here, we extend these earlier studies (often using data at a coarse resolution and/or over a limited time period only) with an analysis of the vertical structure, geographical distribution and their variability of deep convection during the Indian monsoon in unprecedented detail.

For reference, Fig. 1 shows the topography of the area of interest, and the names of locales and regions specifically discussed in this paper.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 discusses the data and methods. Section 3 shows the results (in terms of distributions of deep convection) and discussions, and, finally, Sect. 4 provides a summary and brief outlook.

2 Data and methods

2.1 The AVHRR data

The level 1b Global Area Coverage (GAC) dataset at the nominal resolution of 4 km from the AVHRRs is used in the study. This dataset is introduced and documented in detail by Devasthale and Grassl (2009a,b). Of particular importance here is the accurate calibration and inter-calibration of the AVHRR thermal channels in this data product. Despite a few uncertainties in the thermal channel calibration assembly, the measurement noise (i.e. noise equivalent delta error, NEdT) in the thermal channels is as low as about 0.15 K to 0.35 K at 200 K brightness temperature for different AVHRR instruments (Trishchenko et al., 2002). Further, the high radiometric resolution (10-bit) yields high calibration accuracy even at very cold brightness temperatures (around...
0.25 K at 190 K brightness temperature, due to finer digitization of count to radiances) compared to, for example, MVIRI/Meteosat-5.

The brightness temperatures measured from AVHRR on board of the NOAA-16 satellite are converted to cloud amounts at several different vertical levels using temperature profiles from the Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) onboard the Aqua satellite for the period 2003–2008. In order to estimate cloud fractions at 200, 150 and 100 hPa, we computed the monthly mean clear sky composites of temperatures at these levels from AIRS daily level 3 data. The AIRS observations are used only when both AIRS and MODIS (also on board of Aqua) cloud masks agree on clear sky condition. Note that the occurrence of deep convection will alter the temperature profile somewhat compared to the clear sky case taken as reference. This local perturbation might affect absolute numbers on a given level but should not introduce significant biases with respect to the spatial distribution and its variability.

The AVHRR brightness temperature measurements from the channel 4 alone are sensitive to optically thick opaque clouds. Since in situ formed cirrus clouds in the TTL are necessarily optically thin (because of very little water available for condensation), the analysed IR brightness temperatures can be attributed to either the convective core, or associated anvil cirrus (visible optical depths approximately greater than 10). Finally, note that the heights determined from brightness temperatures represent an “effective” cloud top, and do not refer to the uppermost level where condensate is present (as would a measurement from a downlooking lidar, for example), and the cloud top height estimate may be biased low by about 1–2 km (Sherwood et al., 2004). While this deficit again should not bias the distribution patterns, the cloud fractions on a given pressure level determined from AVHRR should be seen as conservative estimates.

### 2.2 MODIS and Meteosat-5 data

The cloud fraction analysis based on AVHRR data is complemented with data from MODIS and Meteosat-5. MODIS (on Aqua) level 2 version 5 cloud products (King et al., 2003) are used. We use the MODIS cloud optical depth and cloud top pressure
data to characterize high clouds in two-dimensional space for the monsoons seasons of 2003–2008. As we are interested in optically thicker clouds in this study, we are not concerned about possible underestimation of optically very thin cirrus clouds by MODIS.

The three sensors AVHRR, AIRS and MODIS are mounted on afternoon polar orbiting platforms. However, small biases may still occur due to different sampling times of diurnal variations of deep convection from NOAA-N and Aqua platforms. We estimate the influence of the sampling time with measurements from the geostationary Meteosat-5 satellite, using brightness temperature measurements from the thermal channel (10.5–12.5 µm) of MVIRI sensor. This allows the characterization of the diurnal cycle at very high temporal resolution but at the cost of less radiometric and spectral resolution than AVHRRs.

It is to be noted here that since the cloud optical thickness and some of the thresholds to delineate opaque high clouds can only be computed using solar channels, we provide only daytime estimates of cloud amounts from AVHRRs and MODIS due to these algorithm constraints.

2.3 The level of zero radiative heating (LZRH)

In addition to cloud fraction estimates on fixed pressure levels, we also relate the observed brightness temperatures to the level of zero radiative heating (LZRH), which may be a sensible level to identify convective detrainment that subsequently directly enters the stratosphere (further discussed below). We use the radiative transfer calculations of the ECMWF ERA-Interim data (see Fueglistaler et al., 2009b) to determine the height, temperature and potential temperature of the clear sky LZRH. It is well known that clouds strongly affect the radiative balance, and as such the all sky LZRH becomes a highly variable level in cloudy regions. We therefore use the clear sky LZRH as a conservative level (clouds tend to lower the LZRH).

Figure 2 shows the temperature and potential temperature of a typical monsoon season (June–September 2000) of the LZRH. The figure shows clearly that even the
clear sky LZRH has substantial structure in the area of interest, such that an air parcel may experience radiative heating and cooling as it travels within the monsoonal anticyclone. In particular, one may be concerned that air detraining e.g. over the Bay of Bengal may be advected with the monsoonal anticyclonic flow into a region where the LZRH has a higher potential temperature, and subsequently may subside back into the troposphere. A full Lagrangian analysis is warranted to address these issues, which is beyond the scope of this paper. As a rough guidance, we note that radiative heating and cooling at these levels is of order $0.1–1 \text{ K/day}$ in potential temperature, and a typical residence time e.g. in the northern part of the anticyclone may be 2 days, resulting in an ambiguity of the level separating ascent from descent of less than 2 K in potential temperature. This is of similar order as the expected effects of clouds, and we emphasize that the LZRH should only be viewed as a physically more meaningful indicator than a certain pressure level, but that the advection of the flow introduces some ambiguity both in temperature and potential temperature.

3 Results

3.1 The climatological mean distribution of deep convection

Figure 3 shows the climatological mean spatial distribution of AVHRR brightness temperatures lower than the temperatures at 200, 150 and 100 hPa, respectively. As pointed out in Sect. 2, these fraction may be interpreted as the presence of opaque ice clouds which are either very deep convective clouds or related thick anvil cirrus. At 100 hPa, they are most likely very deep convective cores. Broadly speaking, the AVHRR data suggest a decrease in the fractional coverage of deep convective clouds by an order of magnitude between 200 hPa and 100 hPa.

Consistent with previous studies (e.g., Devasthale and Grassl, 2009b), the AVHRR data shows the progression of deep convection from the Bay of Bengal region during the early stage of the monsoon (June) to the Northeastern India and the Ganges
Basin, and subsequent retreat during the decay of the monsoon (September). The very high resolution of the data allows a very accurate geographic positioning of the deep convection. The sharp northern boundary is due to the presence of the Himalayas. Further north, deep convection particularly during July and August is observed over the Tibetan Plateau. Note that at 200 hPa the cloud fractions over the Tibetan Plateau are similar to those south of the Himalayas, while at 100 hPa the spatial distribution is clearly dominated by convection south of the Himalayas.

3.2 Progression of deep convection, and land-sea differences

Figure 4 separates the measurements between convection over land and over sea for the entire study area shown in Fig. 1. The figure shows that while deep convection (reaching at least 200 and 150 hPa) is most frequent in July and August, it is in May and June that the coldest cloud tops are observed (both over land and sea), suggesting more direct convective injections into the TTL in this area in the early stages of the monsoon season.

Further, we note that the brightness temperatures of deep convection over the land portions of the study area have a broader and less skewed distribution. This difference is also a consequence of the larger variability of temperatures on a fixed pressure level over the land areas than over sea in the study area (see also the temperature distribution on the LZRH, shown in Fig. 2a). These temperature variations are a consequence of the large scale dynamics as well as the direct influence of the convection on the thermal structure in regions of frequent deep convection.

3.3 Changes in deep convection between active and break monsoon conditions

One of the intrinsic characteristics of the Indian summer monsoon is the intraseasonal oscillations in rainfall, which are related to fluctuations in the ITCZ (Goswami and Ajaya Mohan, 2001). The intraseasonal oscillations are manifested through the active and break monsoon conditions. Two dominant modes of oscillations are observed, one
with 10–20 day period and the other with 30–60 day period. The spatial pattern of convective cloud amount is vividly different during active and break conditions (Devasthale and Grassl, 2009b), which may have implications for tracer transport into the TTL.

We separated the AVHRR measurements according to the most recent definition by Rameh Kumar and Uma (2004) of active versus break monsoon periods. In order to have good statistics, we use AVHRR data for the period 1982–2006 (25 monsoon seasons), resulting in 138 active and 633 break days in July and August. Note that, in absence of AIRS temperatures for most of this period, we analyse the data in terms of brightness temperature frequencies.

Figure 5 shows the vertical (with temperature as vertical axis) structure, and its latitudinal variation, of convection averaged between 60°–75°E, and 75°–100°E (as indicated by the separation line in Fig. 1). For orientation, the figure also shows the climatological (2003–2008) mean temperatures of 200, 150 and 100 hPa from AIRS. Moreover, the figures show the temperature of the level of zero radiative heating, as discussed in Sect. 2.3.

Substantial differences in the amount and the spatial pattern of these clouds can be seen in the two contrasting monsoon conditions. During the active monsoon periods, the bulk of deep convection is observed between about 10°N and 25°N, with the dominant regions being Central India, northern Bay of Bengal, and the western coast of India. Moreover, during the active periods the convection tends to be deeper. An interesting point we will return to later is that the AVHRR data shows the deepest convection to occur along the south slopes of the Himalayas (Northwestern and North Central India), rather than the Bay of Bengal as proposed in previous studies.

During the monsoon break period, deep convection is almost entirely absent in the western part of the study area (i.e. 60°–75°E), while the distribution over the Bay of Bengal (Fig. 5, upper panels; identified by latitudes between about 15°N to 25°N) and the Tibetan Plateau (Fig. 5, upper panels; identified by latitudes around 30°N) is less affected.
Although the active conditions occur less frequently than the break conditions, they may have a larger bearing on the composition of the TTL within the monsoonal anticyclone, an aspect to be borne in mind for the interpretation of studies based on models that may not capture these variations in the spatial structure of deep convection. In particular, anthropogenic pollution is concentrated in Central/Northeast India (for example, see Di Girolamo et al., 2004; Prasad et al., 2006), and these polluted air masses may (during active monsoon periods) or may not (during monsoon break periods) reach the TTL.

3.4 Deep convection and high clouds from MODIS data

We complement the highly detailed measurements from AVHRR of brightness temperatures with data from MODIS (Level 2, version 5), which provides retrievals of cloud optical thickness and cloud top pressure. Figure 6 shows the climatological mean (2003–2008) histogram of optical depth of clouds over the study area (i.e. 0°–40° N, 60°–100° E) for the period June to September (JJAS).

The most prominent feature of this distribution is the dominance of clouds with optical depths less than 23 at pressure levels of 250 and 200 hPa, which can be attributed to cirrus and cirrostratus resulting from convective detrainment peaking at these levels. In order to focus on the convective cores that penetrate deeply into the TTL, we analyse clouds that have very large optical thickness (greater than 23).

Figure 7 shows the spatial distribution of these optically thick convective clouds with a top higher than 100 hPa. The spatial distribution is remarkably similar to that obtained from AVHRR (compare with Fig. 3, top row) indicating that the clouds that penetrate above 100 hPa are most likely to be the cores of deep convection. There are, however, also some differences between the two data sets. Perhaps the most prominent difference is that the MODIS data show larger cloud fractions (Fig. 7) in particular over the Ganges Basin. These differences may arise from the slightly different sampling time of the two instruments (further complicated by a drift in sampling time of NOAA-16 by up to 2 h during its life span), different methodologies to estimate cloud height.
AVHRR uses AIRS profiles, while MODIS uses a CO2 slicing technique, and lack of cross-calibration (accounting for differences in the spectral response functions of the channels) between the sensors. These factors render a comparison between AVHRR and MODIS results difficult, but we consider the very good overall agreement highly encouraging, suggesting that both data sets provide a reliable description of the afternoon deep convection in the area of interest.

The zonal distribution of all clouds as a function of cloud top pressure is shown in Fig. 8, and the relative fraction of these clouds with optical optical thickness greater than 23 (i.e. deep convective clouds as per ISCCP definition) is shown in Fig. 9. About 35–40% of all clouds have their tops at 200 hPa during all monsoon months (Fig. 8). Most of these clouds are cirrus or cirrostratus, while the deep convective clouds (i.e. optical depth >23) dominate at the 100 hPa layer (Fig. 9).

Comparison of the cloud fractions shown in Fig. 8, and the fraction thereof of deep convective clouds shown in Fig. 9, shows that most clouds observed at 100 hPa are optically thick, convective clouds. On the contrary, at 200 hPa the majority of clouds do not fall into the optically thick, convective clouds category. That is, there are more optically thick, convective clouds at 200 hPa than at 100 hPa, but at 200 hPa MODIS detects also much more optically thinner clouds. This pattern observed in the MODIS data, however, may be to some extent an artifact: in-situ formed cirrus clouds at 100 hPa are optically much thinner than those at 200 hPa, and in many cases may not be detected by MODIS. Thin cirrus clouds are not the focus of this paper, but these observations provide a clear example of the challenges encountered to provide unbiased analyses of clouds.

### 3.5 The diurnal cycle of deep convection

In the previous section we have shown observations of very deep convection from AVHRR and MODIS. In order to make robust conclusions about the relative convective cloud amounts over the Bay of Bengal, Central Northeast India and the Tibetan Plateau, great care must be taken that observed patterns and cloudiness magnitudes are unbiased analyses of clouds.
are not artifacts due to the inconsistent sampling of the diurnal cycle of deep convection.

Both AVHRR and MODIS are mounted on afternoon satellites. They sample the diurnal cycle of convection only at a fixed time of the day. As the observation time in general is not coincident with the time of local maximum deep convective activity, occurrence frequency estimates of deep convection from these platforms tend to be biased low. For an analysis of spatial and temporal variability this bias may be less of a problem unless the bias has a spatio-temporal structure. Moreover, measurements from different observation times (as e.g. in the case of AVHRR and MODIS) may not be directly comparable.

In order to estimate how the sampling time affects the results, we have derived brightness temperature statistics from MVIRI/Meteosat-5.

Figure 10 shows the full diurnal cycle of brightness temperature frequencies for three sub-regions (Central Northeast India, Bay of Bengal and Tibetan Plateau) of our study area. The figure shows that the maxima are observed within about 1 h in Central Northeast India and the Tibetan Plateau regions and at both levels (i.e. 210 K and 230 K), with the maximum of deep convection (brightness temperature below 210 K) over the Tibetan Plateau being about 1 h earlier than over Central India. While it cannot be excluded that this shift in timing might affect a comparison of occurrence frequency between these two regions, it is important to note that the absolute difference in frequency between these two regions is much larger than the differences introduced by the different sampling time relative to the local phase of the diurnal cycle. The same is true for the Bay of Bengal region when compared to the Tibetan Plateau.

4 Summary and outlook

Using synergistic analysis of AVHRR, MODIS and AIRS data, the present study provides a climatological view of opaque high clouds in the TTL. The high resolution of the measurement allows an analysis of the vertical structure, spatial distribution and
variations during active and break monsoon conditions in unprecedented detail. It is shown that a significant fraction of high opaque clouds (in the latitude belt of $10^\circ$–$25^\circ$ N) reaches and penetrates into the TTL during active monsoon conditions, while they tend to be persistently equator wards during break conditions. The overall frequency of convective clouds (reaching at least 200 hPa) is higher in the months of July and August than May and June; however, deepest intrusions (reaching at least 100 hPa) occur during May and June. This conclusion is valid for convective clouds both over the land and the sea areas. While there is some difference in the frequency of very deep convection between AVHRR and MODIS in particular over the Bay of Bengal, the statistics from the two instruments generally agree quite well. Both instruments suggest that deep convection over the Tibetan Plateau plays only a secondary role compared to that over the Bay of Bengal and Northeastern India. These findings do not support the suggested eminent role of the Tibetan Plateau for troposphere-to-stratosphere transport (Fu et al., 2006), but more detailed studies linking high resolution cloud top data such as used here to troposphere-to-stratosphere transport are required to accurately quantify the different pathways.
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Fig. 1. The topography (color coded, in m a.s.l.) of the sector analysed in this paper. The black line at 75° E indicates the separation for spatial aggregation in the analysis of active and break periods of the monsoon. The boxes “Tibetan Plateau” and “North Central India” identify the regions mentioned in the text. The land and sea areas in this entire study area are considered later for the analysis.
Fig. 2. The clear sky level of zero radiative heating from ECMWF ERA-Interim radiative transfer calculations. Color-coded is the temperature (left) and potential temperature (right) in K, with arrows showing the wind on this level. Data shown is the June–September average of the year 2000.
Fig. 3. Cloud fraction from AVHRR at 100 hPa (top row), 150 hPa (middle row) and at 200 hPa (bottom row). The spatial resolution is 0.1° × 0.1°. Data shown is climatological mean of the period 2003–2008; temperature data from AIRS is used to compute cloud fraction from AVHRR brightness temperatures.
Fig. 4. Frequency distribution of the cloud top brightness temperatures from AVHRR binned into 5 K intervals) with cloud tops higher than 200 hPa (bottom row), 150 hPa (middle row) and 100 hPa (top row). The left column shows the frequency distribution for land areas only, while the right column shows for sea areas only. The entire study area shown in Fig. 1 is considered for the analysis. Note that the figures show the statistics of all brightness temperatures lower than the temperature of the corresponding pressure levels, and as such the statistics for, e.g. the 200 hPa level includes those event that reach higher than 150 hPa or even 100 hPa.
Fig. 5. The distribution (bin sizes of 0.1° latitude by 1 K brightness temperature; each latitude bin is normalized by the total number of observations (cloudy+clear) at all longitudes as indicated in the figure) of high opaque clouds during active and break monsoon conditions for the eastern and the western parts of the study area (shown in Fig. 1 above). The black, magenta, and red lines are the mean temperatures at 100 hPa, 150 hPa and 200 hPa derived from AIRS, while white line is the typical level of zero radiative heating from ERA-Interim (averaged June–September 2000).
Fig. 6. The 2-D histogram of cloud optical thickness and cloud top pressure from the MODIS data for June, July, August and September months from 2003 to 2008. The histogram is normalized by the total number of cloudy pixels in the study area (i.e. $0^\circ$–$40^\circ$ N, $60^\circ$–$100^\circ$ E).
Fig. 7. The composite of cloud amount at 100 hPa from seen from the MODIS averaged over 2003 to 2008 (for clouds with optical thickness greater than 23). Note that the color-scale is identical to that of Fig. 3, 100 hPa data.
**Fig. 8.** The latitudinal structure (zonal mean 60°–100° E) of cloud top pressure occurrence frequency from MODIS. Each latitude bin is normalized by the total number of cloudy observations in that bin (i.e. the cumulative distribution with height is 1 for each latitude bin).
Fig. 9. The relative fraction of clouds as shown in Fig. 8 with optical thickness greater than 23 (i.e. only deep convective clouds as per the definition by the ISCCP).
Fig. 10. The comparison of diurnal cycles of convection over the Central India, Bay of Bengal and the Tibetan Plateau. The diurnal cycles are derived using Meteosat-5 data for the monsoon seasons of 2002 and 2003. The vertical lines indicate the time of maximum cloud fraction.