Pathways of PFOA to the Arctic: variabilities and contributions of oceanic currents and atmospheric transport and chemistry sources
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Abstract

Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and other perfluorinated compounds are industrial chemicals in use since decades which resist degradation in the environment and seem to accumulate in polar regions. Transport of PFOA was modeled using a spatially resolved global multicompartment model including fully coupled three-dimensional ocean and atmosphere general circulation models, and two-dimensional top soil, vegetation surfaces, and sea ice compartments. In addition to primary emissions, the formation of PFOA in the atmosphere from degradation of 8:2 fluorotelomer alcohol was included as a PFOA source. Oceanic transport, delivered $14.8 \pm 5.0 \pm 5.0 \ (8–23) \ t \ a^{-1}$ to the Arctic, strongly influenced by changes in water transport, which determined its interannual variability. This pathway constituted the dominant source of PFOA to the Arctic. Formation of PFOA in the atmosphere lead to episodic transport events (timescale of days) into the Arctic with small spatial extent. Deposition in the polar region was found to be dominated by wet deposition over land, and shows maxima in boreal winter. The total atmospheric deposition of PFOA in the Arctic in the 1990s was $\approx 1 \ t \ a^{-1}$, much higher than previously estimated, and is dominated by primary emissions rather than secondarily formed.

1 Introduction

Perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and its ammonium salt have been used for over 50 years as processing aids in the production. Although it is only weakly toxic, and it is low to not bioaccumulative it has aroused large scientific interest in the past years, due to its high persistency and the fact that it is distributed globally, including remote environments. Unlike the “old” persistent organic pollutants, which are mostly hydrophobic and semivolatile, PFOA is non-volatile and exists in dissociated (ionic) and non-dissociated forms (Goss and Arp, 2009). Still, it was detected in the abiotic and biotic Arctic environment (Martin et al., 2004; Stock et al., 2007; Young et al., 2007). As there
are no primary sources of PFOA in the Arctic this indicates that it is transported over long distances from continental mid-latitudes (or further). Three transport pathways have been suggested: oceanic transport of the anion, atmospheric transport of primary emitted PFOA, and transport of volatile precursor substances that are converted to PFOA. Previous modeling studies (Armitage et al., 2006; Wania, 2007; Schenker et al., 2008) suggest a larger contribution from oceanic than from atmospheric transport. The spatial resolution of these studies was limited, however, and the episodic nature of atmospheric transports was not captured. The seasonality of contaminant flow in the atmosphere from mid to high northern latitudes is such that most is delivered in winter, while summer accounts for only $\approx 20\%$ (Barrie et al., 1992; Iversen, 1996; Hung et al., 2005). The zonal distributions of both atmospheric and oceanic transports of organic pollutants in high latitudes are very inhomogeneous with most being delivered via the European sector (Barrie et al., 1992; Iversen, 1996; Macdonald et al., 2000; AMAP, 2004). Furthermore, recent studies have demonstrated the importance of interannual variability of the atmospheric dynamics (AMAP, 2004; Halsall et al., 1998) and episodic long-range transports in air (Halsall et al., 1998; Eckhardt et al., 2003, 2007; Wang et al., 2010) on the exposure of the Arctic environment towards pollutants. The aims of this study are to quantify the significance of oceanic and atmospheric transport routes to the Arctic and of primary and secondary PFOA sources, and to explore how atmosphere and ocean dynamics variabilities are reflected in the poleward contaminant flow. To this end and in contrast to previous studies, a multicomartment chemistry-transport model based on a coupled atmosphere-ocean general circulation model (AOGCM) is used. This approach bears the advantage of including realistically varying circulation patterns in atmosphere and ocean, thereby providing the opportunity of studying the transport behavior in a fully dynamic and coupled system. Atmospheric intermediates of PFOA formation as well as their oxidants are explicitly and fully dynamically modeled, and wet and dry, gaseous and particulate phase depositions are described. PFOA cycling in the ocean includes vertical export due to both deep water formation and particle settling.
2 Methods

2.1 Model description

The multicompartment chemistry-transport model MPI-MCTM (Lammel et al., 2001; Semeena et al., 2006; Guglielmo et al., 2009) is based on the three-dimensional coupled atmosphere-ocean general circulation model ECHAM5-HAM/MPIOM-HAMOCC (Roeckner et al., 2003; Marsland et al., 2003; Stier et al., 2005; Maier-Reimer et al., 2005), with embedded two-dimensional top soil and vegetation surfaces. In HAM (Stier et al., 2005), aerosols are represented by seven log-normal modes, four soluble and three insoluble. The mass of the chemical components and number concentrations are predicted by the model. HAM takes into account the aerosol components sulphate, black carbon, organic carbon (primary and secondary), mineral dust and seasalt. The Hamburg Ocean Carbon Cycle Model HAMOCC5 uses a nutrient-phytoplankton-zooplankton-detritus (NPZD-type) ecosystem model (Maier-Reimer et al., 2005; Six and Maier-Reimer, 1996) and a carbon chemistry formulation (Maier-Reimer, 1993). Chemicals cycle in atmosphere (gaseous, aqueous and particulate phases), ocean (dissolved, colloidal, and particulate phases), and soil and vegetation surfaces. Cycling in the model includes degradation, transport, and exchange processes, which have been described previously (Semeena et al., 2006; Guglielmo et al., 2009). In the atmospheric aerosol partitioning is empirically based on the octanol-air partitioning coefficient, $K_{OA}$, and doubling of the particulate mass fraction is assumed per 4.9 K temperature decrease (Semeena et al., 2006). In the 3-D ocean, in addition to advection (3-D, i.e. including deep water formation and upwelling) and diffusion, chemicals in the particulate phase are subject to gravitational settling at the same velocity as detritus ($5 \text{ m d}^{-1}$). Partitioning to the particulate phase is calculated from the organic carbon partitioning coefficient, $K_{OC}$ (Guglielmo et al., 2009).
2.1.1 Emissions

Perfluorooctanoic acid primary emission rates for 1950–2004 are based on estimates of PFO (perfluorooctanoate) emission from fluoropolymer production (Armitage et al., 2006; Prevedouros et al., 2006). Point sources from fluoropolymer manufacture account for more than 60% of total emissions of perfluorocarboxylates (Prevedouros et al., 2006). Other sources, such as aqueous fire fighting foams or consumer products are neglected. We use a highly simplified emission scenario, which concentrates historic major and minor sources of various temporal profiles into globally 4 point sources, which are constant over time. These are meant to represent the main producers, i.e. in the USA, Japan, Belgium, and Italy. In fact, the sources in these countries and also neglected sources in minor manufacturing countries (e.g. China) have been historically located in the mid northern latitudes, i.e. ≈30–50°N. Although this simplified emission source distribution excludes to study distributions and gradients in the sources’ latitudes, the aims of the study, large-scale poleward transports and gradients, are not affected. The compartmental split upon entry of primary PFOA emissions is assumed to be 23% into the atmosphere, 65% into the ocean, and 12% into top soils (Prevedouros et al., 2006). For Italy, Belgium and Japan the emissions to the ocean are assumed to end up in the adjacent Adriatic Sea, North Sea and Pacific Ocean (Tokyo Bay), respectively. The emission source for the US is located in the Midwest and in the St. Lawrence water shed. As the Great Lakes are not represented in the model in terms of a water compartment for chemicals cycling, it is assumed that PFOA is transferred in the Gt. Lakes and St. Lawrence River system and added to seawater at the mouth of the St. Lawrence River. Boulanger et al. (2005), show that volatilization of PFOA in Lake Ontario is less than 1% of the inflow. Therefore we adopt a 1% loss rate, neglecting any other loss than volatilization. The same loss rate as for the St. Lawrence River is used for Lake Erie, Lake Michigan and Lake Huron. As soon as the substance enters the model atmosphere or ocean, partitioning in the multiphase system takes place. The temporal distribution follows Prevedouros et al. (2006), and is
shown in Fig. 1.

8:2 fluorotelomer alcohol (FTOH) is released to the environment during both the manufacture and use of fluorotelomer-based products. To capture both entry pathways, the model simulated emission rates based on telomer A production (Prevedouros et al., 2006) with the spatial distribution determined by the gross domestic product at market exchange rate of 1990 (GDP data from the IIASA GGI Scenario Database, 2007). The FTOH emission rates equal 2% of the estimated telomer A production. Global and temporal distribution of FTOH emissions are show in Fig. 2.

2.2 Atmospheric PFOA precursor chemistry

Formation of PFOA in the atmosphere by degradation of FTOH is based on a simplified oxidation mechanism (Wallington et al., 2006). Only those substances are considered in the model, which have an expected lifetime in the atmosphere long enough to be transported and/or alter PFOA yield, i.e. the aldehydes \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CH}_2\text{CHO} \) and \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CHO} \), the peroxy radicals \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{C(O)O}_2 \) and \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{O}_2 \), and the alcohol \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{OH} \). 5 inorganic reactants, i.e. OH, NO, NO\(_2\), HO\(_2\), and CH\(_3\)O\(_2\), are included in the atmospheric chemistry module. The oxidation mechanism is briefly explained here: The first stable product of the telomer alcohol, \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} \), in atmospheric chemistry is the aldehyde \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CH}_2\text{CHO} \). It is formed with 100% yield by reaction of the hydroxyalkyl radical, which is formed in the Reaction (R1) of FTOH with OH and subsequently with \( \text{O}_2 \). \( \text{C}_9\text{F}_{17}\text{CH}_2\text{CHO} \) reacts in Reaction (R2) with OH and forms upon addition of \( \text{O}_2 \) an hydroxyacetyl radical, \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OO} \). This radical is partly stored as a thermolabile peroxyacetyl nitrate, \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OO}_2 \), upon addition of NO\(_2\) (Reaction R3c) and may react with either NO to the peroxy radical \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CH}_2\text{COO} \) (Reaction R3b), or with HO\(_2\) to the carboxylic acid, \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CH}_2\text{COOH} \) (Reaction R3a). \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CH}_2\text{COO} \) loses one O to NO and stabilizes upon H-abstraction by \( \text{O}_2 \) as perfluorononanal, \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CHO} \) (Reaction 3b). The branching yield between these two products, \( \text{C}_{10}\)-acid and \( \text{C}_9\)-aldehyde, is assumed to be 60%:40%. The acid’s chemical fate was neglected as irrelevant for PFOA formation. The aldehyde, \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CHO} \), is again activated by OH.
attack and yields \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{C(O)OO} \) in Reaction (R4), which, also, loses an O by reaction with NO (100% yield) (Reaction R5a) or with \( \text{HO}_2 \) (90%) (Reaction R5b), while it is assumed that 10% of it in the latter reaction will obtain an H and lose O\(_3\) by formation of perfluorononanoic acid, \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{COOH} \) (PFNA). This species, as irrelevant for PFOA formation, was also neglected. The peroxy radical \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{OO} \) reacts with several radicals, NO (Reaction R6a), \( \text{NO}_2 \) (Reaction R6b), and \( \text{CH}_3\text{O}_2 \) (Reaction R6b). Only the Reaction (R6c) with the methylperoxy radical is considered in the model as it forms the alcohol \( \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{OH} \) via elimination of HF. The alcohol is converted into the acid fluoride \( \text{C}_7\text{F}_{15}\text{C(O)F} \), which in turn, upon rapid hydrolysis forms PFOA, \( \text{C}_7\text{F}_{15}\text{COOH} \), combined as Reaction (R7).

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{FT1} &= \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH}, \\
\text{FT2} &= \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CH}_2\text{CHO}, \\
\text{FT3} &= \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CHO}, \\
\text{FT4} &= \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{C(O)O}_2, \\
\text{FT5} &= \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{O}_2, \\
\text{FT6} &= \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{OH}, \\
\text{PFOA} &= \text{C}_7\text{F}_{15}\text{COOH} \\
\text{FT1} + \text{OH} &\rightarrow \text{FT2} \quad \text{(R1)} \\
\text{FT2} + \text{OH} &\rightarrow \text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OO} \quad \text{(R2)} \\
\text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OO} + \text{HO}_2 &\rightarrow \text{FT3} \quad \text{(R3a)} \\
\text{C}_8\text{F}_{17}\text{CH}_2\text{C(O)OO} + \text{NO} &\rightarrow \text{FT3} \quad \text{(R3b)}
\end{align*}
\]
Precursor species are transported in the gaseous phase only. Their dry deposition velocities (Wesely, 1989) and uptake into cloudwater and below-cloud scavenging are calculated according to their Henry’s law constants (listed as Table 1). Global distributions of the inorganic reactants were obtained from a multi-year run of a chemistry-transport model (MOZART-4), forced by present-day climate (Emmons et al., 2009). The radical concentrations were adopted accounting for seasonal variations (monthly means), apart from diurnal cycles. Primary emission to the atmosphere is the only source of FTOH.
2.3 Physico-chemical properties

Physico-chemical properties relevant for the multimedia fate of PFOA and the precursor substances are listed in Table 1. Dissociation of PFOA is not treated explicitly in the model, but considered in the parameterisation of air-sea exchange. The parameterisation considers the effective Henry's law coefficient to account for the non-dissociated acid as the volatile species. Otherwise, the properties of the dissociated compound, perfluorooctanoate, are used in the model.

2.4 Experiments

The model is run un-forced by observations, i.e. generates its own realistic climate (including interannual climate variability). In one experiment atmospheric and oceanic transports of primary emitted PFOA were studied over 14 years (1991–2004, AOT experiment) and in a second experiment atmospheric transports of PFOA and its precursors were studied over 4 years (1995–1998, ATC experiment). In the ATC experiment the model was not coupled to the OGCM allowing for the exclusive study of atmospheric transport. Here, the ocean was represented only by climatological mean cycles of sea surface temperature and mixed layer depth (Semeena and Lammel, 2003; Semeena et al., 2006). Processes included are deposition, volatilisation and removal from the surface ocean. As PFOA depositions to the oceans in reality encompass primary emitted and secondarily formed PFOA, while the latter is neglected in this decoupled modeling approach, the oceanic transports (AOT experiment) are underestimated. This discrepancy is small, however, as it will be shown below. The atmospheric transport is fully covered (sum of AOT and ATC experiments), because there is no feedback expected from the neglected depositions back to the atmosphere: PFOA is in its dissociated form not subject to volatilization from seawater. Emissions were identical in time and space in the two experiments. Background concentrations of PFOA for the AOT experiment were obtained from a long-term simulation (1950–1990) using the 3-D AOGCM in the horizontal resolution T21/GR30, i.e. about 5° and 19 levels in the atmo-
sphere, and 3° and 40 levels in the ocean. The resolution of the atmosphere model was T63L19 with a time step of 20 min, and in the AOT experiment the ocean used a nominal resolution of 1.5°, where the grid cell size varies gradually between 15 km in the Arctic and approximately 184 km in the tropics. The ocean model resolves 40 vertical levels with level thickness increasing with depth. The time step of the ocean model was 72 min and the coupling time step was 1 day. Since PFOA tends to form multiple layers, the octanol-water partitioning coefficient, $K_{OW}$, cannot be measured for PFOA (US EPA, 2005). Partitioning to organic matter can also be expressed using the partitioning coefficient to organic carbon. Arp et al. (2006) found that common methods like the adsorption and Koa absorption models to predict the gas-particle partitioning underestimate the amount of perfluorinated compounds bound to organic matter. The actual, effective sorption to an organic phase dispersed in water will exceed the sorption to the same phase not dispersed in water, because of the substances’ amphiphilic nature (Tolls and Sijm, 2000). The exceedance will be a function of the surface-to-volume ratio of the interface. In order to estimate the impact of partitioning to organic matter two different sorption coefficients, a lower and an upper estimate to quantify effective sorption deviating by a factor of 100, are adopted in lack of better knowledge: $K_{OC}=115 \text{mL g}^{-1}$ (log $K_{OC}=2.06$) as measured by Higgins and Luthy (2006), was chosen as the lower estimate and a log $K_{OC}=4.06$ was chosen as the upper estimate.

2.5 Model evaluation: comparison of predicted and observed FTOH and PFOA levels

2.5.1 FTOH in air

The mean level of FTOH in the near-ground Arctic (>70° N) atmosphere is predicted (ATC experiment) to be 0.05 pg m$^{-3}$ under emission levels of the years 1995–1998. The maximum daily mean is 0.3 pg m$^{-3}$ and locally up to 3.4 pg m$^{-3}$ are predicted in spring. The predicted seasonality well captures the Arctic haze season and suggests a pronounced episodic nature of FTOH abundances, even when averaging over the
entire Arctic (Fig. 3). The predicted values compare with \( \approx 4 \) (<1–20) pg m\(^{-3}\) and \( \approx 15 \) (5–22) pg m\(^{-3}\) FTOH, reported from an island, 73° N, and a cruise in the Canadian Archipelago in the summers of 2004 (?) and 2005 (Shoeib et al., 2006), respectively. Earlier modeling studies suggested 3–5 pg m\(^{-3}\) for 1998 using the same historic emission estimates (Schenker et al., 2008) and typically 35–350 pg m\(^{-3}\) using a factor of 12.5 higher emission estimates (for “remote ocean and Arctic locations”; Wallington et al., 2006). In recent years, FTOH should have increased. Other modeling studies suggested 2–30 pg m\(^{-3}\) (Wania, 2007) and 10–20 pg m\(^{-3}\) (Schenker et al., 2008) as mean concentrations for the near-ground Arctic atmosphere during the years 2001–2005. In mid latitudes our model predicts higher levels, such as 1.5 (0.01–16.4) pg m\(^{-3}\) (monthly mean (daily min–daily max)) at the Irish West coast, 0.9 (0.1–2.3) pg m\(^{-3}\) in Oregon (44° N) and 0.3 (0.003–1.4) pg m\(^{-3}\) in the subtropic Pacific Ocean (Okinawa, 27° N). These ranges overlap, hence compare reasonably with measured data ranges, i.e. 11.3 pg m\(^{-3}\) for West Ireland (Piekarz et al., 2007), and <1–18 pg m\(^{-3}\) and <1–3 pg m\(^{-3}\) for Oregon and Okinawa, respectively (Barber et al., 2007). Because of the coarse emission scenario, the predicted distributions within the sources’ latitudes, i.e. \( \approx 30–50° \) N, are expected to deviate significantly from reality.

### 2.5.2 PFOA in air and atmospheric deposition

The model predicted for the ATC experiment mean PFOA levels in the Arctic (60–90° N) in January and July are 3.9–4.9 pg m\(^{-3}\). While 2.8–3.4 pg m\(^{-3}\) prevail in the European Arctic (60–80° N, 10° W–60° E), the model predicts 2.5–4.5 pg m\(^{-3}\) for the Central Arctic (80–90° N) and 4.2–5.1 pg m\(^{-3}\) for the Canadian Archipelago (60–80° N, 60–130° W). These compare reasonably well with observed levels in the range 0–4 pg m\(^{-3}\) in summer 2004 in the Canadian Archipelago (73° N, 93° W; ?). In May, in mid latitudes 1.5 (0.04–10.1) pg m\(^{-3}\) are predicted for the North Atlantic (monthly mean (daily min–daily max), at the West coast of Ireland (53° N) and 0.6 (0.08–1.2) pg m\(^{-3}\) in Northern Norway (70° N) assuming log K\(_{OC}\)=4.06 for the year 2004. These compare with obser-
lations of 8.9 and 4.4 pg m$^{-3}$, respectively, in the year 2005 (Piekarz et al. (2007); same month). Later observations cannot be compared as our experiment did not cover the subsequent years, when emissions were cut back significantly (Prevedouros et al., 2006). PFOA atmospheric deposition in the Arctic is almost entirely attributed to primary PFOA, while only 35–46 kg (for both substance scenarios) are formed from precursors. This latter amount corresponds to $\approx 0.05\%$ of the global FTOH emissions (80 t a$^{-1}$). Considering different spatial emission distributions in the various studies (Wallington et al., 2006; Wania, 2007; Schenker et al., 2008), this fraction compares well with $\approx 0.04\%$ (40 t out of 1000 t of annual FTOH emission), which had been estimated using a 3-D global atmospheric chemistry model (Wallington et al., 2006), with $\approx 0.1–0.2\%$ (0.06–0.15 t out of 30–200 t; Wania, 2007) and $\approx 0.1\%$ (0.1 t out of 80 t; Schenker et al., 2008), which were both based on simulations using zonally averaged multimedia fate and transport models. Using a regional atmospheric chemistry model (Yarwood et al., 2007) it was predicted that 0.25–2.5% of the FTOH emissions in North America would be deposited as PFOA in the Arctic. Here it is, furthermore, found that among atmospheric depositions of PFOA in the Arctic, primary sources dominate with $\approx 95\%$ (see below). A similar prediction had been made using a zonally averaged model (Wania, 2007). Furthermore, it is in agreement with an atmospheric chemistry model study (Wallington et al., 2006): In this study PFOA levels in the Arctic in the range 0.07–1.4 pg m$^{-3}$ (in January and July) had been predicted and these levels were due to secondary PFOA only, i.e. formed from 1000 t of annual FTOH emissions (12.5 higher than used in our study) which had been distributed globally according to the distribution of industrial propane. Also Armitage et al. (2009), found 0.46–2.53 t a$^{-1}$ gross deposition flux to the Arctic in 2005 when simulating PFO(A) ($pK_a=3.5$) transport from direct sources with a global spatially resolved multimedia fate model.
2.5.3 PFOA in seawater

PFOA in seawater was measured in the Atlantic, Indian and Pacific Oceans between 2002 and 2006 in the context of a global ocean monitoring initiative (Yamashita et al., 2008). Vertical profiles were sampled in the Labrador Sea, the Mid Atlantic Ocean, the South Pacific Ocean and the Sea of Japan, where water probes were done to several depths down to 5500 m. The limit of quantification for PFOA was 6 pg L$^{-1}$. Vertical profiles from different ocean regions differ significantly from each other. In the Labrador Sea (Fig. 4) PFOA concentrations are 50 pg L$^{-1}$ at the surface for both model results (AOT experiment) and observations. For AO1 and AO2 modeled profiles are almost identical, while observed profiles behave differently. Concentrations in water sample at AO1 are relatively constant throughout depth, except for subsurface water, where the PFOA concentration decreases, and water below 2000 m, in which concentrations again increase. Modeled concentrations, as well as observed ones at AO2, decrease until 500 m, and remain constant down to 2000 m. In waters below 2000 m PFOA concentration increases for observations, but decreases in the model results. Yamashita, 2008 suggest that water masses from the surface down to 2000 m were well mixed due to their convective formation. The subsurface is explained by a decrease caused by the influx of the melt-water rich Labrador current, and the increase in larger depths by the presence of an independent deep water current carrying higher amounts of PFOA. A similar stratification is observed in the model results, except for the deep water current, that decreases concentrations in waters below 2000 m. In the mid Atlantic Ocean observed concentrations at AO3 and AO4 decrease gradually with depth, whereas at AO5 they show an increase until 500 m and a decrease below. Modeled profiles show a similar pattern. Surface concentrations of model results, however, are much lower than the observed ones. The reason could be unrealistic emissions with regard to temporal profile (assumed in phase everywhere) and global source strength (not all source types are captured; see Sect. 2.1.1). Furthermore, the prediction of similar profiles for all mid Atlantic sampling locations results from the missing riverine source into the...
mid Atlantic Ocean in the emissions scenario: emissions from North American fluoro-ropolymer production sites, which in reality are located both in the St. Lawrence and
the Mississipi basins, are released into the Atlantic Ocean solely at the mouth of the
St. Lawrence River, while discharge of PFOA into the Gulf of Mexico is not considered
(see Sect. 2.1.1). Profiles in the Sea of Japan are similar for model and observational
data. The concentration decreases down to 1000 m and remains constant below. The
concentrations in the surface layer are lower for the modeled profiles, most likely in
consequence of the emission scenario, which concentrates the sources in the region
in to one point source (in Japan), assumes identical temporal profiles for all source
points and does not capture all emitted mass. Due to the limited horizontal resolution
of models, the topography of the ocean differs from the real one. In the Southern Ocean
concentrations were low throughout all depths, and for the measurements often below
the detection limit of 6 pg L$^{-1}$. The gradient of PFOA concentrations in surface seawa-
ter in the Atlantic Ocean along the West Coast of the European and African continents
predicted for the latest year of the AOT experiment compares well with measured con-
centrations from ship in October/November 2005 (Theobald et al., 2007). Modeled
concentrations decrease from 500–600 pg L$^{-1}$ in the North Sea, 50–60 pg L$^{-1}$ in the
Bay of Biscay and Atlantic Ocean west of Maroc and the Western Sahara, down to
7–10 pg L$^{-1}$ in the Gulf of Guinea. Theobald et al. found decreasing concentrations of
50–90 pg L$^{-1}$ in the Bay of Biscay to less than 17 pg L$^{-1}$ (limit of quantification) south
of the equator in the Gulf of Guinea.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Degradation of FTOH to PFOA

The precursors’ distributions in the global atmosphere reflect the 4 point sources in
the northern mid latitudes. Their abundances are limited by the radicals’ availabil-
ties (low latitudes, summer hemisphere), i.e. maximum burden is predicted to be co-
incident with the radicals’ distributions (Fig. 5). Unlike its precursors’, zonal mean PFOA maxima are found evenly distributed over mid to high northern latitudes with the exception of summer months, when concentrations are lower, in particular in high latitudes (Fig. 5). The reason for this is transport, which is not effective for the precursors because of their short lifetime: the characteristic atmospheric lifetime of the immediate precursor, C₈F₁₇OH, with regard to conversion to PFOA is about 5 days independent of temperature, i.e. 2 orders of magnitude longer than the characteristic lifetimes of the other intermediates of FTOH chemistry, radicals and aldehydes, which are formed within <4 h in the full relevant temperature range, 233–298 K. Poleward transport events are limited in spatial scale and occur during episodes of a few days, predominantly in the cold season (provided as animation in the Supplementary Material, see http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/10/11577/2010/acpd-10-11577-2010-supplement.zip), as investigated in other studies (Macdonald et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2010). Averaging makes these appear to be more extended in time and space. Main transport routes are determined by the lower tropospheric high pressure systems, and include northward transport from Eastern Europe and Siberia, transport poleward across the North Atlantic Ocean, and transport across the Bering Sea (see SM, animation). The mean level of FTOH in the near-ground Arctic (>70° N) atmosphere is predicted to be 0.05 pg m⁻³ under emission levels of the years 1995–1998. The maximum daily mean is 0.3 pg m⁻³ and locally up to 3.4 pg m⁻³ are predicted in spring (see Sect. 2.6 for a comparison with other studies). The predicted seasonality well captures the Arctic haze season and suggests a pronounced episodic nature of FTOH abundances, even when averaging over the entire Arctic (Fig. 6). Between August and October a secondary maximum of PFOA concentration can be observed in the Southern Hemisphere (SH). The centre of this maximum is temporally located over Eastern Africa or stretches eastward and southward off the African coast over the Indian Ocean (towards Madagascar). It corresponds to a maximum of the immediate precursor, C₈F₁₇OH, which, in turn, is related to a FTOH area source in the region (Kenya).
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3.2 Atmospheric concentration and deposition of PFOA

Model predicted mean PFOA levels in the Arctic (60–90° N) in January and July are 3.9–4.9 pg m⁻³. While 2.8–3.4 pg m⁻³ prevail in the European Arctic (60–80° N, 10° W–60° E), the model predicts 2.5–4.5 pg m⁻³ for the Central Arctic (80–90° N) and 4.2–5.1 pg m⁻³ for the Canadian Archipelago (60–80° N, 60–130° W). Thereby, PFOA concentrations in the Central Arctic are higher in January than in July, due to transport events occurring predominantly in winter.

There are considerable uncertainties in the chemical mechanism adopted. E.g., some rate coefficients and branching ratios had been estimated in lack of kinetic data and are currently debated (e.g. Andersen et al., 2006). The phase state (gas-particle partitioning) predicted by the model may deviate from the ambient air partitioning. The model predicts PFOA particulate mass fractions, \( \theta \), varying widely: \( \theta > 0.7 \) is predicted in the atmospheric boundary layer and free troposphere in the tropics and subtropics throughout the year and in high latitudes in winter. \( \theta < 0.3 \) is predicted in high latitudes in summer and in the upper troposphere throughout the year (Fig. 6). Observations in the near-ground polluted atmosphere reported predominant transport of PFOA in the particulate phase (Barton et al., 2007), but also equal partitioning between gas and particulate phases (Kim and Kannan, 2007; similar type of site, same season).

If atmospheric transport was predominantly in the particulate phase and secondary sources were negligible for deposition in the Arctic, then the seasonality of PFOA levels in Arctic air and the latitudinal gradient should be similar to those of other organic pollutants originating mostly in the mid latitudes for which \( \theta = 1 \), e.g. 5–6 ring polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH). PAH levels in the Canadian Archipelago in winter exceed those in summer by 1–2 orders of magnitude (Macdonald et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2010). No PFOA observations in Arctic winter have been reported. 1–2 orders of magnitude higher than the summer levels, however, is highly unlikely given the mid-latitude observations, which are in the same order of magnitude (?. Piekarz et al., 2007). In conclusion, this reasoning supports the perception that \( \theta \) of PFOA will deviate
significantly from 1, at least under some of the relevant environmental conditions along poleward long-range transport (aerosol abundance, temperature). A recent modelling study (Armitage et al., 2009) suggested little influence of the PFOA phase state on poleward long-range transport. Deposition of PFOA is highest in the mid latitudes of the Northern Hemisphere, between 30° N and 70° N. While wet deposition shows a strong seasonal variation with high values in the boreal winter and spring and low values in summer, dry deposition is almost constant throughout the year. It is highest close to the four primary emission sources, where 23% were directly emitted to the atmosphere. These appear in the time series of the zonal means as continuous lines at the latitudes of the sources (Fig. 7). The seasonal variation of wet deposition is defined by the seasonal variation of PFOA atmospheric mixing ratio, and the precipitation flux. The latter was shown to be well covered by the ECHAM5 model, albeit slightly overestimating in boreal summer over the ocean (Hagemann et al., 2006; Roesch and Roeckner, 2006). A secondary maximum of the wet deposition occurs in the mid latitudes of the SH, due to the location of the SH jet stream and associated low pressure systems. PFOA in that area partly originates from interhemispheric transport over the Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone, but also from formation of PFOA in the SH due to FTOH sources in South America, Australia, and Southern Africa. Deposition of PFOA in the Arctic is probably underestimated as the AGCM fails to simulate the effectiveness of poleward transports during the Arctic haze “season” (Shindell et al., 2008). It was derived by integrating total deposition spatially over the area north of 70° N (Fig. 8). Note that wet deposition is almost exclusively limited to the Eurasian and North American continent, and the Northern Atlantic Ocean, whereas the central Arctic Ocean receives almost no wet deposition. This is due to the governing meteorological conditions of the central Arctic, characterized by strong inversions. The spatially integrated deposition follows a repeated strong annual cycle, with maxima in winter. This deposition maximum in winter is in contrast to other studies that report PFOA deposition maxima in spring and summer (Young et al., 2007; Wania, 2007; Wallington et al., 2006). The maximum corresponds to the high atmospheric burden in winter, and indicates that not transport of
the precursors into the Arctic and subsequent oxidation and deposition of PFOA, but emissions from primary sources to the atmosphere and formation of PFOA in low and mid latitudes and episodic transport into the Arctic determines the atmospheric PFOA route. Annually 1.06–1.19 t (log $K_{OC} = 2.06$) or 0.92–1.04 t (log $K_{OC} = 4.06$) of PFOA are deposited to the Arctic. This range is by far higher than the total deposition to the Arctic of 0.27 t a$^{-1}$ estimated by Young et al. (2007) based on PFOA deposition measured on the Devon Ice Cap in 2004. However, the flux derived by Young et al. was based on one point measurement which has to be interpreted with caution due to the high spatial variability of deposition. Corresponding to lower concentrations the more lipophilic tracer shows less deposition in the Arctic. The PFOA deposition in the Arctic is almost entirely attributed to primary PFOA, while only 35–46 kg (for both substance scenarios) are formed from precursors. This latter amount corresponds to $\approx 0.05\%$ of the global FTOH emissions (80 t a$^{-1}$).

### 3.3 Oceanic transport to the Arctic

The model predicts (AOT experiment) $14.8 \pm 5.0$ t a$^{-1}$, annually between 8 t (1994) and 23 t (1998), transported to the Arctic ocean with oceanic currents (Fig. 9). The finding of much more mass being transferred into the Arctic by the ocean transports than by atmospheric transports confirms previous findings (Armitage et al., 2006; Wania, 2007; Schenker et al., 2008) of oceanic transport being the dominant transport pathway to the Arctic. The oceanic import corresponded to 2% (1994) to 14% (2004) of the global emission flux. In contrast to previous estimates (Armitage et al., 2006; Wania, 2007) the import to the Arctic Ocean is not increasing steadily over time, but is fluctuating in a non-regular manner. This is the result of the combined effect of interannual climate variability, emissions and chemodynamics of substance stored and cycling in the multicompartamental system. The emission rate of PFOA (Fig. 1), increasing from 1990 to 2004, is dominating, but is overcompensated for by the variability of oceanic currents. The Arctic Ocean is surrounded by continents and connected with adjacent oceans via the Barents Sea, the Bering Strait, the Davis Strait, and the Fram Strait. The vertically
integrated transports over the 4 (each shortest) lines which cross the Norwegian Sea, Denmark Strait, Davis Strait, and Bering Strait are budgeted in Fig. 9. It is found that the main source of PFOA to the Arctic Ocean is inflow through the Norwegian Sea. Annually between 10 and 30 t are imported via the Norwegian coastal current, which accounts for 50–80% of the total transport across these lines. This is in agreement with studies of other pollutants (Iversen, 1996; Hung et al., 2005; AMAP, 2004; Halsall et al., 1998). Transport out of the Arctic occurs mainly in the Denmark strait, where some of the northward flowing water is returned to the Atlantic Ocean in a subsurface flow along the Greenland east coast (Aakrog et al., 1987). This outflow of PFOA amounts to 4–10 t a\(^{-1}\). Only 0.6 to 1.5 t a\(^{-1}\) of PFOA originating from the point source in Japan are reaching the Arctic Ocean through the Bering Strait. The Pacific Ocean current system is dominated by a large clockwise gyre extending throughout most of the ocean. A full cycle of this gyre is estimated to take approximately 3–5 years (Macdonald et al., 2000). Some of the northward flowing water, that contains PFOA from the point source in Japan enters the Bering Sea and flows across the Bering Strait into the Arctic Ocean. Due to topography, bathymetry, and salinity distribution this transport, which accounts for 2–8% of the total PFOA transport into the Arctic Ocean, is a net inflow. The temporal pattern of PFOA transport differs for the individual straits. While inflow of PFOA via the Norwegian Sea increased over time, inflow via the Bering Strait has been decreasing from 1991–2004. Changes in the water budget across these lines were analysed in terms of the barotropic stream function. Water mass transport in the Davis and Bering straits was found to be decreasing, while water transport in the Norwegian Sea and Denmark Strait was increasing over the simulated period of time. In our simulation, the emissions are increasing abruptly by more than 60% in 1994. Similarly, the PFOA import to the Arctic is increasing from approximately 15 to 25 t in 1997. The time lag of 3 years between emission strength and contaminant import is shorter than the transfer time of 5–10 years estimated from passive tracer studies (Dahlgaard, 1995). In these studies Atlantic water from European coasts was traced along the Norwegian Coast to the Barents Sea. The line in the Norwegian Sea is lo-
cated much further south, and therefore passed much earlier. The transfer time to the Barents Sea predicted by the model is approximately 5 years, and, hence, in line with previous estimates. The fact that PFOA transport via the Bering Strait is decreasing over time indicates that the increase of PFOA emissions does not necessarily translate into increasing amounts reaching the Arctic. Also, the temporal pattern of the outflow from the Arctic Ocean through the Canadian Archipelago/Davis Strait does not reflect neither the inflow, nor the emission strength variations. In fact, the mean streamfunction shows that water transport is decreasing over time in both straits (Fig. 9). Outflow via the Davis Strait is increasing from 1991–2001, but decreasing from 2001–2004. In contrast, the outflow of the contaminant through the Denmark Strait is increasing over time throughout the decade studied, apparently as a consequence of the increasing water inflow (Fig. 9). Moreover, as a consequence of the diverging inflow patterns and the Arctic ocean circulation a very inhomogeneous spatial distribution of PFOA evolves over the 54 years of model simulation. By 2004, the maximum PFOA burden is located in the Laptev Sea, east of Severnaya Semlja (not shown here). In our decoupled model approach we underestimated poleward oceanic transports, as depositions to the ocean did not include secondarily formed PFOA. In particular, input via the Norwegian Sea will be more significant in reality, due to maxima of PFOA deposition in mid latitudes of the North Atlantic Ocean. The low conversion efficiency of FTOH into PFOA (≈0.05%, see above), however, causes also the deposition to the global ocean to be dominated by primary emissions. In consequence, the underestimate of oceanic PFOA transport into the Arctic (AOT experiment) is very small, <1.3%. Decadal-scale variability and long-term changes in water transport are caused by salinity changes (fresh water discharge, ice formation), and variability of the atmospheric circulation (AO/NAO) and are subject to climate change. Allocation of interannual variability to historic years would be possible by observation-forced ocean model simulation. In general, the fluctuations in oceanic transport of PFOA reflect the complex interaction of interannually varying 3-D oceanic currents and emission strengths. The mean state of the ocean in the coupled model ECHAM5/MPIOM was evaluated by Jungclaus et al. (2006). It was shown, that
main features of the mean water mass transports to the Arctic Ocean (in terms of the
czonal streamfunction and meridional overturning circulation) are covered by the model.
The total water budget of the Arctic Ocean is closed in the long-term mean (Fig. 9),
and contributions to the total contaminant flux through the individual straits matches
the mean relative contribution of water transport in the straits to total water transport.

4 Summary and conclusions

Large-scale transport of primary emitted and secondarily formed PFOA was modeled
for the first time with a global coupled atmosphere-ocean circulation model. Poleward
transports of PFOA are episodic with a seasonal preference for winter (Arctic haze) in
the atmosphere and subject to a strong inter-annual variability in the ocean. The latter
pathway dominated the overall transport to the Arctic in recent decades. This finding is
certainly robust against model limitations, in particular a highly simplified emission sce-
nario which neglects \( \approx 40\% \) of the global emission strength, as the relative significance
of atmospheric and oceanic transports deviate by more than an order of magnitude
in the 1990s (14.8±5.0 vs. \( \approx 1 \) t a\(^{-1}\)). The emission scenario used was concentrating
many sources into only four point sources. As part of the riverine sources to the ocean
had been located more northerly than in reality (redirecting the contribution of sources
in the Mississippi basin into the St. Lawrence basin), historic imports into the Arctic via
Atlantic currents may in reality have been lagging somewhat behind the model predic-
tion.

This study demonstrated that, indeed, knowledge of the ocean circulation and its
variations is crucial to understand PFOA’s large-scale distribution and fate. This ap-
plies also for other contaminants, because a large fraction of the total environmental
burden of many persistent organic pollutants is stored in seawater, much more than
in the atmosphere (Guglielmo et al., 2009). Accumulation and distribution of anthro-
pogenic organic substances in the ocean on long (decadal) time scales is largely un-
known. Especially in a warming climate, if, as predicted by simulations performed
under the IPCC scenarios, the thermohaline circulation weakens in the North Atlantic, the contaminant inflow into the Arctic will also be affected, as it is largely determined by the ocean circulation rather than the emission pattern. Furthermore, it was found, that the ocean circulation may lead to a strongly inhomogeneous distribution of PFOA, even in remote regions such as the Arctic. This is related to different residence times of chemical trace substances for different ocean regions and at different depths. While the ocean initially acts as a sink for all anthropogenic substances, air-sea exchange of organics more volatile and less polar than PFOA may reverse after decades in those sea regions where accumulation is fastest, i.e. the ocean acts as a secondary source (Bidleman et al., 1995; Stemmler and Lammel, 2009) and even more so a warming ocean.

State of knowledge and model parameterisations are deficient with regard to amphiphilic substances behaviour in the environment, which accumulate on surfaces and interfaces. Dissociation, gas-particle partitioning and partitioning to colloids and sedimenting particles in the hydrosphere could be severely underestimated by bulk approaches (Tolls and Sijm, 2000; Goss and Arp, 2009; Arp and Goss, 2009). Improved parameterisations should be tested in highly process-resolved box models. Exploring these uncertainties by means of substance scenarios with regard to sorption to aerosols (Armitage et al., 2009) and organic phases (this work) suggest little impact on large-scale distribution and fate.
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Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of PFOA and precursor substances. Data given for 298 K unless otherwise stated. FTOH=C₈F₁₇CH₂CH₂OH, intermediates: FT2=C₈F₁₇CH₂CHO, FT3=C₈F₁₇CHO, FT4=C₈F₁₇C(O)O₂, FT5=C₈F₁₇O₂, FT6=C₈F₁₇OH.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>PFOA</th>
<th>FTOH</th>
<th>FT2</th>
<th>FT3</th>
<th>FT4</th>
<th>FT5</th>
<th>FT6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saturation vapor pressure p&lt;sub&gt;sat&lt;/sub&gt; [Pa]</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(293 K)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpy of vaporisation H&lt;sub&gt;vap&lt;/sub&gt; [kJ mol&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;]</td>
<td>146</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water solubility s [g L&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;]</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enthalpy of solution H&lt;sub&gt;solv&lt;/sub&gt; [kJ mol&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;]</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.0259</td>
<td>0&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>40&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry constant [molL&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;at&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;]</td>
<td>40&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octanol-air partitioning coefficient log K&lt;sub&gt;OA&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>6.8&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil organic carbon partitioning coefficient</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log K&lt;sub&gt;OC&lt;/sub&gt; [L g&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;]</td>
<td>4.06&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissociation constant pK&lt;sub&gt;a&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.8&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OH gas-phase reaction rate coefficient</td>
<td>0.169&lt;sup&gt;k&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>32&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>100&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k&lt;sub&gt;OH&lt;/sub&gt; [10&lt;sup&gt;-12&lt;/sup&gt; cm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt; moles&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt; s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas-phase reaction rate coefficients with other reactant i, k&lt;i&gt;, else than OH [10&lt;sup&gt;-12&lt;/sup&gt; cm&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt; moles&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt; s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;]</td>
<td>0.43&lt;sup&gt;l&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.1&lt;sup&gt;n&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-order degradation rate in compartment j</td>
<td>0&lt;sup&gt;o&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k&lt;sub&gt;deg&lt;/sub&gt; [s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;]</td>
<td>8.1&lt;sup&gt;m&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.8&lt;sup&gt;m&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j = seawater, ocean sediment, top soil and on vegetation surfaces; p j = air.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> 295 K (Prevedouros et al., 2006); <sup>b</sup> derived from K<sub>AW</sub>; <sup>c</sup> Wallington et al. (2006); <sup>d</sup> as very short-lived; <sup>e</sup> estimate; <sup>f</sup> ?; <sup>g</sup> Arp et al. (2006); <sup>h</sup> Higgins and Luthy (2006), and estimate (see text); <sup>i</sup> Brace (1962); <sup>j</sup> represented as functions of temperature; <sup>k</sup> Hurley et al. (2004); <sup>l</sup> i = HO<sub>2</sub> (Wallington et al., 2006); <sup>m</sup> i = NO (Wallington et al., 2006); <sup>n</sup> i = CH<sub>3</sub>OO (Wallington et al., 2006); <sup>o</sup> j = seawater, ocean sediment, top soil and on vegetation surfaces; <sup>p</sup> j = air.
Fig. 1. PFOA emissions: temporal \([\text{t} \text{a}^{-1}]\) and spatial distributions.
Fig. 2. FTOH emissions: temporal [t a$^{-1}$] and spatial [t a$^{-1}$, 1° x 1°] distributions.
Fig. 3. One-year time series of daily mean concentration of 8:2 FTOH in the near-ground Arctic atmosphere (1998, spatially averaged over >70° N).
Fig. 4. Vertical profiles of PFOA concentration [pg L\(^{-1}\)] in the Labrador Sea (A01, A02), Mid Atlantic Ocean (A03, A04, A05), Sea of Japan (J01, J02), and the South Pacific Ocean (P01, P02); upper panels model results, lower panels observations (Yamashita et al., 2008). (a) September 2004, AO1: 56° 34′ N 52° 48′ W, AO2: 56° 41′ N 39° 40′ W (b) March 2004, AO3: 23° 17′ N 64° 19′ W, AO4: 25° 47′ N 64° 59′ W, AO5: 27° 03′ N 64° 35′ W (c) December 2004, PO1: 67° 12′ S 169° 57′ W PO2: 39° 59′ S 169° 59′ W (d) May 2005, JS1: 40° 43′ N 136° 24′ E, JS2: 44° 12′ N 138° 54′ E.
Fig. 5. One-year time series of the latitudinal distribution of daily 8:2 fluorotelomer alcohol (FT1), C_{8}F_{17}CHO (FT3), C_{8}F_{17}C(O)O_{2} (FT4), C_{8}F_{17}OH (FT6), and PFOA atmospheric burdens [kg m^{-2}].
Fig. 6. Model-predicted vertical distributions of seasonal and zonal mean particle-associated fraction, $\theta$, of PFOA ($\log K_{OC} = 2.06$, simulated year 1998).
Fig. 7. One-year time series of the latitudinal distribution of daily mean PFOA wet and dry deposition [kg m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)].
Fig. 8. Four-year time series of monthly sums of total (wet+dry) deposition [t] of PFOA to the Arctic.
Fig. 9. Time series of annual transports [t a⁻¹] of PFOA (two substance scenarios) into the Arctic ocean and annual mean stream functions [Sv], total and individual contributions through 4 cross sections surrounding the Arctic ocean.