



**Airborne
determination of the
temporo-spatial
distribution**

M. D Shaw et al.

Airborne determination of the temporo-spatial distribution of benzene, toluene, nitrogen oxides and ozone in the boundary layer across Greater London, UK

M. D. Shaw¹, J. D. Lee², B. Davison¹, A. Vaughan², R. M. Purvis², A. C. Lewis², and C. N. Hewitt¹

¹Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK

²National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of York, York, UK

Received: 24 September 2014 – Accepted: 15 October 2014 – Published: 30 October 2014

Correspondence to: C. N. Hewitt (n.hewitt@lancaster.ac.uk)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

Highly spatially resolved mixing ratios of benzene and toluene, nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and ozone (O_3) were measured in the atmospheric boundary layer above Greater London during the period 24 June to 9 July 2013 using a Dornier 228 aircraft. Toluene and benzene were determined in-situ using a proton transfer reaction mass spectrometer (PTR-MS), NO_x by dual channel NO_x chemiluminescence and O_3 mixing ratios by UV absorption.

Average mixing ratios observed over inner London at 360 ± 10 m a.g.l. were 0.20 ± 0.05 , 0.28 ± 0.07 , 13.2 ± 8.6 , 21.0 ± 7.3 and 34.3 ± 15.2 ppbv for benzene, toluene, NO , NO_2 and NO_x respectively. Linear regression analysis between NO_2 , benzene and toluene mixing ratios yielded a trimodal distribution indicating that these compounds predominantly share the same or co-located sources within the city and that a significant fraction of NO_x is directly emitted as NO_2 .

Average mixing ratios measured at 360 ± 10 m a.g.l. over outer London were always lower than over inner London. Where traffic densities were highest, the toluene/benzene (T/B) concentration ratios were highest (average of 1.8 ± 0.3 ppbv ppbv $^{-1}$) indicative of strong local sources. Daytime maxima in NO_x , benzene and toluene mixing ratios were observed in the morning (~ 40 ppbv NO_x , ~ 350 pptv toluene and ~ 200 pptv benzene) and for ozone in the mid-afternoon (~ 40 ppbv O_3) all at 360 ± 10 m a.g.l.

1 Introduction

Ground level ozone (O_3) is a secondary pollutant, produced from photochemical reactions involving volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and nitrogen oxides ($\text{NO}_x = \text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$). Ozone has significant detrimental effects on human health and vegetation while NO_2 and some VOCs also have, themselves, direct effects on health. Whilst the basic atmospheric chemistry leading to O_3 formation is generally well un-

ACPD

14, 27335–27371, 2014

Airborne determination of the temporo-spatial distribution

M. D Shaw et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



derstood, there are substantial uncertainties associated with the magnitude and speciation of emissions of both VOCs and NO_x from urban areas, leading to uncertainties in the detailed understanding of urban photochemistry and air pollution.

In urban areas the dominant anthropogenic sources of VOCs are vehicular exhaust, fuel evaporation and emissions from the commercial and industrial use of solvents (Nelson et al., 1983). Vehicular emissions are the predominant source of VOCs to the atmosphere in urban and suburban areas, accounting for > 50 % of the total (Na et al., 2005; Kansal, 2009; Watson et al., 2001) with a wide range of VOCs emitted directly due to fuel evaporation and from vehicular exhaust as unburnt fuel and as partially oxidized fuel components. The dominant urban sources of NO_x are combustion processes, including vehicles. In the UK as a whole, about 50 % of NO_x is thought to be derived from vehicles, although this percentage is larger in urban areas.

In the UK, spatially disaggregated NO_x and VOC emission rates are estimated by the National Atmospheric Emission Inventory (NAEI), which provides emission estimates for specific pollutants by source sector at 1 km^2 resolution. Uncertainties in these emission estimates propagate through into uncertainties in models of air quality, and leads to uncertainties in the likely effectiveness of control policies on both background and peak VOCs, O_3 and NO_2 mixing ratios in the UK. There are therefore considerable economic and societal pressures to ensure the precision and accuracy of these emissions estimates.

Validation of the NAEI emission estimates is provided, indirectly and in part, by the continuous hourly data of VOC and NO_x mixing ratios measured in the national monitoring networks (the Automatic Hydrocarbon Network (AHN) and the Automatic Urban and Rural Network (AURN), both operated by the Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs). Hourly mixing ratios of NO_x species are currently measured at 130 network sites with selected VOCs measured at 4 sites. Within Greater London these sites form part of the London Air Quality Network (LAQN). However, these networks only measure mixing ratios and suffer from the limitations of being made at relatively few sites and so may not be representative of mixing ratios over larger spatial scales.

Airborne determination of the temporo-spatial distribution

M. D Shaw et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Airborne
determination of the
temporo-spatial
distribution**

M. D Shaw et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The development of fast-response analytical instruments for NO_x and VOCs means that the mixing ratios of these analytes can now be measured at high spatial resolution from low-flying aircraft. The advantages of in-situ aircraft measurements is that they provide information on the horizontal and vertical distributions of air pollutants over a large spatial area allowing continuous gradients of mixing ratios to be observed across cities and their surrounding rural areas.

In this study, we investigate the mixing ratios of O_3 , benzene, toluene, NO , NO_2 and NO_x across the Greater London region during several flights using the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) Atmospheric Research and Survey Facility Dornier 228 aircraft between 24 June and 9 July 2013. The aim of this work was to (i) quantitatively determine the vertical, horizontal spatial and temporal distribution of VOCs, NO_x and ozone mixing ratios across London from an airborne platform, with a view to identify dominant emission sources in the region and (ii) wherever possible, compare these fast response airborne measurements with hourly ground-level measurements made by the national monitoring networks.

2 Method

2.1 NERC Dornier 228

The NERC Dornier 228 is a twin-engine turbo-prop powered, none pressurised aircraft operated by the Airborne Research and Survey Facility (ARSF) based at Gloucester airport in central England. The aircraft has a cabin volume of 14 m^3 and operated with a crew of 2 pilots and 4 scientists for the duration of the flights. The aircraft has a minimum and maximum airspeed of 65 and 95 m s^{-1} respectively producing maximum range of 2400 km (5 h at 500 kg). The aircraft has a maximum payload of 5970 kg including fuel, with a maximum operational altitude for science of 4500 m.

**Airborne
determination of the
temporo-spatial
distribution**

M. D Shaw et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

110 Td. For flights at ~ 360 m a.g.l., the m/z 21 primary ion count ranged between $(4-7) \times 10^7$ ion counts per second (cps) with an average of 6×10^7 . Ion counts of m/z 32 ranged between $(0.8-3) \times 10^6$ cps, with an average of 2×10^6 cps, which represented 3% of the primary ion signal. Ion counts of m/z 39 ranged between $(1-5) \times 10^6$ cps with an average of 3×10^6 cps, which represented 6% of the primary ion signal.

Toluene and benzene calibrations were carried out approximately 2 h prior to each flight using an in-house built dynamic dilution calibration system. This involved the dynamic dilution of a 500 ppbv certified gas standard (Apel, Riemer) with humidity controlled zero grade air (BOC gases) to mixing ratios near typically observed levels. Typical instrument sensitivities observed during the campaign ranged between 380–480 icps ppbv⁻¹, 6–8 normalised ion counts per second (ncps), and 400–600 icps ppbv⁻¹, 6–9 ncps, for benzene and toluene respectively. Instrument uncertainties were 16 ± 5 and 21 ± 9 % for benzene and toluene respectively, calculated using the standard deviation of linear regression (S_m) of pre-flight calibrations. Instrument limits of detection (LoDs) were determined by the method outlined by Taipale and colleagues (Taipale et al., 2008) and were 13 ± 8 and 18 ± 11 pptv for benzene and toluene respectively.

During flights, ambient air was sampled from the forward facing isokinetic inlet along a heated (70 °C) 5 m 1/4" Teflon (PFA) tube pumped by a stainless steel diaphragm pump (Millipore) at a flow-rate of 22 L min⁻¹. A portion of this ambient air (~ 300 sccm) was diverted into the pressure controlled inlet of the PTR-MS instrument such that the overall delay time was < 3 s. To determine blank VOC mixing ratios, the remaining ambient air was purged into a custom built zero air generator which consisted of a 3/8" stainless steel tube packed with 1 g of platinum coated quartz wool (Elemental Microanalysis) which efficiently removes VOCs (de Gouw et al., 2004). The zero air generator was operated at 350 °C and 30 psi for the duration of the flights to maintain optimal operating conditions. The catalytic converter does not remove water vapour from the sample stream, which is of particular importance as background impurities

may depend upon sample air humidity. During flights, zero air was periodically back-flushed through the inlet system to determine instrument background.

2.7 LAQN ground monitoring sites

Data were obtained from three LAQN ground level monitoring sites for comparative purposes. These were:

i. Marylebone Road (Westminster), an urban kerbside site in a street canyon, situated 1.5 m from the kerb of a frequently congested 6 lane road, the A501 (51.5225° N, 0.1546° W).

ii. Horseferry Road (Westminster), an urban background monitoring station located within an area of mixed commercial and residential buildings (51.4947° N, 0.1319° W). The nearest road is the B323 Horseferry Road approximately 17 m north of the station.

iii. Greenwich–Eltham (Eltham), a suburban background site situated in Greenwich within an education centre (51.4526° N, 0.0708° E). The site is approximately 25 m from the nearest road, the A210 Bexley Road. The surrounding area consists of trees, grass-land, recreational areas and suburban housing.

These sites all monitor NO, NO₂ and NO_x at hourly resolution and O₃ at 15 min resolution. However, only the Westminster–Marylebone Road and Greenwich–Eltham monitoring sites monitor benzene and toluene at hourly resolution. The locations are shown in Fig. S1 in the Supplement.

3 Results

3.1 Intercomparison of WAS TD-GCFID and PTR-MS

To compare the volume mixing ratios obtained with the on-board PTR-MS with those measured by gas chromatography with flame ionisation detection (GC-FID), whole air canister sampling (WAS) was conducted twice per flight using silica coated stainless steel cans (Thames Restek, UK) with subsequent GC-FID analysis for benzene and

**Airborne
determination of the
temporo-spatial
distribution**

M. D Shaw et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

suburban (latitude 51.30–51.35°) and south-western Greater London (latitude 51.35–51.42°) were 1.1 ± 0.3 ppbv ppbv⁻¹ and 1.3 ± 0.3 ppbv ppbv⁻¹ respectively. This could be interpreted as increasing air mass age from emission and suggests that the sources of benzene and toluene in these regions are likely the product of local emission and horizontal advection from inner London.

Linear regression analysis between NO, NO₂, NO_x, benzene and toluene mixing ratios yielded correlation coefficients (R^2) ranging between 0.12 and 0.64. The weakest linear regressions were observed between toluene and NO ($R^2 = 0.12$, $n = 7500$) and benzene and NO ($R^2 = 0.14$, $n = 6500$), not shown. The strongest linear regressions were observed between toluene and benzene ($R^2 = 0.51$, $n = 6500$) and toluene and NO₂ ($R^2 = 0.64$, $n = 7500$) (Fig. 5). This trimodal distribution between benzene, toluene and NO₂ indicates that these compounds potentially share the same or co-located sources within the Greater London area, most likely vehicular emission. However the measured NO₂/NO concentration ratio at 360 m a.g.l. is likely to be dominated by photochemistry rather than emission sources (Atkinson et al., 2000).

Figure 5 also suggests a secondary source contribution to toluene that is not shared with NO₂ or benzene and hence is not related to traffic emissions. This secondary source is localised to a discrete peak in observed toluene in SE London within the borough of Lambeth (latitude 51.455°, longitude -0.141°). This was observed during three of the seven flight transects in which toluene mixing ratios at 360 ± 10 m a.g.l. increased from 0.25 to 0.6 ppbv with a T/B ratio of up to 3 ppbv ppbv⁻¹, as seen in Fig. 3. Toluene has numerous anthropogenic sources including evaporative fuel losses, industrial solvents, paint thinners and the manufacturing of ink and paints. Direct toluene emissions from industrialised areas in Mexico city with T/B ratios of up to 8.5–12.5 ppbv ppbv⁻¹ have previously been reported (Karl et al., 2009). In the absence of any identifiable industrialised areas upwind of the region of high T/B ratios in Lambeth, this peak is possibly due to the horizontal advection of industrial emissions from outside of London, or some unidentified localised source of toluene.

**Airborne
determination of the
temporo-spatial
distribution**

M. D Shaw et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



to low observed wind speeds, $< 5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$. The lower and upper limits of the averaged dispersion layer were 300–400 m a.g.l., similar to the measured average flight altitude of $360 \pm 10 \text{ m a.g.l.}$ during RF 1, RF4–6. Airborne mixing ratios for comparison were given as the arithmetic average and 1 SD of the hourly measurements within the dispersion plume. The approximate transport times from Marylebone Road, Westminster and Eltham to the flight transect ranged between 3–7, 7–15 and 14–28 min respectively, calculated from the observed horizontal wind speed and the downwind/upwind distance for each ground station during each flight (Fig. S1).

Figure 8 shows a linear regression analysis between airborne and ground mixing ratios of benzene, toluene, NO, NO₂, NO_x and O₃. Strong positive correlations are observed for all species at all three ground sites with R^2 values ranging from 0.54–0.97 ($n = 7$). Ground mixing ratios of both VOCs and NO_x species were significantly higher at the Marylebone Road kerbside site relative to the urban background (Westminster) and suburban background (Eltham) sites. Average mixing ratios observed at ground level for benzene and toluene respectively were 0.12 ± 0.05 ; 0.21 ± 0.08 ppbv at Marylebone Road and 0.07 ± 0.01 ; 0.13 ± 0.03 ppbv at Eltham, with T/B ratios of 1.7–1.8 ppbv ppbv⁻¹ indicative of vehicular emissions as the dominant source at both sites. NO_x mixing ratios were also significantly higher at Marylebone Road (121.96 ± 45.28 ppbv) than Westminster (40 ± 4.45 ppbv) and Eltham (10.02 ± 4.28). For O₃, the mean mixing ratios observed at Westminster (13.56 ± 4.9 ppbv) were lower than at Eltham (19.14 ± 3.2 ppbv) whilst the lowest mixing ratios were at the Marylebone Road site (9.23 ± 8.42 ppbv). The O₃ mixing ratios at these sites are anti-correlated to that of NO (Fig. 8), through enhanced NO emission and subsequent titration of O₃ in proximity to busy road networks.

Also of interest, NO/NO₂ ratios were higher at the Marylebone Road site (0.62 ± 0.25) than at Westminster (0.50 ± 0.15) and Eltham (0.25 ± 0.09). Historically, vehicular diesel and petrol emissions of NO_x were dominated by emissions of NO (NO/NO₂ ratios of (NO / NO₂ ratios of ≤ 0.9)). However, recent developments in diesel emission technology, specifically diesel oxidation catalysts and particulate filters, have

proximity to the emission sources, photochemical aging and dilution of the air mass during vertical mixing.

An increase in NO_x mixing ratios from 18 to 30 ppbv at 360 ± 10 m a.g.l. was observed ~ 20 km downwind of LHA. Our measurements tentatively support previous studies that suggest that even though Heathrow is an important emission source of NO_x, observed mixing ratios of NO_x even quite close to the airport are dominated by road traffic sources. Since LHA was ~ 20 km upwind of the flight transects, these observed mixing ratios are likely to be heavily influenced by vehicular emissions during advection from LHA to the measurement location.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/acpd-14-27335-2014-supplement.

Author contributions. M. D. Shaw and J. D. Lee redesigned the PTR-MS and NO_x chemiluminescence instruments for the aircraft. M. D. Shaw, J. D. Lee and B. Davison designed the field experiment and carried it out. M. D. Shaw, J. D. Lee, A. Vaughan, R. M. Purvis, A. C. Lewis and C. N. Hewitt were responsible for analysis/interpretation of the data.

Acknowledgements. We thank the UK Natural Environment Research Council (grant NE/J00779X/1) and the Department of Environment, Food and Rural Affairs for funding. We thank Captain Carl Joseph, co-pilot James Johnson and instrumental engineer Thomas Millard (ARSF) for their expert support during the flights and James Hopkins and Shallini Punjabi (National Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of York, UK) for the WAS TD-GC-FID benzene and toluene concentration data.

References

Atkinson, R.: Atmospheric chemistry of VOCs and NO_x, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 2063–2101, 2000.
Beswick, K. M., Gallagher, M. W., Webb, A. R., Norton, E. G., and Perry, F.: Application of the Aventech AIMMS20AQ airborne probe for turbulence measurements during the Convective

27356

ACPD

14, 27335–27371, 2014

Airborne determination of the temporo-spatial distribution

M. D Shaw et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Airborne
determination of the
temporo-spatial
distribution**

M. D Shaw et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Güsten, H., Heinrich, G., and Sprung, D.: Nocturnal depletion of ozone in the Upper Rhine Valley, *Atmos. Environ.*, 32, 1195–1202, 1998.
- Harrison, R. M., Dall'Osto, M., Beddows, D. C. S., Thorpe, A. J., Bloss, W. J., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Dorsey, J. R., Gallagher, M., Martin, C., Whitehead, J., Williams, P. I., Jones, R. L., Langridge, J. M., Benton, A. K., Ball, S. M., Langford, B., Hewitt, C. N., Davison, B., Martin, D., Petersson, K. F., Henshaw, S. J., White, I. R., Shallcross, D. E., Barlow, J. F., Dunbar, T., Davies, F., Nemitz, E., Phillips, G. J., Helfter, C., Di Marco, C. F., and Smith, S.: Atmospheric chemistry and physics in the atmosphere of a developed megacity (London): an overview of the REPARTEE experiment and its conclusions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 3065–3114, doi:10.5194/acp-12-3065-2012, 2012.
- Hayward, S., Hewitt, C., Sartin, J., and Owen, S.: Performance characteristics and applications of a proton transfer reaction-mass spectrometer for measuring volatile organic compounds in ambient air, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 36, 1554–1560, 2002.
- Heeb, N. V., Forss, A.-M., Bach, C., Reimann, S., Herzog, A., and Jäckle, H. W.: A comparison of benzene, toluene and C2-benzenes mixing ratios in automotive exhaust and in the suburban atmosphere during the introduction of catalytic converter technology to the Swiss Car Fleet, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 3103–3116, 2000.
- Hewitt, C., Hayward, S., and Tani, A.: The application of proton transfer reaction-mass spectrometry (PTR-MS) to the monitoring and analysis of volatile organic compounds in the atmosphere, *J. Environ. Monitor.*, 5, 1–7, 2003.
- Hopkins, J. R., Lewis, A. C., and Read, K. A.: A two-column method for long-term monitoring of non-methane hydrocarbons (NMHCs) and oxygenated volatile organic compounds (o-VOCs), *J. Environ. Monitor.*, 5, 8–13, 2003.
- Hopkins, J. R., Evans, M. J., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., H Marsham, J., McQuaid, J. B., Parker, D. J., Stewart, D. J., Reeves, C. E., and Purvis, R. M.: Direct estimates of emissions from the megacity of Lagos, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 8471–8477, doi:10.5194/acp-9-8471-2009, 2009.
- Hu, S., Paulson, S. E., Fruin, S., Kozawa, K., Mara, S., and Winer, A. M.: Observation of elevated air pollutant concentrations in a residential neighborhood of Los Angeles California using a mobile platform, *Atmos. Environ.*, 51, 311–319, 2012.
- Im, U., Incecik, S., Guler, M., Tek, A., Topcu, S., Unal, Y. S., Yenigun, O., Kindap, T., Odman, M. T., and Tayanc, M.: Analysis of surface ozone and nitrogen oxides at urban, semi-rural and rural sites in Istanbul, Turkey, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 443, 920–931, 2013.

**Airborne
determination of the
temporo-spatial
distribution**

M. D Shaw et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Jobson, B. T., Volkamer, R. A., Velasco, E., Allwine, G., Westberg, H., Lamb, B. K., Alexander, M. L., Berkowitz, C. M., and Molina, L. T.: Comparison of aromatic hydrocarbon measurements made by PTR-MS, DOAS and GC-FID during the MCMA 2003 Field Experiment, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 1989–2005, doi:10.5194/acp-10-1989-2010, 2010.
- 5 Kansal, A.: Sources and reactivity of NMHCs and VOCs in the atmosphere: a review, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 166, 17–26, 2009.
- Karl, T., Apel, E., Hodzic, A., Riemer, D. D., Blake, D. R., and Wiedinmyer, C.: Emissions of volatile organic compounds inferred from airborne flux measurements over a megacity, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 271–285, doi:10.5194/acp-9-271-2009, 2009.
- 10 Langford, B., Nemitz, E., House, E., Phillips, G. J., Famulari, D., Davison, B., Hopkins, J. R., Lewis, A. C., and Hewitt, C. N.: Fluxes and concentrations of volatile organic compounds above central London, UK, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 627–645, doi:10.5194/acp-10-627-2010, 2010.
- Lee, J., Moller, S., Read, K., Lewis, A., Mendes, L., and Carpenter, L.: Year-round measurements of nitrogen oxides and ozone in the tropical North Atlantic marine boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, D21302, doi:10.1029/2009JD011878, 2009.
- 15 Lee, J., Helfter, C., Purvis, R., Beavers, S., Carslaw, D., Lewis, A., Moller, S., Nemitz, E., and Tremper, A.: Measurement NO_x fluxes from a tall tower above central London, UK and comparison with emissions inventories., *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, under review, 2014.
- 20 Lu, W. and Wang, X.: Interaction patterns of major air pollutants in Hong Kong territory, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 324, 247–259, 2004.
- Marr, L. C., Moore, T. O., Klapmeyer, M. E., and Killar, M. B.: Comparison of NO_x fluxes measured by eddy covariance to emission inventories and land use, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47, 1800–1808, doi:10.1021/es303150y, 2013.
- 25 Mazzeo, N. A., Venegas, L. E., and Choren, H.: Analysis of NO , NO_2 , O_3 and NO_x concentrations measured at a green area of Buenos Aires City during wintertime, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 3055–3068, 2005.
- Na, K., Moon, K.-C., and Kim, Y. P.: Source contribution to aromatic VOC concentration and ozone formation potential in the atmosphere of Seoul, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 5517–5524, 2005.
- 30 Nelson, P., Quigley, S., and Smith, M.: Sources of atmospheric hydrocarbons in Sydney: a quantitative determination using a source reconciliation technique, *Atmos. Environ.*, 17, 439–449, 1983.

**Airborne
determination of the
temporo-spatial
distribution**

M. D Shaw et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Newchurch, M., Ayoub, M., Oltmans, S., Johnson, B., and Schmidlin, F.: Vertical distribution of ozone at four sites in the United States, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 108, ACH 9-1–ACH 9-17, 2003.

Ohta, T. and Ohyama, T.: A set of rate constants for the reactions of OH radicals with aromatic hydrocarbons, *B. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 58, 3029–3030, 1985.

Pollack, I. B., Lerner, B. M., and Ryerson, T. B.: Evaluation of ultraviolet light-emitting diodes for detection of atmospheric NO₂ by photolysis-chemiluminescence, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 65, 111–125, 2010.

Pudasainee, D., Sapkota, B., Bhatnagar, A., Kim, S.-H., and Seo, Y.-C.: Influence of weekdays, weekends and bandhas on surface ozone in Kathmandu valley, *Atmos. Res.*, 95, 150–156, 2010.

Pugh, T. A., MacKenzie, A. R., Whyatt, J. D., and Hewitt, C. N.: Effectiveness of green infrastructure for improvement of air quality in urban street canyons, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46, 7692–7699, 2012.

Rogers, T., Grimsrud, E., Herndon, S., Jayne, J., Kolb, C. E., Allwine, E., Westberg, H., Lamb, B., Zavala, M., and Molina, L.: On-road measurements of volatile organic compounds in the Mexico City metropolitan area using proton transfer reaction mass spectrometry, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*, 252, 26–37, 2006.

Schäfer, K., Heland, J., Lister, D. H., Wilson, C. W., Howes, R. J., Falk, R. S., Lindermeir, E., Birk, M., Wagner, G., and Haschberger, P.: Nonintrusive optical measurements of aircraft engine exhaust emissions and comparison with standard intrusive techniques, *Appl. Optics*, 39, 441–455, 2000.

Schürmann, G., Schäfer, K., Jahn, C., Hoffmann, H., Bauerfeind, M., Fleuti, E., and Rappenglück, B.: The impact of NO, CO and VOC emissions on the air quality of Zurich airport, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41, 103–118, 2007.

Spicer, C. W., Holdren, M. W., Riggan, R. M., and Lyon, T. F.: Chemical composition and photochemical reactivity of exhaust from aircraft turbine engines, *Ann. Geophys.*, 12, 944–955, doi:10.1007/s00585-994-0944-0, 1994.

Stutz, J., Alicke, B., Ackermann, R., Geyer, A., White, A., and Williams, E.: Vertical profiles of NO₃, N₂O₅, O₃, and NO_x in the nocturnal boundary layer: 1. observations during the Texas Air Quality Study 2000, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 109, D12306, doi:10.1029/2003JD004209, 2004.

**Airborne
determination of the
temporo-spatial
distribution**

M. D Shaw et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Taipale, R., Ruuskanen, T. M., Rinne, J., Kajos, M. K., Hakola, H., Pohja, T., and Kulmala, M.: Technical note: Quantitative long-term measurements of VOC concentrations by PTR-MS – measurement, calibration, and volume mixing ratio calculation methods, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 6681–6698, doi:10.5194/acp-8-6681-2008, 2008.

5 Valach, A., Langford, B., Nemitz, E., MacKenzie, A., and Hewitt, C.: Concentrations of selected volatile organic compounds at kerbside and background sites in central London, *Atmos. Environ.*, 95, 456–467, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.06.052, 2014.

Velasco, E., Márquez, C., Bueno, E., Bernabé, R. M., Sánchez, A., Fentanes, O., Wöhrnschimmel, H., Cárdenas, B., Kamilla, A., Wakamatsu, S., and Molina, L. T.: Vertical distribution of ozone and VOCs in the low boundary layer of Mexico City, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 3061–3079, doi:10.5194/acp-8-3061-2008, 2008.

10 Wang, S., Ackermann, R., Geyer, A., Doran, J. C., Shaw, W. J., Fast, J. D., Spicer, C. W., and Stutz, J.: Vertical variation of nocturnal NO_x chemistry in the urban environment of Phoenix, in: *Extended Abstracts, Fifth Conference on Atmospheric Chemistry in the 83rd AMS Annual Meeting*, CD-ROM, P1.1, Am. Meteorol. Soc., Long Beach, CA, 2003.

15 Warneke, C., Van der Veen, C., Luxembourg, S., De Gouw, J., and Kok, A.: Measurements of benzene and toluene in ambient air using proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry: calibration, humidity dependence, and field intercomparison, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.*, 207, 167–182, 2001.

20 Watson, J. G., Chow, J. C., and Fujita, E. M.: Review of volatile organic compound source apportionment by chemical mass balance, *Atmos. Environ.*, 35, 1567–1584, 2001.

Wesely, M. and Hicks, B.: A review of the current status of knowledge on dry deposition, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 2261–2282, 2000.

Airborne determination of the temporo-spatial distribution

M. D Shaw et al.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)

[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


Table 2. Summary of mixing ratios (ppbv) observed over inner London during campaign.

	RF1	RF2	RF3	RF4	RF5	RF6	RF7	RF8	RF9	RF10
Benzene										
Mean	0.08	0.09	0.22	0.10	0.20	0.10	0.17	0.18	0.10	0.07
Median	0.07	0.09	0.15	0.09	0.19	0.10	0.17	0.18	0.09	0.07
SD	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.05
5th percentile	0.01	0.03	0.06	0.03	0.09	0.03	0.09	0.08	0.01	0.01
95th percentile	0.178	0.20	0.27	0.17	0.22	0.19	0.26	0.30	0.25	0.16
<i>N</i>	16 500	13 900	14 100	2560	7620	11 260	8860	8950	12 600	12 100
Toluene										
Mean	0.17	0.12	0.28	0.15	0.28	0.12	0.20	0.14	0.29	0.14
Median	0.16	0.12	0.27	0.15	0.25	0.11	0.20	0.13	0.27	0.13
SD	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.11	0.19	0.09
5th percentile	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.12	0.01	0.09	0.01	0.01	0.01
95th percentile	4.34	0.26	0.39	0.32	0.38	0.28	0.32	0.32	0.61	0.28
<i>N</i>	16 500	13 900	14 100	2560	7620	11 260	8860	8950	12 600	12 100
NO										
Mean	3.83	2.06	17.46	8.81	13.20	4.80	2.20	1.77	14.45	12.45
Median	3.46	2.44	16.19	7.76	12.43	3.41	2.38	1.91	15.37	11.98
SD	2.16	2.50	7.42	1.88	8.60	3.61	1.22	1.42	10.21	8.99
5th percentile	1.06	0.70	3.49	1.91	1.98	1.23	0.23	0.30	1.44	1.96
95th percentile	7.82	7.94	25.71	7.44	21.33	12.17	3.84	3.97	29.87	26.41
<i>N</i>	82 500	69 500	70 500	12 800	38 100	56 300	44 300	44 750	63 000	60 500
NO₂										
Mean	15.19	11.99	22.95	18.64	21.02	12.17	6.34	7.70	18.87	17.85
Median	13.59	11.44	26.54	22.13	20.23	10.65	6.65	9.11	18.67	16.32
SD	6.10	8.29	13.17	7.89	6.38	7.31	2.77	5.07	10.92	9.87
5th percentile	7.56	7.91	11.45	10.21	6.03	3.65	1.84	1.20	3.86	4.58
95th percentile	26.49	31.90	52.13	35.08	25.97	19.60	3.84	14.75	32.73	31.34
<i>N</i>	82 500	69 500	70 500	12 800	38 100	56 300	44 300	44 750	63 000	60 500
NO_x										
Mean	19.02	16.05	40.41	27.45	34.3	16.97	8.54	9.48	34.74	30.3
Median	17.49	17.91	36.02	26.78	32.40	15.95	9.07	11.27	38.79	28.9
SD	7.96	10.39	19.87	9.67	15.20	8.50	3.94	6.44	20.39	8.66
5th percentile	8.71	9.00	15.53	12.16	9.35	5.20	2.21	1.33	5.77	8.41
95th percentile	33.62	39.36	76.08	42.69	44.54	30.04	13.61	18.57	61.67	45.67
<i>N</i>	82 500	69 500	70 500	12 800	38 100	56 300	44 300	44 750	63 000	60 500

Airborne
determination of the
temporo-spatial
distribution

M. D Shaw et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Figure 1. Top: map showing all NERC Dornier-228 flights overlaid on UK transport map. Bottom: total flight legs across Greater London. Grey area; Greater London boundary, black area; inner London boundary, blue area; London CCZ.

Airborne
determination of the
temporo-spatial
distribution

M. D Shaw et al.




Figure 2. Top: time series of averaged benzene and toluene concentrations observed at 360 ± 10 m a.g.l. during RF 2–6. Bottom: times series of averaged NO/NO₂ ratios and O₃, NO_x concentrations during RF 2–6.

Airborne determination of the temporo-spatial distribution

M. D Shaw et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Figure 3. City cross section of 1 km averaged benzene, toluene mixing ratios and T/B instantaneous ratios (ppbv ppbv⁻¹) at 360 ± 10 m a.g.l. across Greater London during RF 5.

Airborne
determination of the
temporo-spatial
distribution

M. D Shaw et al.




Figure 4. City cross section of 1 km averaged NO, NO₂ and NO_x mixing ratios across Greater London at 360 ± 10 m a.g.l. during RF 5.




Figure 5. Top: linear regression analysis of benzene against toluene mixing ratios at 360 ± 10 m a.g.l. during RF 5. Bottom: linear regression analysis of NO_2 against toluene mixing ratios at 360 ± 10 m a.g.l. during RF 5.

Airborne determination of the temporo-spatial distribution

M. D Shaw et al.




Figure 6. Top: NO_x concentration data (7 m resolved at 360 ± 10 m a.g.l.) during RF5 overlaid on UK transport map. Middle: Benzene concentration data (35 m resolved at 360 ± 10 m a.g.l.) during RF5 overlaid on UK transport map. Bottom: Toluene concentration data (35 m resolved at 360 ± 10 m a.g.l.) during RF5 overlaid on UK transport map. Grey area; Greater London boundary, black area; inner London boundary, dark blue area; London CCZ, light blue area; 3 h averaged HYSPLIT dispersion trajectory.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

**Airborne
determination of the
temporo-spatial
distribution**

M. D Shaw et al.




Figure 7. Average vertical profiles of O_3 , NO , NO_2 , O_x , benzene and toluene across London during RF1, 17:00–18:00LT on the 24 June 2013. X error bars represent standard deviation (1σ) of mixing ratios observed during each flight leg. Mixing ratio at ground level is hourly average from the LAQN Marylebone road air quality monitoring station.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Airborne
determination of the
temporo-spatial
distribution

M. D Shaw et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Figure 8. Linear regression analysis between airborne (at 360 ± 10 m a.g.l.) and hourly ground measurements at Greenwich–Eltham, Westminster–Horseferry road and Marylebone Road from the LAQN monitoring network during RF1, 4, 5 and 6.