Investigations of aerosol impacts on hurricanes: virtual seeding flights
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Abstract

This paper examines the feasibility of mitigating the intensity of hurricanes by enhancing the CCN concentrations in the outer rainband region. Increasing CCN concentrations would cause a reduced collision and coalescence, resulting in more supercooled liquid water to be transported aloft which then freezes and enhances convection via enhanced latent heat of freezing. The intensified convection would condense more water ultimately enhancing precipitation in the outer rainbands. Enhanced evaporative cooling from the increased precipitation in the outer rainbands would produce stronger and more widespread areal cold pools which block the flow of energy into the storm core, ultimately inhibiting the intensification of the tropical cyclone.

We designed a series of multi-grid for which the time of the “virtual flights” as well as the aerosol release rates are varied. A code that simulates the flight of a plane is used to increase the CCN concentrations as an aircraft flies. Results show a significant sensitivity to both the seeding time and the aerosol release rates and support the aforementioned hypothesis.

1 Introduction

Zhang et al. (2007, 2009) examined the impacts of dust acting as CCN inserted into a hurricane environment. The simulations by Zhang et al. (2009) revealed a non-monotonic response such that increases in CCN concentrations weakened the storm, while further increases in CCN concentrations either strengthened or had no impact, and further increases led to a decrease in storm intensity. That study did not reveal why such peculiar behavior should occur. We hypothesize that much of that variability was due to the variable intensity of outer rainband convection when the enhanced CCN advected into that region. Moreover, the environmental CCN are not always transported from the storm environment into outer rainband convection as it is at the mercy of the local flow in those regions. Furthermore the hurricane is a huge aerosol
scavenging machine such that virtually none of the dust made its way into the interior of the storm owing to strong washout.

Motivated by Zhang et al.'s simulations, Cotton et al. (2007) hypothesized that seeding hurricanes with pollution-sized aerosols could lead to a chain of responses leading to the eventual mitigation of the storm intensity. In the outer rainbands, increasing CCN concentration results in reduced collision/coalescence, increased supercooled water aloft, enhanced convection (latent heat of freezing) and ultimately enhanced precipitation and low level cooling (evaporation). The increase in low level cold-pool coverage in the outer rainband region blocks the flow of energy into the storm core inhibiting the intensification of the tropical TC. However, the amount of suppression of the strength of the TC depends on the timing between the transport of CCN to the outer rainbands and the intensity and lifecycle stage of the outer rainband convection. The outer rainband convection needs to be strong in order for the transport of supercooled liquid water aloft to take place. Note that Rosenfeld et al. (2007) arrived at a similar hypothesis using an entirely different dynamic model with simplified bulk microphysics.

While much of the motivation of this work was to investigate the potential for hurricane mitigation by seeding with small hygroscopic aerosol, the results contribute to our understanding of the importance of outer rainband convection and associated rainfall-induced cold-pools on hurricane intensity. As such, it is a complimentary investigation to the recent study by Riemer et al. (2010) in which they find that shear-induced downdrafts in the outer rainband convection of a hurricane can flush the boundary layer with low $\theta_{eair}$ which in our language interferes with the flow of enthalpy into the storm interior resulting in a weakening of the storm. While aerosol modulation of outer rainband convection is much more subtle than shear-induced changes, our results show a storm response that is consistent with that driven by wind shear.

In this paper, we use the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System developed at Colorado State University (Cotton et al., 2003) that simulates “virtual flights” during which CCN particles are releases as an aircrafts flies at an altitude slightly lower than cloud base and at several seeding times and using different aerosol release rates. Results
clearly support the aforementioned hypothesis, as seeding flights increased the quantities of supercooled liquid water, peak updrafts, and lowered the temperature of the cold-pools. More importantly, the peak surface winds show a significant sensitivity to both the seeding time and the aerosol release rates. Moreover, the results suggest there is an optimum CCN release rate; too much CCN thrusts more water substance into the storm anvils, lowering storm precipitation efficiency and short-circuiting the reduction in surface winds.

A brief description of the model we used is given in Sect. 2. In Sect. 3, we describe the model configuration and give the design of the numerical sensitivity experiments. Results and conclusions are presented in Sects. 4 and 5, respectively.

2 Model description

The dynamical modeling framework used for this study is RAMS (Pielke et al., 1992; Cotton et al., 2003) developed at Colorado State University (RAMS@CSU). This non-hydrostatic model integrates predictive equations for the wind components, the Exner function, the ice-liquid water potential temperature, and the total mixing ratio on a vertically-stretched Arakawa C-grid.

The two-moment “bin-emulating” microphysical model (Saleeby and Cotton, 2004, 2008) predicts the mass mixing ratios and number concentrations of various hydrometeor species and cloud-nucleating aerosols. A large-cloud-droplet mode (small drizzle drops) is considered in combination with the traditional single mode of cloud droplets. This permits the representation of the bimodal distribution of cloud droplets that is often seen in clouds (Hobbs et al., 1980). This mode plays a significant role in the collision–coalescence process by resulting in droplets to grow at a slower rate rather than being transferred directly from the first cloud droplet mode to rain. There is also a significant impact of drizzle drops mode upon ice formation, because two modes now exist and are allowed to participate in homogeneous freezing nucleation, freezing by collisions with ice particles, and secondary ice production through the Hallett–Mossop
processes. Therefore, this detailed microphysical framework is of great importance for this study as it allows a better representation of numerous microphysical mechanisms affecting the microphysical structure of the storms.

The predicted microphysical variables include the number concentrations and mixing ratios of cloud droplets, drizzle drops, rain, pristine ice, snow, aggregates, graupel and hail (frozen raindrops), as well as the concentrations of ice nuclei (IN), CCN and giant CCN (GCCN). These aerosol concentrations can be defined as vertically and/or horizontally heterogeneous variables that can be advected and diffused, and have sinks due to their activation. The concentration of CCN nucleated to become cloud droplets is obtained from a lookup table as a function of CCN concentration, vertical velocity, and temperature, using a Lagrangian parcel-bin model (Saleeby and Cotton, 2004) assuming the aerosol are ammonium sulfate. The lookup table is generated previously (offline) from detailed parcel-bin model calculations (Feingold and Heymsfield, 1992). The total number of haze particles is a model forecast variable which can be advected and diffused. It also has sinks due to nucleation to form cloud droplets and sources as cloud droplets are evaporated. Drizzle drops mainly form by self-collection among cloud droplets although they can also be independently nucleated via activation of giant CCN (GCCN). GCCN are considered to be composed of NaCl and their activation is also taken into account using nucleation lookup tables created by a Lagrangian bin-parcel model (for more details see Saleeby and Cotton, 2004).

Vapor deposition, droplet and ice particle collection, and hydrometeor sedimentation are all performed in the scheme. Snow is defined as larger pristine ice crystals (>100 µm), which have grown by vapor deposition and riming, while aggregates are defined as ice particles formed by collision and coalescence of pristine ice, snow or other aggregates. The number of pristine ice crystals ($N_{\text{pris}}$) predicted due to deposition-condensation freezing is:

$$N_{\text{pris}} = N_{\text{IN}} \exp\{-0.639 + 0.1296[100(S_i - 1)]\} = N_{\text{IN}} F_M \tag{1}$$

where the exponential expression is the Meyers et al. (1997) formula derived from flow diffusion chamber data sets, $F_M$ represents the fraction of available IN that are activated.
as a function of ice supersaturation ($S_i$), and $N_{IN}$ is the maximum concentration of IN available for activation. $F_M$ is maximized (equal to 1) for these simulations at an ice supersaturation of 40%. The variable $N_{IN}$ is a forecast variable, and is advected, diffused, and has sinks due to ice activation.

In addition, homogeneous freezing of cloud droplets and haze particles is done following DeMott et al. (1994). Supercooled raindrops freeze by collecting ice crystals. Ice multiplication by the rime-splinter process is parameterized following Mossop (1978) as described in Cotton et al. (2003). The two-stream radiative transfer model used for this study solves the radiative transfer equations for three gaseous constituents, $H_2O$, $O_3$, and $CO_2$, and the optical effects of the hydrometeor size spectra (including drizzle drops). Gaseous absorption is calculated by following the fast exponential sum fitting of transmissions method proposed by Ritter and Geleyn (1992). Lorenz-Mie theory is used to compute the optical properties for water drops, while the theory of Mitchell et al. (1996) is used for non-spherical ice crystals. For each hydrometeor species, the band-averaged values of optical properties are computed for the assumed gamma distribution basis function following the method of Slingo and Schrecker (1982).

### 3 Model configuration and experimental design

RAMS®CSU was configured to have three two-way interactive nested grids with 40 vertical levels. The horizontal grid spacing were 24, 6 and 1.5 km for grids 1 to 3, respectively. The corresponding domain sizes were 1536×1526 km, 612.5×612.5 km, and 304×304 km. The centers of all grids and that of the storm were approximately coincident (~15° N, 40° W). The corresponding time steps were 60, 20, and 2.5 s. The idealized TC simulations were similar to those of Zhang et al. (2007, 2009) except for recent updates in the model. For this study we implemented a prognostic scheme (based on the O’Dowd diagnostic formulae, 1997) to take into account sea-spray sources for the film, jet, and spume modes which act as CCN and GCCN, respectively. The values predicted by the O’Dowd formulae for the current surface winds were nudged at first
model level. In addition, we added modules to take into account the scavenging of various types of aerosols by drizzle and raindrops. These routines (separately) compute scavenging of CCN, GCCN as well as the film, jet, and spume sea-spray modes by drizzle and raindrops spectra. Scavenging coefficients are calculated as functions of aerosol size and precipitation rates at each model grid cell; they use curves derived from empirical data (Chate, personal communication).

In order to examine the chain of responses to the introduction of enhanced CCN concentrations in TCs, we performed a rather large number of multi-grid numerical experiments. Those simulations were homogeneously initialized with clean (maritime) concentrations and used a code that simulates the flight of a plane and increases the CCN concentrations as an aircraft flies following different trajectories. Flight times varied between simulation times 36 and 42 h for the runs corresponding to results presented in this paper. The aerosol release rates during these virtual flights were also varied. However the numerical experiments will be identified by the CCN concentration in the grid cells along the trajectory. All virtual flights were performed at an altitude slightly lower than cloud base height (≈2000 m) and the aircraft speed was 150 m$^{-1}$.

Figure 1 schematically represents two internal radius and external virtual flights some runs combined internal and external flights.

4 Results

4.1 Vertical motions, supercooled liquid water and precipitation

The time evolution of the simulated TCs soon after the corresponding seeding flights exhibited a common pattern with regard to vertical motion, supercooled liquid water mass, and precipitation. In general, updraft maxima simulated within the outer rainbands and the altitude at which they occur increased approximately 3 h after the corresponding seeding flight. This invigoration of the convection along the aerosol plume was associated with an important increase in the supercooled liquid water contents that enhances the production of aggregates and eventually precipitation.
Figures 2–5 illustrate this chain of early effects on the TC evolution for an external radius flight at time 39 h and 8000 cm$^{-3}$ along the trajectory. The latter experiment, as discussed in the following subsections produced the most dramatic impact on storm evolution. Figures 2 and 3 compare the supercooled (SC) liquid water mixing ratios simulated for this virtual flight (panels b) to that of the control run (panels a) for time of the flight (simulation time 39 h) and 3 h later (42 h), respectively. Naturally, no differences can be seen at SC levels at the time the aerosols are released right below cloud (Fig. 2). The expected aerosol plume location is approximately indicated by an arrow in Fig. 2b. Along this aerosol plume, important differences in the simulated SC liquid water isosurfaces are evident when comparing these two runs three hours later (Fig. 3a,b). For instance, the integral mass of SC liquid water at simulation time 42 h (computed as the spatial integration of the SC liquid water contents) was almost 30% higher than that of the control run. Vertical motion was significantly affected along the aerosol plume as can be seen in Fig. 4 that shows updraft isosurfaces. The altitudes at which updraft maxima occurred were between 800 and 1900 m above those of the control run between simulation times 42 and 45 h, (not shown). The rapid freezing of these larger amounts of SC droplets via riming produces a significant increase in the production aggregates as can be seen in Fig. 5 that gives the mixing ratios of this species for simulation time 42 h. The precipitation accumulated between time 39 and 43 h (the four hours following the virtual flight) is compared in Fig. 6. A significant impact is evident over the aerosol plume. The integral volume of precipitation during these four hours after the virtual flight simulation was approximately 15% higher than that corresponding to the control run. However, differences in the integral volumes between simulation times 43 and 72 h were slightly negative (not shown).

4.2 Cold-pools

We computed probability density functions (PDFs) of cold-pool size for numerical experiments using grid 3 model outputs every 30 min. Cold-pools were defined as groups of contiguous grid cells that had temperatures at least 4°C lower that the horizontal
domain-average at the corresponding time. The main results are summarized in Table 1 for different seeding times, trajectory types, and aerosol release rates. In general, simulated seeding flights produced cold-pool distributions with expected (mean) areas considerably higher than that of the control run. It must be noted that, the expected cold-pool area is four times larger than that of the control run for the virtual flight used for comparisons in the previous subsection (shaded row in Table 1).

The PDFs of cold-pool area also showed differences in their tails, indicating higher frequencies of large cold-pools sizes. For instance, the maximum simulated area shows a considerable increase for all sensitivity runs except for the flight at 39 h and 4000 cm$^{-3}$. Not only is the cold-pool size affected by seeding but so also its minimum temperature. We computed those minima, relative to the current horizontal average over the finest grid. In most cases this difference ($\Delta T_{\text{pool}}$) was higher (in absolute value) than that corresponding to the control run (again, the virtual flight at 39 h and 4000 cm$^{-3}$ is an exception).

Moreover, seeding flights reduced the temperature of the entire lower layer. The horizontally-averaged temperature over the finest grid for the first model level above ground relative those of the control run for the last 24 h of the simulation ($\Delta T_{G3}$) gave negative differences for all runs. These differences (up to 0.14°C) may seem small, however, it must be noted that they are temporal averages over the entire finest grid. These differences are the cause of the storm intensity weakening. Moreover, the seeding effects on expected and maximum cold-pool areas are actually underestimates because they have been computed relative to each run’s horizontal-averaged values.

We performed several other experiments using the virtual flight trajectory of the experiment highlighted in Table 1 to cover a CCN concentration range from 2000 to 16 000 cm$^{-3}$. The corresponding results are given in Table 2. It is interesting to note the clearly monotonic behavior of various quantities when CCN concentrations vary between 2000 and 8000 cm$^{-3}$. However, it can be seen that there is a change of response above 8000 cm$^{-3}$. In particular, the area of the largest cold-pool simulated for the 8000 cm$^{-3}$ run is six times higher than that of the control run. However, from
that peak value, it decreases approximately 3, 7 and 10% for the 10 000, 12 000, and 160 000 cm$^{-3}$, respectively. The overall cooling ($\Delta T_{G3}$) is also less important for the latter runs. In order to further analyze the peculiar response of cold pools, we examined the changes in the structures downdrafts in the following subsection.

### 4.3 Downdrafts

We computed the overall downward mass flux and compared the corresponding time-averaged vertical profiles for various numerical experiments considering seeding flights at simulation time 39 h. Downward mass fluxes exhibit a clearly monotonic behavior when the CCN concentrations (along the trajectory) are increased up to 8000 cm$^{-3}$ with differences up 15% compared the control run (Fig. 7a). Conversely, an opposite response is observed in Fig. 7b when CCN concentrations are above this threshold. Nonetheless, downward mass fluxes for both 12 000 and 16 000 cm$^{-3}$ runs exceed that of the control run.

Figure 8a,b shows that the enhanced downward fluxes are not linked (only) to more intense downdrafts but also to larger areas covered by them. The response is again clearly monotonic up to 8000 cm$^{-3}$. Even though all virtual flights produced a downdraft areal coverage lower than the control run, the values for numerical experiments using 12 000 and 16 000 cm$^{-3}$ were between those simulated for 8000 cm$^{-3}$ and the control run.

We generated statistics selecting the downdrafts linked to the three cold-pools of larger area for each model output time (every 30 min). As an example, Fig. 9 illustrates a detail of the lowest 4000 m of the downdraft (dark gray) associated to the largest cold-pool (light gray) simulated for these numerical experiments (virtual flight at 39 h, 8000 cm$^{-3}$). Figures 10–12 compare average vertical profiles of the aforementioned downdraft composites for various runs. All runs considering virtual flights sensitivity produced buoyancy values higher (more negative) than those of the control run. Buoyancy within the lowest 1000 m exhibits a monotonic response to CCN concentrations lower or equal to 8000 cm$^{-3}$ (Fig. 10a). However, upon further enhancing aerosol
4.4 Surface winds

Surface winds exhibited the same pattern of response that was observed for the area and intensity of cold-pools and downdrafts, as well as the buoyancy and the rain mixing ratio within the latter. Figure 13 compares surface wind frequencies corresponding to seeding flights numerical experiments to those of the control run. The frequencies of the highest surface winds for the control run are approximately 6 times larger than those corresponding to the 8000 cm\(^{-3}\) run. For category 5 wind speed range, reductions of 30, 50, and 60% were simulated for runs using 4000, 6000, and 8000 cm\(^{-3}\), respectively. These experiments also produced an approximate reduction of 30% for surface winds within category 4 and a minimum reduction 20% was simulated for all other hurricane categories. Figure 14 is analogous to Fig. 13 but for the higher [CCN] range along the virtual flight trajectory. This figure shows that the reduction in the frequency of category 5 winds falls from 60% to 35 and 7% when comparing the 8000, 12 000 and 16 000 cm\(^{-3}\) runs, respectively.

5 Conclusions

We performed a series of multi-grid cloud-resolving simulations to examine the response of a simulated hurricane to the targeted insertion of CCN. The modeling framework included an improved microphysical modules that included a bin-emulating treatment of riming processes, sea-salt surface sources in three modes, sinks for cloud concentrations produces the opposite effect (Fig. 10b). Figures 11a and b compare the rain mixing ratio vertical profiles for the same set of runs. It can be seen that rain mixing ratios exhibit an identical pattern of response. However, this change of behavior is not observed when comparing the mean mass diameters of raindrops within the most intense downdrafts (Fig. 12). In this case, raindrop diameters monotonically decrease when increasing CCN concentrations from 2000 to 16 000 cm\(^{-3}\).
nucleating particles due to scavenging by precipitation, and a code that simulates the targeted insertion of CCN by aircraft.

The results of these numerical experiments clearly support the hypothesis described in Sect. 1. Virtual flights cause a reduction of collision and coalescence, resulting in more supercooled liquid water to be transported aloft. This supercooled liquid water enhances latent heat of freezing which produces higher updraft maxima at higher altitudes. Results also suggest that the higher evaporative cooling from enhanced rainfall rates in the outer rainbands produces stronger and more widespread cold-pools. These cold-pools, covering larger areas, interfere with the flow of enthalpy into the storm core and therefore weakens the storm. Riemer et al. (2010) also finds evidence that the invigoration of convective activity in the outer rainbands leads to a reduction in TC intensity. That study focused on the role of vertical wind shear on the TC inflow layer. We speculate that the aerosol signal we see in these idealized simulations is secondary to the more dominate role that environmental shear plays.

The increase in cold-pool spatial physical dimensions as well as the temperature difference with respect to their surrounding environment was clearly linked to the enhanced aerosol concentrations, mainly do to the monotonic response they exhibited when increasing CCN concentrations up to 8000 cm$^{-3}$. A similar monotonic response was observed for the overall downward flux, the area covered with downdrafts as well as the buoyancy, rain mixing ratio, and (decreasing) raindrop size within the downdrafts associated with the larges cold-pools. Moreover, simulated reduction in frequencies of high surface winds behaved in a similar manner. Nonetheless, with the exception of raindrop sizes, all of the aforementioned quantities exhibited a different response when we considered concentrations above 8000 cm$^{-3}$ along the flight trajectories. This change of behavior appears to be linked to the mechanism suggested by Carrió et al. (2010) and Carrió and Cotton (2010). Briefly, further enhancing CCN concentrations reduces the size of supercooled droplets, which reduces riming growth of ice particles which results in the transport of a greater fraction of the ice-phase water mass to anvil levels as pristine ice crystals instead of being precipitating to the surface. In this way,
the importance of entire chain of processes leading to the eventual reduction of surface winds is reduced as the cold-pools are weakened.

In summary, the primary impact of aerosols on TC genesis and intensity is by altering the strength of cold-pools. The idea that cold-pools are an important modulator of TC intensity is consistent with observations that TC rapid intensification follows the formation of a nearly saturated core (results in weak cold-pools). It is also consistent with strong vertical wind shear as being a detriment to TCs (strong shear enhances entrainment of dry air increasing cold-pool strengths) as shown by Riemer et al. (2010). We speculate that during TC genesis vigorous cold-pools can lead to vertical decoupling between a mid-level MCV and low-level vorticities similar to what we find with tornadoes (Lerach et al., 2009). Vigorous cold-pools in mature TCs can interfere with the flow of enthalpy into the storm core.

An implication from this research is that greater attention has to be taken in cold-pool diagnosis for hurricane strength prediction. A remote sensing method of TC cold-pools would be ideal to map cold-pool variability in TCs. Furthermore, in order to simulate and predict aerosol impacts on TCs, models need high enough resolution and microphysics to represent convective-scale dynamical responses to aerosols as well as environmental properties affecting cold-pools.
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**Table 1.** Cold-pool analyses for different times, flight types, and varying aerosol release rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>CCN [cm(^{-3})]</th>
<th>Expected area [km(^2)]</th>
<th>Maximum area [km(^2)]</th>
<th>(\Delta T_{\text{pool}}) [°C]</th>
<th>(\Delta T_{G3}) [°C]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>–5.29</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>int</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>288.0</td>
<td>–5.68</td>
<td>–0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>ext</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>67.1</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>–5.45</td>
<td>–0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>ext</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>76.1</td>
<td>292.5</td>
<td>–6.77</td>
<td>–0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>both</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>141.8</td>
<td>–5.38</td>
<td>–0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>both</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>–5.29</td>
<td>–0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>both</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>100.4</td>
<td>357.7</td>
<td>–5.57</td>
<td>–0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>ext</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>357.8</td>
<td>–5.68</td>
<td>–0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>ext</td>
<td>6000</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>299.3</td>
<td>–5.66</td>
<td>–0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>ext</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>–5.10</td>
<td>–0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cold-pool analyses for flights varying aerosol release rates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CCN [cm(^{-3})]</th>
<th>Mean area [km(^2)]</th>
<th>Max area [km(^2)]</th>
<th>(\Delta T_{\text{pool}}) [(^\circ)C]</th>
<th>(\Delta T_{G3}) [(^\circ)C]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>−5.29</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>−5.19</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>−5.32</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>299.3</td>
<td>−5.66</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>69.5</td>
<td>357.8</td>
<td>−5.68</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 000</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>352.4</td>
<td>−5.72</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 000</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>333.2</td>
<td>−5.68</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 000</td>
<td>65.7</td>
<td>327.7</td>
<td>−5.67</td>
<td>−0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Virtual flights over internal and external radii. For the combined trajectory, the aircraft would fly north following flight “A” and then south along flight “B”. Black contours denote aircraft flight paths and shaded areas locate convective activity (liquid water path).
Fig. 2. Supercooled liquid water at simulation time 39 h for the control run and seeded run. Isosurfaces correspond to the 1 g kg$^{-1}$ level. The arrow denotes the approximate location of the aerosol plume. The base of the shown subdomain is the freezing level.
Fig. 3. Comparison of supercooled liquid water at simulation time 42 h, three hours after the seeding flight. Isosurfaces correspond to the 1 g kg$^{-1}$ level.
Fig. 4. Idem Fig. 3 but for updrafts; isosurfaces correspond to the $5 \text{ m}^{-1}$ level.
Fig. 5. Idem Fig. 4 but for the mixing ratio of aggregates; isosurfaces correspond to the 1.2 g kg$^{-1}$ level.
Fig. 6. Comparison of precipitation accumulated between hours 39 and 43 for the control run and the seeded run in panels (a) and (b), respectively. Shaded areas represent precipitation in mm.
Fig. 7. Comparison of integral downward mass transport computed for the control run and the seeded run in panels (a) and (b), respectively. Vertical profiles correspond to temporal averages over the 24-h period after the flight.
Fig. 8. Idem Fig. 7 but for the area covered by downdrafts.
Fig. 9. The largest simulated cold pool and its associated downdraft. The isosurface representing the cold pool (light gray) corresponds to a temperature difference of \(-4\) K with respect to the horizontal average (for each level). Downdraft isosurface (dark gray) correspond to \(-2\) m s\(^{-1}\).
Fig. 10. Comparison of buoyancy within the most intense downdrafts for the control run and the seeded run in panels (a) and (b), respectively.
Fig. 11. Idem Fig. 10 but for rain mixing ratio.
Fig. 12. Idem Fig. 10 but for raindrop mean mass diameters.
Fig. 13. Comparison of hurricane intensity surface wind frequencies for flights with CCN concentrations below 8000 cm$^{-3}$. Curves represent percent differences with respect to control run.
Fig. 14. Idem Fig. 13 but for flights with CCN concentrations above 8000 cm\(^{-3}\).