

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

# An exploration of ozone changes and their radiative forcing prior to the chlorofluorocarbon era

D. T. Shindell<sup>1,2</sup> and G. Faluvegi<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, New York, USA

<sup>2</sup>also at: Center for Climate Systems Research, Columbia University, New York, USA

Received: 2 August 2002 – Accepted: 10 September 2002 – Published: 18 September 2002

Correspondence to: D. T. Shindell (dshindell@giss.nasa.gov)

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGS 2002

## Abstract

Using historical observations and model simulations, we investigate ozone trends prior to the mid-1970s onset of halogen-induced ozone depletion. Though measurements are quite limited, an analysis based on multiple, independent data sets (direct and indirect) provides better constraints than any individual set of observations. We find that three data sets support an apparent long-term stratospheric ozone trend of  $-7.2 \pm 2.3$  DU during 1957–1975, which modeling attributes primarily to water vapor increases. The results suggest that stratospheric ozone depletion may have been roughly 50% more than is generally supposed. Similarly, three data sets support tropospheric ozone increases over polluted Northern Hemisphere continental regions of  $8.2 \pm 2.1$  DU during this period, which are mutually consistent with the stratospheric trends. As with paleoclimate data, which is also based on indirect proxies and/or limited spatial coverage, these results must be interpreted with caution. However, they provide the most thorough estimates presently available of ozone changes prior to the coincident onset of satellite data and halogen dominated ozone changes. If these apparent trends were real, the radiative forcing by stratospheric ozone since the 1950s would then have been  $-0.15 \pm 0.05 \text{ W/m}^2$ , and  $-0.2 \text{ W/m}^2$  since the preindustrial. For tropospheric ozone, it would have been  $0.38 \pm 0.10 \text{ W/m}^2$  since the 1950s, larger than current estimates since 1850 which are derived from models that are even less well constrained. Going back to the preindustrial, the radiative forcing would have been  $+0.7 \text{ W/m}^2$ , roughly double what is generally assumed, although even more uncertain than the late twentieth century trends. These calculations demonstrate the importance of gaining a better understanding of historical ozone changes.

## 1. Introduction

Greenhouse gases (GHGs) can be divided into two types: long-lived, relatively stable gases, and short-lived, reactive gases. Trends in the long-lived gases,  $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ,

## An exploration of ozone changes

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGS 2002

and chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), have been measured since the 1950s, and data previous to that time are available from ice cores. Since these gases are well-mixed in the atmosphere, surface data from a single location is in principle adequate to determine their global distributions. Both their modern and historical behavior is therefore well known, and their radiative forcing is regarded as known with “very high” confidence (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2001; hereafter IPCC, 2001). For the short-lived greenhouse gases ozone and water vapor, however, little is known about their historical trends. Ozone is too reactive to leave useful traces in ice cores, while the hydrological cycle thoroughly obscures records of past water vapor concentrations. We are therefore restricted to direct measurements, which are almost exclusively from the late twentieth century. Furthermore, since the distribution of these two gases is very inhomogeneous in the troposphere, data from many locations and at many altitudes is necessary to give an adequate picture of their global abundances. Trends in the tropospheric abundance of both of these gases are therefore poorly known, as data is sparse. In the stratosphere, these gases are both distributed more homogeneously, and more data are available. For ozone, reliable global stratospheric data became available in 1979, and trends can be accurately estimated since that time (Harris et al., 1997; World Meteorological Organization, 1999; hereafter WMO, 1999; Staehlin et al., 2001) (Limited satellite data is available from the BUV instrument for 1970–1977, but has numerous drift problems (Rodgers, 1988; Heath, 1988)). Yet that time coincides with the onset of ozone depletion induced by halogens released from CFCs. Ozone trends during the 1980s and 1990s would therefore not be expected to be representative of ozone’s behavior during earlier times, which remains poorly known. For stratospheric water vapor, though the data are limited in coverage to northern mid-latitudes, trends have very recently been extended back before the mid-1970s (Oltmans et al., 2000; Rosenlof et al., 2001), and the satellite data suggests that northern mid-latitudes are in fact globally representative.

Large scale tropospheric and total column ozone trends prior to the late 1970s onset of the chlorofluorocarbon and satellite eras were investigated in the past (Komhyr et

---

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGS 2002

al., 1971; Johnston et al., 1973; London and Kelley, 1974; Parry, 1977; Angell and Korshover, 1978; Logan, 1985). Recent studies, however, have tended not to analyze this unique period, concentrating on more recent times (Krzyscin, 1994; Harris et al., 1997; WMO, 1999; Staehlin et al., 2001). Though column data from Arosa prior to the mid-1970s is often discussed, a single location is inadequate to determine large-scale trends. Given the importance of historical ozone trends for climate, and the emergence of new data sets, we believe it is an appropriate time to revisit this topic in an exploratory manner.

While the available data prior to the mid-1970s are quite limited in spatial and temporal coverage, they nevertheless provide the only means to constrain the historical behavior of ozone and water vapor prior to the onset of halogen-induced ozone depletion. We combine existing data, including the recent analyses of water vapor trends, with a new analysis of column ozone data presented here, to obtain a more complete multisource record of historical trends. While the limitations of the data are significant, trends in ozone and water vapor are coupled, so that there are fewer degrees of freedom when the system as a whole is studied. In fact, the system is overconstrained, so that each trend is forced by at least two data sets. Thus the conclusions are relatively robust even if one of the data sets does not accurately represent past trends. Taken in its entirety, we therefore believe that the data, including the new analyses of water and column ozone, are now sufficient to allow a meaningful attempt to develop a coherent, self-consistent scenario for trends in the reactive climate gases (except for tropospheric water vapor). We emphasize that similar to paleoclimate data, which are widely used to investigate climate prior to thermometer measurements, these data must be interpreted with caution, but we feel that both types of data are nevertheless valuable for providing at least limited information on an otherwise unknown period of the past. While the data cover only the period from the late 1950s to the late 1970s, the trends indicate the ozone and water response to long-term increases in emissions of CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>4</sub>, and ozone precursors. These were the main factors driving changes throughout the industrial era (prior to the 1970s increases in CFCs), and have largely increased mono-

tonically. The 1950s to 1970s trends may therefore be extrapolated back throughout the industrial era with some degree of confidence. We present 2-sigma uncertainties throughout this paper, as we feel these connote a more realistic range given the limited data available and the inferences required for indirect measurements. We focus initially on the period from 1957–1975.

## 2. The five data sets

Five independent data sets provide valuable constraints, primarily at mid-latitudes, and we describe each briefly in turn. Observations show a positive water vapor trend in the stratosphere. An analysis of ten different data sets covering the years 1954–2000 yields an overall increase of  $1\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , or about 0.4 to 0.5 ppmv per decade in the lower stratosphere (Rosenlof et al., 2001). This trend is based on data at Northern Hemisphere (NH) mid-latitudes taken by ground-based, balloon-borne, aircraft, satellite and Space Shuttle borne instruments. Though early data coverage is very sparse, the fact that ten data sets taken by five types of instruments show similar trends gives us a reasonable degree of confidence in this value.

Total column ozone measurements at many stations date from the International Geophysical Year in 1957. Several trend analyses have been performed on these data covering the period since the 1970s (Bojkov et al., 1995; WMO, 1995; Harris et al., 1997; WMO, 1999). Ozone depletion since then, however, has been predominantly due to the large increases in halogens since the mid-1970s, driven by the production of CFCs. We have therefore performed our own analysis to derive trends over the period from 1957–1975. Although the data is somewhat less reliable for this period, there are still a large number of stations, and it provides the best indication of stratospheric ozone's response to long-term changes prior to anthropogenic halogens. We used column ozone values obtained from the World Ozone Data Center in Toronto. The data was carefully screened to produce the most reliable trend estimates, and closely examined for systematic biases in order to compensate for the poor quality of some of the data

## An exploration of ozone changes

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGS 2002

in the archive (see Appendix). Unfortunately, few stations are available for the Southern Hemisphere (SH), though coverage is more thorough in the Northern Hemisphere (NH). Station data used in calculating the trends is summarized in Table 1. Best estimate mid-latitude column trends are  $3.5 \pm 2.2$  DU for the NH, and  $-9.6 \pm 6.6$  DU for the SH. The values for the NH are smaller than those found in studies performed during the 1970s. Those found trends of approximately  $16 \pm 4$  DU for 1960–1970 using 76 stations between 0 and 90 N (Johnston et al., 1973), about  $30 \pm 9$  DU for 1957–1970 using around 80 NH stations, or about 9–12 DU from the early 1960s to the mid-1970s using around 50 stations at Northern mid-latitudes (Parry, 1977; Angell and Korshover, 1978). We believe that these trends are too large, and that our screening process, corrections for aerosols and discontinuities, and exclusion of the polar regions (see Appendix) provide more reliable estimates than the earlier calculations. A similar conclusion was in fact implicitly reached by Johnston et al. (1973), who showed that when screened to include only the stations with at least 30 months of observations during 1960–1962 and 1963–1970 (30 out of 76 in the NH, 2 out of 17 in the SH), their trends became about 7.5 DU for 1960–1970 in the NH, and  $-6.7$  DU in the SH. Stratospheric trends were probably similar in the two hemispheres, as modeled ozone responses to trace gas and solar forcings are largely symmetric (WMO, 1999), though our modeling indicates that circulation changes may have accounted for a very small portion of the NH trend. The interhemispheric differences can be accounted for by the large increase in tropospheric ozone pollution in the NH, whereas the SH remained relatively pristine. The SH record therefore reflects primarily a decrease in stratospheric ozone, while the NH trend indicates that the increase in tropospheric ozone there is comparable to stratospheric depletion. We therefore treat the two hemispheres as largely independent data sets.

Lastly, two sparse sets of measurements of ozone in the troposphere are available prior to the mid-1970s. These consist of surface observations and ozonesonde data. The latter have been used previously for trend analyses (Logan, 1985).

### 3. Use of the data to constrain reactive GHG trends

We evaluate 1957–1975 trends from all the data, beginning with the stratosphere. Increased stratospheric water vapor leads to increased production of hydrogen oxides, which catalytically destroy ozone, so that the behavior of ozone and water is coupled (hydrogen oxides also affect ozone by altering the partitioning of reactive nitrogen and chlorine species). The observed increase in water vapor therefore implies a decrease in stratospheric ozone, as does the strong negative trend in the SH ozone column observations. The Goddard Institute for Space Studies (GISS) general circulation model (GCM) with parameterized stratospheric chemistry and the GISS 2-D photochemical model (Shindell et al., 1998; Shindell, 2001), both updated to the most recent laboratory data (Sander et al., 2000), and validated against satellite observations (Shindell, 2001), are used to infer trends from these two data sets. Intermodel differences were within 10%, except as noted. The 2-D model contains 44 molecules and 131 reactions important for stratospheric ozone, including heterogeneous reactions. The parameterization of stratospheric chemistry used in the GCM was derived from this 2-D model.

Stratospheric ozone changes were calculated for the 1957–1975 period based on changes in the factors controlling the ozone budget: nitrogen oxides, temperature, hydrogen oxides, halogens, and solar irradiance. Nitrogen oxide abundance changes were calculated from trends in its primary source gas,  $N_2O$ , which has been well measured (IPCC, 2001). Temperature changes were based on previous GCM simulations driven by observed increases in greenhouse gases, which tended to cool the stratosphere (Shindell, 2001). Methane increases were also based on observations (IPCC, 2001). These generate hydrogen oxides, and also alter the partitioning of chlorine species. Halogens trends were based on observed CFC trends (IPCC, 2001). While halogen abundance grew to very large levels during the late 1970s and the 1980s, the increase up to the mid-1970s also had some influence on stratospheric ozone. While using the period from 1957 until 1970 would have removed nearly all trace of halogens, we felt that it was a worthwhile tradeoff to include the additional years of

## An exploration of ozone changes

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

the early seventies, when halogen loading was still fairly small, to obtain more reliable trend estimates.

Solar irradiance trends were based on a reconstruction (Lean et al., 1995). The 1957 to 1975 time frame began near a maximum in the  $\sim 11$ -year solar cycle, and ended near a minimum, so that there was an overall decrease over this particular period as part of the cyclic behavior of solar output. Variations in volcanic aerosol were not included, since although there was a significantly higher aerosol loading for a few years in the mid-1960s, there should have been little impact on the overall trend as the loading in both the late 1950s and mid-1970s was quite small. Changes in circulation were also neglected, as these have not been quantified. Water vapor changes were prescribed based on the observations discussed above (the contribution from methane oxidation alone was also calculated). Uncertainty in the calculated ozone trends arises predominantly from water vapor, which has the largest impact and also a large measurement uncertainty. To characterize this, we use the  $+0.03$  to  $+0.08$  ppmv/yr range from lower stratospheric in situ observations (Rosenlof et al., 2001), as the increase at these altitudes dominates the ozone column impact.

The modeled changes in the stratospheric ozone column due to each factor are given in Table 2. Including increased water vapor, the ozone trend from 1957 to 1975 is  $-8.3 \pm 4.0$  DU ( $-8.2$  in the GCM,  $-8.4$  in the 2-D model). This value is quite consistent with the value obtained from the SH total column data of  $-9.6 \pm 6.6$  DU. The agreement is maintained if values from the coupled chemistry-climate model are used to remove the tropospheric portion of the SH column data. Those model results, discussed further below, gave a tropospheric increase of  $1.1 \pm 0.6$  DU in the SH. Stratospheric change inferred from the column is then  $-10.6 \pm 6.6$  DU, still in good agreement with the stratospheric chemistry model. This suggests that significant stratospheric ozone depletion may have taken place before the CFC induced depletion of the 1980s and 1990s, in large part because the stratosphere appears to have become progressively wetter during that period (Table 2).

We now examine the behavior of tropospheric ozone. Tropospheric column in-

---

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGS 2002

creases of  $0.9 \pm 0.8\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$  were seen at eight northern mid-latitude ozonesonde stations from the late 1960s to the early 1980s (Logan, 1985). The sonde trends do not coincide perfectly with the time of interest, and would likely have been slightly larger, at least over North America, prior to mid-1970s pollution controls (Logan, 1985), so we adopt a trend of  $1.1\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for our estimate, well within the uncertainty range. Assuming this same trend back to the late 1950s, and a tropospheric ozone column of about 30 DU in the mid-1970s, this yields an increase of  $6.0 \pm 5.3$  DU extended over the 1957–1975 period. Note also that the sonde data support the idea that tropospheric ozone increased much more slowly in the SH, as observations from Aspendale, the only SH mid-latitude station reported, show no statistically significant trend even at the 1-sigma level.

Another source of information on tropospheric ozone increases comes from comparing summer surface measurements taken over Europe from the late 1930s to the 1950s (Staehlin et al., 1994) with observations taken in the mid-1970s (Logan, 1985) (Table 3). While these data primarily reflect boundary layer concentrations, some of the observations were taken at mountaintop observatories, and therefore give us an indication of conditions within the free troposphere. As with sonde measurements, these data show large increases in the NH at mid-latitudes, of  $17 \pm 5.6$  ppbv for the six stations shown in Table 3 with observations available at both times at similar locations and altitudes.

We have used results from a coupled chemistry-climate simulation with the GISS GCM (Shindell et al., 2001) to infer tropospheric trends from the surface changes. The difference between preindustrial and the present-day simulation was used to represent the geographic distribution of anthropogenically induced changes in tropospheric ozone. Changes in anthropogenic emissions can best be prescribed at these two times, as they have been well characterized for the 1990s (Graedel et al., 1993), and most were zero in the preindustrial era, whereas in between these times, emissions are not well known. Large uncertainties remain even for the preindustrial, however, as emissions of ozone precursors from biomass burning and natural emissions of non-

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGS 2002

methane hydrocarbons are not well quantified. This modeled distribution of anthropogenic ozone change in the troposphere was then scaled so that the model's summertime values match the observed mean surface values over Europe during the 1950s and the mid-1970s. This assumes that the spatial pattern of anthropogenic ozone increases in the troposphere does not vary significantly with time (i.e. that proportional rates of industrial emissions were broadly constant around the world, assuming these are subsequently spread out over large areas, especially in the zonal direction). Tropospheric column trends from the difference between the two scaled distributions (Fig. 1), using all land grid boxes from 20 to 50 degrees, are  $7.7 \pm 1.8$  DU for the NH, and  $1.1 \pm 0.4$  DU for the SH. Including the uncertainty in the mean observed surface change, they are  $7.7 \pm 3.1$  DU for the NH, and  $1.1 \pm 0.6$  DU for the SH (used previously in the stratospheric ozone discussion). Using only grid boxes containing the observing stations gave results within 5% of these values, as the model's  $4 \times 5$  degree resolution considerably smooths the variability of the tropospheric ozone field. Inferring column values from surface data is possible since the model's vertical structure of ozone changes is realistic. Modeled changes decrease very slowly from the surface up to  $\sim 600$  mb, then more rapidly, decreasing to only 20% of the boundary layer value by 250 mb, and to near zero by 150 mb. This agrees quite well with observed changes from the late 1960s through the late 1970s or early 1980s (Logan, 1985). Of course since this is an indirect determination from a limited set of data (some of which are slightly mismatched in time), our confidence in this individual trend value is very low.

Tropospheric ozone changes can also be inferred from the NH column trend minus the mean stratospheric change. The column data comes from both urban (but screened to  $< 3$  million 1975 population, see Appendix) and rural continental sites. These were largely located in the same geographic areas as the ozonesonde stations, namely eastern and central North America, central Europe, and Japan, where observations came from the same three stations as for the sonde data (no sonde data from the Indian subcontinent were available). Increases in  $\text{SO}_2$  cause a small positive bias in polluted, urban areas, which we estimate to be  $1.0 \pm 1.0$  DU averaged over all NH

stations (WMO, 1995), and remove from the trend.

The combination of NH mid-latitude tropospheric ozone changes derived from total column data, ozonesondes, and surface measurements allows us to better constrain the mean change in NH tropospheric ozone. This can then be used to revise the stratospheric trend estimated from NH column minus NH tropospheric change, which in turn allows us to improve the estimate of the multisource stratospheric trend, so that iteratively we arrive at the mean and 2-sigma uncertainties based on all five data sets (Fig. 2). By weighting the mean according to the uncertainty of each data set, the final result is proportionately less affected by the more uncertain values, such as the SH column observations which are based on only four stations. The final values upon convergence of the iteration are  $-7.2 \pm 2.3$  DU for the stratospheric trend, and  $8.2 \pm 2.1$  DU for the NH continental trend. Clearly, only with the use of multiple, independent data sets are the results more than marginally significant, demonstrating the usefulness of this multisource approach over more limited evaluations.

Observations of stratospheric water, total column ozone, and tropospheric ozone therefore overconstrain the reactive greenhouse gases (except for tropospheric water), allowing us to develop a complete scenario for their evolution from the 1950s to the 1970s. The available data sets, however, are subject to valid criticisms, especially as to their spatial and temporal coverage, but there is unfortunately no other data available. Since there are no known ways to extract historical values for the reactive greenhouse gases, this limited data is all we have to work with. However, the consistency of the five data sets with each other and with the model results lends credence to the trends presented here. While by no means definitive, it is reasonable to evaluate these proposed long-term trends not only against the evidence for their existence, as presented here, but also against the evidence for the standard, default assumption that the abundance of these gases, especially stratospheric ozone, did not greatly change from the mid-1950s to the mid-1970s. The little data available do appear to indicate significant changes. Thus while this reevaluation of changes in ozone and in stratospheric water vapor relies upon sparse data, and therefore our confidence in the exact values derived

**An exploration of ozone changes**

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

here is low, it nevertheless seems the most plausible scenario yet developed.

#### 4. Extension back in time

Assuming that the 1950s to 1970s trends derived here are at least qualitatively realistic, they indicate that long-term changes in stratospheric ozone and water vapor prior to halogen induced depletion were largely driven by GHG and solar irradiance changes. This suggests that these same factors may have induced trends prior to the 1950s as well. To explore this possibility, we extend the stratospheric ozone trends throughout the industrial era again based on modeling driven by observed trends in the long-lived GHGs (IPCC, 2001) and reconstructed solar irradiance (Lean et al., 1995), as no direct observations are available. Increased water vapor results from increased methane, and from greater transport from the troposphere to the stratosphere. The latter seems likely to have been caused by increased residual circulation (Zhou et al., 2001) which is probably related to the long-term buildup of GHGs, as GCMs produce increased circulation in response to increased GHGs (Rind et al., 1998; Butchart and Sciafe, 2001). An additional possibility is that industrial pollution has reduced rainfall (Rosenfeld, 2000) (in accord with observations in the subtropics, but opposite to observations in the tropics), increasing the abundance of tropospheric water vapor, which can be the limiting factor in transport to the stratosphere in some seasons. In either case, we can then assume that water vapor and ozone changes were largely driven by anthropogenic activities.

The water vapor trend due to increased methane oxidation can be straightforwardly calculated from the historical methane trend using the photochemical model. The resultant increase in water vapor since the preindustrial is about 1.3 ppmv in the lower stratosphere. The water vapor increase due to transport can be estimated by scaling the observed transport-related increase (that portion of the observed increase that cannot be accounted for by increased methane oxidation) by carbon dioxide changes, the presumed main driver of the increase. While there is no guarantee that change

### An exploration of ozone changes

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGS 2002

in water vapor transport varied linearly with CO<sub>2</sub> back into the past, observations over the past 4 to 5 decades do not suggest strong long-term non-linearity (Rosenlof et al., 2001). Carbon dioxide increased by about 30% from 1850 to 2000, versus 20% from 1954–2000 (during which the observed water vapor increase due to transport appears to have been about 1.4 ppmv). Thus the total water vapor increase from 1850 to the present would have been about 3.4 ppmv in the stratosphere, with 2.1 ppmv from transport and 1.3 ppmv from methane oxidation. Assuming the same 45% uncertainty as in the 20th century observations gives a trend of  $3.4 \pm 1.5$  ppmv. Note that while the transport-related increases is assumed to be roughly uniform with altitude above the tropopause, methane oxidation produces relatively little water vapor near the tropopause, where the radiative impact is largest, though its production increases sharply with altitude above that region. Values given here are representative of the water increase due to methane oxidation several km above the tropopause, where it is fairly large, but its radiative impact will be comparatively smaller than for transport-induced increases.

The modeled stratospheric ozone trend for 1850–1975 is  $-9 \pm 4$  DU (Table 2), roughly half the depletion due to CFCs during the 1980s and 1990s. Additionally, these long-term trends suggest that greenhouse gases (via N<sub>2</sub>O and water) may affect future ozone recovery.

Tropospheric trends can be extended back based on nineteenth century surface observations, which are the only nineteenth century data available for the reactive GHGs. Measurements from several locations are available (Volz and Kley, 1988; Marengo et al., 1994; Sandroni and Anfossi, 1994; Pavelin et al., 1999). Though there are valid questions about the quantitative reliability of these data (Volz and Kley, 1988; Pavelin et al., 1999), they nevertheless represent the only observational constraints on ozone from that time. We must again scale our modeled preindustrial to present-day change to match these observations, because the GISS model, like all others using traditional assumptions for preindustrial emissions, gives more preindustrial surface ozone than observed (Levy et al., 1997; Roelofs et al., 1997; Mickley et al., 1999; Kiehl et al.,

1999; Lelieveld and Dentener, 2000; Hauglustaine and Brasseur, 2001). However, the GCM does reproduce the observed relationship between surface ozone and the rest of the troposphere, as noted previously. The scaling required to reproduce the observed values at 16 locations covering wide areas of both hemispheres is  $1.54 \pm 0.51$  (providing a crude estimate of 2-sigma uncertainty). This yields inferred 1850 to 1975 tropospheric increases of  $15 \pm 5$  and  $4 \pm 1$  DU over the polluted continental NH and SH, respectively. Thus the ozone column over the polluted continental SH would have undergone very mild ozone depletion prior to the onset of halogen induced destruction ( $\sim -5$  DU over 125 years), while the overall total column trend would have been weakly positive in the polluted continental NH ( $\sim +6$  DU over 125 years). Both imply only mild ultraviolet changes prior to halogen induced depletion. While recent modeling shows that uncertainties in preindustrial emissions are large enough to encompass the 19th century surface ozone amounts (Mickley et al., 2001), giving us additional confidence in the reevaluated tropospheric ozone increase, the lack of multiple, constraining data sets leaves the overall uncertainty very large.

## 5. Climate impacts and conclusions

To explore the climatic effects of these potential ozone changes, we have calculated the tropopause radiative forcing from the multisource ozone trends using the GISS GCM. Forcings due to stratospheric ozone are adjusted, while tropospheric forcings are instantaneous. Note that the stratospheric ozone radiative forcing is not purely a ‘forcing’ in the traditional sense of being attributable to a particular change external to the climate system, as increased water vapor may be partially due to climate feedbacks. Similarly, the tropospheric ozone changes may have arisen partially from climate feedbacks such as changes in lightning or production of hydrocarbons from the biosphere, but we follow convention and calculate the total ‘forcing’ from ozone changes to be comparable with other works. Our reevaluated stratospheric trends were added to measured 1979–1997 losses, while for tropospheric ozone, we used the difference between the

### An exploration of ozone changes

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

scaled global distribution and present-day climatology. Forcings for the mid-1950s to the present were  $-0.15 \pm 0.05 \text{ W/m}^2$  for the stratosphere (versus  $-0.10 \text{ W/m}^2$  using only the observed depletion after 1979 in the GISS model; Hansen et al., 2000) and  $0.38 \pm 0.10 \text{ W/m}^2$  for the troposphere.

5 The effects of the changes extrapolated back to 1850 were also calculated, using the reactive GHG changes described above. The forcings were  $-0.18 \pm 0.08 \text{ W/m}^2$  for the stratosphere and  $0.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ W/m}^2$  for the troposphere. The latter value is similar to that calculated in models with ozone forced to match preindustrial surface observations (Kiehl et al., 1999; Mickley et al., 2001), and near the high-end value of  $0.77 \text{ W/m}^2$  calculated without tropospheric chemical production in the preindustrial (Hauglustaine and Brasseur, 2001). Recognizing the difficulty in ascribing uncertainty to trends based on sparse historical data, we assign a subjective confidence level (IPCC, 2001) of 'low' to the preindustrial to present-day forcings.

15 The stratospheric ozone forcing is slightly larger than other estimates (Hansen et al., 2000; IPCC, 2001) (at least those using the latest ozone change profile; WMO, 1999), which assumed no stratospheric loss prior to the 1970s. The tropospheric ozone forcing is much larger, however, than other estimates which were based on smaller preindustrial to present-day tropospheric increases from chemistry-climate models (giving a forcing of  $0.35 \pm 0.15 \text{ W/m}^2$ ; IPCC, 2001). Those models are driven by preindustrial emissions, which are so poorly constrained that forcings from simulations should actually be uncertain by at least a factor of two (Mickley et al., 2001) (which would encompass our results). Even our multisource tropospheric ozone forcing from the 1950s to the present ( $0.38 \text{ W/m}^2$ ), combined with any plausible estimate of forcing prior to the 1950s, is larger than current preindustrial to present-day estimates. The disagreement with current estimates therefore does not depend upon our poorly-constrained extrapolation to the preindustrial era, but is already visible in the relatively well-constrained trends since the 1950s.

25 Our re-evaluated tropospheric ozone radiative forcing is roughly half the  $1.4 \text{ W/m}^2$  from  $\text{CO}_2$ , suggesting that ozone may have played a larger role in global warming than

---

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGS 2002

previously believed. Of course regional variations in the forcing due to inhomogeneous gases such as tropospheric ozone are much larger than that for long-lived GHGs, so that this comparison tells only part of the story. The results suggest that alternative scenarios for slowing global warming via controls on tropospheric ozone and methane (Hansen et al., 2000) may be quite useful (though the relative importance of CO<sub>2</sub> is likely to increase in the 21st century). Furthermore, tropospheric ozone is harmful to human health and agriculture, so that reductions are highly desirable.

Additionally, under the scenario proposed here, past radiative impacts of GHGs plus ozone were more positive than previously believed. This implies that the role of aerosols in offsetting the GHGs may be towards the high end of current estimates (the uncertainty of the indirect effect of aerosols is large enough to encompass significant changes in this value). This would leave us with a troubling situation, where we are forced to either continue our emissions of pollutant aerosols over the long lifetime of the GHGs, or to face a greater portion of the GHG induced warming if we reduce the emissions of the short-lived aerosols.

Though no additional measurements can be taken of historical ozone and water vapor, new analyses such as the recent studies of stratospheric water vapor and our analysis of the total column ozone data may appear in the future. Such studies might improve the reliability of historical trends estimates. Until then, however, the present estimates take into account all available relevant data, and thus provide a potential reconstruction of past trends in the radiatively and chemically active trace gases ozone and water which is otherwise unavailable. While the trends derived here are quite uncertain due to the limitations of the historical data sets, at minimum, they give an idea of how much the default assumptions (no stratospheric trend prior to 1980, tropospheric trends from models) may be in error.

## Appendix A: Analysis of 1957–1975 ozone column data

Column ozone data were obtained from the World Ozone Data Center in Toronto. Station data used in calculating trends were summarized in Table 1. The seasonal cycle was removed from all stations prior to analysis, which was performed using the least-squares technique. Stations located in very large metropolitan areas (> 3 million population in 1975, which includes New Delhi and Buenos Aires) were not used, as these are not likely to be representative of larger scale trends. Stations at all altitudes were included. For the ‘best estimate’ case, the data at each station were screened as follows: we required that a minimum of forty percent of the years during the chosen time period contain data, and a maximum standard deviation of 8 Dobson units (DU) per decade (16 DU over the entire period) in the least-squares regression was allowed, to eliminate stations with less reliable data, assuming that very large variability was related to measurement problems. Additionally, the analysis was restricted to the 20 to 55 degree latitude bands in each hemisphere, as data were most abundant in these regions. We judged it preferable to obtain the most accurate value for mid-latitudes for comparison with the model, rather than to include data from the tropics and polar regions, where coverage is extremely sparse, and the behavior of the reactive GHGs can be somewhat different. As in the main text, we use 2-sigma uncertainties throughout this Appendix (except for trends at individual stations, for which we give 1-sigma uncertainties as in Table 1).

There are known problems with some archived data. We have corrected for Kagoshima data being 9 DU too low from November 1963 to July 1967, and during the 1970s (WMO, 1999). The Macquarie Island instrument was changed in 1962 and again in 1972, and other minor corrections have been noted there (Lehmann, 1994). Though we did not remove data from any other stations, we have only used data from 1963–1972 taken with a single instrument for Macquarie Island, choosing to be extra cautious in the data-poor SH. However, we note that though the 1957–1959 data is high, it is not clear that it is systematically biased. For example, both 1958 and 1964

### An exploration of ozone changes

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGS 2002

are years containing data for each month. The 1958 data is within 5 DU of the 1964 data in 4 months, and the 1958 data falls within the range of that seen in later years in 9 months. If all data prior to 1972 are used, the negative trend becomes much larger ( $-24.3 \pm 10.2$  (1-sigma) DU). A similar value is obtained adding in the 1973–1975 data, which yields a trend of  $-21.6 \pm 7.6$  (1-sigma) DU. Using the latter trend in the best estimate case would change the overall result to  $-11.8 \pm 6.2$  DU, well within the uncertainty of the 1963–1972 trend used here.

After correcting these known problems, we carefully inspected the data by hand to look for obvious discontinuities during the early portions of the measurements (‘jumps’ in the data). Though a great many observational groups have contributed data to the center, a great deal of effort will still be required to make this data more useful to the wider community by correcting problems. We discovered numerous systematic biases, which we corrected to remove the spurious trends which would otherwise result (and may account for some of the very large trend estimates calculated during the 1970s). Discontinuities were adjusted by removing the difference between the early data and the same months in the subsequent one or two years. The biases were: Kagoshima data 13 DU too high prior to 1961, Srinagar data 32 DU too low prior to 1963, Quetta data 61 DU too low prior to March 1958, and Bismarck data 31 DU too low prior to February 1958 (both New Delhi and Buenos Aires also exhibited sharp discontinuities, giving another reason to exclude them from the trend estimations). To test the influence of the adjusted NH data, we repeated the “best estimate” analysis excluding all data that had been adjusted. The NH trend was then  $4.0 \pm 2.4$  DU, quite similar to the  $3.5 \pm 2.2$  DU trend when the adjusted data is included.

A least-squares regression was performed on the corrected annually averaged data from each station meeting our criteria, and hemispheric averages and uncertainties were calculated weighting the data according to the trend confidence level at each station. We chose not to use a multiple linear regression model as we have already removed short-term seasonal variability, and longer-term variability is either weak, or accounted for in other ways. Variability due to the QBO largely averages out over the

---

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGS 2002

19 years of our trend analysis. In a multiple regression, it only accounts for around 0.5 DU over two decades (Chandra et al., 1996) in any case. Long term variability from the sun is accounted for in the chemical model, so we include it in the trend for a proper comparison. Since solar impacts are not necessarily linear, we believe that this method is as useful as multiple linear regression. We are thus able to demonstrate that the data reveal trends even with minimal processing. We note that our conclusion that column ozone was increasing at NH mid-latitudes prior to 1975 is consistent with earlier analysis covering the period 1964–1975 using a multiple regression model (Krzyscyn, 1994). Many choices for screening criteria were evaluated. Selection criteria that were a great deal more restrictive than the ‘best estimate’ excluded so many stations that the hemispheric trends were much more uncertain, as did much less restrictive criteria, due to the inclusion of stations with extremely large variability.

To test the influence of the selection criteria, we reanalyzed the data using perturbations about the optimal criteria: (a) varying the minimum fraction of years from 40% to 20% and 60%, (b) varying the maximum standard deviation in the least-squares regression computed at the individual stations from 16 to 12 and 20 DU over the trend period, (c) varying the latitude and altitude ranges, and (d) varying the time period for the analysis. These sensitivity tests gave trend estimates all within the 2-sigma confidence interval for the best estimate (Table 4). The derived trends are thus relatively robust to the data selection process (the key factors, a significant negative trend in the SH and a significant positive trend in the NH-minus-SH difference are always present). We point out that while the first two years of the data record show high values at many stations, excluding 1957 and 1958 alters the NH trend by a smaller amount than excluding the last two years. Though the first two years have a larger effect in the SH, their exclusion gives a trend still within the confidence interval of the best estimate. The result that exclusion of the high altitude stations gives a larger NH trend is consistent with reduced pollution at high altitudes. The mean trend using only the six NH stations located above 1500m is +0.0 DU, suggesting that the stratospheric loss and tropospheric pollution are largely balanced above the polluted boundary layer. For

---

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGS 2002

the ‘best estimate’ criteria, the NH mid-latitude trend over the period 1957–1975 was  $3.5 \pm 2.2$  DU, while for the SH it was  $-9.6 \pm 6.6$  DU. Averaging all twelve test analyses yields similar trends, namely  $3.3 \pm 2.3$  DU for the NH and  $-9.2 \pm 6.9$  DU for the SH.

There is considerable station-to-station variability, especially in the NH. This results from the strong sensitivity of tropospheric ozone to local conditions. Yet the bulk of the stations show trends that are at least qualitatively similar to the overall hemispheric trends derived here. Only five of the thirty-one northern stations have negative values that are statistically significant. Two are Japanese stations (Sapporo and Tateno), which, along with the very weak, non-significant trend seen at Kagoshima, suggests that a local phenomenon may be responsible. Of the other stations with negative trends, one is a station whose data had to be corrected (Srinagar), while another has the minimum number of years to pass the selection criteria (Bracknell, with 9 years data), so that these stations are among the least reliable of the data set. Overall, most stations show a small increase or a statistically neutral trend, so that the 1-sigma standard deviation of the trend in 16 out of the 31 stations from 20–55° N overlaps with the best estimate  $3.5 \pm 2.2$  DU mid-latitude trend given above, and the overlap is 25 out of 31 stations using 2-sigma uncertainties. Relaxing the selection criteria in the tests described above allowed four additional stations to pass the test. They were Churchill (59° N), which showed a trend of  $-2.5 \pm 10.2$  DU (1-sigma), Wallops Island (38° N), which showed a trend of  $-15.2 \pm 16.2$  DU (1-sigma), Lisbon and Aarhus. The latter two stations contained multiple data jumps that could not be easily corrected, however, so were not included.

In the SH, only four stations fit the selection criteria. All stations used the same instrument type throughout the measurement period, and every station shows a negative trend in total ozone (see Table 1). This suggests that the negative trend may be somewhat robust, though the uncertainty remains large due to the small sample size.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors thank Jim Hansen, Gavin Schmidt, and David Rind for comments, and NASA’s Atmospheric Chemistry Modeling and Analysis Program for financial support.

## References

- Angell, J. K. and Korshover, J.: Global ozone variations: An update into 1976, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 106, 725–737, 1978.
- Bojkov, R. D., Bishop, L., and Fioletov, V. E.: Total ozone trends from quality controlled ground-based data (1964–1994), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 25 867–25 876, 1995.
- Butchart, N. and Sciafe, A.: Climate change, stratosphere-troposphere exchange, and chlorofluorocarbons, *Nature*, 410, 799–802, 2001.
- Chandra, S., Varotsos, C., and Flynn, L. E.: The mid-latitude total ozone trends in the Northern Hemisphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 23, 555–558, 1996.
- Dvortsov, V. L. and Solomon, S.: Response of the stratospheric temperature and ozone to past and future increases in stratospheric humidity, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 7505–7514, 2001.
- Graedel, T. F., Bates, T. S., Bouman, A. F., et. al.: A compilation of inventories of emissions to the atmosphere, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 7, 1–26, 1993.
- Hansen, J., Sato, M., Ruedy, R., Lacis, A., and Oinas, V.: Global warming in the twenty-first century: An alternative scenario, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 97, 9875–9880, 2000.
- Harris, N. R. P., Ancellet, G., Bishop, L., et al.: Trends in stratospheric and free tropospheric ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 1571–1590, 1997.
- Hauglustaine, D. A. and Brasseur, G. P.: Evolution of tropospheric ozone under anthropogenic activities and associated radiative forcing of climate, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 32 337–32 360, 2001.
- Heath, D. F.: Non-seasonal changes in total column ozone from satellite observations, 1920–86, *Nature*, 332, 219–227, 1988.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: *Climate Change 2001*, (Eds) Houghton, J. T., et al., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, pp. 881, 2001.
- Johnston, H., Whitten, G., and Birks, J.: Effect of nuclear explosions on stratospheric nitric oxide and ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 78, 6107–6135, 1973.
- Kiehl, J. T., Schneider, T. L., Portmann, R. W., and Solomon, S.: Climate forcing due to tropospheric and stratospheric ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 31 239–31 254, 1999.
- Kley, D., Volz, A., and Mulheims, F.: in *Tropospheric Ozone: Regional and Global Scale Interactions*, (Ed) Isaksen, I. S. A., D. Reidel, Norwall, pp. 63–72, 1988.
- Komhyr, W. D., Barrett, E. W., Slocum, G., and Weickmann, H. K.: Atmospheric total ozone increase during the 1960s, *Nature*, 232, 390–391, 1971.

---

### An exploration of ozone changes

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

---

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

---

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGS 2002

Krzyscin, J. W.: Total ozone changes in the Northern Hemisphere mid–latitudinal belt (30–60° N) derived from Dobson spectrophotometer measurements, 1964–1988, *J. Atmos. and Terr. Phys.*, 56, 1051–1056, 1994.

Lean, J., Beer, J., and Bradley, R.: Reconstruction of solar irradiance since 1610: Implications for climate change, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 22, 3195–3198, 1995.

Lehmann, P.: A statistical seasonal analysis of winter decreases in ozone at Macquarie Island, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 21, 381–384, 1994.

Lelieveld, J. and Dentener, F. J.: What controls tropospheric ozone?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 3531–3551, 2000.

Levy, II, H., Kasibhatla, P. S., Moxim, W. J., et al.: The global impact of human activity on tropospheric ozone, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 791–794, 1997.

Logan, J. A.: Tropospheric Ozone: Seasonal behavior, trends, and anthropogenic influence, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90, 10,463–10,482, 1985.

London, J. and Kelley, J.: Global trends in total atmospheric ozone, *Science*, 184, 987–989, 1974.

Low, P. S., Kelly, P. M., and Davies, T. D.: Variations in surface ozone trends over Europe, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 19, 1117–1120, 1992.

Marenco, A., Gouget, H., Nedelec, P., Pages, J. P., and Karcher, F.: Evidence of a long-term increase in tropospheric ozone from Pic du Midi data series: Consequences: Positive radiative forcing, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 16 617–16 632, 1994.

Mickley, L. J., Murti, P. P., Jacob, D. J., et al.: Radiative forcing from tropospheric ozone calculated with a unified chemistry-climate model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 30 153–30 172, 1999.

Mickley, L. J., Jacob, D. J., and Rind, D.: Uncertainty in preindustrial abundance of tropospheric ozone: Implications for radiative forcing calculations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 3389–3399, 2001.

Oltmans, S. J., Vomel, H., Hofmann, D. J., Rosenlof, K. H., and Kley, D.: The increase in stratospheric water vapor from balloonborne, frostpoint hygrometer measurements at Washington, D. C., and Boulder, Colorado, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 3453–3456, 2000.

Parry, H. D.: Ozone depletion by chlorofluoromethanes? Yet another look, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, 16, 1137–1148, 1977.

Pavelin, E. G., Johnson, C. E., Rughooputh, S., and Toumi, R.: Evaluation of preindustrial surface ozone measurements made using the Schönbein method, *Atmos. Environ.*, 33, 919–

929, 1999.

Rind, D., Shindell, D. T., Lonergan, P., and Balachandran, N. K.: Climate Change and the Middle Atmosphere. Part III: The Doubled CO<sub>2</sub> Climate Revisited, *J. Climate*, 11, 876–894, 1998.

5 Rodgers, C.: Global ozone trends reassessed, *Nature*, 332, 201–201, 1988.

Roelofs, G.-J., Lelieveld, J., and Van Dorland, R.: A three-dimensional chemistry/general circulation model simulation of anthropogenically derived ozone in the troposphere and its radiative forcing, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 23 389–23 401, 1997.

10 Rosenfeld, D.: Suppression of rain and snow by urban and industrial air pollution, *Science*, 287, 1793–1796, 2000.

Rosenlof, K. H., Oltmans, S. J., Kley, D., et al.: Stratospheric water vapor increases over the past half-century, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 1195–1198, 2001

Sander, S. P., Friedl, R. R., DeMore, W. B., et al.: Chemical kinetics and photochemical data for use in stratospheric modeling, *Eval.* 13, JPL Publ. 00-003, 2000.

15 Sandroni, S. and Anfossi, D.: Historical data of surface ozone at tropical latitudes, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 148, 23–29, 1994.

Shindell, D. T., Rind, D., and Lonergan, P.: Climate Change and the Middle Atmosphere. Part IV: Ozone Photochemical response to doubled CO<sub>2</sub>, *J. Climate*, 11, 895–918, 1998.

20 Shindell, D. T.: Climate and ozone response to increased stratospheric water vapor, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 1551–1554, 2001.

Shindell, D. T., Grenfell, J. L., Rind, D., Price, C., and Grewe, V.: Chemistry climate interactions in the GISS GCM. Part 1: Tropospheric chemistry model description and evaluation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 8047–8076, 2001.

25 Staehelin, J., Thudium, J., Buhler, R., Volz-Thomas, A., and Graber, W.: Trends in surface ozone concentrations at Arosa (Switzerland), *Atmos. Environ.*, 28, 75–87, 1994.

Staehelin, J., Harris, N. R. P., Appenzeller, C., and Eberhard, J.: Ozone trends: A review, *Rev. Geophys.*, 39, 231–290, 2001.

Volz, A. and Kley, D.: Evaluation of the Montsouris series of ozone measurements made in the nineteenth century, *Nature*, 332, 240–242, 1988.

30 World Meteorological Organization: Scientific assessment of ozone depletion: 1994, Rep. 37, Geneva, 1995.

World Meteorological Organization: Scientific assessment of ozone depletion: 1998, Rep. 44, Geneva, 1999.

---

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

Zhou, X-L., Geller, M. A., and Zhang, M.: Cooling trend of the tropical cold point tropopause temperature and its implications, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 1511–1522, 2001.

**ACPD**

2, 1371–1401, 2002

---

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

---

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGS 2002

## An exploration of ozone changes

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGS 2002

**Table 1.** Observed 1957–1975 ozone column trends (DU) for stations meeting “best estimate” criteria

Location	Latitude	Longitude	Trend	1-sigma	Years of data	Instrument Code	Altitude (m)
Edmonton	53.5 N	114.1 W	16.4	6.4	19	03	766
Goose Bay	53.3 N	60.3 W	22.9	8.6	14	03	44
Potsdam	52.2 N	13.1 E	1.8	14.8	12	03	89
Belsk	51.8 N	20.8 E	−4.0	11.2	13	03	180
Oxford	51.8 N	1.2 W	19.0	5.1	19	03	140
Bracknell	51.4 N	0.8 W	−14.9	12.7	9	03	70
Uccle	50.8 N	4.3 E	14.8	13.8	10	03	100
Hradec Kralove	50.2 N	15.8 E	11.9	11.6	15	03	285
Caribou	46.9 N	68.0 W	10.9	7.4	16	03	192
Arosa	46.8 N	9.7 E	0.5	5.2	19	03	1840
Bismarck	46.8 N	100.8 W	11.4	5.1	17	03	511
Green Bay	44.5 N	88.1 W	10.4	9.4	15	03	209
Toronto	43.8 N	79.5 W	6.6	6.7	16	03	198
Cagliari	43.3 N	42.5 E	27.3	8.6	19	03	2100
Sapporo	43.0 N	141.3 E	−22.4	5.7	18	04	19
Mont Louis	42.5 N	2.1 E	30.5	7.9	14	03	1650
Bedford	42.5 N	71.3 W	29.5	13.8	9	03	80
Vigna di Valle	42.1 N	12.2 E	5.7	5.0	19	03	262

Continued ...

## An exploration of ozone changes

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGS 2002

**Table 1.** Continued ...

Location	Latitude	Longitude	Trend	1-sigma	Years of data	Instrument Code	Altitude (m)
Naples	40.8 N	15.2 E	−9.3	8.2	13	03	45
Boulder	40.0 N	105.2 W	−8.4	8.8	12	03	1689
Messina	38.2 N	15.6 E	−2.4	7.2	19	03	51
Nashville	36.2 N	86.6 W	10.5	8.5	14	03	182
Tateno	36.0 N	140.1 E	−9.5	3.3	19	03	31
Srinagar	34.1 N	74.8 E	−14.6	4.5	17	03	1586
Kagoshima	31.5 N	130.6 E	2.9	3.0	16	04	31
Tallahassee	30.4 N	84.3 W	13.5	8.8	10	03	21
Quetta	30.1 N	66.6 E	−1.3	5.4	16	03	1721
Varanasi	25.3 N	83.0 E	23.8	9.1	13	03	76
Mount Abu	24.6 N	72.7 E	13.2	5.2	11	03	1220
Ahmedabad	23.0 N	72.7 E	8.0	7.0	10	03	55
Dum Dum	22.6 N	88.4 E	13.1	8.9	11	03	27
Pretoria	25.7 S	28.2 E	−28.1	12.1	9	03	1369
Brisbane	27.4 S	153.1 E	−5.4	5.1	19	03	3
Aspendale	38.0 S	145.1 E	−10.9	4.9	19	03	1
Macquarie Is.	54.5 S	159.0 E	−3.7	15.9	10	03	6

**Table 2.** Modeled mid-latitude stratospheric ozone column changes (DU)

Forcing	1957–1975 trend	1850–1975 trend
N <sub>2</sub> O	–0.2	–0.7
Solar irradiance <sup>a</sup>	–1.2	+0.5
Halogens <sup>b</sup>	–1.8	–1.8
Temperature <sup>c</sup>	–0.1	–0.2
Water vapor <sup>d</sup>	–4.5	–7.2
All except water <sup>e</sup>	–3.9	–2.1
All <sup>e</sup>	–8.3	–9.1

<sup>a</sup> 2-D and GCM 15% different here, circulation related.

<sup>b</sup> Nearly all the halogen–induced depletion took place between 1970 and 1975.

<sup>c</sup> In GCM only. The temperature change due to greenhouse gas increases is negative in the middle and upper stratosphere, but in the lower stratosphere, we see a warming related to dynamics in the troposphere which extends into the lowermost stratosphere. Thus the temperature change leads to less ozone in the lower stratosphere, and more ozone higher up. This cancellation gives us the relatively small overall column impact. A 2-D chemistry model, that would not have been able to capture the dynamical response to increased GHGs seemed to give a larger response of ozone to increased CO<sub>2</sub> (WMO, 1999), presumably due to radiative cooling throughout the stratosphere.

<sup>d</sup> Including –0.9 and –2.5 from methane oxidation for 1957–1975 and 1850–1975, respectively. The sensitivity of ozone to increased water vapor is broadly consistent with that seen in a 2-D model (Dvortsov and Solomon, 2001).

<sup>e</sup> Total trends are not equal to the sum of the individual forcings due to nonlinearities in the chemical system.

## An exploration of ozone changes

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

## An exploration of ozone changes

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

**Table 3.** Observed Northern mid-latitude summer surface ozone (ppbv)

Station	Altitude <sup>a</sup>	Pre-1960 ozone <sup>b</sup>	Station	Altitude <sup>a</sup>	1970s ozone <sup>b</sup>
Arkona	0	16	Arkona	0	31
Lauterbrunnen	800	17	Garmisch-Partenkirchen	740	43
Chamonix	1000	21	Hohenpeissenberg	900 mb	33
Pfaender	1000	16	Payerne	900 mb	41
Fichtelberg	1200	18	Fichtelberg	1200	28
Arosa	1800	25	Wank Peak	1800	39
Average		18	Average		37

<sup>a</sup> Altitudes are estimated to the nearest 100 m, except for sonde data which is given in pressure (900 mb ~ 1000 m).

<sup>b</sup> Values are observations prior to 1960 (Staehlin et al., 1994) and during the 1970s (Logan, 1985; Low et al., 1992).

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Print Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

## An exploration of ozone changes

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGS 2002

**Table 4.** Trend estimates for alternative selection criteria


	Time	Minimum Coverage	Maximum station variance	latitudes	SH trend & 2-sigma uncertainty	NH trend & 2-sigma uncertainty
Best estimate	1957–1975	40%	16	20–55	$-9.6 \pm 6.6$	$3.5 \pm 2.2$
	1957–1975	<b>20%</b>	16	20–55	$-9.6 \pm 6.6$	$3.5 \pm 2.2$
	1957–1975	<b>60%</b>	16	20–55	$-8.3 \pm 7.0$	$2.4 \pm 2.4$
	1957–1975	40%	<b>20</b>	20–55	$-10.1 \pm 6.4$	$3.4 \pm 2.2$
	1957–1975	40%	<b>12</b>	20–55	$-8.3 \pm 7.0$	$3.4 \pm 2.2$
	1957–1975	40%	16	<b>20–50</b>	$-9.8 \pm 6.8$	$1.9 \pm 2.4$
	1957–1975	40%	16	<b>30–55</b>	$-10.3 \pm 9.4$	$2.4 \pm 2.4$
	1957–1975	40%	16	<b>20–60</b>	$-9.6 \pm 6.6$	$3.5 \pm 2.2$
	<b>1959–1975</b>	40%	16	20–55	$-6.4 \pm 6.4$	$2.5 \pm 2.2$
	<b>1957–1973</b>	40%	16	20–55	$-8.6 \pm 7.0$	$4.9 \pm 2.4$
<b>Altitudes 0–1500 m Removing corrected stations</b>	1957–1975	40%	16	20–55	$-9.6 \pm 6.6$	$4.5 \pm 2.6$
	1957–1975	40%	16	20–55	$-9.6 \pm 6.6$	$4.0 \pm 2.4$

Bold face is used to highlight the parameter changed in each test. Maximum station variance gives the maximum 1-sigma standard deviation allowed for the trend estimate over the entire 19 year period. Minimum coverage gives the percentage of years containing data required.

---

**An exploration of  
ozone changes**D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

---




**Fig. 1.** Tropospheric ozone column change from 1957 to 1975. A modeled distribution of the anthropogenic impact on tropospheric ozone has been scaled to match observed surface increases from the 1950s to the 1970s, as described in the text.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Print Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

© EGS 2002

## An exploration of ozone changes

D. T. Shindell and  
G. Faluvegi

**Fig. 2.** NH mid-latitude ozone trends from 1957 to 1975 and their 2-sigma uncertainty using our multisource approach. Values based directly on observations are shown in shaded ovals, values derived primarily from data are in open ovals, while those from data driven models are in open rectangles. The final trends are shown in the lowest rectangles, and were calculated iteratively due to interactions between trends in the troposphere and stratosphere (shown by dashed arrows). Mean values and standard deviations are based upon uncertainty weighted calculations. Tropospheric trends are calculated over land.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Print Version

Interactive Discussion

© EGS 2002