

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

# Impact of an improved WRF-urban canopy model on diurnal air temperature simulation over northern Taiwan

C.-Y. Lin $^1$ , C.-J. Su $^1$ , H. Kusaka $^2$ , Y. Akimoto $^2$ , Y. F. Sheng $^1$ , J.-C. Huang $^3$ , and H.-H. Hsu $^1$ 

<sup>1</sup>Research Center for Environmental Changes, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan
 <sup>2</sup>Center for Computational Science, University of Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Geography, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan

Received: 10 June 2015 - Accepted: 25 September 2015 - Published: 21 October 2015

Correspondence to: C.-Y. Lin (yao435@rcec.sinica.edu.tw)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

28483

# Abstract

This study evaluated the impact of urbanization over northern Taiwan using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model coupled with the Noah land-surface model and a modified Urban Canopy Model (WRF-UCM2D). In the original UCM coupled in WRF (WRF-UCM), when the land use in the model grid net is identified as

- <sup>5</sup> pied in WRF (WRF-OCM), when the land use in the model grid het is identified as "urban", the urban fraction value is fixed. Similarly, the UCM assumes the distribution of anthropogenic heat (AH) to be constant. Such not only may lead to over- or underestimation, the temperature difference between urban and non-urban areas has also been neglected. To overcome the above-mentioned limitations and to improve the performance of the acircinal UCM model. WRF UCM is modified to expected the 2 D urban
- <sup>10</sup> formance of the original UCM model, WRF-UCM is modified to consider the 2-D urban fraction and AH (WRF-UCM2D).

The two models were found to have comparable simulation performance for urban areas but large differences in simulated results were observed for non-urban, especially at nighttime. WRF-UCM2D yielded a higher  $R^2$  than WRF-UCM (0.72 vs. 0.48, respec-

- tively), while bias and RMSE achieved by WRF-UCM2D were both significantly smaller than those attained by WRF-UCM (0.27 and 1.27 vs. 1.12 and 1.89, respectively). In other words, the improved model not only enhanced correlation but also reduced bias and RMSE for the nighttime data of non-urban areas. WRF-UCM2D performed much better than WRF-UCM at non-urban stations with low urban fraction during nighttime.
- The improved simulation performance of WRF-UCM2D at non-urban area is attributed to the energy exchange which enables efficient turbulence mixing at low urban fraction. The achievement of this study has a crucial implication for assessing the impacts of urbanization on air quality and regional climate.

## 1 Introduction

<sup>25</sup> The significant interactions between urbanization and the atmospheric environment have become increasingly evident. The important impact of changes in land use and land cover (LULC) on precipitation and climate has also been much emphasized (e.g., Kalnay et al., 2003; Koster et al., 2004; Feddema et al., 2005; Lin et al., 2008a, 2011; IPCC 2007, 2010; Wang et al., 2014). It is estimated that the world's population will rise to 9.3 billion in 2050 (http://esa.un.org/unpd/wup/index.htm). Fur-

- thermore, the most recent report on world urbanization prospects published by the 5 United Nations indicated that in 2014, 54 % of the world's population resided in urban areas (http://esa.un.org/unpd/wup/Highlights/WUP2014-Highlights.pdf); and by 2050, the world's urban population is projected to be 66%. Rapid urbanization has resulted in environmental problems including increasing energy consumption and air pollution,
- deterioration of visibility, significant urban heat island (UHI) effect, urban heavy rainfall, and even local (regional) climate change. (Oke, 1982; Grimmond and Oke, 1995; Atkinson et al., 2003; Arnfield, 2003; Jin et al., 2005; Feddema et al., 2005; Ren et al., 2007; Corburn, 2009; Kusaka et al., 2012b, 2014; Kang et al., 2014). In particular, the UHI effect is a critical factor influencing the intensity and duration of heat wave events (Tan
- 15 et al., 2010; Rizwan et al., 2008; Kunkel et al., 1996). It is expected that under the trend of global warming, the impact of urbanization will become increasingly significant and far-reaching.

The UHI effect is caused by LULC changes, which bring about variations in physical properties of land, such as albedo, surface roughness, thermal inertia, evapotranspira-

- tion efficiency, and in turn alter the climate system. In modeling studies, detailed information of land use and urban parameters are critical for simulation of the UHI effect. To improve the modeling performance in their study on urban boundary layer, Kusaka and Kimura (2004) developed the Urban Canopy Model (UCM) by implementing urban canopy parameterization in a mesoscale model. In recent years, the Weather Re-
- search and Forecasting (WRF) model coupled with the Noah land-surface model and 25 the UCM (WRF-UCM) (Tewari et al., 2006; Holt and Pullen, 2007; Lin et al., 2008b) has been successfully applied to research on the UHI effect in mega-cites of Japan (Kusaka et al., 2012a), the United States (Liu et al., 2006; Lo et al., 2007), China (Miao et al., 2009), and Taiwan (Lin et al., 2008b, 2011). Studies conducted in Taiwan

28485

have found that WRF-UCM can improve the simulation of UHI intensity, boundary layer development, land-sea breeze (Lin et al., 2008b) and precipitation (Lin et al., 2011). However, the existing UCM (Kusaka and Kimura, 2004) when coupled with the WRF model still has some limitations.

- In the original UCM, when the land use in the model grid net is identified as "urban", the urban fraction value is fixed. Yet in reality, the categorization of land use and land cover is far more complex; and the existing model is still too rough to reflect the exact land use in urban and non-urban areas. Similarly, the UCM assumes the distribution of anthropogenic heat (AH) to be constant. The simplification in the original UCM not only
- may lead to over- or underestimation, the temperature difference between urban and 10 non-urban areas has also been neglected. To overcome the above-mentioned limitations and to improve the performance of the original UCM model, WRF-UCM is modified to consider the 2-D urban fraction and AH. The modified version of UCM (hereafter referred to as WRF-UCM2D) is then employed to assess the impact of urbanization on Taipei city and its simulation performance is compared against that of WRF-UCM.
- Taipei metropolis, located in northern Taiwan (Fig. 1), experiences a significant UHI effect due to its geographical relief as a basin surrounded by high mountains. Made up of both Taipei City and New Taipei City, the metropolis has a very high population density; more than six million people, about one guarter of the total population of Taiwan,
- inhabit in this small basin of 243 km<sup>2</sup> situated at 20 ma.s.l. elevation. The high popu-20 lation density and complex geographic structure of Taipei metropolis intensify the UHI effect, which is significantly more severe than that in other cities/metropolis of similar scale around the world. Chen et al. (2007) reported an increase in daily mean temperature of 1.5°C in Taipei City due to urbanization. Lin et al. (2008b) found that the UHI intensity in northern Taiwan could be as high as 4–6°C.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 described in detail the original WRF-UCM with its limitations discussed and suggestions for improvements made. Section 3 evaluates the performance of WRF-UCM2D when applied to simulation study on impact of urbanization over northern Taiwan. Section 4 further examines the factors

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

influencing model performance in non-urban areas during nighttime. Section 5 contains the summary and conclusion of this study.

## 2 WRF/urban canopy model

- The WRF model, described in detail by Skamarock et al. (2005), is a widely used <sup>5</sup> mesoscale meteorological model. For better understanding of the UHI effect and for more accurate estimation of energy consumption in urban areas, an advanced Noah (Ek et al., 2003) land surface/hydrology model (LSM) has been coupled to the WRF model (Chen et al., 2004; Tewari et al., 2006). The Noah-LSM provides surface sensible and latent heat fluxes as well as ground surface temperature in the lower boundary
- (Chen and Dudhia, 2001; Ek et al., 2003). To incorporate the physical processes involved in the exchange of heat, momentum, and water vapor in the mesoscale model, the Urban Canopy Model (UCM) has been coupled with the Noah-LSM in the WRF model (Kusaka et al., 2006; Tewari et al., 2006).

The original UCM coupled with the WRF model is a single-layer model for evaluating the effects of urban geometry on surface energy balance and wind shear in urban regions (Kusaka et al., 2001; Kusaka and Kimura, 2004; Chen et al., 2011). This model takes into account shadows from buildings, canyon orientation, diurnal variation of az-

- takes into account shadows from buildings, canyon orientation, diurnal variation of azimuth angle, reflection of short- and long-wave radiation, wind profiler in the canopy layer, anthropogenic heating associated with energy consumption by human activities, and multi-layer heat transfer equation for roof, wall, and road surfaces. Kusaka and
- Kimura (2004) provided a detailed description of the original UCM.

# 2.1 WRF model configuration

15

In this study, the Mellor Yamada Janijc (MYJ) planet boundary layer scheme was adopted. The cloud microphysics used in this simulation by the WRF model was the single Memort 6 Close Microphysics coheme (MSM6 Henry and Lim 2006). The

the single-Moment 6-Class Microphysics scheme (WSM6, Hong and Lim, 2006). The

# 28487

Rapid Radiative Transfer Model (RRTMG) was used for both long-wave and short-wave radiation schemes.

The initial and boundary conditions for WRF were obtained using data sets of the Global Forecast System from the National Center for Environmental Prediction (NCEP-

 $_{5}$  GFS) 0.5° × 0.5° analysis data sets at six-hour intervals. Two nest domains were constructed with spatial grid resolutions of 3 and 1 km, which contained 150 × 199, and 151 × 100 grid boxes, respectively, from North to South and East to West. Both domains have 45 vertical levels, and the model top is set at 10 hPa. In the following discussion, only the finer domain of 1 km resolution is shown in the comparison with the observed data.

#### 2.2 Limitations of UCM and suggestions for improvement

## 2.2.1 Urban fraction

In the original UCM, if the model grid net is categorized as "urban", it indicates that urban land use accounts for the largest percentage of land use within this model grid.

- <sup>15</sup> However, such classification of land use may lead to oversimplification, resulting in land uses other than urban within this model grid being ignored. Moreover, the urban fraction within a grid net categorized as "urban" is fixed. For instance, in this study, the urban fraction is fixed at 0.7. Problems of over- and underestimation will arise because of the difference in percentage of urban land use in city centers and suburban areas,
- not to mention urban land use in areas categorized as "rural" totally neglected. City centers are likely to have higher urban fraction above 0.7 while suburban areas may have lower urban fraction below 0.7. With both categorized as "urban" and given the same urban fraction, it may result in urban land use in city center not fully accounted for while that in suburban areas overrated. Furthermore, there also exist differences in
- <sup>25</sup> urban parameters, such as building height, sky view factor, heat capacity and thermal conductivity, between city centers and suburban areas both categorized as "urban" in the model grid net. In reality, land use over a large area is far more complex; and the

current UCM cannot adequately reflect the actual situation, even with some areas left out of the picture. These limitations in the original UCM when applied to UHI simulation or urban boundary delineation will inevitably affect the accuracy of results obtained. To overcome the above-mentioned problems, this study generated the 2-D spatial

- <sup>5</sup> distribution map of urban fraction at 1 km resolution according to land use data at 100 m resolution (Fig. 2a) obtained from the National Land Surveying and Mapping Center (http://www.nlsc.gov.tw/websites/nlsceng/i\_ext/default.aspx) for 2006, Taiwan. Figure 2b and c shows the spatial distribution of urban areas obtained using WRF-UCM and WRF-UCM2D, respectively. As can be seen, WRF-UCM2D provided more
- detailed and accurate spatial distribution of areas with urban fraction ranging from 0.01 to 1.0. With the improved model, the oversimplified results can be avoided with the percentage of urbanization in the model grid nets more accurately identified according to the actual land use, not only in the city center but also in rural small towns.

# 2.2.2 Anthropogenic heat

- <sup>15</sup> Similar problems of over- and underestimation occur when deriving spatial distribution of anthropogenic heat (AH) with the original UCM. Same as urban fraction, AH is defined as constant. For instance, in this study, the diurnal mean AH is fixed at 50 W m<sup>-2</sup>. Hence, for a grid net categorized as "urban" in the original UCM model, the AH in all urban areas within the grid net (areas marked as red in Fig. 2b) will be the same. In fact,
- AH sources include industry, buildings, vehicles (transportation) and even metabolism of plants, animals and humans (Sailor and Lu, 2004; Grimmond, 1992; Sailor, 2011; Liao et al., 2014). Needless to say, the spatial distribution of AH in a city center is different from that in a rural small town. Again, the oversimplification cannot reflect the actual situation, which will in turn undermine the simulation performance.
- The same improvement approach for urban fraction is adopted. That is, 2-D spatial distribution map of AH at 1 km resolution is generated according to building density data obtained from the National Land Surveying and Mapping Center for 2006, Taiwan. Figure 2d and e shows the data on AH distribution provided by WRF-UCM and WRF-00400

28489

UCM2D, respectively. As can be seen, with the AH value assumed constant (a daily mean of  $50 \text{ wm}^{-2}$  in this study), WRF-UCM can only offer a diurnal profile, showing that AH peaked around noon at a temperature almost doubled the mean AH value. On the contrary, by using WRF-UCM2D, the spatial distribution of AH over the entire studied area can be obtained. Shown in Fig. 2e are areas with AH ranging from 0 to  $50 \text{ wm}^{-2}$ , giving more detailed information at finer resolution.

To assess the effectiveness of the improved approaches, WRF-UCM2D is applied to the simulation study on impact of urbanization in northern Taiwan. Comparison in simulation performance between the original and improved WRF-UCM is also made.

## **3 Model evaluation and simulation results**

To assess the impact of urbanization over northern Taiwan and to evaluate the model performance, this study examined a heat wave incident that occurred on 10 July 2012 in Taipei City. In terms of land-use categorization, Taipei City was classified as "high-intensity residence" by the UCM. A stable and non-precipitation weather condition was

selected to do this study. The two models were run from 00:00 UTC (08:00 LST) 7 July 2012 for a total of 96 h till 00:00 UTC (08:00 LST) 11 July 2012. A 24 h spin-up is required in the simulation, meaning that only data starting from 8 to 11 July 2012 were analyzed.

Figure 3a shows the surface weather map at 00:00 UTC (08:00 LST) on 10 July 2012 derived through re-analysis of NCEP data. As can be seen, a high pressure system dominated the weather conditions and southwesterly winds prevailed on that day. The Central Weather Bureau (CWB) reported a maximum air temperature of 38.3 °C at sta-

tion 46692 (see Fig. 1c for location) in Taipei city. The wind direction along Tamsui River and Keelung River (see Fig. 1c for location) was mainly northwest (sea breeze) during daytime and southeast (land breeze) during nighttime (not shown). This is a typical heat wave incident during summer with a high surface air temperature exceeding 35 °C during daytime.

## 3.1 Air temperature

Figure 3b displays the variations in mean hourly air temperature observed by the CWB and simulated using WRF-UCM2D. The observed data were from 19 urban stations (red dots in Fig. 1c) and 21 non-urban stations (yellow dots in Fig. 1c). The station

- categorized as "urban" is located in the model grid net its urban fraction greater than 0.5 while "non-urban" station is in the grid net its urban fraction less than 0.4. As can be seen, not only do the observed and simulated data show the same trend, the two values are also very close for both urban and non-urban stations. In other words, simulation by WRF-UCM2D can accurately capture diurnal variations in air temperature of the
- entire area in the studied period. Figure 3c–e shows the observation air temperature at 11:00–13:00 LST, respectively. At 12:00 LST, of the 19 urban stations, 12 recorded temperatures of 36 °C and above, with 6 stations in Taipei City and 6 stations in New Taipei City. In contrast, none of the non-urban stations recorded temperature exceeding 35 °C. In other words, the Taipei basin was under severe impact of the heat wave. At
- 13:00 LST, there was even one urban station (marked gray in Fig. 3e) recording the highest of 38 °C.

## 3.2 Spatial distribution of air temperature

Figure 4 compares the spatial distribution of air temperature simulated by WRF-UCM (Fig. 4a, c and e) and WRF-UCM2D (Fig. 4b, d and f) at 11:00–13:00 LST, respectively

- on 10 July 2012. Though alike, the results obtained by WRF-UCM2D include temperatures higher than 36 °C, which are not found in the simulation of WRF-UCM. As seen in Fig. 4c, some areas in the heart of Taipei City have temperature exceeding 36 °C at 11:00 LST while the simulated temperatures for these areas as shown in Fig. 4a peak at 36 °C. Similar phenomenon is observed for simulations at 12:00 and 13:00 LST. As
- <sup>25</sup> seen in Fig. 4d, there are areas within Taipei City with temperature exceeding 37 °C at 12:00 LST but the highest temperature shown in Fig. 4c is 37 °C only. Although areas with temperature exceeding 37 °C are simulated by both models, WRF-UCM2D yields

28491

more areas with such high temperature (Fig. 4f) than WRF-UCM (Fig. 4e). Moreover, the spatial distributions of air temperature shown in Fig. 4b, d and f bear closer resemblance to the Fig. 3c-e, respectively compared with those shown in Fig. 4a, c and e, implying that the simulated results of WRF-UCM2D match the observed temperature

<sup>5</sup> more closely than those of WRF-UCM. Taken together, these findings reveal underestimation in the simulated temperature obtained by WRF-UCM, evidencing better simulation performance of WRF-UCM2D. It is worth noting that despite its superior simulation performance, WRF-UCM2D fails to capture the highest temperature of 38 °C observed at one station at 13:00 LST (Fig. 3e).

#### <sup>10</sup> 3.3 Bias, root mean square error (RMSE) and correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ )

Figure 5 shows the scatter plots of observed and simulated temperatures at the 19 urban stations. Bias, root mean square error (RMSE) and correlation coefficient ( $R^2$ ) of the observed and simulated data were also calculated using the following equations.

BIAS = 
$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} X - \overline{X}}{n}$$
RMSE =  $\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X - \overline{X})^{2}}{n}}$ 

15

where X denotes the simulated results and  $\overline{X}$  stands for the observed data. The calculated results are shown both in Fig. 5 and Table 1. As can be seen, the simulated results obtained by WRF-UCM (Fig. 5a) and WRF-UCM2D (Fig. 5b) are close with insignificant difference in bias, RMSE and  $R^2$  (-0.03 °C, 1.05 °C and 0.87 vs. 0.17 °C,

0.99 °C and 0.89, respectively) as listed in Table 1. In other words, the two models have comparable simulation performance for urban areas. However, difference in model performance is found in more detailed comparison between daytime (Fig. 5c and d) and

nighttime (Fig. 5e and f) results. According to Table 1, the RMSE between simulation and observation is less than 1 °C during daytime but more than 1 °C during nighttime. The  $R^2$  for WRF-UCM2D and WRF-UCM are 0.9 and 0.89, respectively during daytime but decrease to 0.65 and 0.55, respectively during nighttime.

- <sup>5</sup> The same comparison was made for simulated and observed temperatures at the 21 non-urban stations. Figure 6 show the scatter plots and Table 2 lists the bias, RMSE and *R*<sup>2</sup> values. The trends and results obtained are similar to those for the urban stations. First, WRF-UCM2D outperforms WRF-UCM in terms of BIAS, RMSE and *R*<sup>2</sup> values (0.11 °C, 1.3 °C and 0.86 vs. 0.33 °C, 1.62 °C and 0.82, respectively) as shown
- in Table 2. Second, larger differences in model performance are observed for nighttime data. WRF-UCM2D yielded a higher R<sup>2</sup> than WRF-UCM (0.72 vs. 0.48, respectively), while bias and RMSE achieved by WRF-UCM2D were both significantly smaller than those attained by WRF-UCM (0.27 and 1.27 vs. 1.12 and 1.89, respectively). In order to evaluate the model performance beyond a few days comparison, a whole month sim-
- <sup>15</sup> ulations in July 2012 were conducted. Further, the hourly data was excluded in case simulation rainfall occurred in order to reasonably assess the impact. Again, the similar conclusion also can be seen in a whole month simulation listed in Table 3. In other words, the improved model not only enhanced correlation but also reduced bias and RMSE for the nighttime data of non-urban areas.
- Taken together, the above results reveal comparable model performance for daytime urban data while large differences in simulated results are observed for nighttime nonurban data.

## 3.4 Diurnal temperature variation

Figure 7 shows the performance of the two models in simulating mean diurnal variation of temperature at the 21 non-urban stations (yellow dots in Fig. 1c). The urban fraction of these non-urban stations in the model grid nets are all less than 0.4. As shown in the figure, the two models yielded very similar results of almost the same trend with major discrepancy observed between 20:00 and 05:00 LST. During nighttime, the mean

28493

temperature differences simulated by WRF-UCM range from 1 to 1.5 °C while those by WRF-UCM2D are mostly below 0.5 °C. Again, the results indicate comparable model performance for daytime data but large differences in simulated results for nighttime data. In other words, the performance of WRF-UCM2D is much better than WRF-UCM at non-urban stations with low urban fraction during nighttime.

- Furthermore, after 05:00 LST, the temperature simulated by WRF-UCM2D rises abruptly, approaching that simulated by WRF-UCM. This sudden rise can be attributed to the urban elements present at these stations which absorb shortwave radiation after sun rise, causing increase in temperature.
- Figure 8a–c further compares the model performance in simulating the diurnal temperature variation at three non-urban stations, namely C0AD20, C0A640 and C0D360 (see Fig. 1c for location) with urban fractions of 0.313, 0.127 and 0.04, respectively. As seen in Fig. 8a, the simulated temperatures are fairly close to the observed ones at station C0AD20, except for overestimation of 1–2 °C by WRF-UCM during nighttime. At
- station C0A640, the same phenomenon is observed but with a larger overestimation. As shown in Fig. 8b, both simulation and observed temperatures are similar and show the same trend but the nighttime temperature simulated by WRF-UCM is about 2°C higher than the observed temperature. Greater deviations from observed temperature are found at station C0D360 with urban fraction of only 0.04. As seen in (Fig. 8c), while
- 20 WRF-UCM-simulated air temperatures during nighttime show small fluctuations, they are seriously overestimated by 4–5 °C at midnight and early morning. In contrast, WRF-UCM2D-simulated air temperatures match more closely those observed at these three non-urban stations and show the same trend of fluctuations, despite the underestimation at station C0D360 during nighttime. Again, the abovementioned findings evidence better simulation performance of WRF-UCM2D, especially during nighttime.
- Moreover, further examination of Fig. 8 reveals larger difference in nighttime temperature between simulation and observation in grid nets of smaller urban fraction, indicating increasing deviation with decreasing urban fraction at night. Hence, the anal-

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

(1)

yses below focus on the relationship between urban fraction and model performance between 19:00 and 05:00 LST.

# 4 Factors influencing model performance in non-urban areas during nighttime

#### 4.1 Relationship between air temperature and urban fraction

- Table 4 lists the grid-averaged simulation results at different urban fractions during nighttime. The first column shows the diagnostic air temperatures at a height of 2m  $(T_{2m})$  obtained by the two models and the calculated difference in their simulation results. Figure 9a plots these differences against urban fractions ranging from 0 to 1. Each urban fraction along the X axis represents the averaged value of  $\pm 0.025$  urban
- <sup>10</sup> fraction (i.e., 0.1 represents the mean value between 0.075 and 0.125). The results displayed in Table 4 and Fig. 9a show that the maximum mean temperature difference is -1.8 K in grid nets with urban fraction of 0.05 and the two models yield the same simulated temperature at urban fraction of 0.2. However, contrasting phenomena in grid nets are observed with urban fractions smaller and greater than 0.2. In grid nets with
- urban fraction < 0.2, mean air temperatures obtained by WRF-UCM are higher than those by WRF-UCM2D; while the reverse is true for grid nets with urban fraction > 0.2. With both the effect of urban fraction and AH taken into account, it is not surprisingly that WRF-UCM2D yields higher mean air temperatures than WRF-UCM when urban fraction exceeds 0.2. In contrast, it is intriguing to find lower mean air temperatures simulated by WRF-UCM2D with urban fraction < 0.2. Such results can be accounted
- for by the energy budget as discussed below.

## 4.2 Sensible heat flux (F<sub>sh</sub>)

As suggested in Chen et al. (2011), the total grid-scale sensible heat flux is averaged with the weighting of urban fraction contributed from both Noah-LSM (calculated con-

tribution from natural surface) and UCM (calculated contribution from artificial surface). 28495

The relationship between sensible heat flux and surface air temperature during nighttime can be expressed as

$$F_{\rm sh} - \sigma T^4 = \rho_{\rm s} c_{\rho} C_{\rm h} (T_{\rm sk} - T_{\rm 2m})$$

- where  $F_{\rm sh}$  is the grid-averaged sensible heat flux,  $\sigma T^4$  is the upward long-wave radis ation,  $\rho_{\rm s}$  is the density of surface air,  $c_{
  ho}$  is the specific heat capacity of air at constant pressure,  $C_{\rm h}$  is the surface exchange coefficient for heat from the surface-layer scheme,  $T_{sk}$  denotes ground surface temperature, and  $T_{2m}$  stands for diagnostic air temperatures at a height of 2 m.
- Table 4 shows the mean value of these parameters of Eq. (1) as obtained by the 10 two models and the calculated differences in their simulation results. Figure 9b-d plots respectively the differences in  $F_{sh}$ ,  $\rho_s c_p C_h$ , and  $T_{sk}$  against urban fractions. As can be seen, for these non-urban grid nets with urban fraction of  $\leq$  0.4, WRF-UCM2D yields higher  $F_{sh}$ ,  $\rho_s c_p C_h$ , and  $T_{sk}$  than WRF-UCM.
- For  $F_{\rm sh}$ , WRF-UCM yields negative values, ranging from -9.3 to -18.26 W m<sup>-2</sup>, for all grid nets with urban fraction  $\leq$  0.4, while WRF-UCM2D obtained values, ranging from -10.5 to 9.7 W m<sup>-2</sup>, negative for grid nets with urban fraction  $\leq 0.25$  and positive for grid nets with urban fraction  $\geq$  0.3. The negative  $F_{sh}$  in WRF-UCM is attributed to radiation cooling after sunset and the absence of extra energy forcing at these nonurban stations during nighttime. The extra energy forcing taken into account by WRF-
- UCM2D includes AH and heat released during nighttime by urban elements that absorb solar energy during daytime. In grid nets with urban fraction  $\leq 0.25$ , radiation cooling exceeds the extra energy forcing; while in grid nets with urban fraction  $\geq 0.3$ , the extra energy forcing is large enough to overcome radiation cooling. The mean differences in  $F_{\rm sh}$ , ranging from 2.5 to 19 W m<sup>-2</sup>, show a trend of larger differences in simulated
- results between the two models at higher urban fractions. 25

## 4.3 Energy exchange ( $\rho_{s}c_{p}C_{h}$ )

As shown in Table 4 and Fig. 9c, WRF-UCM2D yields higher energy exchange than WRF-UCM (16.5–25 vs. 8.5–19.1 Wm<sup>-2</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). The simulated results of both models show increase in energy exchange from urban fraction of 0.05 to 0.2,

- followed by decrease in energy exchange at urban fractions exceeding 0.2. In other words, energy exchange peaks at urban fraction of 0.2 (25 and 19.1 Wm<sup>-2</sup>K<sup>-1</sup> by WRF-UCM2D and WRF-UCM, respectively). The mean difference in energy exchange ranging from 5.6 to 12.1 Wm<sup>-2</sup>K<sup>-1</sup>, first decreases with increasing urban fraction from 0.05 to 0.15 and then increases with increasing urban fraction > 0.2. In other words,
- <sup>10</sup> energy exchange is stronger at low urban fraction than at high urban fraction, even though the contribution of extra forcing is insignificant at lower urban fraction. Energy exchange enables efficient turbulence mixing at low urban fraction, in particular at urban fraction < 0.2, thus reducing air temperature obtained by WRF-UCM2D, followed by decrease in simulated ground surface temperature  $T_{sk}$ .

## 15 4.4 Ground surface temperature ( $T_{sk}$ )

As shown in Table 4 and Fig. 9d,  $T_{sk}$  obtained by WRF-UCM2D and WRF-UCM range from 296.9 to 302.1 and from 296.5 to 299.2 K, respectively, again showing higher temperatures simulated by WRF-UCM2D than WRF-UCM. Same as  $F_{sh}$ , the mean difference in  $T_{sk}$  ranging from 0.4 to 2.9 K, show a trend of larger differences between the two models at higher urban fractions, again owing to the effect of urban fraction and

AH being taken into account by WRF-UCM2D.

The last column in Table 4 lists the temperature difference between the simulated  $T_{sk}$  and  $T_{2m}$ . As can be seen, the differences obtained by WRF-UCM2D at different urban fractions, ranging from -0.52 to 0.5 K, are insignificant, implying that WRF-UCM2D-

<sup>25</sup> simulated air temperatures are close to WRF-UCM2D-simulated ground surface air temperatures. In contrast, the differences obtained by WRF-UCM at different urban

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

fractions, ranging from -2.78 to -1.44 K, are large, indicating greater discrepancy between WRF-UCM-simulated air temperatures and ground surface air temperatures. Although the  $T_{sk}$  obtained by WRF-UCM2D at various urban fractions are higher

than those by WRF-UCM (fourth column of Table 4), the difference between WRF-UCM2D-simulated  $T_{sk}$  and  $T_{2m}$  is smaller than that between WRF-UCM-simulated  $T_{sk}$ 

- and  $T_{2m}$ . The better performance of WRF-UCM2D is attributed to more efficient energy exchange in WRF-UCM2D simulation with urban fraction in non-urban areas also taken into account. As mentioned above, one of the limitations of WRF-UCM is the fixed urban fraction, resulting in mis- or even non-representation of non-urban areas.
- Taken together, the results above reveal that the critical urban fraction is about 0.2, at which the difference in  $T_{2m}$  between WRF-UCM2D and WRF-UCM is zero. Moreover, energy exchange in both WRF-UCM2D and WRF-UCM simulation peak at urban fraction of 0.2.

### 5 Summary and conclusion

- <sup>15</sup> This study evaluated the impact of urbanization over northern Taiwan using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model coupled with the Noah land-surface model and a modified Urban Canopy Model. In the original UCM, when the land use in the model grid net is identified as "urban", the urban fraction value is fixed. For example, in this study, the urban fraction is fixed at 0.7. Similarly, the UCM assumes the
- distribution of anthropogenic heat (AH) to be constant. Such not only may lead to overor underestimation, the temperature difference between urban and non-urban areas has also been neglected. To overcome the above-mentioned limitations and to improve the performance of the original UCM model, WRF-UCM is modified to consider the 2-D urban fraction and AH (WRF-UCM2D). WRF-UCM2D provided more detailed and
- accurate spatial distribution of areas with urban fraction ranging from 0.01 to 1.0. The spatial distribution of AH over the entire studied area ranges from 0 to 50 w m<sup>-2</sup>, giving more detailed information at finer resolution. With the improved model, the oversimpli-

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

fied results can be avoided with the percentage of urbanization in the model grid nets more accurately identified according to the actual land use and building density for AH, not only in the city center but also in rural small towns.

Simulation results show that WRF-UCM2D provides more detailed and accurate spa-

- tial distribution of air temperatures, which are sometimes underestimated at urban during daytime by WRF-UCM. The two models have comparable simulation performance for urban areas while large differences in simulated results are observed for non-urban areas, especially at nighttime. WRF-UCM2D yielded a higher R<sup>2</sup> than WRF-UCM (0.72 vs. 0.48, respectively), while bias and RMSE achieved by WRF-UCM2D were both sig-
- nificantly smaller than those attained by WRF-UCM (0.27 and 1.27 vs. 1.12 and 1.89, respectively). In other words, the improved model not only enhanced correlation but also reduced bias and RMSE for the nighttime data of non-urban areas. The performance of WRF-UCM2D is much better than WRF-UCM at non-urban stations with low urban fraction during nighttime. It is attributed to energy exchange that enables
- <sup>15</sup> efficient turbulence mixing in areas with low urban fraction (in particular with urban fraction < 0.2). Energy exchange contributes to reduce air temperatures simulated by WRF-UCM2D, followed by decrease in ground surface temperatures. Moreover, simulation results show that the critical urban fraction is around 0.2, at which the difference in *T*<sub>2m</sub> obtained by WRF-UCM2D and WRF-UCM is zero. Finally, the proposed WRF-
- 20 UCM2D successfully improved the simulation of diurnal variation of air temperature in urban and non-urban areas. The results of this study can be applicable to assessing the impacts of urbanization on air quality and regional climate.

Acknowledgement. This work was financially supported by the National Science Council, Taiwan under grant NSC-102-2111-M-001-007 and the thematic project of Academia Sinica, Taiwan under grant, AS-102-SS-A10. Discussion for modeling work with M. Duda (NCAR) is very

25 wan under grant, AS-102-SS-A10. Discussion for modeling work with M. Duda (NCAR) is very much appreciated.

## 28499

#### References

- Arnfield, A. J.: Two decades of urban climate research: a review of turbulence, exchanges of energy and water, and the urban heat island, Int. J. Climatol., 23, 1–26, 2003.
- Atkinson, B. W.: Numerical modeling of urban heat island intensity, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 109, 285–310, 2003.
- Chen, F. and Dudhia, J.: Coupling an advanced land surface hydrology model with the Penn State-NCAR MM5 modeling system. Part I: Model implementation and sensitivity, Mon. Weather Rev., 129, 569–585, 2001.
- Chen, F., Kusaka, H., Tewari, M., Bao, J.-W., and Kirakuchi, H.: Utilizing the coupled
   WRF/LSM/Urban modeling system with detailed urban classification to simulate the urban heat island phenomena over the greater Houston Area, 5th Conference on Urban Environment, 22–26 August 2004, Vancouver BC, Canada, 2004.
  - Chen, F., Kusaka, H., Bornstein, R., Grimmond, S., Ching, J., Grimmond, C. S. B., Grossman-Clarke, S., Loridan, T., Manning, K. W., Martilli, A., Miao, S., Sailor, D., Salamanca, F. P.,
- Taha, H., Tewari, M., Wang, X., Wyszogrodzki, A. A., and Zhang, C.: The integrated WRF/urban modelling system: development, evaluation, and applications to urban environmental problems, Int. J. Climatol., 31, 273–288, 2011.
  - Chen, T.-C., Wang, S.-Y., and Yen, M.-C.: Enhancement of afternoon thunderstorm activity by urbanization in a valley: Taipei, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim., 46, 1324–1340, 2007.
- Corburn, J.: Cities, climate change and urban heat island mitigation: localizing global environmental science, Urban Stud., 46, 413–427, 2009.
  - Ek, M. B., Mitchell, K. E., Lin, Y., Rogers, E., Grunmann, P., Koren, V., Gayno, G., and Tarpley, J. D.: Implementation of Noah land surface model advances in the national centers for environmental prediction operational mesoscale Eta model, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8851, doi:10.1029/2002JD003296, 2003.
- Feddema, J. J., Oleson, K. W., Bonna, G. B., Mearns, L. O., Buja, L. E., Meehl, G. A., and Washington, W. M.: The importance of land-cover change in simulating future climates, Science, 310, 1674–1678, 2005.
- Grimmond, C. S. B. and Oke, T. R.: Comparison of heat fluxes from summertime observations
- in the suburbs of four North American cities, J. Appl. Meteorol., 34, 873-889, 1995.

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

- Holt, T. R. and Pullen, J.: Urban canopy modeling of the New York City metropolitan area: a comparison and validation of single- and multilayer parameterization, Mon. Weather Rev., 135, 1906–1930, 2007.
- Hong, S.-Y. and Lim, J. J.: The WRF Single-Moment 6-Class Microphysics Scheme (WSM6), J. Korean Meteor. Soc., 42, 129–151, 2006.
- IPCC: Climate change 2007: Impacts, adaptation and vulnerability, Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2007.
- Jin, M., Shepherd., J. M., and King, M. D.: Urban aerosols and their interaction with clouds and rainfall: a case study for New York and Houston, J. Geophys. Res., 1110, D10S20, doi:10.1029/2004JD005081, 2005.
  - Kalnay, E. and Cai, M.: Impact of urbanization and land-use change on climate, Nature, 423, 528–531, 2003.
- Kang, H. Q., Zhu, B., Zhu, T., Sun, J. L., and Ou, J. J.: Impact of megacity Shanghai on the
   urban heat island effects over the downstream city Kunshan, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 152, 411–426, 2014.
  - Koster, R.D, Dirmeyer, P. A., Guo, Z., Bonan, G., Chan, E., Cox, P., Gordon, C. T., Kanae, S., Kowalczyk, E., Lawrence, D., Liu, P., Lu, C. H., Malyshev, S., Mcavaney, B., Mitchell, K., Mocko, D., Oki, T., Oleson, K., Pitman, A., Sud, Y. C., Taylor, C. M., Verseghy, D., Vasic, R., Y.
- 20 Xue, and Yamada T.: Regions of strong coupling between soil moisture and precipitation, Science, 305, 1138–1140, 2004.
  - Kunkel, K. E., Changnon, S. A., Reinke, B. C. and Arritt, R. W.: The July 1995 heat wave in the Midwest: a climatic perspective and critical weather factors, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 77, 1507–1518, 1996.
- <sup>25</sup> Kusaka, H. and Kimura, F.: Coupling a single-layer urban canopy model with a simple atmospheric model: impact on urban heat island simulation for an idealized case, J. Appl. Meteorol., 43, 1899–1910, 2004.
  - Kusaka, H., Kondo, K., Kikegawa, Y., and Kimura, F.: A simple single-layer urban canopy model for atmospheric models: comparison with multi-layer and slab models, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 101, 329–358, 2001.
- Kusaka, H., Hara, M., and Takane, Y.: Urban climate projection by the WRF model at 3 km horizontal grid increment: dynamical downscaling and predicting heat stress in the 2070's

28501

August for Tokyo, Osaka, and Nagoya metropolises, J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn., 90B, 47–63, 2012a.

- 2012a. Kusaka, H., Chen, F., Tewari, M., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Duda, M. G., Wang, W., and Miya, Y.: Numerical simulation of urban heat island effect by the WRF model with 4 km grid increment:
- an inter-comparison study between the urban canopy model and slab model, J. Meteorol. Soc. Jpn., 90B, 33-45, 2012b.
- Kusaka, H., Nawata, K., Suzuki-Parker, A., Takane, Y., and Furuhashi, N.: Mechanism of precipitation increase with urbanization in Tokyo as revealed by ensemble climate simulations, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim., 53, 824–839, 2014.
- Liao, J., Wang, T., Wang, X., Xie, M., Jiang, Z., Huang, X., and Zhu, J.: Impacts of different urban canopy schemes in WRF/Chem on regional climate and air quality in the Yangtze River Delta, China, Atmos. Res., 145/146, 226–243, 2014.
  - Lin, C.-Y., Chen, W.-C., Shaw Liu, C., Liou, Y. A., Liu, G. R., and Lin, T.-H.: Numerical study of the impact of urbanization on the precipitation over Taiwan, Atmos. Environ., 42, 2934–2947, 2008a.

- Lin, C.-Y., Chen, F., Huang, J., Liou, Y.-A., Chen, W.-C., Chen, W.-N., and Liu, S.-C.: Urban heat island effect and its impact on boundary layer development and land-sea circulation over northern Taiwan, Atmos. Environ., 42, 5639–5649, 2008b.
- Lin, C.-Y., Chen, W.-C., Chang, P.-L., and Sheng, Y. F.: Impact of urban heat island effect on the precipitation over complex geographic environment in northern Taiwan, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim., 50, 339–353, doi:10.1175/2010JAMC2504.1, 2011.
  - Liu, Y., Chen, F., Warner, T., and Basara, J.: Verification of a mesoscale data-assimilation and forecasting system for the Oklahoma city area during the Joint Urban 2003 Field Project, J. Appl. Meteorol., 45, 912–929, 2006.
- Lo, J. C. F., Lau, A. K. H., Chen, F., Fung, J. C. H., and Leung, K. K. M.: Urban modification in a mesoscale model and the effects on the local circulation in the Pearl River Delta Region, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim., 46, 457–476, 2007.
  - Miao, S., Chen, F., LeMone, M. A., Tewari, M., Li, Q., and Wang, Y.: An observational and modeling study of characteristics of urban heat island and boundary layer structures in Beijing, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim., 48, 484–501, 2009.
- Oke, T. R.: The energetic basis of the urban heat island, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 108, 1–24, 1982.

- Ren, G. Y., Chu, Z. Y., Chen, Z. H., and Ren, Y. Y.: Implications of temporal change in urban heat island intensity observed at Beijing and Wuhan stations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L05711, doi:10.1029/2006GL027927, 2007.
- Rizwan, A. M., Leung, Y. C., and Liu, C.: A review on the generation, determination and mitigation of urban heat island, J. Environ. Sci., 20, 120–128, 2008.

5

- Sailor, D. J.: A review of methods for estimating anthropogenic heat and moisture emissions in the urban environment, Int. J. Climatol., 31, 189–199, 2011.
- Sailor, D. J. and Lu, L.: A top-down methodology for developing diurnal and seasonal anthropogenic heating profiles for urban areas, Atmos. Environ., 38, 2737–2748, 2004.
- Shrestha, K. L., Kondo, A., Madea, C., Kaga, A., and Inoue, Y.: Investigating the contribution of urban canopy model and anthropogenic heat emission to urban heat island effect using the WRF model, T. Jpn. Soc. Refrig. Air Condition. Eng., 26, 45–55, 2009.
  - Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Barker, D. M., Wang, W., and Powers, J. G.: A description of the advanced research WRF version 2, NCAR Tech. Note. NCAR/TN-468+STR, 100 pp., Natl. Cent. Atmos. Res., Boulder, CO, 2005.
- NCAR/TN-468+STR, 100 pp., Natl. Cent. Atmos. Res., Boulder, CO, 2005.
   Tan, J., Zhang, Y., Tang, X., Cuo, C., Li, L., Song, G., Zhen, X., Yuan, D., Kalkstein, A. J., Li, F., Chen, H.: The urban heat island and its impact on heat waves and human health in Shanghai, Int. J. Biometeorol., 54, 75–84, 2010.
- Tewari, M., Chen, F., and Kusaka, H.: Implementation and evaluation of a single-layer urban model in WRF/Noah, 7th WRF Users' Workshop, Boulder, CO, 19–22 June 2006.
- Wang, X., Liao, J., Zhang, J., Shen, C., Chen, W., Xia, B., and Wang, T.: A numerical study of regional climate change induced by urban expansion in the Pearl River Delta, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim., 53, 346–362, 2014.

28503

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

**Table 1.** Bias, RMSE and  $R^2$  calculated using simulated temperatures at 19 urban stations for the study period (8–11 July 2012), daytime and nighttime obtained by WRF-UCM and WRF-UCM2D, respectively.

Urban	8–11 Jul 201	12	Daytime		Nighttime			
	WRF-UCM	WRF-UCM2D	WRF-UCM	WRF-UCM2D	WRF-UCM	WRF-UCM2D		
BIAS (°C)	-0.03	0.17	-0.1	0.12	0.09	0.26		
RMSE (°C)	1.05	0.99	0.94	0.92	1.2	1.08		
$R^2$	0.87	0.89	0.89	0.9	0.55	0.65		

**Table 2.** Bias, RMSE and  $R^2$  calculated using simulated temperatures at 21 non-urban stations for the study period (8–11 July 2012), daytime and nighttime obtained by WRF-UCM and WRF-UCM2D, respectively.

Non-urban	8–11 Jul 20 <sup>-</sup>	12	Daytime		Nighttime		
	WRF-UCM	WRF-UCM2D	WRF-UCM	WRF-UCM2D	WRF-UCM	WRF-UCM2D	
BIAS (°C)	0.33	0.11	-0.13	0.01	1.12	0.27	
RMSE (°C)	1.62	1.3	1.45	1.32	1.89	1.27	
$R^2$	0.82	0.86	0.82	0.84	0.48	0.72	

28505

**Table 3.** Bias, RMSE and  $R^2$  calculated using simulated temperatures at 21 non-urban stations for one month (July 2012), daytime and nighttime obtained by WRF-UCM and WRF-UCM2D, respectively.

Non-urban	Jul 2012		Daytime		Nighttime		
	WRF-UCM	WRF-UCM2D	WRF-UCM	WRF-UCM2D	WRF-UCM	WRF-UCM2D	
BIAS (°C)	0.44	0.01	0.29	0.27	0.57	-0.22	
RMSE (°C)	1.55	1.29	1.53	1.43	1.56	1.14	
$R^2$	0.78	0.84	0.83	0.84	0.53	0.76	

Table 4. Grid-averaged simulation results by WRF-UCM2D and WRF-UCM at different urban
fractions during nighttime. $T_{2m}$ is diagnostic air temperature at 2 m height, $F_{sh}$ is the sensible
heat flux, $\rho_s$ is the density of surface air, $c_p$ is the specific heat capacity of air at constant
pressure, $C_{\rm h}$ is the surface exchange coefficient for heat from the surface-layer scheme, $T_{\rm sk}$ is
ground surface temperature, and "Diff" denotes difference between WRF-UCM2D and WRF-
UCM.

	Т <sub>2m</sub> (К)			F <sub>sh</sub> (Wm	(W m <sup>-2</sup> )			$\rho_{\rm s} c_p c_{\rm h}  ({\rm Wm^{-2}K^{-1}})$			T <sub>sk</sub> (K)			$T_{\rm sk} - T_{\rm 2m}$ (K)	
Urban Fraction	WRF- UCM2D	WRF- UCM	Diff.	WRF- UCM2D	WRF- UCM	Diff.	WRF- UCM2D	WRF- UCM	Diff. Diff.	WRF- UCM2D	WRF- UCM	Diff.	WRF- UCM2D	WRF- UCM	
0.05	297.4	299.3	-1.8	-10.5	-13.1	2.5	16.5	9.6	6.9	296.9	296.5	0.4	-0.52	-2.78	
0.1	298.8	299.7	-0.9	-10.2	-15.8	5.6	20	14	6	298.4	297.7	0.8	-0.37	-2.03	
0.15	299.5	299.9	-0.3	-8.9	-17.4	8.6	22.8	17.1	5.6	299.3	298.2	1.1	-0.25	-1.66	
0.2	299.9	299.9	0	-6.5	-18.3	11.8	25	19.1	5.9	299.8	298.4	1.3	-0.14	-1.44	
0.25	300.3	300	0.2	-3.5	-18.1	14.6	24.7	18	6.7	300.2	298.5	1.7	-0.02	-1.5	
0.3	300.3	300	0.3	0.7	-16.8	17.5	24.4	16.7	7.7	300.5	298.5	2	0.15	-1.53	
0.35	300.9	300.4	0.4	3.7	-13.5	17.2	21.9	11.6	10.2	301.1	298.6	2.6	0.28	-1.88	
0.4	301.6	301	0.6	9.7	-9.3	19	20.6	8.5	12.1	302.1	299.2	2.9	0.5	-1.81	

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

28507



Figure 1. (a) Location of Taiwan and, (b) simulation domains and, (c) locations of urban (red dots) and non-urban (yellow dots) meteorological stations in northern Taiwan.



**Figure 2.** (a) Land use data at 100 m resolution obtained from the National Land Surveying and Mapping Center for 2006, Taiwan. Spatial distribution of urban areas simulated at 1 km resolution (b) by WRF-UCM with urban fraction fixed at 0.7 and (c) by WRF-UCM2D with urban fraction ranging from 0.01 to 1.0. (d) Diurnal variation of AH used in model simulation. (e) Spatial distribution of AH ranging from 0 to  $50 \text{ wm}^{-2}$  simulated by WRF-UCM2D at 1 km resolution.



**Figure 3. (a)** Surface weather map at 08:00 LST, 10 July 2012. **(b)** Mean hourly air temperature simulated by WRF-UCM2D and observed at 19 urban stations and 21 non-urban stations (red dots and yellow dots, respectively in Fig. 1c) during the study period. Spatial distribution of air temperature observed at **(c)** 11:00 LST, **(d)** 12:00 LST and **(e)** 13:00 LST on 10 July 2012 at various meteorological stations. Unit ( $^{\circ}$ C).





Figure 4. Spatial distribution of air temperature on 10, July 2012 at (a, b) 11:00 LST, (c, d) 12:00 LST and (e, f) 13:00 LST simulated by WRF-UCM and WRF-UCM2D, respectively. Unit ( $^{\circ}$ C).

2851	1
------	---



**Figure 5.** Scatter plots between observed and simulated temperatures at 19 urban stations with bias, RMSE and  $R^2$  calculated using simulated temperatures of **(a, b)** the entire study period, **(c, d)** daytime and **(e, f)** nighttime obtained by WRF-UCM and WRF-UCM2D, respectively.

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |



**Figure 6.** Scatter plots between observed and simulated temperatures at 21 non-urban stations with bias, RMSE and  $R^2$  calculated using simulated temperatures of **(a, b)** the entire study period, **(c, d)** daytime and **(e, f)** nighttime obtained by WRF-UCM and WRF-UCM2D, respectively.



**Figure 7.** Difference between simulated and observed mean diurnal variation of temperature at 21 non-urban stations.



Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

Figure 8. Difference between simulated and observed diurnal variation of temperature at nonurban stations (a) C0AD20, (b) C0A640 and (c) C0D360.





**Figure 9.** Mean difference in (a) 2 m air temperature,  $T_{2m}$ , (b) sensible heat flux,  $F_{sh}$ , (c) energy exchange,  $\rho_s c_\rho C_h$ , and (d) ground surface temperature,  $T_{sk}$  simulated by WRF-UCM2D and WRF-UCM at different urban fractions during nighttime.