

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland from 1991 to 2008

I. Barmpadimos¹, C. Hüglin², J. Keller¹, S. Henne², and A. S. H. Prévôt¹

¹Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland

²Swiss Federal Laboratories for Material Science and Technology, Dübendorf, Switzerland

Received: 16 September 2010 – Accepted: 26 October 2010 – Published: 9 November 2010

Correspondence to: A. S. H. Prévôt (andre.prevot@psi.ch)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

Abstract

Measurements of airborne particles with aerodynamic diameter of $10\ \mu\text{m}$ or less (PM_{10}) and meteorological observations are available from 13 stations distributed throughout Switzerland and representing different site types. The effect of all available meteorological variables on PM_{10} concentrations was estimated using Generalized Additive Models. Data from each season were treated separately. The most important variables affecting PM_{10} concentrations in winter, autumn and spring were wind gust, the precipitation rate of the previous day, the precipitation rate of the current day and the boundary layer depth. In summer, the most important variables were wind gust, Julian day and afternoon temperature. In addition, temperature was important in winter. A “week-end effect” was identified due to the selection of variable “day of the week” for some stations. Thursday contributes to an increase of 13% whereas Sunday contributes to a reduction of 12% of PM_{10} concentrations compared to Monday on average over 9 stations for the yearly data. The estimated effects of meteorological variables were removed from the measured PM_{10} values to obtain the PM_{10} variability and trends due to other factors and processes, mainly PM_{10} emissions and formation of secondary PM_{10} due to trace gas emissions. After applying this process, the PM_{10} variability was much lower, especially in winter where the ratio of adjusted over measured mean squared error was 0.27 on average over all considered sites. Moreover, PM_{10} trends in winter were more negative after the adjustment for meteorology and they ranged between $-1.25\ \mu\text{g m}^{-3}\text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $0.07\ \mu\text{g m}^{-3}\text{ yr}^{-1}$. The adjusted trends for the other seasons ranged between $-1.34\ \mu\text{g m}^{-3}\text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $-0.26\ \mu\text{g m}^{-3}\text{ yr}^{-1}$ in spring, $-1.40\ \mu\text{g m}^{-3}\text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $-0.28\ \mu\text{g m}^{-3}\text{ yr}^{-1}$ in summer and $-1.28\ \mu\text{g m}^{-3}\text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $-0.11\ \mu\text{g m}^{-3}\text{ yr}^{-1}$ in autumn. The estimated trends of meteorologically adjusted PM_{10} were in general non-linear. The two urban street sites considered in the study, Bern and Lausanne, experienced the largest reduction in measured and adjusted PM_{10} concentrations. This indicates a verifiable effect of traffic emission reduction strategies implemented during the past two decades. The average adjusted yearly trends for rural, urban background

Influence of meteorology on PM_{10} trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



and urban street stations were -0.37 , -0.53 and $-1.2 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$ respectively. The adjusted yearly trends for all stations range from $-0.15 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$ to $-1.2 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$ or $-1.2\% \text{yr}^{-1}$ to $-3.3\% \text{yr}^{-1}$.

1 Introduction

Airborne particles with aerodynamic diameter of $10 \mu\text{m}$ or less (PM_{10}) have important negative consequences on human health (Zemp et al., 1999; Bayer-Oglesby et al., 2005). International organizations and governments worldwide have tried to reduce emissions and human exposure to PM_{10} by issuing guidelines and directives and by taking a number of legislative measures. In Switzerland yearly average PM_{10} concentrations should not exceed $20 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ and a daily average value of $50 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ should not be exceeded more than once a year (Swiss Federal Council, 1999). As of 2008 the European Union has introduced legislation for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ as well (European Parliament and the Council, 2008). As a result of the implementation of air quality legislation, air pollution levels (including particulate matter) in most developed countries have declined after 1970 (Darlington et al., 1997). Further implementation and assessment of mitigation policies require high quality long-term datasets providing quantitative air quality information at a regional level. When such datasets exist, a detailed investigation of PM_{10} trends is of great value both on a scientific and on a regulatory level.

An important aspect to be considered when studying trends of air pollution is that the trends do not only depend on the emissions of certain pollutants but also on the meteorological conditions in the area of interest (Gomiscek et al., 2004). Hooyberghs et al. (2005) reported in a study for Belgian urban centers that day-to-day PM_{10} concentrations depend more on meteorology than on anthropogenic emissions. Pryor and Barthelmie (1996) emphasized that, one should first isolate and remove the effect of weather conditions before investigating the influence of anthropogenic activities on air pollution. To do so, knowledge of the dependence of the concentrations of certain pollutants on various meteorological variables is required. Only a few studies exist

Influence of meteorology on PM_{10} trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



on this wide research field. For example, Easter and Peters (1993) demonstrated the non-linear effect of temperature and relative humidity changes on sulphate aerosol. However, temperature and relative humidity represent only a few of the meteorological variables that affect pollutant concentrations.

Although this study does not focus on PM₁₀ chemical composition, it is important to acknowledge that PM₁₀ is a complex mixture of chemical compounds whose behaviour depends strongly on the atmospheric conditions. In a paper that summarises data from a number of European sites, Putaud et al. (2004) report that for the four Swiss sites included in their study (Bern, Zürich, Basel and Chaumont), the most important compounds in terms of their contribution to total PM₁₀ mass are black carbon, organic matter, mineral dust, ammonium, nitrate and sulphate, while a considerable unaccounted fraction is present as well. The relative contribution of different species to the total PM₁₀ mass varies between different site types. The kerbside site at Bern has a relatively large fraction of black carbon and a low fraction of sulphate and ammonium. Mineral dust fraction was large at the kerbside station and at the rural station at Chaumont whereas it was low at the urban background stations at Zürich and Basel. Ammonia and nitrate have the largest fraction at urban background sites and a low fraction at the rural site. Further important constituents of PM₁₀ in Switzerland are organic matter and the unaccounted fraction which do not vary significantly between different site types and seasons. Information on the chemical composition of organic for different sites is given by Lanz et al. (2009), who show that the oxygenated secondary fraction is the dominating organic fraction. In general for PM₁, larger differences are found between the seasons compared to station types. A further chemical characterisation of PM₁₀ at Swiss sites including water soluble ions and trace elements is found in Hüglin et al. (2005).

Several methodologies for modeling of PM₁₀ trends and for prediction of PM₁₀ concentrations are reported in the literature. Zolghadri and Cazaurang (2006) developed an adaptive nonlinear model for the prediction of PM₁₀ in the Bordeaux area. However, the methodology for the development of the model has strong empirical elements,

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



which make it strictly valid only for the area that it was developed for. Another approach for statistical modeling of PM₁₀ involves neural networks (Hooyberghs et al., 2005), which demonstrated a fairly good predictive skill of PM₁₀ for Belgium. Ordóñez et al. (2005) used an extensive meteorological dataset to carry out a statistical analysis of the effect of meteorology on ozone trends in Switzerland (see also Keller et al., 2008). In this study however it was assumed that ozone has a linear dependence on each meteorological variable. In a more recent analysis of the effect of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends in the Netherlands (Velders and Matthijsen, 2009) it was assumed that the long term trend was only a result of emissions and that meteorology only affects the variability of PM₁₀ concentrations after removing the trends. However, it is shown later that changes in meteorology can also be responsible for long term changes in PM₁₀ concentrations.

To carry out an adequate investigation of PM₁₀ trends, one needs a good spatial and temporal coverage of the region in question (Pryor and Barthelmie, 1996). PM₁₀ measurements within the Swiss national air quality monitoring network are available from 13 stations since 1991, providing a rare opportunity to study the PM₁₀ trends during a time period with marked changes in air pollution levels in developed countries. The stations represent various site types and altitudes (see Table 1). Details on the dataset used in this study are given in Sect. 2. The effect of a range of available meteorological variables on PM₁₀ was estimated by Generalized Additive Models (GAMs). The possibility of having different PM₁₀ trends in each season was investigated by treating each season separately. A description of the methodology is found in Sect. 3. The results and the conclusions are presented in Sects. 4 and 5 respectively.

2 Data

PM₁₀ concentrations used in this study were measured at 13 sites of the Swiss National Air Pollution Monitoring Network NABEL (Empa, 2010). The names and details of the considered sites are listed in Table 1, their locations are shown in Fig. 1.

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The PM₁₀ data were obtained using high-volume samplers (DHA-80, Digital) and gravimetric determination of the collected PM mass. From 1991 until the end of 2005 glass fiber filters (Ederol 227/1/60) were used. Since then PM₁₀ is collected on quartz fiber filters (Whatman QMA). Until 1997 the high volume samplers were equipped with inlets for total suspended particles (TSP). The TSP values from 1991 to 1997 were converted into PM₁₀ by applying a factor that was derived separately for all site types during one year parallel PM₁₀ measurements. For 1997, this factor is 0.63 for the urban kerbside site in Bern and between 0.81 and 0.90 for the other stations (Empa, 2010). The correction process for the TSP data ensures continuity of the TSP and PM₁₀ timeseries. The main assumption involved in this correction is that the PM₁₀ to TSP ratio at each station was approximately constant throughout the 1991–1997 period and equal to the 1997 value. This is considered to be reasonable. Scatterplots of TSP measurements before and after scaling versus parallel PM₁₀ measurements for year 1997 and for 8 stations are provided as supplementary material.

In 2001, the frequency of PM₁₀ sampling for the gravimetric method was reduced from daily sampling to PM₁₀ collection at every fourth day. Since then, the gravimetric PM₁₀ measurements are complemented by beta attenuation monitors (FH62-IR, Thermo ESM Andersen) and later on by TEOM FDMS (Thermo Environmental) instruments. However, the PM₁₀ data obtained with the PM₁₀ monitors were corrected to be consistent with gravimetrically determined PM₁₀ for the days where gravimetrically determined PM₁₀ were not available. The correction takes into account the previous and next gravimetric PM₁₀ observation using the formula put forward by Gehrig et al. (2005). The correction of the beta monitor and TEOM data introduces some random noise but no bias and therefore no breaks or inconsistencies in the PM₁₀ timeseries are caused by the change of the sampling period from 1 to 4 days. The observational errors of the aforementioned measurement methods at the 95% level of confidence are 1.2 μg m⁻³ for the gravimetric method, 1.4 μg m⁻³ for the beta monitor and 2.2 μg m⁻³ for the TEOM instrument.

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



At some of the sites meteorological measurements are available from the NABEL network. The remaining sites are collocated with meteorological measurements stations operated by the Swiss weather service (MeteoSwiss) and meteorological data were provided from MeteoSwiss. The meteorological data measured include wind speed, wind direction, precipitation, global radiation, net radiation, air temperature and relative humidity. NABEL and MeteoSwiss use the same instruments for their meteorological measurements.

Wind speed and wind direction are measured using a rotating cup anemometer and a weather vane located typically at 10 m above ground. Precipitation is measured by means of a tipping bucket rain gauge with a built-in heating system for measuring snow and ice. Further instrumentation installed at the sites includes a pyranometer for measuring global radiation and a pyranometer-pyrgeometer coupled system for the measurement of net radiation. Temperature is measured using a thermocouple and dewpoint is measured by a chilled mirror hygrometer. A detailed description of the instrumental setup for meteorology measurements is given in Empa (2010).

The resulting air pollution and meteorology datasets go through an automatic and manual evaluation to ensure that certain criteria are fulfilled. Namely, the data should be within a plausible range as well as showing plausible variability. Moreover, the data should reproduce to a reasonable extent the expected daily, monthly and yearly variations. Certain measured quantities which are related to each other are checked for consistency. Whenever possible, NABEL measurements are compared to nearby or similar stations with the expectation of similar values.

In addition to the daily average, the afternoon average of temperature, global irradiance, water mixing ratio and wind speed are calculated and used as meteorological variables as well. “Afternoon” values are the result of averaging hourly values between 12:00 and 18:00 (UTC+1). Such variables are hereafter denoted with the letter “a” at the beginning of their name. Averages for the morning (06:00–12:00 UTC+1) and evening (18:00–24:00 UTC+1) periods were calculated as well. These are denoted with “m” and “e” respectively.

Ordóñez et al. (2005). For each station and season, only those meteorological variables with less than 30% missing values were included in the data analysis procedure described below.

3 Statistical method

3.1 Description of the statistical model

In order to properly investigate the relationship between PM_{10} concentrations and meteorological variables one has to consider that these relationships can be non-linear. A way to model such non-linear relationships is to use Generalized Additive Models (Hastie and Tibshirani, 1990; Wood, 2006). GAM models were constructed for each station and season separately for the period from 1991–2008. For comparison purposes GAMs were run for the yearly data of all stations as well. All calculations were carried out using the statistical software R (R Development Core Team, 2008). The process yielded a total of 65 GAMs. The general formula of these models reads:

$$\ln PM_{10} = a + s_1(A_1) + s_2(A_2) + \dots + b_{11}B_{11} + b_{12}B_{12} + \dots + b_{21}B_{21} + b_{22}B_{22} + \dots + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

where

a : intercept

$s_1(A_1) + s_2(A_2) + \dots$: smooth functions s_j of continuous covariates A_j ;

$b_{11}B_{11} + b_{12}B_{12} + \dots + b_{21}B_{21} + b_{22}B_{22} + \dots$: B_{ij} denotes categorical variables. Index i denotes the kind of categorical variable (e.g. Synoptic Group, Front, Day of the week etc.) and index j denotes the category. For example, categorical variable Synoptic Group has eight possible categories each one representing a different synoptic group. Therefore, if Synoptic Group is denoted by B_{1j} , then B_{1j} has eight possible values

Influence of meteorology on PM_{10} trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

ranging from B_{11} to B_{18} . B_{ij} is equal to 1 when the day in question is classified under category B_{ij} and 0 otherwise. b_{ij} is the corresponding coefficient.

ε : error term

A logarithmic link function was deemed suitable for GAMs in Eq. (1) because it improved the characteristics of the model residuals (see Sect. 3.2).

Functions s_j are thin plate regression splines (see Wood, 2008 and references therein), which were estimated using the mgcv library of R. These functions are the result of a minimization procedure where smoothness is ensured by an appropriately selected penalization term. However, unlike a full thin plate spline, the basis is truncated to obtain a low rank smoother which is relatively fast to compute.

GAMs can be applied to wide variety of data sets independently of location (including atmospheric data) under the assumption that the target variable has an additive relationship to the explanatory variables.

3.2 Model selection

A forward elimination procedure was used for the selection of the model covariates. This procedure is a modified version of the variable selection process put forward by Jackson et al. (2009). The employed algorithm includes the following 6 steps:

Step 1 – The first variable was selected: All available explanatory variables were substituted one after the other into the model to construct GAMs with one variable. A version of the Akaike Information Criterion AIC (Akaike, 1974) was calculated for each model and the GAM with the lowest AIC was selected (see Eq. (3) for definition of AIC).

Step 2 – The next variable was added: The remaining explanatory variables were substituted to the existing model one after the other. The GAM with the lowest AIC was selected.

26970

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Step 3 – The choice from the first step was confirmed: The variable which was chosen in the first step was removed and each one of the remaining variables was added to the model. If this processes resulted in a model with lower AIC than the model selected in step 2, then the model from the current step was chosen.

Step 4 – Collinearity was tested: Collinearity occurs when two model covariates have a linear relationship. This makes the individual contribution of each variable difficult to discern, introduces redundancy and makes the model excessively sensitive to the data (Jackson et al., 2009). The Variance Inflation Factor VIF (Freund and Wilson, 1998) was calculated as a measure of collinearity of each covariate with each one of the other variables in the model. VIF was calculated according to the relationship

$$\text{VIF} = \frac{1}{1 - R_j^2} \quad (2)$$

where R_j^2 is the coefficient of determination of the linear regression of the j th variable in the model against the other variables. If a value of $\text{VIF} = 2.5$ was exceeded for a pair of covariates, the covariate whose addition to the model caused the smaller decrease of AIC was removed. The threshold of 2.5 for VIF corresponds to a coefficient of determination of 0.6 which was considered to represent a maximum acceptable degree of collinearity. Other, typically less strict cut-off values for VIF can be found in the literature. Montgomery and Peck (1992) suggest $\text{VIF} = 5$ and Kutner et al. (2004) suggest $\text{VIF} = 10$.

Step 5 – Steps 2 to 4 repeated: The aforementioned steps were repeated until the addition of an extra covariate resulted in an increase of AIC.

Step 6 – Julian day was added to the model: The PM₁₀ values do not only depend on weather conditions but also on a number of different processes, which were not included in the model such as emissions of PM₁₀ and precursors of secondary PM₁₀. One additional covariate, the time variable Julian Day (here defined

**Influence of
meteorology on PM₁₀
trends and variability
in Switzerland**

I. Barmpadimos et al.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

as the number of days since 1 January 1960) was included in the pool of available covariates to capture PM₁₀ variations due to any processes which were not explicitly accounted for. If this variable was not automatically selected in steps 1–5 of the variable selection algorithm, it was forcibly added in the end as an extra linear term. This was necessary as non-inclusion of this variable would return models whose error term instead of being random would potentially contain some interannual structure.

As a final step, it was checked that the residuals of the selected model fulfilled the criteria of normality, linearity and homoscedasticity (Wilks, 2006).

AIC was estimated using the relationship

$$\text{AIC} = -2 \cdot \log L + k \cdot \text{edf} \quad (3)$$

where

L : the maximized value of the likelihood function for the estimated model

edf: effective degrees of freedom of the model

k : the penalty parameter

Details on the calculation of AIC for GAMs can be found in Tibshirani et al. (1990) and Wood (2008). The second term on the right-hand side of Eq. (3) represents the severity of model penalization. Using the value $k = 2$ for the parameter k yields the classic AIC (Akaike, 1974) whereas $k = \log(n)$, n being the number of observations, yields the Bayesian Information Criterion BIC (Schwarz, 1978), which penalizes additional model terms more heavily. Nevertheless, both the use of AIC and BIC resulted in models with too many variables. A value of $k = 15$ was chosen here to sufficiently limit the number of selected variables in the models.

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[⏪](#)

[⏩](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



A similar model selection algorithm was used in Jackson et al. (2009). In that study however, the selection of the best model in each step of the algorithm was not based on AIC, but on deviance explained. The advantage of using the AIC in the model selection process is that, unlike simple use of deviance explained, the effective degrees of freedom of the model are taken into account. The effective degrees of freedom of a regression spline increase as wiggleness of the spline increases. Hence, using relationship (Eq. 3) in the model selection process does not only control the number of variables in the model but also the wiggleness of the variables to be selected. This method has the disadvantage that it usually yields GAMs with lower deviance explained because the model with lowest AIC chosen in each step of the algorithm does not necessarily coincide with the model with the highest deviance explained. It was deemed however appropriate to choose a methodology which results in models with conceptually more robust behavior.

3.3 Adjustment of PM₁₀ concentrations for the effect meteorology

Once the GAMs were constructed, PM₁₀ values for the period in question were adjusted for meteorology (i.e. the effect of meteorology was removed). The adjusted PM₁₀ values are the model estimates of the contribution of the Julian day plus the model intercept and the random model error. This reads:

$$\ln \text{PM}_{10_{\text{adj}}} = a + s(\text{Julian day}) + \varepsilon \quad (4)$$

The adjusted PM₁₀ values include PM₁₀ variability that is not the result of meteorology but other factors such as the anthropogenic emissions.

To evaluate the model performance, all GAMs were developed using a random sample of 90% of the available observations. The remaining 10% of the observations of the explanatory variables were then substituted into the produced models to obtain modeled PM₁₀ concentrations. These were then compared with the corresponding PM₁₀ measurements (Sect. 4.4).

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Important explanatory variables and their relationship to PM₁₀

As shown in Eq. (1), the contribution of each explanatory variable to the logarithm of PM₁₀ was calculated as an additive factor. Exponentiation of Eq. (1) yields a relationship between PM₁₀ concentrations and the selected explanatory variables which are then expressed as multiplicative factors (hereafter referred to as “PM₁₀ factors”). PM₁₀ factors contribute to an increase of PM₁₀ if they are greater than 1 and a decrease of PM₁₀ if they are lower than 1. In this section the relationship between PM₁₀ concentrations and each one of these estimated factors is presented. The exponentiated output was preferred because presenting the results in terms of PM₁₀ concentrations was deemed more intuitive than a presentation in terms of the logarithm of PM₁₀.

Table 2 shows the frequency of occurrence of each explanatory variable in the GAMs corresponding to all 13 stations for each season and the whole year. A significant part of the available explanatory variables were chosen either infrequently or never. That is because they either did not have a firm relationship to PM₁₀ or because other variables with a similar relationship to PM₁₀ were preferred in the model selection process.

The time variable “weekday” was only chosen once in winter whereas it was more frequently selected in summer, autumn and spring (4, 3 and 3 times respectively). All of the stations where weekday was selected are urban/street or rural/highway stations which are more strongly affected by traffic emissions. Figure 2 shows the PM₁₀ factor of the “day of the week” variable along with the standard error estimates at all stations where it was selected for summer.

These PM₁₀ factors are expressed relative to the effect of Monday, which is taken as equal to unity. Therefore, there is no standard error for Monday. Note the increasing tendency of the influence on PM₁₀ during the weekdays. The maximum influence occurs on Thursday which contributes to an increase of 9% to PM₁₀ concentrations compared on Monday on average over the 4 stations shown in Fig. 2 for summer. Sunday contributes to a decrease of 17% compared to Monday for the same stations.

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



“Day of the week” was selected 9 times for the yearly data and exhibited a similar weekly variation. Thursday contributes to an increase of 13% and Sunday contributes to a decrease of 12% compared to PM₁₀ on Monday on average over the 9 stations selected for the yearly data. Assuming that the primary aerosol emissions are similar during all the weekdays, the increase during weekdays might be attributed, on the one hand to the production of secondary aerosol and on the other hand to a possible buildup of aerosol in consecutive days with high emissions. A similar weekly PM₁₀ pattern was found by Zidek et al. (2002) for the city of Vancouver.

The explanatory variables that were chosen for more than half (at least 7) of the models are summarized in Table 3. Figure 3 shows the PM₁₀ factors of the most important explanatory variables. To provide results from a representative sample of stations, the stations were separated into five site types: “urban street”, “urban background”, “suburban”, “rural lowland” (which includes the two “rural highway” stations) and ‘rural mountain’ (see Table 1). For each variable in Table 3, its relationship with PM₁₀ for one station from each site type is shown in Fig. 3. Each row of plots in this figure refers to the same variable and each column refers to the same site type. If the variable in question was selected at more than one station from the same type, the station where the variable was selected first is shown. If the variable appeared at two stations of the same type in the same order, the station where the variable caused the largest reduction of AIC is shown. In the plots in Fig. 3, the shaded areas indicate two standard errors above and below the best estimates. The values of the smooth PM₁₀ functions before exponentiation had the property of summing up to zero. A “rug” was added to the horizontal axis to show the distribution of the values of each explanatory variable across its range.

Wind gust is the most important variable in all seasons except summer where it is the second most important. Wind gust depends on average wind speed and on turbulence kinetic energy. Wind speed was also included as an explanatory variable; however it was usually not preferred in the variable selection process. This is probably because wind gust carries information about turbulence in the atmosphere. Thus, including wind

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



gust not only accounts for transport of PM₁₀ due to the mean wind but also for turbulent mixing. Note that it would not be possible to include both wind speed and wind gust in the GAMs because they are highly correlated and one of them would always be removed in step 4 of the algorithm (see Sect. 3). Hooyberghs et al. (2005) show a negative linear relationship between wind speed and PM₁₀ concentrations. The relationship found here between wind gust and PM₁₀ is approximately exponential (Fig. 3).

The second most important variable, chosen in spring, autumn and winter is CBL depth. CBL depth affects PM₁₀ concentrations by limiting the volume of turbulent mixing of PM₁₀. Figure 3 shows that an increase in CBL depth results in a decrease of PM₁₀ as expected. Non-linear relationships are encountered at many stations. This could be attributed to the fact that CBL depth was calculated from data from Payerne, a station in the Swiss plateau north of the Alps whereas the actual boundary layer characteristics of the stations included in this study are considerably affected by the presence of cities, mountains, valleys, lakes and other topographic particularities. Moreover, the important secondary aerosol production in Switzerland (Lanz et al., 2007, 2008) does not have a linear dependence on the aerosol precursors. Unlike all other stations, at the rural mountain station Rigi, the relationship between PM₁₀ and CBL depth in autumn is positive. Given that Rigi is located above 1000 m, the levels of PM₁₀ are affected by whether the station is in the boundary layer or above, rather than by the boundary layer depth per se.

Two other important variables that appear in spring, autumn and winter are daily precipitation and yesterday precipitation. Precipitation reduces considerably PM₁₀ concentrations by wet deposition. In addition, if it is frontal precipitation, some polluted boundary layer air is replaced by clean air from aloft. As shown in Fig. 3, PM₁₀ is particularly sensitive to low values of precipitation. PM₁₀ levels reduce significantly for daily precipitation up to around 5 mm whereas for larger values of precipitation its effect diminishes. This feature would not be possible to depict by a linear relationship. For precipitation above 10 mm the effect on PM₁₀ appears to be positive or strongly fluctuating at many stations. However, care should be taken when interpreting the

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



results in regimes where data are sparse because the smooth functions have a large uncertainty in such regimes. Rugplots in Fig. 3 show that data are sparse above 10 mm of hourly precipitation and that the standard error becomes considerably large. The frequent selection of yesterday precipitation in the models indicates that, when investigating air pollution levels, it is not only important to take into account the current weather conditions but also the recent history of the weather. Current day precipitation and yesterday precipitation were not selected in summer where convective precipitation is encountered more frequently (Beniston et al., 1994). Convective precipitation typically lasts for a short time and exhibits a large spatial variability allowing for the presence of potentially high PM₁₀ concentrations before the rain and some accumulation of new PM₁₀ during the same day after the rain.

An important variable in spring and winter is net irradiance. Notably, this variable has opposite effects on PM₁₀ during winter and spring. During spring, increasing values of net irradiance are associated with a strong decrease of PM₁₀ concentrations. A possible explanation is that strong net irradiance contributes to large sensible heat flux which in turn drives the formation of deeper atmospheric boundary layers. However, in winter the relationship between PM₁₀ concentrations and net irradiance is reversed with larger positive values of net irradiance contributing to an increase of PM₁₀. During winter, anticyclonic situations often create well-marked drainage flows from the Alps towards the Swiss Plateau. The cold air at the surface creates strong inversions, which in turn lead to radiation fog, high inversion fog (*Hochnebel*) and high levels of air pollution (Wanner and Hertig, 1984). While low negative values of net radiation occur with clear skies, the presence of *Hochnebel* introduces a positive term of long wave radiation in the net irradiance balance, which increases net irradiance. It is possible that through this mechanism, relatively high values of net irradiance lead to high concentrations of PM₁₀.

A further important explanatory variable for spring is relative humidity. Ambient aerosol mass concentrations of hygroscopic aerosol depend on relative humidity. On the one hand, such a dependency should not be detected by filter measurements.

concentrations) and enhanced resuspension of soil and road dust in warm days during summer (Vardoulakis and Kassomenos, 2008).

Temperature was selected 8 times in winter. Similarly to afternoon temperature in summer, temperature in winter has a marked effect on PM_{10} concentrations. Nevertheless, the relationship this time is inversed; low temperatures contribute to high PM_{10} concentrations by condensation of volatile compounds and possibly because of increased wood burning for space heating. Moreover, low temperature here can act as a proxy for stable boundary layer and low vertical mixing.

Most of the important variables selected for the whole year GAMs were also selected in the other seasons and their smooth functions are similar (not shown). The smooth function for temperature is an exception as the opposite response of PM_{10} to low and high temperatures is combined to give a negative relationship for low temperatures, positive relationship for high temperatures and a turning point in between. Variable “days since front” that was only selected for whole year GAMs shows a decrease of PM_{10} for “days since front” less than 1 and an increase for “days since front” greater than 2. This indicates removal of PM_{10} by enhanced transport and wet deposition in the presence of a front and accumulation of PM_{10} after the frontal passage.

Julian day was selected often in summer implying that less PM_{10} variability is explained by meteorology during this season compared to winter. However, factors that are not included in meteorology such as changes in anthropogenic emissions appear to have an important role in summer. The Julian day smooth functions provide a useful tool for the investigation of the meteorologically adjusted PM_{10} trends because they are able to detect non-linear evolution of PM_{10} concentrations with time. The Julian day smooth functions are shown in Fig. 4. The stations shown for summer are selected in the same way as in Fig. 3 except that the stations are now split into six categories based on local emission characteristics. Namely, rural highway stations (Haerkingen and Sion) make a separate category. In the other seasons, all stations where Julian day was selected by the variable selection algorithm are shown.

Influence of meteorology on PM_{10} trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



All Julian day smooth functions shown in Fig. 4 have an overall decreasing trend which is interpreted to be at least to some extent the result of reduced PM₁₀ emissions due to the implementation of emission reduction measures and technological improvements (e.g. vehicles with more efficient engines and catalytic converters). The change in mostly traffic and industrial precursor emissions (NO_x, SO₂, VOCs) of secondary aerosols is very likely responsible for the trend as well (Vestreng et al., 2007, 2008; Stemmler et al., 2005). The reduction of the PM₁₀ factors is more pronounced in most of the 1990s whereas it is not as steep or even increases in the late 1990s and early 2000s. This evolution of PM₁₀ is consistent to the GAINS model calculations of PM₁₀ emissions and to the emissions of NO_x, non-methane volatile organic compounds, SO₂ and NH₃ as estimated by the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (Monks et al., 2009). It is not clear why at some stations PM₁₀ concentrations increased in the early 2000s. In a source apportionment study which was carried out for London, UK similar trends were identified and the increase of PM₁₀ in the aforementioned period was attributed to increased traffic emissions (Fuller and Green, 2006). In Switzerland, the share of diesel vehicles has been increasing in the last two decades and the increase in the period between 2000 and 2009 has been faster than the increase in the period between 1990 and 1999 (Fig. 5). This is particularly true for diesel passenger cars (Fig. 5) whose share was increasing by 0.099% yr⁻¹ on average in the 1990–1999 decade whereas in the 2000–2009 decade it is increasing by 1.4% yr⁻¹ as estimated by linear regression of diesel passenger car share versus year for the two decades respectively. A further local maximum appears in 1995 or 1996 at some stations in summer and spring.

To identify an overall trend in PM₁₀ emissions, GAMs were created for yearly data and the corresponding Julian day smooth functions were estimated. This is shown in the last row of plots in Fig. 4. Strong seasonal features such as the 1995 maximum in the spring Lausanne PM₁₀ factor are largely smoothed out in the yearly trend. Also in the yearly data there is an overall decrease of PM₁₀ that is interrupted by two local maxima around 1996 and 2003. Two urban background stations, Zürich and Lugano

(not shown) did not show a maximum in the 1990s. To better quantify and summarize the PM_{10} trends for all stations and seasons, an analysis of the PM_{10} trends by linear regression of the daily averages of PM_{10} values before and after meteorological adjustment is presented in Sect. 4.3.

Considering the selected sets of explanatory variables and their relationship to PM_{10} , they are based on data from a Swiss measurement network and they are best applicable to the aforementioned sites. Nevertheless, they represent universal mechanisms of dispersion, dilution and formation of PM_{10} and are therefore expected to be suitable for other locations with similar climatic characteristics. However, testing is required before using statistical models at conditions different than the ones they were initially developed for. Considering the applicability of the proposed GAMs to other species, it is limited because deposition, resuspension and the response of various species to some meteorological variables such as temperature, solar irradiance and relative humidity depends strongly on the species that is examined.

4.2 Model performance

To evaluate the GAMs, the deviance explained (Wood, 2006) was used. Deviance explained quantifies which part of the observed variance is reproduced by the GAM. As shown in Table 4, winter was the season with the highest deviance explained reaching on average 65%. Summer had an almost equally high deviance explained of 64% and at the same time a relatively small number of 6.4 selected explanatory variables. In spring an average of 8.2 selected covariates could explain on average only 56% of the observed variance, which indicates that the model has some difficulty in explaining the PM_{10} concentrations by means of the variables that are available for description of the meteorological variability. The yearly GAM runs yielded models with a high 65% deviance explained on average and a high number of selected explanatory variables (11.1). It should be noted that the deviance explained varied considerably from station to station. For example, in winter where the highest average deviance explained was encountered, the rural mountain stations of Chaumont and Rigi had rather low values of deviance explained (38% and 48% respectively) indicating that for the special weather

Influence of meteorology on PM_{10} trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



conditions in mountainous areas the available meteorological variables are not capable to describe the effect of meteorology on PM_{10} concentrations. Moreover, due to the sites' elevation, PM_{10} concentrations are not only affected by local meteorology and emissions but by long-range transboundary transport as well.

Another way to assess model performance is to estimate the extent to which the year-to-year variability of meteorologically adjusted values is reduced. For this purpose, the mean squared error MSE (Wilks, 2006) was calculated according to the formula

$$MSE = \frac{1}{m-2} \sum_{i=1}^m R_i^2 \quad (5)$$

where m is the number of data points used for the linear regression of the daily average PM_{10} concentrations versus time (see Sect. 4.3) and R_i are the model residuals defined as the difference of the predicted by the linear model minus the observed daily PM_{10} . MSE was calculated for the observed and adjusted PM_{10} values. The ratio of adjusted over measured MSE is tabulated in Table 4. The PM_{10} variability after meteorological adjustment in winter is only 27% of the observed variability. In spring, despite the relatively low deviance explained of the model estimates, the MSE ratio is 38% indicating a strong reduction of the variability of the PM_{10} values after meteorological adjustment. A smaller reduction of variability is observed in summer and autumn. The variability of the raw PM_{10} concentrations in those seasons is already rather low, as indicated by the respective MSE_{meas} values.

As already mentioned, 14–18 years of data were available for all stations. Although this is considered to be a sufficient amount of data to construct reliable GAMs, it is expected that such an analysis can be carried out with less data too. For example, data from 1994 until 2008 are available for Zürich station. A series of GAMs that were constructed using winter data since 1996, 1998, 2000, 2002 and 2004 showed that the resulting GAMs were quite consistent for the datasets starting in 2002 or for longer datasets i.e. for a minimum of seven years of data. Even fewer years of measurements are needed for analyzing full-year datasets.

Influence of meteorology on PM_{10} trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



4.3 PM₁₀ trends before and after meteorological adjustment

The trends of the PM₁₀ concentrations in the period from 1991 to 2008 were estimated by linear regression of the daily average PM₁₀ concentrations versus time. This was done for PM₁₀ measurements and for the PM₁₀ values that were adjusted for meteorology using relationship (Eq. 4). The regression equations read

$$\text{daily average measured PM}_{10} = c_1 + c_2 \text{ Julian day} + \varepsilon \quad (6)$$

$$\text{daily average adjusted PM}_{10} = d_1 + d_2 \text{ Julian day} + \varepsilon \quad (7)$$

The estimated slopes c_2, d_2 of the regression lines were multiplied by 365 to represent yearly PM₁₀ changes. The results of this process are summarized in Fig. 6 for all seasons and all stations. One should keep in mind that investigating the linear trends is a simplification because, as already discussed, the evolution of PM₁₀ concentrations during the period in question is often non-linear, especially in winter. All slopes are shown with their 95% confidence intervals. In addition to the results for each station, the last value called “AVG” in each plot indicates the slope averaged over all stations. The confidence interval of the average was calculated by error propagation (e.g., Bevington and Robinson, 2003). This reads

$$\delta(\text{PM}_{10})_{\text{avg}} = \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^N \delta(\text{PM}_{10})_i^2} \quad (8)$$

where $\delta(\text{PM}_{10})_{\text{avg}}$ is the average upper or lower confidence interval, $N = 13$ is the number of stations and $\delta(\text{PM}_{10})_i$ is the upper or lower confidence interval of the i th station.

Figure 6 shows that PM₁₀ trends are declining at almost all stations and seasons except the winter trend at the rural stations of Chaumont and Magadino. The confidence intervals of the measured and adjusted values largely overlap making the existence of a change in the PM₁₀ trends due to the adjustment in many cases uncertain. However,

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



it is discussed later that for some stations the meteorological adjustment had a considerable effect. In addition, the slopes of the adjusted trends have smaller uncertainty, which implies that the adjusted values can be used to identify significant trends from shorter time series.

5 Winter is the only season where the meteorological adjustment increased (in absolute terms) the slope of the PM_{10} trend line on average and at most stations. The largest changes were observed at the rural highway stations Haerkingen and Sion. Rural highway stations provide ideal locations for examining air pollution trends and the effect of meteorology because on one hand the meteorological observations, not being
10 affected by local urban features, are more accurate and representative and on the other hand strong local emissions of PM_{10} make it easier to detect appreciable changes in PM_{10} concentrations. Considerable reduction of the slope was also observed in Magadino which is a rural station but its high annual average PM_{10} concentrations indicate that it is affected by relatively strong regional PM_{10} emissions and production. The stations with the largest yearly reduction of PM_{10} in winter (and in all other seasons) were
15 the urban street stations of Bern and Lausanne. The fact that urban street and rural highway stations have the fastest decreasing PM_{10} concentrations indicates a reduction in traffic emissions of primary PM_{10} . Therefore, regulatory action and technology advances regarding vehicle emissions have had a considerable success in reducing
20 PM_{10} concentrations.

The slopes of the PM_{10} trend lines after adjustment for meteorology are around zero at Lugano, Magadino and Rigi and positive at Chaumont. Since this behavior is only encountered in winter, it is reasonable to assume that the emission sector which caused this neutral trend is space heating. A particular space heating source to consider
25 is wood-burning since recent measurements (Szidat et al., 2007; Lanz et al., 2008, 2009; Sandradewi et al., 2008) demonstrate that wood-burning is a major source of particulate matter in winter both in the Swiss plateau and in the Alpine valleys. Unlike traffic emissions of PM_{10} and precursors, wood burning units have not reduced in the last two decades in Switzerland, their total number remaining almost stable at

Influence of meteorology on PM_{10} trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



around 700 000 wood burning appliances (Primas et al., 2009). It should be noted that the PM₁₀ trends in Lugano, Magadino, Rigi and Chaumont do not only depend on the meteorology and the emissions in Switzerland but they are considerably affected by neighboring countries too. Air pollution levels in the area of Ticino south of the Alps (including Lugano and Magadino) are considerably affected by Milan (Prévôt et al., 1997; Andreani-Aksoyoglu et al., 2004). Rigi and Chaumont are located at relatively high altitudes and therefore are affected by long-range transport. In addition, Chaumont is located close to the western border of Switzerland. Considering the predominant southwestern wind direction in the area (MeteoSwiss, 2010a), it is expected that PM₁₀ levels at this station are rather affected by emissions in France than in Switzerland.

The finding that meteorological adjustment of PM₁₀ trends in winter makes these trends more negative implies that the weather during winter in the 1990 and 2000 decades in Switzerland has changed in such a way that favors the accumulation of PM₁₀. A possible explanation for this feature is the evolution of the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index, which has reduced considerably during the 1990 decade (Hurrell and Deser, 2010). High values of NAO index are linked to mild and wet winters whereas low values of NAO are linked to cold and dry winters which tend to increase levels of PM₁₀. NAO was one of the available explanatory variables which were not selected from the variable selection algorithm (see Table 2). This is attributed to the fact that the weather conditions associated with various NAO modes were described more directly by other explanatory variables.

The yearly trends shown in Fig. 6 have some similarities to the winter trends. The yearly trends before and after meteorological adjustment are tabulated in Table 5. On average, a small reduction of the PM₁₀ trend after adjustment for meteorology is observed. The stations which actually have a considerable reduction of their PM₁₀ trends after adjustment for meteorology are the rural highway stations of Haerkingen and Sion where the adjusted trends are lower by 0.12 and 0.19 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$ respectively (Table 5). This probably reflects the aforementioned winter behavior of the PM₁₀ trends in those stations because in the other seasons the meteorological adjustment,

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

although narrowed considerably the confidence intervals, only caused little change in the best estimates of the slope. Velders and Matthijssen (2009) reported yearly trends of $-0.97 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$, $-0.74 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$ and $-0.79 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$ for rural, urban background and urban street stations respectively, in the Netherlands from 1992 to 2007.

5 Although these trends have similar magnitudes to the ones reported here, there are some notable differences. Namely, in the current study, rural stations have smaller trends than urban stations and urban background stations have smaller trends than urban street stations. The respective averages for rural, urban background and urban street stations are -0.37 , -0.53 and $-1.2 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$ (Table 5). Stedman (2002) reported decreasing PM₁₀ trends for 8 city centre stations in the UK, these trends ranging between $-0.9 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$ and $-1.9 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$ for data between 1992 or 1993 until 10 2000. These trends are also comparable (although somewhat larger) to the trends found in Swiss cities. In a further related study, Spindler et al. (2004) report a negative trend of roughly $-1.5 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$ based on measurements at some stations in the area of west Saxony, Germany, although an exponential instead of a linear relationship was actually chosen to describe the decline of PM₁₀ in that area.

No literature discussing PM₁₀ trends on the basis of seasonal data is known to the authors. The average values of the adjusted trend per season found in this study are $-0.54 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$, $-0.58 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$, $-0.52 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$, $-0.35 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$ and $-0.53 \mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$ for spring, summer, autumn, winter and yearly data respectively.

In autumn the adjusted trend at the urban street station Lausanne is less decreasing. A similar effect of reduced slope after meteorological adjustment is also evident in the stations of Bern and Magadino in summer and Lausanne in spring. Lausanne and the other urban street station, Bern have the steepest trends among all stations in all 15 20 seasons implying considerable PM₁₀ reductions from the traffic sector.

The importance of the aforementioned long-term PM₁₀ changes at each site depends on the average level of PM₁₀ concentrations at the site in question. In order to put the PM₁₀ trends in this context, the adjusted trends were calculated as the percentage of the mean PM₁₀ observations during the period in question (see Table 1). The 25

results are tabulated in Table 4. The urban street stations at Bern and Lausanne apart from having the largest trends, they also have the largest percentage trends amounting to 3.1 and 3.3% yr⁻¹ respectively. The average percentage trend over all stations is -1.9% yr⁻¹ with a range of -1.2 to -3.3% yr⁻¹.

As already noted, visual inspection of Fig. 4 reveals that the adjusted PM₁₀ trends do not have a uniform behavior throughout the period between 1991 and 2008. A further examination of the adjusted PM₁₀ trends was carried out by separating the PM₁₀ GAM estimates before 2000 and from 2000 onwards and estimating the line fitted by linear regression of PM₁₀ concentrations versus time in each period. At most stations the trends before and after 2000 differ by less than 0.4 µg m⁻³ yr⁻¹, in absolute terms (Table 4). Bern and Lausanne however exhibit considerably larger differences; The PM₁₀ trend at Bern increased from -3.4 µg m⁻³ yr⁻¹ in 1991–1999 to -1.1 µg m⁻³ yr⁻¹ in 2000–2008. The slope at Lausanne similarly increased from -2.8 µg m⁻³ yr⁻¹ in 1994–1999 to -0.4 µg m⁻³ yr⁻¹ in 2000–2008.

In addition to the slopes of the linear regressions, it is useful to see the effect of the meteorological adjustment on the actual PM₁₀ concentrations. Figure 7 shows the yearly averaged PM₁₀ concentrations before and after the adjustment for meteorology and their trend lines. One station from each one of the six categories mentioned in Sect. 4.1 is included. The stations with the largest changes in their PM₁₀ trend after meteorological adjustment were preferred.

Winter has the highest PM₁₀ variability and the highest reduction of PM₁₀ variability after the meteorological adjustment (see MSE values in Table 4). For example, as shown in Fig. 7, extreme high PM₁₀ concentrations in winter seasons 1992, 1997, 2003 and 2006 in Bern were heavily reduced after meteorological adjustment. Similar effects are seen in other seasons too (e.g. spring 1996 in Lausanne station). Examination of all plots in Fig. 7 (and the remaining plots which are not included in this figure) shows that GAMs have a good skill in removing PM₁₀ variability even in cases where PM₁₀ concentrations were unusually high because of weather conditions.

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



4.4 Model validation

The models described above were developed using a random 90% of the available observations. The remaining 10% of the observations were used to validate the models obtained from the 90% of the observations. In this process, the values of the explanatory variables which were chosen in the GAMs were substituted into the GAM formulas to give predictions of PM₁₀ values. These predictions were then compared to the corresponding PM₁₀ measurements.

A graphic comparison between model predictions and observations is done by examination of scatter plots (Fig. 8). A one-to-one line was added to facilitate comparison to the ideal model. The factor-of-two scatter is indicated by dashed lines. Some points are missing from these plots either because of missing PM₁₀ measurements or missing meteorological observations in the selected 10% of the days. The stations included in Fig. 8 are the same as in Fig. 7.

To validate quantitatively the GAMs, the fraction of daily GAM predictions which lie between 50% and 200% of the corresponding measurements was calculated. This is the so-called “factor of 2” (FAC2) statistic (Chang and Hanna, 2004) and reads:

$$\text{FAC2} = \text{fraction of data that satisfy } 0.5 \leq \frac{\text{PM}_{10\text{predicted}}}{\text{PM}_{10\text{observed}}} \leq 2.0 \quad (9)$$

It follows that for an ideal model, FAC2 = 1. Data points which lie in the area within the dashed lines in the scatterplots in Fig. 8 satisfy Eq. (9).

Visual inspection of the scatterplots in Fig. 8 reveals a very good predictive skill of the GAMs since the vast majority of the data fall within the factor of two area marked by the dash lines. However, in most stations in summer, autumn and spring, some underprediction of PM₁₀ values is observed for high (above 30–40 μg m⁻³) PM₁₀ measurements. Such deviation can occur in cases where irregular emissions such as, for example, agricultural burning or fireworks impact the measurement site.

The FAC2 values are very high, often approaching unity. Their averages over all stations are 0.90, 0.98, 0.92, 0.93 and 0.93 for spring, summer, autumn, winter and

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



yearly data respectively. The FAC2 values for some individual stations are included in Fig. 8. FAC2 values at the mountainous sites at Rigi and Chaumont, although still satisfactory, are somewhat lower (usually between 80%–90%).

The linear dependence of the predicted versus the measured PM_{10} concentration was estimated using the Pearson correlation coefficient. A relatively high linear dependence was found with the average correlation coefficient values over all stations being 0.62, 0.78, 0.72, 0.72 and 0.72 for spring, summer, autumn, winter and yearly data respectively.

5 Conclusions

The trends of PM_{10} and the effect of meteorology were investigated for 13 air quality stations in Switzerland for the period between 1991 and 2008. This was done by constructing Generalised Additive Models (GAMs) which incorporate non-parametric relationships between PM_{10} and meteorological and time variables. These relationships were based on quality-checked meteorological and PM_{10} long-term observations including a wide spectrum of meteorological variables with good spatial and temporal coverage.

Most of the estimated functions provided fairly intuitive answers with regards to which direction PM_{10} concentrations change when a change of a certain meteorological variable occurs. For example, it was found that PM_{10} concentrations have negative relationships with wind gust, yesterday precipitation and convective boundary layer depth whereas they have positive relationships with afternoon sunshine duration and afternoon temperature. The contribution of this study was to provide further insight in those relationships by quantifying them and accounting for non-linearities. For instance, a small precipitation rate strongly reduces PM_{10} concentrations whereas a further increase in the precipitation rate has no further considerable effect. Another interesting finding is the importance of each meteorological variable in the different seasons. Apart from wind gust which was one of the most important variables in all seasons, large

Influence of meteorology on PM_{10} trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



4 emission standards), promotion of public transport and improvements in the rail and road infrastructure (Berger et al., 2009). The continuation of regulatory action towards the reduction of air pollution is expected to contribute to a further decrease of PM₁₀ in the future. For instance, as of 2011 only Euro 5 vehicles will be imported in Switzerland (Swiss Federal Council, 2010b). An important feature of the Euro 5 technology is that passenger cars and light duty vehicles using diesel have to be equipped with particle traps. As a large fraction of particulate matter is due to secondary aerosols from precursor gases like NO_x, SO₂ and NH₃, future trends will depend a lot on the future emissions on these precursors. Especially for NO_x there is still a large reduction potential. Further recent measures include stricter regulation for emissions from construction equipment and stricter regulation for wood burning emissions (Swiss Federal Council, 2010a). The road infrastructure is planned to develop further so that traffic jams are reduced (Swiss Federal Council, 2010c). Some relief of the road network is also expected by the planned increase of the capacity and speed of rail transport (Swiss Federal Council, 2010c). Nevertheless, the actual PM₁₀ trends in the future will also be affected by the increasing demand for transport and other particle and precursor emitting activities (Swiss Federal Council, 2010c).

The prognostic skill of the model was examined by model validation. The FAC2 statistic for different seasons ranged between 0.90 and 0.93 whereas the Pearson correlation coefficient ranged between 0.62 and 0.78 on average over all stations. Therefore, GAMs have considerable potential as a cheap tool for air quality forecasting, provided that a good weather forecast is available.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at:

<http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/10/26961/2010/acpd-10-26961-2010-supplement.pdf>.

Acknowledgements. This study was supported by the COST fund of the Swiss State Secretariat for Education and Research. Many thanks to Urs Baltensperger and Ernest Weingartner for useful discussions. A considerable part of the data used in this study were

provided by MeteoSwiss and the Swiss Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN). The NAO data have been supplied by Phil Jones. Data up to 1999 were downloaded from <http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/nao/> and data after 1999 were downloaded from Tim Osborne's NAO webpage <http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/~timo/datapages/naoi.htm>.

References

- Andreani-Aksoyoglou, S. and Keller, J.: Estimates of monoterpene and isoprene emission from the forests in Switzerland, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 20, 71–87, 1995.
- Andreani-Aksoyoglou, S., Prévôt, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U., Keller, J., and Dommen, J.: Modelling of formation and distribution of secondary aerosols in the Milan area (Italy), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D05306, doi:10.1029/2003JD004231, 2004.
- Andreani-Aksoyoglou, S., Keller, J., Prévôt, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U., and Flemming, J.: Secondary aerosols in Switzerland and northern Italy: Modelling and sensitivity studies for summer 2003, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D06303, doi:10.1029/2007JD009053, 2008.
- Akaike, H.: A new look at the statistical model identification, *IEEE T. Automat. Contr.*, AC-19, 716–723, 1974.
- Bayer-Oglesby, L., Grize, L., Gassner, M., Takken-Sahli, K., Sennhauser, F. H., Neu, U., Schindler, C., and Braun-Fahrländer, C.: Decline of ambient air pollution levels and improved respiratory health in swiss children, *Environ. Health Persp.*, 113, 1632–1637, 2005.
- Beniston, M., Rebetez, M., Giorgi, F., and Marinucci, M. R.: An analysis of regional climate in Switzerland, *Theor. Appl. Climatol.*, 49, 135–159, 1994.
- Berger, H.-U., Gueller, P., Mauch, S. and Oetterli, J.: *Verkehrspolitische Entwicklungspfade in der Schweiz: Die letzten 50 Jahre*, Rüegger Verlag, Zürich, ISBN 978-3-7253-0912-2, 2009.
- Bevington, P. R. and Robinson, D. K.: *Data reduction and error analysis for the physical sciences*, McGraw Hill, New York, USA, 2003.
- Chang, J. C. and Hanna, S. R.: Air quality model performance evaluation, *Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.*, 87, 167–196, 2004.
- Creilson, J. K., Fishman, J., and Wozniak, A. E.: Intercontinental transport of tropospheric ozone: a study of its seasonal variability across the North Atlantic utilizing tropospheric ozone residuals and its relationship to the North Atlantic Oscillation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 2053–2066, doi:10.5194/acp-3-2053-2003, 2003.

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

instrumental pressure observations from Gibraltar and South-West Iceland, *Int. J. Climatol.*, 17, 1433–1450, 1997.

Keller, J., Prévôt, A. S. H., Béguin, A. F., Jutzi, V., and Ordóñez, C.: Trends of Ozone and O_x in Switzerland from 1992 to 2007: Observations at selected stations of the NABEL, OASI (Ticino) and ANU (Graubünden) networks corrected for meteorological variability, PSI Bericht Nr. 08-03, Villigen, Switzerland, 2008.

Kutner, M. H., Nachtsheim, C. J., and Neter, J.: *Applied Linear Regression Models*, 4th edn, McGraw-Hill, Maidenhead, 2004.

Lanz, V. A., Alfarra, M. R., Baltensperger, U., Buchmann, B., Hüglin, C., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Source apportionment of submicron organic aerosols at an urban site by factor analytical modelling of aerosol mass spectra, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 1503–1522, doi:10.5194/acp-7-1503-2007, 2007.

Lanz, V. A., Alfarra, M. R., Baltensperger, U., Buchmann, B., Hüglin, C., Szidat, S., Wehrli, M. N., Wacker, L., Weimer, S., Caseiro, A., Puxbaum, H., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Source attribution of Submicron Organic Aerosols during Wintertime Inversions by Advanced Factor Analysis of Aerosol Mass Spectra, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 42, 214–220, 2008.

Lanz, V. A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Alfarra, M. R., Mohr, C., DeCarlo, P. F., Weimer, S., Gianini, M. F. D., Hüglin, C., Schneider, J., Favez, O., D'Anna, B., George, C., and Baltensperger, U.: Characterization of aerosol chemical composition by aerosol mass spectrometry in Central Europe: an overview, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 9, 24985–25021, doi:10.5194/acpd-9-24985-2009, 2009.

Liu, P.-W. G.: Simulation of the daily average PM₁₀ concentrations at Ta-Liao with Box-Jenkins time series models and multivariate analysis, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43, 2104–2113, 2009.

MeteoSwiss, Mittlere monatliche und jährliche Windrosen, available at: http://www.meteoswiss.admin.ch/web/de/klima/klima_schweiz/tabellen/windrichtung.Par.0019.DownloadFile.tmp/chasserai.pdf, last access: August, 2010a.

Monks, P. S., Granier, C., Fuzzi, S., Stohl, A., Williams M. L., Akimoto, H., Amann, M., Baklanov, A., Baltensperger, U., Bey, I., Blake, N., Blake, R. S., Carlslaw, K., Cooper, O. R., Dentener, F., Fowler, D., Fragkou, E., Frost, G. J., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Grewe, V., Guenther, A., Hansson, H. C., Henne, S., Hjorth, J., Hofzumahaus, A., Huntrieser, H., Isaksen, I. S. A., Jenkin, M. E., Kaiser, J., Kanakidou, M., Klimont, Z., Kulmala, M., Laj, P., Lawrence, M. J., Lee, J. D., Liousse, C., Maione, M., McFiggans, G., Metzger, A., Mieville, A., Moussiopoulos, N., Orlando, J. J., O'Down, C. D., Palmer, P. I., Parish, D. D., Petzold, A., Platt, U., Poeschl,

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



U., Prévôt, A. S. H., Reeves, C. E., Reimann, S., Rudich, Y., Sellegri, K., Steinbrecher, R., Simpson, D., ten Brink, H., Theloke, J., van der Werf, G. R., Vautard, R., Vestreng, V., Vlachokostas, Ch., and von Glasow, R.: Atmospheric Composition Change – global and regional air quality, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43, 5268–5350, 2009.

5 Montgomery, D. C. and Peck, E. A.: *Introduction to Linear Regression Analysis*, 4th edn., WileyBlackwell, New York, USA, 2006.

Ordóñez, C., Mathis, H., Furger, M., Henne, S., Hüglin, C., Staehelin, J., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Changes of daily surface ozone maxima in Switzerland in all seasons from 1992 to 2002 and discussion of summer 2003, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5, 1187–1203, doi:10.5194/acp-5-1187-2005, 2005.

10 Prévôt, A. S. H., Staehlin, J., Kok, G. L., Schillawski, R. D., Neininger, B., Staffelbach, D., Neftel, A., Wernli, H., and Dommen, J.: The Milan photooxidant plume, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 23375–23388, 1997.

15 Primas, A., Müller-Platz, C., and Kessler, F. M.: *Schweizerische Holzstatistik, Erhebung für das Jahr 2008*, Swiss Federal Office of Energy, Bern, Switzerland, 2009.

Pryor, S. C. and Barthelmie, R. J.: PM₁₀ in Canada, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 177, 57–71, 1996.

Putaud, J.-P., Raes, F., van Dingenen, R., Brüegemann, E., Facchini, M.-C., Decesari, S., Fuzzi, S., Gehrig, R., Hüglin, C., Laj, P., Lorbeer, G., Maenhaut, W., Mihalopoulos, N., Mueller, K., Querol, X., Rodriguez, S., Schneider, J., Spindler, G., ten Brink, H., Kjetil, T., and Wiedenscholer, A.: A European aerosol phenology-2: Chemical characteristics of particulate matter at kerbside, urban, rural and background sites in Europe, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38, 2579–2595, 2004.

20 R Development Core Team: *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, available at: <http://www.R-project.org>, last access: August, 2008.

25 Rosenfeld, D., Lohmann, U., Raga, G. B., O'Dowd, C. D., Kulmala, M., Fuzzi, S., Reissell, A., and Andreae, M. O.: Flood or drought: How do aerosols affect precipitation?, *Science*, 321, 1309–1313, 2008.

Sandradewi, J., Prévôt, A. S. H., Szidat, S., Perron, N., Lanz, V. A., Weingartner, E., and Baltensperger, U.: Using aerosol light absorption measurements for the quantitative determination of wood burning and traffic emission contributions to particulate matter, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 42, 3316–3323, 2008.

30 Schwarz, G.: Estimating the dimensions of a model, *Ann. Statist.*, 6, 461–464, 1978.

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Spindler, G., Mueller, K., Brüeggemann, E., Gnauk, T., and Hermann, H.: Long-term size-segregated characterization of PM₁₀, PM_{2.5} and PM₁ at the IfT research station Melpitz downwind of Leipzig (Germany) using high and low-volume filter samplers, *Atmos. Environ.*, **38**, 5333–5347, 2004.
- 5 Stedman, J. R.: The use of receptor modeling and emission inventory data to explain the downward trend in UK PM₁₀ measurements, *Atmos. Environ.*, **36**, 4089–4101, 2002.
- Stemmler, K., Bugmann, S., Buchmann, B., Reimann, S., and Staehlin, J.: Large decrease of VOC emissions of Switzerland's car fleet during the past decade: results from a highway tunnel study., *Atmos. Environ.*, **39**, 1009–1018, 2005.
- 10 Swiss Federal Commission for Air Hygiene: Feinstaub in der Schweiz, Status Report of the Swiss Federal Commission for Air Hygiene, Bern, Switzerland, 141 S., available at: <http://www.ekl.admin.ch/fileadmin/ekl-dateien/dokumentation/d-bericht-feinstaub-2008.pdf>, 2007.
- Swiss Federal Council: Luftreinhalte-Verordnung, Swiss Federal Council, Bern, Switzerland, 814.318.142.1, available at: <http://www.admin.ch/ch/d/sr/8/814.318.142.1.de.pdf>, 2010a.
- 15 Swiss Federal Council: Verordnung ueber die technischen Anforderungen an Strassfahrzeuge, Swiss Federal Council, Bern, Switzerland, 741.41, available at: http://www.admin.ch/ch/d/sr/741_41/index.html, 2010b.
- Swiss Federal Council: Future of National Infrastructure Networks in Switzerland, Report by the Swiss Federal Council, available at: <http://tiny.cc/quydg>, 2010c.
- 20 Swiss Federal Statistical Office: Multidimensional online database, available at: <http://superweb-close.bfs.admin.ch/superweb/logon.jsp?language=en>, last access: June, 2010.
- Szidat, S., Prévôt, A. S. H., Sandradewi, J., Alfara, M. R., Synal, H.-A., Wacker, L., and Baltensperger, U.: Dominant impact of residential wood burning on particulate matter in Alpine valleys during winter, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **34**, L05820, doi:10.1029/2006GL028325, 2007.
- 25 Vardoulakis, S. and Kassomenos, P.: Sources and factors affecting PM₁₀ levels in two European cities: Implications for local air quality management, *Atmos. Environ.*, **42**, 3949–3963, 2008.
- Velders, G. J. M. and Matthijsen, J.: Meteorological variability in NO₂ and PM₁₀ concentrations in the Netherlands and its relation with EU limit values, *Atmos. Environ.*, **43**, 3858–3866, 2009.
- 30 Vestreng, V., Myhre, G., Fagerli, H., Reis, S., and Tarrasón, L.: Twenty-five years of continuous sulphur dioxide emission reduction in Europe, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **7**, 3663–3681, doi:10.5194/acp-7-3663-2007, 2007.

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Vestreng, V., Ntziachristos, L., Semb, A., Reis, S., Isaksen, I. S. A., and Tarrasón, L.: Evolution of NO_x emissions in Europe with focus on road transport control measures, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 1503–1520, doi:10.5194/acp-9-1503-2009, 2009.

Wanner, H. and Hertig, J.-A.: Studies of urban climates and air pollution in Switzerland, *J. Clim. App. Meteorol.*, 23, 1614–1625, 1984.

Wanner, H., Salvisberg, E., Rickli, R., and Schüepp, M.: 50 years of Alpine Weather Statistics (AWS), *Meteorologische Zeitschrift, Neue Folge*, 7, 99–111, 1998.

Weber, R. O. and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Climatology of ozone transport from the free troposphere into the boundary layer south of the Alps during North Foehn, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 4030, doi:10.1029/2001JD000987, 2002.

Wilks, D. S.: *Statistical Methods in the Atmospheric Sciences*, 2nd edn., Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands, 2006.

Wood, S. N.: *Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R*. Chapman & Hall/CRC. Boca Raton, USA, ISBN 9781584884743, 2006.

Wood, S. N.: Fast stable direct fitting and smoothness selection for generalized additive models, *J. R. Statist. Soc. B*, 7, 495–518, 2008.

Zemp, E., Elsasser, S., Schindler, S., Künzli, N., Perruchoud, A. P., Domenighetti, G., Medici, T., Ackermann-Liebrich, U., Leuenberger, P., Monn, C., Bolognini, G., Bongard, J.-P., Brändli, O., Karrer, W., Keller, R., Schöni, M. H., Tschopp, J.-M., Villiger, B., Zellweger, J.-P., and the SAPALDIA Team: Long-Term Ambient Air Pollution and Respiratory Symptoms in Adults (SAPALDIA Study), *Am. J. Resp. Crit. Care*, 159, 1257–1266, 1999.

Zidec, J., Sun, L., Le, N., and Ozkaynak, H.: Contending with space-time interaction in the spatial prediction of pollution: Vancouver's hourly ambient PM₁₀ field, *Environmetrics*, 13, 595–613, 2002.

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 1. Stations used in the analysis. The PM₁₀ concentrations correspond to the mean daily value of the period between 1991 and 2008.

Station code	Station name	Altitude (m a.s.l.)	Station type	Mean PM ₁₀ (µg m ⁻³)
BAS	Basel	365	suburban	25.9
BER	Bern	596	urban/street	39.0
CHA	Chaumont	1187	rural mountain	12.9
DUE	Dübendorf	480	suburban	25.4
HAE	Härkingen	479	rural/highway	29.0
LAU	Lausanne	577	urban/street	33.7
LUG	Lugano	332	urban/background	34.7
MAG	Magadino	254	rural lowland	31.5
PAY	Payerne	539	rural lowland	23.2
RIG	Rigi	1079	rural mountain	13.4
SIO	Sion	535	rural/highway	27.4
TAE	Tänikon	586	rural lowland	22.0
ZUE	Zürich	457	urban/background	27.0

Table 2. Number of stations (out of 13) at which each variable was selected for each season and the whole year. Numbers in bold indicate that the variable was selected for more than half of the stations whereas numbers in italic indicate that the variable was selected for 4, 5 or 6 of the stations. Only the occurrences of Julian day which resulted from automatic selection are included in this table. Eventually, Julian day was added also in the models where it was initially not selected.

Variable/Definition	MAM	JJA	SON	DJF	Year
day of the week	3	4	3	1	9
Julian day	5	13	5	2	12
wind speed	0	2	0	0	0
wind gust	12	11	12	12	12
surface pressure	4	2	4	3	5
global irradiance	1	0	1	3	3
net irradiance	7	3	4	7	4
daily precipitation	7	3	10	7	13
relative humidity	8	4	4	3	5
temperature	1	4	2	8	7
water vapor mixing ratio	1	3	1	3	4
daily sunshine duration	4	1	1	2	0
convective available potential energy	3	4	2	3	2
afternoon global irradiance	1	4	4	1	4
afternoon temperature	1	8	2	0	0
afternoon mixing ratio	2	2	0	1	2
afternoon wind speed	1	2	0	0	0
afternoon sunshine duration	1	0	1	0	1
lightning great distance	0	0	3	0	1
lightning at small distance	1	0	3	0	3
convective boundary layer depth	11	2	10	10	11
NAO index	0	1	0	0	0
amount of precipitation the previous day	9	4	8	8	12
morning wind speed	2	1	1	1	2
morning global irradiance	3	1	0	1	3
morning sunshine duration	1	2	2	0	1
synoptic group	0	0	0	0	4
front	3	0	2	4	2
number of days since front	5	0	6	4	11
vertical gradient of potential temperature	2	2	5	5	5
lightning at afternoon and evening	0	0	0	0	1

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
◀	▶
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	

Table 3. Most frequently selected meteorological explanatory variables for each season. The (+) and (–) signs indicate whether PM₁₀ concentrations have a positive or negative relationship with the explanatory variable. For wiggly terms (like, for example, Julian day), these signs only represent an average behavior. Variables in each season are sorted by decreasing frequency of occurrence.

Spring	Summer	Autumn	Winter	Year
wind gust (–)	Julian day (–)	wind gust (–)	wind gust (–)	daily precip (–)
CBL depth (–)	wind gust (–)	CBL depth (–)	CBL depth (–)	wind gust (–)
y. precip. (–)	a. temp. (+)	y. precip. (–)	temperature (–)	Julian day (–)
rel. humidity (–)		daily precip (–)	y. precip. (–)	y. precip. (–)
net irradiance (–)			net irradiance (+)	d. s. front (+)
daily precip. (–)			daily precip (–)	CBL depth (–)
				temperature



Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 4. Seasonal averages of the deviance explained, number of covariates, measured MSE, adjusted MSE and the ratio of adjusted over measured MSE.

	dev. expl (%)	n. o. covariates	MSE _{meas} ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) ²	MSE _{adj} ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) ²	MSE ratio
Spring	56	8.2	22.14	10.43	0.38
Summer	64	6.4	8.88	4.43	0.41
Autumn	60	8.0	12.00	5.46	0.47
Winter	65	7.7	39.02	8.88	0.27
Year	65	11.1	8.4	4.7	0.52

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Table 5. Trends of PM₁₀ concentrations at all NABEL sites. The adjusted percentage trend is the result of dividing the adjusted trend by the 1991–2008 mean daily PM₁₀ concentrations listed in Table 1.

Station	period of available data	raw trend ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$)	met. adjusted trend ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$)	met. adjusted percentage trend (% yr^{-1})	met. adjusted trend up to 1999 ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$)	2000–2008 met. adjusted trend ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3} \text{yr}^{-1}$)
BAS	1993–2008	−0.56	−0.61	−2.4	−0.81	−0.85
BER	1991–2008	−1.2	−1.2	−3.1	−3.4	−1.1
CHA	1993–2008	−0.20	−0.15	−1.2	−0.37	−0.15
DUE	1993–2008	−0.45	−0.47	−1.8	−0.30	−0.49
HAE	1993–2008	−0.43	−0.55	−1.9	−1.0	−0.73
LAU	1994–2008	−1.1	−1.1	−3.3	−2.8	−0.4
LUG	1993–2008	−0.46	−0.44	−1.3	−0.71	−1.1
MAG	1993–2008	−0.47	−0.46	−1.5	−0.53	−0.49
PAY	1993–2008	−0.31	−0.32	−1.4	−0.24	−0.36
RIG	1993–2008	−0.23	−0.23	−1.7	−0.23	−0.36
SIO	1993–2008	−0.29	−0.48	−1.8	−1.5	−0.36
TAE	1993–2008	−0.33	−0.34	−1.6	−0.41	−0.37
ZUE	1993–2008	−0.60	−0.55	−2.0	−1.0	−0.67
AVG		−0.51	−0.53	−1.9	−1.0	−0.57

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.




Fig. 1. Location of the stations used in the analysis. See correspondence between station codes and names in Table 1. Sidebar indicates height above mean seal level.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.




Fig. 2. The PM₁₀ factor for the “day of the week” variable for summer. The error bars indicate two standard errors. The influence of the day of the week was similar also in the other seasons and stations where this variable was selected.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 3. Smooth functions of the most important explanatory variables for selected stations. Each row of plots corresponds to a different variable. Missing plots indicate that the variable in question was not selected in any stations of the corresponding category.

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Winter




Fig. 3. Continued.

27006

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barnpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Spring




Fig. 3. Continued.

27007

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.




Fig. 3. Continued.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 4. Plots of the Julian day PM₁₀ factor for selected stations. For summer, stations were selected by category. For all other seasons, all stations where this variable was selected are shown.

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.




Fig. 5. Share of diesel vehicles in relation to the total of vehicles (left panel) and diesel passenger cars in relation to the total of passenger cars (right panel). Data from the Swiss Federal Statistical Office (2010).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.




Fig. 6. Seasonal and yearly PM₁₀ trends before and after the meteorological adjustment along with their 95% confidence intervals. “AVG” denotes the average over all stations.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

⏪ ⏩

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.




Fig. 6. Continued.

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.




Fig. 7. Raw (blue) and adjusted for meteorology (red) seasonal average PM₁₀ values. The respective trend lines obtained by linear regression are also plotted.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)

Influence of meteorology on PM₁₀ trends and variability in Switzerland

I. Barmpadimos et al.




Fig. 8. Scatter plots of measured versus predicted PM₁₀ concentrations using a random 10% of the data.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion